

Brandon Johnson Mayor **Department of Police · City of Chicago** 3510 S. Michigan Avenue · Chicago, Illinois 60653

Larry SnellingSuperintendent of Police

July 1, 2024

Anthony Driver, Jr.
Commission President
Community Commission for Public Safety and Accountability
2 N. LaSalle Street, 16th Floor
Chicago, Illinois 60602

RE: Response to Comments from the Community Commission for Public Safety and Accountability in Response to CPD Draft General Order G01-11

Dear President Driver,

Pursuant to 2-80-110 of the Municipal Code of Chicago, the Chicago Police Department (Department) submits in the attached document its response to the Community Commission for Public Safety and Accountability's (the Commission) comments and suggestions on the draft General Order G01-11, "Community Commission for Public Safety and Accountability."

CPD appreciates the continued collaboration with the CCPSA in the development of this General Order. The Department looks forward to further collaboration on this policy.

Sincerely,

Larry Snelling Superintendent

Chicago Police Department

CC:

Remel Terry, Commission Vice President
Adam Gross, Executive Director, CCPSA
Brian Kenner, Deputy Director of the Commission, CCPSA
Damon Smith, Deputy Director of the District Councils, CCPSA
Cody Stephens, Director of Research, Planning, and Policy, CCPSA
Dana O'Malley, Chief of Staff, CPD
Scott Spears, General Counsel, CPD
Sarah Boyle, Deputy Director of Strategic Projects, CPD
Michael Kapustianyk, Lieutenant of Research and Development Division, CPD

DRAFT DOCUMENT COMMENT REVIEW - CHICAGO POLICE DEPARTMENT

Unit/Member Submitting Response:

Research and Development Division Lieutenant Michael Kapustianyk, #282 michael.kapustianyk@chicagopolice.org

Title/Date of Document Reviewed:

General Order G01- 11, "Community Commission for Public Safety and Accountability" DRAFT dated 18 April 2024

Date Comments Provided:

Date of Response:

17 June 2024

27 June 2024

Comment		CPD Reply
1.	Divide the Provisions Outlining Department Responsibilities into a Commission Section and District Council Section	CPD does not have any objection to establishing two clear sections in the order: one that is Commission-specific and one that is District Council-specific. CPD looks forward to the collaborative efforts to ensure the new policy clearly outlines the responsibilities and expectations regarding CPD's interactions with the Commission and the District Councils.
2.	Hyperlink to the CCPSA Ordinance and Include Verbatim Language from Key Sections of the Ordinance	CPD does not have any objection to include hyperlinks to the CCPSA Ordinance in addition to other pertinent CPD directives. However, CPD would caution to be selective when including verbatim language of the ordinance into the directive for the benefit of the end-users. CPD looks forward to the collaborative efforts to find the appropriate balance between information provided via hyperlink and information related verbatim from the ordinance.
3.	Provide more Description regarding the "Authorized Designee" in the Superintendent's Office and for the Point of Contact at Each Police District	CPD does not have any objection to include more specific responsibilities for the CPD authorized designee to coordinate the efforts and communications between CPD and the CCPSA. CPD also see value in identifying a consistent point of contact and process for District Council efforts and communications. CPD looks forward to the collaborative efforts to ensure the new policy clearly outlines the responsibilities and expectations of these designees including, the minimum rank of the contact and the contact's role in CPD/District leadership
4.	Raise Awareness about the Open Meetings Act	CPD agrees with the Commission that G01-11 may not be the appropriate means for informing Department members of the specifics of the Open Meetings Act. However, CPD believes there is value in this directive identifying for Departmen and District Council members the limitations the CCPSA's Open Meetings Act obligations places on communication, partnership, and collaboration efforts.
5.	Revise the Requirement of Department Attendance and Participation at District Council Public	CPD appreciates the concerns expressed by the District Council members; however, CPD also believes in the importance of District leadership playing a crucial role in the collaborative efforts between the District Council and CPD District. Additionally, CPD maintains that the directive should outline foundational efforts for consistency throughout CPD's engagement with the CCPSA. However, some provisions offering some flexibility and independence

	Meetings	could facilitate the District Council efforts in a particular district.
		CPD would like to discuss this recommendation further during the collaborative efforts.
6.	Add more Language around District Commander Meetings with Members of District Councils	Similar to the comment above, CPD appreciates the importance of these meetings with District leadership and maintains that the directive should outline foundational efforts. CPD looks forward to the collaborative efforts to ensure the new policy provides reasonable expectations with enough flexibility to accommodate schedules of all; knowing that District leadership availability might vary and be unpredictable, at best.
7.	Add more Structure to Record Requests	CPD appreciates the concerns expressed to include more structure to records requests. CPD agrees that the basic responsibility of CPD to answer these requests should outlined in the directive. However, CPD needs to ensure the requests are consistently vetted and reviewed in accordance with existing policies on the dissemination of information. The newly developed Resource Guide might be a better starting point for the discussion to address these concerns. CPD already maintains a number of
		different mechanisms that provide data and information that is publicly available. CPD looks forward to the collaborative efforts to ensure the new policy provides reasonable expectations in responding to records requests - including the expectation that publicly available information sources should be the starting point to obtain information.
8.	Add more Description and Structure to District Reports and Add Provisions on District Strategic Plans	CPD continues to have internal conversations on how to best incorporate the CCPSA and District Councils during the development of the District Strategic Plan. CPD looks forward to the collaborative efforts to ensure the new policy provides reasonable expectations for both CPD and the CCPDA/District Council members as an important voice of the community during the Strategic Planning process.
9.	Provide Opportunities for District Council Engagement with Rank-and-File, Roll Calls, Community Policing Area Coordinators, and Youth Coordinators	CPD continues to have internal conversations on how to best facilitates communication between District Councils, District Leadership, and rank-and-file members of the Department. CPD appreciates the importance in establishing these opportunities for discussions. CPD looks forward to the collaborative efforts to ensure the new policy provides
		reasonable expectations for both CPD and the CCPDA/District Council members as an important voice of the community during the Strategic Planning process.
10.	Training	Similar to the discussion that led to the new training section included in the most recent revision to G08-03, CPD does not object including training requirements into this directive. CPD maintains any training on this topic should be based on the provisions of the final directive, as well as the requirements of the ordinance, with the intent to foster partnership and a collaborative environment between Department members and CCPSA/District Council members.
		CPD looks forward to the collaborative efforts to find the appropriate balance of between prescriptive training requirements in the directive and guidance to training developers that allows for training that is adequate in quantity, quality, and scope of the subject matter.