2009 Annual Report

Archer/Western Redevelopment Project Area



Pursuant to 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5(d)

JUNE 30, 2010



Ernst & Young LLP Sears Tower 233 South Wacker Drive Chicago, Illinois 60606-6301

Tel: +1 312 879 2000 Fax: +1 312 879 4000 www.ey.com

Ms. Christine Raguso Acting Commissioner Department of Community Development 121 North LaSalle Street Chicago, Illinois 60602 30 June 2010

Dear Commissioner:

Enclosed is the annual report for the Archer/Western Redevelopment Project Area, which we compiled at the direction of the Department of Community Development pursuant to Section 5(d) of the Illinois Tax Increment Allocation Redevelopment Act (65 ILCS 5/11-74.6-1 et seq.), as amended. The contents are based on information provided to us by Chicago Departments of Community Development, Finance, and Law. We have not audited, verified, or applied agreed upon accounting and testing procedures to the data contained in this report. Therefore, we express no opinion on its accuracy or completeness.

It has been a pleasure to work with representatives from the Department of Community Development and other City Departments.

Very truly yours,

Ernst & Young LLP

Ernst + Young LLP

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ANNUAL REPORT – ARCHER/WESTERN REDEVELOPMENT PROJECT AREA IN COMPLIANCE WITH SECTION (d) OF 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5.

	PAGE
LETTER TO STATE COMPTROLLER	1
1) DATE OF DESIGNATION OR TERMINATION	2
2) AUDITED FINANCIALS	3
3) MAYOR'S CERTIFICATION	4
4) OPINION OF LEGAL COUNSEL	5
5) ANALYSIS OF SPECIAL TAX ALLOCATION FUND	6
6) DESCRIPTION OF PROPERTY	. 7
7) STATEMENT OF ACTIVITIES	8
8) DOCUMENTS RELATING TO OBLIGATIONS ISSUED BY THE MUNICIPALITY	12
9) ANALYSIS OF DEBT SERVICE	13
10) CERTIFIED AUDIT REPORT	14
11) GENERAL DESCRIPTION AND MAP	15



City of Chicago Richard M. Daley, Mayor

Department of Community Development

Chris Raguso Acting Commissioner

City Hall, Room 1000
121 North LaSalle Street
Chicago, Illinois 60602
(312) 744-4190
(312) 744-2271 (FAX)
(312) 744-2578 (TTY)
http://www.cityofchicago.org

June 30, 2010

The Honorable Daniel Hynes Comptroller State of Illinois Office of the Comptroller 201 Capitol Springfield, IL 62706

Dear Comptroller Hynes:

We have compiled the attached information for the Archer/Western Redevelopment Project Area (Report) pursuant to 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5(d).

Sincerely.

Christine Raguso Acting Commissioner





(1) DATE OF DESIGNATION AND TERMINATION - 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5(d)(1.5)

The Project Area was designated on February 11, 2009. The Project Area may be terminated no later than December 31, 2033.

(2) AUDITED FINANCIALS - 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5(d)(2)

During 2009, no financial activity or cumulative deposits over \$100,000 occurred in the Project Area. Therefore, no audited statements were prepared pertaining to the Special Tax Allocation Fund for the Project Area.

(3) MAYOR'S CERTIFICATION - 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5(d)(3)

Please see attached.

STATE OF ILLINOIS)
) SS
COUNTY OF COOK)

CERTIFICATION

TO:

Daniel W. Hynes Comptroller of the State of Illinois James R. Thompson Center 100 West Randolph Street, Suite 15-500 Chicago, Illinois 60601 Attention: June Canello, Director of Local Government

Dolores Javier, Treasurer City Colleges of Chicago 226 West Jackson Boulevard, Room 1125 Chicago, Illinois 60606

Maurice S. Jones
Director
Cook County Dept. Planning & Dev.
69 West Washington Street, Suite 2900
Chicago, Illinois 60602

Dan Donovan, Comptroller Forest Preserve District of Cook County 69 W. Washington Street, Suite 2060 Chicago, IL 60602

Martin Koldyke, Chairman Chicago School Finance Authority 135 South LaSalle Street, Suite 3800 Chicago, Illinois 60603 Timothy Mitchell, General Superintendent & CEO Chicago Park District 541 North Fairbanks Chicago, Illinois 60611

Ron Huberman Chief Executive Officer Chicago Board of Education 125 South Clark Street, 5th Floor Chicago, Illinois 60603

Jacqueline Torres, Director of Finance Metropolitan Water Reclamation District of Greater Chicago 100 East Erie Street, Room 2429 Chicago, Illinois 60611

Douglas Wright
South Cook County Mosquito Abatement
District
155th & Dixie Highway
P.O. Box 1030
Harvey, Illinois 60426

I, RICHARD M. DALEY, in connection with the annual report (the "Report") of information required by Section 11-74.4-5(d) of the Tax Increment Allocation Redevelopment Act, 65 ILCS5/11-74.4-1 et seq, (the "Act") with regard to the Archer/Western Redevelopment Project Area (the "Redevelopment Project Area"), do hereby certify as follows:

- 1. I am the duly qualified and acting Mayor of the City of Chicago, Illinois (the "City") and, as such, I am the City's Chief Executive Officer. This Certification is being given by me in such capacity.
- 2. During the preceding fiscal year of the City, being January 1 through December 31, 2009, the City complied, in all material respects, with the requirements of the Act, as applicable from time to time, regarding the Redevelopment Project Area.
- 3. In giving this Certification, I have relied on the opinion of the Corporation Counsel of the City furnished in connection with the Report.
 - 4. This Certification may be relied upon only by the addressees hereof.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto affixed my official signature as of this 30th day of June, 2010.

Richard M. Daley, Mayor City of Chicago, Illinois

(4) OPINION OF LEGAL COUNSEL - 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5(d)(4)

Please see attached.



City of Chicago Richard M. Daley, Mayor

Department of Law

Mara S. Georges Corporation Counsel

City Hall, Room 600
121 North LaSalle Street
Chicago, Illinois 60602
(312) 744-0200
(312) 744-8538 (FAX)
(312) 744-2963 (TTY)
http://www.cityofchicago.org

June 30, 2010

Daniel W. Hynes Comptroller of the State of Illinois James R. Thompson Center 100 West Randolph Street, Suite 15-500 Chicago, Illinois 60601 Attention: June Canello, Director of Local Government

Dolores Javier, Treasurer City Colleges of Chicago 226 West Jackson Boulevard, Room 1125 Chicago, Illinois 60606

Maurice S. Jones Director Cook County Dept. Planning & Dev. 69 West Washington Street, Suite 2900 Chicago, Illinois 60602

Dan Donovan, Comptroller Forest Preserve District of Cook County 69 W. Washington Street, Suite 2060 Chicago, IL 60602

Martin Koldyke, Chairman Chicago School Finance Authority 135 South LaSalle Street, Suite 3800 Chicago, Illinois 60603 Timothy Mitchell, General Superintendent & CEO
Chicago Park District
541 North Fairbanks
Chicago, Illinois 60611

Ron Huberman Chief Executive Officer Chicago Board of Education 125 South Clark Street, 5th Floor Chicago, Illinois 60603

Jacqueline Torres, Director of Finance Metropolitan Water Reclamation District of Greater Chicago 100 East Erie Street, Room 2429 Chicago, Illinois 60611

Douglas Wright South Cook County Mosquito Abatement District 155th & Dixie Highway P.O. Box 1030 Harvey, Illinois 60426

Re: Archer/Western

Redevelopment Project Area (the "Redevelopment Project

Area")

Dear Addressees:

I am Corporation Counsel of the City of Chicago, Illinois (the "City"). In such capacity, I am providing the opinion required by Section 11-74.4-5(d)(4) of the Tax Increment Allocation Redevelopment Act, 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-1 et seq. (the "Act"), in connection with the submission of the report (the "Report") in accordance with, and containing the information required by, Section 11-74.4-5(d) of the Act for the Redevelopment Project Area.





Attorneys, past and present, in the Law Department of the City familiar with the requirements of the Act have had general involvement in the proceedings affecting the Redevelopment Project Area, including the preparation of ordinances adopted by the City Council of the City with respect to the following matters: approval of the redevelopment plan and project for the Redevelopment Project Area, designation of the Redevelopment Project Area as a redevelopment project area and adoption of tax increment allocation financing for the Redevelopment Project Area, all in accordance with the then applicable provisions of the Act. Various departments of the City, including, if applicable, the Law Department, Department of Planning and Development, Department of Housing, Department of Finance and Office of Budget and Management, have personnel responsible for and familiar with the activities in the Redevelopment Project Area affecting such Department(s) and with the requirements of the Act in connection therewith. Such personnel are encouraged to seek and obtain, and do seek and obtain, the legal guidance of the Law Department with respect to issues that may arise from time to time regarding the requirements of, and compliance with, the Act.

In my capacity as Corporation Counsel, I have relied on the general knowledge and actions of the appropriately designated and trained staff of the Law Department and other applicable City Departments involved with the activities affecting the Redevelopment Project Area. In addition, I have caused to be examined or reviewed by members of the Law Department of the City the certified audit report, to the extent required to be obtained by Section 11-74.4-5(d)(9) of the Act and submitted as part of the Report, which is required to review compliance with the Act in certain respects, to determine if such audit report contains information that might affect my opinion. I have also caused to be examined or reviewed such other documents and records as were deemed necessary to enable me to render this opinion. Nothing has come to my attention that would result in my need to qualify the opinion hereinafter expressed, subject to the limitations hereinafter set forth, unless and except to the extent set forth in an Exception Schedule attached hereto as Schedule 1.

Based on the foregoing, I am of the opinion that, in all material respects, the City is in compliance with the provisions and requirements of the Act in effect and then applicable at the time actions were taken from time to time with respect to the Redevelopment Project Area.

This opinion is given in an official capacity and not personally and no personal liability shall derive herefrom. Furthermore, the only opinion that is expressed is the opinion specifically set forth herein, and no opinion is implied or should be inferred as to any other matter. Further, this opinion may be relied upon only by the addressees hereof and the Mayor of the City in providing his required certification in connection with the Report, and not by any other party.

Very truly yours,

Travas. Georges

Mara S. Georges

Corporation Counsel

SCHEDULE 1

(Exception Schedule)

- (X) No Exceptions
- () Note the following Exceptions:

Note

Reference is made in the first paragraph of Page 2 of the Opinion of Counsel for 2009 Annual Report to the Department of Planning and Development and the Department of Housing. The functions of both of these departments have been consolidated into the Department of Community Development.

(5) ANALYSIS OF SPECIAL TAX ALLOCATION FUND - 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5(d)(5)

During 2009, there was no financial activity in the Special Tax Allocation Fund.

(6) **DESCRIPTION OF PROPERTY - 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5(d)(6)**

During 2009, the City did not purchase any property in the Project Area.

(7) STATEMENT OF ACTIVITIES - 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5(d)(7)

- (A) Projects implemented in the preceding fiscal year.
- **(B)** A description of the redevelopment activities undertaken.
- (C) Agreements entered into by the City with regard to disposition or redevelopment of any property within the Project Area.
- (D) Additional information on the use of all Funds received by the Project Area and steps taken by the City to achieve the objectives of the Redevelopment Plan.
- (E) Information on contracts that the City's consultants have entered into with parties that have received, or are receiving, payments financed by tax increment revenues produced by the Project Area.
- (F) Joint Review Board reports submitted to the City.
- (G) Project-by-project review of public and private investment undertaken from 11/1/99 to 12/31/09, and of such investments expected to be undertaken in year 2010; also, a project-by-project ratio of private investment to public investment from 11/1/99 to 12/31/09, and an estimated ratio of such investments as of the completion of each project and as estimated to the completion of the redevelopment project.

SEE TABLES AND/OR DISCUSSIONS ON FOLLOWING PAGES.

(7)(A) - 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5(d)(7)(A)

During 2009, no projects were implemented.

(7)(B) - 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5(d)(7)(B)

Redevelopment activities undertaken within this Project Area during the year 2009, if any, have been made pursuant to i) the Redevelopment Plan for the Project Area, and ii) any Redevelopment Agreements affecting the Project Area, and are set forth on Table 5 herein by TIF-eligible expenditure category.

(7)(C) - 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5(d)(7)(C)

During 2009, no agreements were entered into with regard to the disposition or redevelopment of any property within the Project Area.

(7)(D) - 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5(d)(7)(D)

The Project Area has not yet received any increment.

(7)(E) - 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5(d)(7)(E)

During 2009, no contracts were entered into by the City's tax increment advisors or consultants with entities or persons that have received, or are receiving, payments financed by tax increment revenues produced by the Project Area.

(7)(F) - 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5(d)(7)(F)

Joint Review Board Reports were submitted to the City. See attached.

(7)(G) - 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5(d)(7)(G)

Since November 1, 1999, no public investment was undertaken in the Project Area. As of December 31, 2009, no public investment is estimated to be undertaken for 2010.

CITY OF CHICAGO JOINT REVIEW BOARD



Report of proceedings of a hearing before the City of Chicago, Joint Review Board held on November 7, 2008, at 10:03 a.m. City Hall, Room 1003, Conference Room, Chicago, Illinois, and presided over by Ms. Tanya Anthony.

PRESENT:

- MS. TANYA ANTHONY, CHAIRWOMAN
- MS. GLORIA PERALTA
- MR. JOHN SCHNEIDER
- MS. PHOEBE WOOD
- MS. TARA WASIAK
- MS. ANNA GARCIA
- MR. JOHN MOLLOY

```
MS. ANTHONY: Let's go ahead and
```

- 2 start the TIF Joint Review Board meeting. I
- 3 will ask all the members to please introduce
- 4 themselves, I'll start with myself. My
- 5 name's Tanya Anthony from the Chicago Park
- 6 District.
- 7 MS. WOOD: I'm Phoebe Wood from City
- 8 Colleges of Chicago.
- 9 MS. GARCIA: I'm Anna Ann Garcia and
- 10 I'm a member of the public.
- MR. SCHNEIDER: John Schneider with
- 12 Cook County.
- 13 MS. WASIAK: Tara Wasiak, Chicago
- 14 Public Schools.
- MS. ANTHONY: Thank you. For the
- 16 record my name is Tanya Anthony. I am the
- 17 representative of the Chicago Park District,
- which under Section 11-74, 4 through 5 of the
- 19 Tax Increment Allocation Redevelopment Act
- is one of the statutorily designated members
- of the Joint Review Board. Until election of
- 22 a chairperson I will moderate the Joint
- 23 Review Board meeting.
- For the record, this would be a

```
1 meeting to review the proposed Archer
```

- Western Tax Increment Financing District.
- 3 The date of this meeting was announced and
- 4 set by the Community Development Commission
- of the City of Chicago at its meeting of
- 6 October 14th, 2008.
- Notice of this meeting of the
- 8 Joint Review Board was also provided by
- 9 certified mail to each taxing district
- 10 represented on the board, which includes the
- 11 Chicago Board of Education, the Chicago
- 12 Community Colleges, District 508, the
- 13 Chicago Park District, Cook County, and the
- 14 City of Chicago. Public notice of this
- meeting was also posted as of Wednesday,
- 16 November 5th, 2008 in various locations
- 17 throughout City Hall.
- When a proposed redevelopment
- 19 plan would result in displacement of
- 20 residents from ten or more inhabited
- 21 residential units or would include 75 or more
- inhabited residential units, the TIF Act
- requires that the public member of the Joint
- 24 Review Board must reside in the proposed

.

```
1 redevelopment project area.
```

- In addition, if a municipalities
- 3 housing impact study determines that the
- 4 majority of residential units in the
- 5 proposed redevelopment project area are
- 6 occupied very low, low, or moderate income
- 7 households as defined in Section 3 of the
- 8 Illinois Affordable Housing Act. The public
- 9 member must be a person who resides in very
- 10 low, low or moderate income housing with the
- 11 proposed redevelopment project area.
- 12 With us today is Anna Garcia.
- 13 Are you familiar with the boundaries of the
- 14 proposed Archer Western Tax Increment
- 15 Financing Redevelopment Project Area?
- MS. GARCIA: Yes.
- MS. ANTHONY: What is your primary
- 18 residence? What's the address of your
- 19 primary residence?
- MS. GARCIA: 1934 West 35th Street.
- MS. ANTHONY: Is such address within
- the boundaries of the proposed Archer
- 23 Western Tax Increment Financing
- 24 Redevelopment Project Area.

```
1 MS. GARCIA: Yes.
```

- MS. ANTHONY: Have you provided
- 3 representatives of the City of Chicago's
- 4 Department of Planning and Development with
- 5 accurate information concerning your income
- and the income of any other members of the
- 7 household residing at such address?
- MS. GARCIA: Yes.
- 9 MS. ANTHONY: MS. Garcia, are you
- 10 willing to serve as the public member for the
- Joint Review Board for the proposed Archer
- 12 Western Tax Increment Financing
- 13 Redevelopment Project Area?
- MS. GARCIA: Yes.
- MS. ANTHONY: I will entertain a
- 16 motion that Anna Garcia be selected as the
- 17 public member. Is there a motion?
- MS. WOOD: So moved.
- MS. ANTHONY: Is there a second?
- MR. SCHNEIDER: I'll second.
- MS. ANTHONY: All in favor, please
- vote by saying aye.
- (Chorus of ayes.)
- MS. ANTHONY: All opposed, please

· 6

```
1 vote by saying no. Let the record reflect
```

- 2 that Anna Garcia has been selected as the
- 3 public member for the proposed Archer
- 4 Western Tax Increment Financing
- 5 Redevelopment Project Area.
- 6 Our next order of business is to
- 7 select a chairperson for this Joint Review
- 8 Board. Are there any nominations?
- 9 MS. WOOD: I elect, or recommend
- 10 Tanya Anthony.
- MS. ANTHONY: Is there a second for
- 12 the nomination?
- MS. WASIAK: I second.
- MS. ANTHONY: Are there any other
- 15 nominations? Let the record reflect there
- 16 are no other nominations. All in favor of
- the nomination please vote by saying aye.
- (Chorus of ayes.)
- MS. ANTHONY: All opposed vote by
- 20 saying no. Let the record reflect that Tanya
- 21 Anthony, myself, has been elected as
- chairperson and will now serve as the
- chairperson for the remainder of the
- 24 meeting.

1 At this time we will ask Teska

- 2 Associates to give us a presentation.
- MR. ORTEGA: Good morning. For the
- 4 record my name is Mario Ortega, I'm a Senior
- 5 Associate Planner for Teska Associates,
- 6 located in Evanston, Illinois. And, I'm here
- 7 with you this morning to go over the details
- 8 regarding the proposed Archer Western TIF
- 9 Redevelopment Project Area.
- Just to get everyone familiar
- with the area we're talking about, the
- 12 eastern boundary is Halsted Avenue on this
- 13 side, it runs along 31st Street, primarily
- the properties on the north side of 31st.
- 15 The main corridor of the district would be
- 16 Archer Avenue running from Quentin, right
- here on the east, moving westward along
- 18 Archer to Western Avenue. We also include an
- 19 arm on 35th Street from Archer moving
- 20 eastward to Ashland Avenue. And, we also
- 21 have the other primary corridor of this
- 22 district would be along Western, starting in
- the north on, is this Bruny?
- MR. MOLLOY: Bross.

```
MR. ORTEGA: Bross, Bross on the
```

- 2 north, and proceeding southbound to 47th
- 3 Street, including property on both sides of
- 4 Western Avenue.
- 5 So, with regard to this project
- 6 area, we're looking at 1,036 parcels. On
- 7 those parcels there are 788 buildings, 587 of
- 8 them we call principal buildings where the
- 9 principal use occurs, and there are 191
- 10 accessory structures such as garages. So for
- the total area in this, in these gray
- 12 parcels, and it includes various community
- assets such as McKinley Park, the McKinley
- 14 branch of the library and various other small
- neighborhood area parks, total, total area
- 16 is 413 acres.
- 17 And with regard to the current
- 18 mix of uses, we're looking at residential
- uses, some single family structures but
- 20 mainly two family and what we call mixed use
- 21 structures which is the commercial on the
- first floor and residences on the second and
- third floors above those. Those are mainly
- located on 35th and 31st Street.

```
1 Here I have also a lot of
```

- 2 commercial use, primarily along Archer
- 3 Avenue, and then we also have some industrial
- 4 use which would be along Western Avenue on
- 5 the east side, south of Pershing Road.
- 6 So with regard to these existing
- 7 additions, we are proposing that this TIF is
- 8 eligible based on the conservation area
- 9 eligibility requirements as outlined in the
- 10 TIF statute. While this statute requires two
- 11 aspects, one that the age of the structures
- must be 35 years old or older for at least 50
- percent of the buildings, and then two, the
- 14 TIF statute outlines 13 different
- 15 characteristics of which at least three must
- be found to exist within the project area to
- be, to be prevalent and to be equally
- 18 distributed. So rather than just being
- 19 located in one location, these
- 20 characteristics need to be documented and
- found to be within the entire project area.
- So with regard to the first
- requirement in terms of age, of the 587
- 24 structures in the TIF project area, we have

```
1 509 or 87 percent of the buildings are 35
```

- 2 years old or older. So that obviously
- 3 meets that 50 percent threshold
- 4 requirement.
- 5 With regard to the additional
- 6 list of existing characteristics, we found
- 7 four that we consider major contributing
- 8 factors to the eligibility of this TIF, we
- 9 need three, we found four. First one being
- 10 deterioration. Deterioration refers to the
- 11 physical condition of not only the buildings
- but also of sites, so whether it's the
- building doors, windows, roofs, the front
- 14 facade, if those materials are found to be
- 15 crumbling or if there's any characteristics
- in which they are not just maintenance, it's
- 17 primary repairs necessary, then that
- 18 qualifies as deterioration.
- Of the 587 buildings we found 202
- or 34 percent of the structures experienced
- 21 some level of deterioration. And in addition
- 22 to that, in terms of the sites, which is if
- there's a vacant site or a parking lot or a
- building -- structure, if there's any type of

```
deterioration, we found that 20 percent of
```

- 2 the sites or 209 out of the 1,036 parcels did
- 3 have some level of deterioration which would
- 4 require some type of major investment in
- 5 terms of bringing them into good physical
- 6 standings.
- Second characteristic we found
- 8 was the vacancies of the structures. 32
- 9 percent of the structures were found to have
- some form of vacancy within them, either the
- 11 entire structure or a significant portion of
- the structure was vacant. Now, that's 32
- 13 percent of the buildings which also equates
- 14 to 22 percent of the parcels. And in this
- 15 c instance they were found throughout the
- project area along Western, Archer, 35th and
- 17 31st with various levels of vacancy.
- The third characteristic we
- found was, in our opinion, is the deleterious
- land use that refers to the current land use
- 21 structures and their, excuse me, current
- land uses and their relationship is such that
- it's a deleterious affect upon each other.
- 24 And this is mainly due to the fact that

```
there's incompatible land use relationships.
```

- 2 Basically what you have is in
- 3 certain areas where there's more of a
- 4 residential character, single family homes,
- 5 adjacent to those we found a lot of
- 6 commercial operations in which they are not
- 7 adequately buffered, or their certain
- 8 characteristics of the non-residential uses
- 9 are negatively affecting the residential
- 10 adjacent uses.
- 11 The most obvious instance of
- those would be on 31st Street there are a lot
- of auto repair garages and things like the
- 14 hours of operation, traffic, the noise,
- 15 lighting of these sites and even things like
- 16 truck deliveries, those things have a
- 17 negative impact on adjacent residences. And
- we believe based on our interpretation of the
- 19 statute and our experience that due to these
- 20 conditions, this characteristic, the
- 21 deleterious land use in fact exists in this
- 22 project area. So not only on 31st but there
- 23 are those other instances in which
- 24 residential matters -- against each other

```
and they're negatively affecting each
```

- 2 other.
- 3 And finally, the last
- 4 characteristic we found also was lack of
- 5 planning. That refers to as this area
- developed over time, due to the fact that
- 7 there was not a plan in place, the negative
- 8 affects resulted, the primary one being the
- 9 incompatible land use relationships. This
- is due, once again, to the, the fact that
- actually a lot of these buildings are over
- age, back in the time when the priority
- 13 wasn't for making sure that residential and
- 14 non-residential uses could co-exist with
- 15 each other.
- For example, 57 percent of the
- 17 buildings are at least 100 years old.
- 18 There's only 14 percent of the buildings that
- were built in the last 50 years. So, rather
- than build to modern standards for
- 21 compatibility, they don't have that
- 22 currently. And we believe that qualifies
- this as part of the TIF project area.
- 24 Having had completed my

```
1 presentation with regards to the eligibility
```

- 2 factors if you have any other questions with
- 3 regard to the -- please feel free to ask if
- 4 there's any questions. Thank you.
- 5 MR. SCHNEIDER: I've got a question.
- 6 MR. ORTEGA: Yes?
- 7 MR. SCHNEIDER: You indicated
- 8 excessive vacancy, how many buildings are
- 9 there?
- MR. ORTEGA: There are 587 buildings.
- MR. SCHNEIDER: How many of those are
- 12 vacant?
- MR. ORTEGA: 32 percent.
- MR. SCHNEIDER: How many parcels are
- 15 there?
- MR. ORTEGA: 228.
- MR. SCHNEIDER: 228?
- MR. ORTEGA: Out of the 1,036 either
- 19 have, or either a vacant parcel, or excuse
- 20 me, the vacancy actually refers to
- 21 structural vacancies, so there are 228
- 22 parcels in which there's a structure on it in
- which it either has a vacancy or a partial
- 24 vacancy. Because a characteristic refers to

```
1 the buildings, not the parcels.
```

- MR. SCHNEIDER: So, there's 587
- 3 buildings?
- 4 MR. ORTEGA: Yes.
- 5 MR. SCHNEIDER: But only 228
- 6 structures?
- 7 MR. ORTEGA: 228, 528 total buildings
- 8 throughout the project area. Of those 528,
- 9 32 percent of them have a vacancy. I don't
- 10 have that one number, I missed it,
- 11 unfortunately.
- MS. ANTHONY: I have a question.
- MR. ORTEGA: Yes?
- MS. ANTHONY: Of the buildings, 16 of
- them have been identified as restored,
- 16 restored buildings, is that correct?
- MR. ORTEGA: Yes, that's correct.
- 18 MS. ANTHONY: And what does the
- 19 orange rating mean?
- MR. ORTEGA: You know, I'm afraid I'm
- 21 not as familiar with that. That information
- 22 was provided to us by a certain segment of
- the Planning Department, maybe they might
- 24 understand --

```
1 MR. MOLLOY: John Molloy, City of
```

- 2 Chicago, Department of Planning, our
- 3 Landmarks Division ranks each of these
- 4 buildings by their historical significance,
- 5 and orange, orange rated is the highest you
- 6 can get before it's a landmark, before it's a
- 7 red --
- MS. ANTHONY: Okay.
- 9 MR. MOLLOY: It goes out from there,
- 10 I think green's the lowest. So orange is
- 11 significant, it's not a landmark, but it
- does have historical or significant features
- 13 to it that would potentially make it a
- 14 landmark --
- 15 MS. ANTHONY: Does that prevent it
- 16 from demolition?
- 17 MR. MOLLOY: No, but there is a 10
- day, or maybe a 90 day demolition hold on it
- so all the parties can look at the building
- 20 and see if it should be saved.
- MS. ANTHONY: Okay, thank you.
- MR. MOLLOY: You're welcome.
- MS. ANTHONY: All right, as mentioned
- 24 earlier, we have reviewed a plan for the

```
1 proposed Archer Western Tax Increment
```

- 2 Financing District proposed by the City of
- 3 Chicago staff of the City's Department of
- 4 Planning and Development, and Law and other
- 5 departments have reviewed this plan
- 6 amendment, which was introduced to the
- 7 city's Community and Development Commission
- 8 October 14th, 2008.
- 9 An amendment to the TIF requires
- 10 us to base our recommendation to approve or
- 11 disapprove the proposed Archer Western Tax
- 12 Increment Financing District on the basis of
- the area and the plan satisfy the plan
- 14 requirements, the eligibility criteria
- defined in the TIF Act and objectives of the
- 16 TIF Act.
- 17 If the Board approves the plan
- amendment, the Board will then issue an
- 19 advisory non-binding recommendation by the
- vote of the majority of those members present
- 21 and voting. Such recommendation shall be
- submitted to the city within 30 days after
- the Board Meeting. Failure to submit such
- 24 recommendation shall be deemed to constitute

```
1 approval by the Board.
```

- 2 If the Board disapproves the plan
- 3 amendment, the Board must issue a written
- 4 report describing why the plan and area
- 5 failed to meet one or more of the objectives
- of the TIF Act, and both the plan
- 7 requirements and the eligibility criteria of
- 8 the TIF Act. The city will then have 30 days
- 9 to resubmit a revised plan. The Board and
- 10 the city must also confer during this time to
- try to resolve the issue that led to the
- 12 Board's disapproval.
- 13 If such issues cannot be
- 14 resolved, or if the revised plan is
- disapproved, the city may proceed with the
- 16 plan, but the plan can be approved only with
- a three-fifths vote of the city counsel,
- 18 excluding positions of members that are
- 19 vacant, and those members that are
- ineligible to vote because of conflicts.
- Based on the presentation, do any
- 22 members of the Joint Review Board have any
- 23 additional questions? If there are no
- further questions I will entertain a motion

```
1 that this Joint Review Board finds that the
```

- 2 proposed Archer Western Tax Increment
- 3 Financing Redevelopment Project Area
- 4 satisfies the redevelopment plan
- 5 requirements under the TIF Act, the
- 6 eligibility criteria defined in Section 111-
- 7 74.4-3 of the TIF Act and the proposed
- 8 objectives of the TIF Act, and that based on
- 9 such findings, approve such proposed plan
- under the TIF Act. Is there a motion?
- MS. WOOD: So moved.
- MS. ANTHONY: Is there a second for
- 13 the motion?
- MS. WASIAK: I second.
- 15 / MS. ANTHONY: Is there any further
- 16 discussion? If not, all in favor please vote
- 17 by saying aye?
- (Chorus of ayes.)
- MS. ANTHONY: All opposed please vote
- 20 by saying no. Let the record reflect the
- Joint Review Board's approval of the
- 22 proposed Archer Western Tax Increment
- 23 Financing Redevelopment Project Area under
- 24 the TIF Act.

	1	And, thi	s meet	ting is	adjo	urned.
	2	(Whereup	on, tl	he meet	ing	
	3	adjourne	d at 3	10:18 p	.m.)	
	4					
	5	•.				
	6					
	7					
	8					
	9					
	10					
	1,1					
	12					
	13					
	14					
the year	15			1 •	ee o _g , komstaa	r seed
	16					
	17					
	18					
	19					
	20					
	21					
	22					
	23					
;	24					

STATE	ΟF	IL	LII	101	IS)	
)	SS
COUNTY	OF	C	0	0	K)	

I, CAROL ROBERTSON, depose and say that I am a direct record court reporter doing business in the State of Illinois; that I reported verbatim the foregoing proceedings and that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript to the best of my knowledge and ability.

Carol Robertson

SUBSCR	IBED	AND	SWORN	TO	/				
BEFORE	ME ;	rHIS		TH		DAY	OF		
	No	imbo	L		.,	A.D.	20_	08	·
				7		~~~		~~~~	

MOTARY DUBLIC

OFFICIAL SEAL
RONALD N. LEGRAND, JR.
Notary Public - State of Illinois
Computation Refer Co. 103, 201

- Commission Expire. Oct 03, 2010

(8) DOCUMENTS RELATING TO OBLIGATIONS ISSUED BY THE MUNICIPALITY - 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5(d)(8)(A)

During 2009, there were no obligations issued for the Project Area.

(9) ANALYSIS OF DEBT SERVICE - 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5(d)(8)(B)

During 2009, there were no obligations issued for the Project Area.

(10) CERTIFIED AUDIT REPORTS - 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5(d)(9)

During 2009, there were no tax increment expenditures or cumulative deposits over \$100,000 within the Project Area. Therefore, no compliance statement was prepared.

(11) GENERAL DESCRIPTION AND MAP

The Archer/Western Redevelopment Project Area is generally bounded by property on the north and south side of Archer Ave. from Quinn St. on the east to Western Ave. on the west; property on the north and south side of 31st St. from Halsted St. on the east to Pitney Ct. on the west; property on the north and south side of 35th St. from Ashland Ave. on the east to the CTA railroad on the west; and property on the east and west side of Western Ave. from Bross Ave. on the north to 47th St. on the south. For precise boundaries, please consult the legal description in the Redevelopment Plan.

