

Monthly Police Board Meeting City of Chicago 16 APRIL 2015



PUBLIC MEETING

CHICAGO PUBLIC SAFETY HEADQUARTERS 3510 SOUTH MICHIGAN AVENUE CHICAGO, ILLINOIS

APRIL 16, 2015 7:30 P.M.

AGENDA

- 1. Approval of the minutes of the previous public meeting
- 2. Next regular public meeting of the Police Board: Thursday, May 21, 2015
- 3. Disciplinary actions
- 4. Revision of the Police Board's Rules of Procedure
- 5. Orders issued by the Superintendent of Police during the previous month
- 6. Report of the Superintendent of Police
- 7. Questions and comments from the public (see the Policy Regarding the Attendance of and Participation by the Public at Board Meetings)

Note: Video or audio recording of the proceedings is permitted as long as the act of recording does not interfere with or disrupt the meeting.

For information about the Police Board visit www.ChicagoPoliceBoard.org

POLICE BOARD CITY OF CHICAGO

PUBLIC MEETING

CHICAGO PUBLIC SAFETY HEADQUARTERS 3510 SOUTH MICHIGAN AVENUE CHICAGO, ILLINOIS

THURSDAY, MARCH 19, 2015, 7:30 P.M.

MINUTES [Draft]

Board Members Present: President Demetrius E. Carney, Vice President Ghian Foreman (via audio conference), Melissa M. Ballate, William F. Conlon, Michael Eaddy (via audio conference), Rita A. Fry, Elisa Rodriguez, and Rhoda D. Sweeney.

Board Members Absent: Susan L. McKeever.

Others Present: First Deputy Superintendent of Police Alfonza Wysinger, First Assistant General Counsel to the Superintendent William E. Bazarek, Independent Police Review Authority First Deputy Chief Administrator Steven Mitchell, Police Board Executive Director Max A. Caproni, and members of the public.

President Carney called the meeting to order. He reported that Vice President Foreman and Rev. Eaddy are not able to attend the meeting in person and wish to attend via audio conference. Ms. Ballate moved to permit attendance via audio conference. The motion passed by a vote of 6 in favor (Carney, Ballate, Conlon, Fry, Rodriguez, and Sweeney) to 0 opposed.

- 1. Ms. Ballate moved to approve the minutes of the Board's public meeting of February 19, 2015. The motion passed by a vote of 8 in favor (Carney, Foreman, Ballate, Conlon, Eaddy, Fry, Rodriguez, and Sweeney) to 0 opposed.
- 2. President Carney announced that the Board's next public meeting will be at 7:30 p.m. on Thursday, April 16, 2015, at Chicago Public Safety Headquarters. Ms. Ballate moved to close a series of executive sessions of the Board for the purposes of considering litigation and personnel matters, as authorized by sections 2(c)(1), (3), (4), and (11) of the Illinois Open Meetings Act. The motion passed by a vote of 8 in favor (Carney, Foreman, Ballate, Conlon, Eaddy, Fry, Rodriguez, and Sweeney) to 0 opposed.
- 3. President Carney stated that a report of disciplinary actions taken by the Board during the previous month has been made available at the meeting and on the Board's website, and he noted

that the Board's written findings and decisions are posted on the website. He then announced that the Board considered disciplinary cases in a closed meeting, and that the Board will now take final action on these disciplinary matters.

- Case Nos. 14 PB 2870 & 2871. Ms. Fry moved to dismiss certain charges due to the five-year statute of limitations, to find Police Officers Theron Jones and Maria Fregoso-Hein not guilty of the remaining charges relating to an unlawful detention and arrest and making false reports, and to restore each respondent to the position of police officer with the Chicago Police Department. The motion passed by a vote of 8 in favor (Carney, Foreman, Ballate, Conlon, Eaddy, Fry, Rodriguez, and Sweeney) to 0 opposed. Ms. Ballate moved to adopt the written findings and decision that was reviewed by all Board members who participated in the case. The motion passed by a vote of 8 in favor (Carney, Foreman, Ballate, Conlon, Eaddy, Fry, Rodriguez, and Sweeney) to 0 opposed.
- Case No. 14 PB 2877. Ms. Ballate moved to find Police Officer Robert Drell not guilty of violating Department rules by working secondary employment while on the medical roll, and to restore him to his position with the Chicago Police Department. The motion passed by a vote of 5 in favor (Foreman, Ballate, Eaddy, Rodriguez, and Sweeney) to 3 opposed (Carney, Conlon, and Fry). Ms. Ballate moved to adopt the written findings and decision and dissent that was reviewed by all Board members who participated in the case. The motion passed by a vote of 8 in favor (Carney, Foreman, Ballate, Conlon, Eaddy, Fry, Rodriguez, and Sweeney) to 0 opposed.

President Carney stated that the decisions in the above cases will be entered as of today's date and will be issued to the parties, and that a copy of the written decisions will be posted on the Board's website within ten business days pursuant to the Municipal Code of Chicago.

- 4. President Carney reported that earlier in the evening the Board, as required by the Illinois Open Meetings Act, met and considered minutes and recordings of all past closed meetings to determine whether the need for confidentiality still exists, and that the Board members unanimously agreed that it continues to be necessary to keep confidential the minutes and recordings of its past closed meetings.
- 5. President Carney announced that copies of orders issued by the Superintendent of Police during the previous month are listed in the blue books made available at the meeting and are available on the Police Department's website.
- 6. President Carney noted that the next item of business is the Superintendent's report. Ms. Fry moved that the oral presentation be waived, and that the report be received in writing. The motion passed by a vote of 8 in favor (Carney, Foreman, Ballate, Conlon, Eaddy, Fry, Rodriguez, and Sweeney) to 0 opposed.

Chicago Police Board Public Meeting, 3/19/2015 Minutes [Draft], Page 3 of 3

- 7. President Carney called upon those members of the public who had signed up in advance to speak (see the transcript of the meeting, posted on the Board's website, for a complete report of the discussion).
 - Robert More spoke on a variety of matters.

President Carney stated that all persons that had signed up in advance to speak had been called. Ms. Fry moved to adjourn the meeting. The motion passed by a vote of 8 in favor (Carney, Foreman, Ballate, Conlon, Eaddy, Fry, Rodriguez, and Sweeney) to 0 opposed.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Max A. Caproni Executive Director

Chicago Police Board Monthly Report of Decisions March 2015

	BIA This Month	BIA Year-to-Date	IPRA This Month	IPRA Year-to-Date	Total This Month	Total <u>Year-to-Date</u>
Guilty, Discharged	0	-	0	0	0	~
Guilty, Suspended	0	0	0	0	0	0
Not Guilty	~	4	2	က	က	7
Charges WithdrawnRespondent Resigned	0	0	0	0	0	0
Charges Dismissed	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total	₩	ហ	2	ო	ო	œ
SUSPENSION CASES: GREATER THAN 30 DAYS Guilty, Recommended Penalty	0	0	0	0	0	0
Guilty, Penalty Increased	0	0	0	0	0	0
Guilty, Penalty Reduced	0	0	0		0	~
Not Guilty	0	0	0	0	0	0
Charges Withdrawn	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total	0	0	0	-	0	·
SUSPENSION CASES: 6 THROUGH 30 DAYS Cases Decided	0	0	0	0	0	0

Note: Under the current union contracts, an officer no longer has the option of requesting Police Board review of a suspension of 30 days or fewer (except in cases in which the union decides to not advance the officer's grievance to arbitration).

POLICE BOARD CITY OF CHICAGO

Policy Regarding the Attendance of and Participation by the Public at Board Meetings

(Adopted 15 December 2005)

The Police Board values the attendance of the public at its meetings and the opportunity to receive comments and questions on matters concerning the Board or the Police Department. The Board will treat members of the public with courtesy and respect, and expects that the public will treat Board members and Department members in a similar manner.

Toward that end, the Board has adopted the following rules governing conduct at Board meetings:

- 1. An individual wishing to address the Board must sign-up in advance by contacting the Board's office by phone, fax, or in person no later than 4:30 p.m. of the day before the meeting.
- 2. When called upon to address the Board, each speaker is to identify him/herself and speak clearly so that all in attendance may hear and so that the court reporter may make an accurate record of the proceedings.
- 3. Due to time constraints, each speaker is limited to two minutes and must conclude when asked to do so by the Board member acting as parliamentarian.
- 4. Personal attacks, obscene language, fighting words, threats, conduct intended to disrupt or interfere with the meeting, and comments not related to matters within the Board's or the Department's jurisdiction, by a speaker or any person in attendance, are strictly prohibited.

Violation of any of the above rules may result in the removal of the violator from the meeting room, or in the immediate adjournment of the meeting; in addition, repeated violations may result in the violator not being permitted to attend or participate in future Board meetings.

POLICE BOARD CITY OF CHICAGO

RULES OF PROCEDURE

Published: 1 November 1975 Amended: 15 June 2006, 19 June 2008, 19 March 2009, & 19 November 2009

I. PRE-HEARING PROCEDURE

- A. Proceedings against an <u>officer or employee (hereinafter referred to as "respondent")</u> shall be commenced by the filing of written charges with the Police Board by the Superintendent of Police.
- B. The charges shall set forth the Rule or Rules of Conduct which the employee respondent is alleged to have violated and shall include specifications for each such alleged violation.
- C. The charges and specifications shall be set forth in simple, non-technical language, and shall include the date, place and time the initial status hearing will be held.
- D. Prior to the time of the initial status hearing each case shall be assigned for hearing to a member of the Board or to a Hearing Officer designated by the Board for that purpose. [Formerly section H—see below.]
- E. At the time the case is assigned for hearing to a member of the Board or to a

 Hearing Officer, the date, time and place of the initial status hearing shall also be
 set. [Formerly section I—see below.]
- D.F. A copy of the charges filed, and a notice stating the date, place, and time the initial status hearing will be held, shall be personally served on the respondent not less fewer than five (5) days before the date of the initial status hearing. Return of service will be made by a receipt from the respondent on the retained copy of the charges, or by an affidavit of the officer serving same. It is the duty of the officer serving the charges to secure service and make a return thereof without delay.

If personal service of the charges has not been made on the respondent after good faith and diligent attempts at such service, the Superintendent may file a motion for alternative service of the charges (such as abode service or service by certified U.S. mail). It shall be within the discretion of the Hearing Officer assigned to the case to grant or deny such motion.

E.G. The initial status hearing for each case shall occur no less fewer than five

- (5) days nor more than thirty (30) days after the respondent is personally served with the charges.
- F.H. At the initial status hearing of each case, the respondent shall appear in person, and be accompanied by or through an attorney of the respondent's own choosing if he/she so desires. Every respondent shall be entitled to one continuance, which continuance shall be granted at the time of the initial status hearing of each case.
- G.J. If the respondent chooses to be represented by an attorney of her/histhe respondent's own choosing, the attorney shall file a written appearance with the Police Board on an appearance forms to be provided by the Board. Once an appearance is on file with the Board all future notices sent by the Board to the attorney of record shall be deemed to be notice to the respondent. No attorney may appear before the Board on behalf of any respondent until a written appearance is on file.
- H. Prior to the time of the initial hearing each case shall be assigned for hearing to a member of the Board or to a Hearing Officer designated by the Board for that purpose. [Now section D—see above.]
- I. At the time the case is assigned for hearing to a member of the Board or to a Hearing Officer, the date, time and place of the initial hearing shall also be set. [Now section E—see above.]
- J. After an initial continuance is granted, no further continuance shall be granted, except upon written request filed with the Secretary of the Board setting forth the reason for such request at least five (5) days prior to the date set for hearing. However, the filing of a written request for continuance shall not excuse the respondent and her/histhe respondent's attorney, if one has been retained, from appearing in person at the designated time and place for the hearing of the charges and the request for such continuance shall be within the discretion of the member of the Board or the Hearing Officer so designated by the Board to grant or deny.

II. DISCOVERY AND OTHER PRE-HEARING MATTERS

- A. Prior to the hearing on charges filed with the Board, the respondent upon written request made prior to the hearing and filed with the Secretary of the Board and the Office of the Corporation Counsel, shall be entitled to:
 - 1. Any and all written statements made by the respondent concerning the charges filed, which are within the custody and control of the Department of Police and/or the Independent Police Review Authority;
 - 2. Any and all oral statements made by the respondent concerning the

- charges filed which have been reduced to writing or summaries of which have been reduced to writing which are within the custody and control of the Department of Police and/or the Independent Police Review Authority;
- 3. Any and all oral statements of the respondent concerning the charges filed which have been in any way mechanically recorded and which are within the custody and control of the Department of Police and/or the Independent Police Review Authority;
- 4. Any and all written statements or written summaries of oral statements of any witness to be produced by the Superintendent in the Department's case-in-chief at the hearing of said charges;
- 5. Results or reports of physical or mental examinations, and of scientific tests or experiments made in connection with the particular case which are within the custody and control of the Department of Police and/or the Independent Police Review Authority; and
- Any evidence within the custody or control of the Department of Police and/or the Independent Police Review Authority which is favorable to the respondent in terms of guilt or innocence to the charges filed against the respondent.
- B. Any and all other motions which the respondent parties desires to make shall be filed in writing with the Secretary of the Board prior to the hearing on said charges. The member of the Board or Hearing Officer designated by the Board to conduct the hearing shall rule on all motions filed prior to the hearing, which ruling shall be subject to review by the Board at the time the case is taken under advisement by the Board. However, it is within the discretion of the member of the Board or Hearing Officer designated by the Board to conduct the hearing to defer ruling on any motion filed prior to the hearing, and to refer said motion to the Board for determination.
- C. Any motion filed less fewer than five (5) days prior to the date set for hearing on the charges or the receipt of any documents or information in response to any motion filed less fewer than five (5) days prior to the date set for hearing shall not constitute grounds for a requested continuance of the hearing on said charges, unless specific justification for such late filing is presented. The member of the Board or Hearing Officer designated by the Board to conduct the hearing shall then consider such justification in ruling on such requested continuance. It shall otherwise be the duty of the respondent or the respondent's attorney parties to file any and all motions under subsections (A) and (B) hereof at least five (5) days in advance of the date set for hearing.
- D. Within the time prescribed by order of the Hearing Officer prior to the hearing or, in the absence of such an order, not less fewer than five (5) days prior to the date

set for hearing, any party intending to call a witness giving expert testimony at the hearing shall provide to the other party the following information in writing as to each such witness:

- 1. the subject matter on which the witness will testify;
- 2. the conclusions and opinions of the witness; and
- 3. the qualifications of the witness.

The Hearing Officer may require such additional disclosures as to expert witnesses as he or she deems appropriate.

For purposes of this rule, a witness giving expert testimony shall be the same as a "Controlled Expert Witness" as that term is used in Illinois Supreme Court Rule 213(f)(3).

E. A pre-hearing conference may be held at any time by the Hearing Officer assigned to the case whenever he/she deems that such a conference may aid in the disposition of the case or preparation for the evidentiary hearing. The parties will be notified of the date and time of a pre-hearing conference at a regularly scheduled status eall-hearing or by written notice. All pre-hearing conferences shall be held at the office of the Police Board. Counsel (or any other representative of the parties) who will actually try the case and parties who are unrepresented must be present, but parties represented by counsel need not appear (unless ordered to do so by the Hearing Officer). At the pre-hearing conference, counsel must be prepared to discuss the issues that will be tried, the witnesses who will be called and the testimony of each witness at the hearing, and the exhibits that will be offered into evidence.

At the pre-hearing conference, the Hearing Officer shall have the discretion to require counsel to consider and discuss the following:

- 1. Formulation and simplification of the issues for the hearing;
- 2. Stipulations as to issues, evidence, or exhibits that will avoid unnecessary proof;
- 3. Evidentiary issues that may arise at the hearing;
- 4. The identity of the witnesses to be called, and the subject matter and the facts relating to the subject matter on which they will testify (counsel shall bring to the pre-hearing conference a written list of the witnesses to be called in the case in chief);

- 5. Limitations on the number or type of witnesses to be called in order to avoid unnecessary proof or cumulative evidence;
- 6. The identity of any expert witnesses to be called and the subject matter on which they will testify, as well as any other information disclosed pursuant to Section II-D above;
- 7. The availability of the witnesses to be called (counsel shall bring to the prehearing conference information as to the dates on which each witness is available to testify);
- 8. The exhibits each party intends to offer or use at the hearing and possible objections to such exhibits (counsel shall exchange copies of such exhibits prior to or at the pre-hearing conference, and shall bring to the pre-hearing conference a written list of the exhibits to be offered into evidence in the case in chief);
- 9. Any demonstrative aids to be used at the hearing;
- 10. The respondent's complimentary and disciplinary record to be considered by the Police Board, and any issues pertaining to such record; and
- 11. Such other matters that may facilitate the just, speedy, and efficient disposition of the case.

The results of the pre-hearing conference shall be set forth in the record of the proceedings made. There shall be no requirement of a written pre-hearing order.

All counsel are required to fully participate in the pre-hearing conference and to provide all information requested by the Hearing Officer in connection with subparagraphs (1)-(11) set forth above. If any counsel fails to fully participate in such a pre-hearing conference or provide the information requested by the Hearing Officer, the Hearing Officer or the Police Board may issue sanctions against such party and/or its counsel, including but not limited to dismissal of the case, entry of an adverse judgment in the case, limitation of the scope of a witness's testimony at the hearing, or exclusion of the testimony of a witness or evidence.

III. HEARING PROCEDURE

A. Any party shall obtain the presence of witnesses and the production of books and records for any hearing by the service of a subpoena for same issued by the Board. Subpoenas may be obtained by request made to the Secretary of the Board. No continuance shall be granted to any party for the failure to a witness to appear at any hearing unless such witness shall have been previously served with a subpoena, or unless such party demonstrates a good faith attempt to have served

a subpoena on any such witness.

- B. The Board shall designate any member or Hearing Officer to administer oaths for the purpose of receiving sworn testimony at any hearing or proceeding conducted by the Board. In any event any officer authorized by law to administer oaths shall be authorized to administer oaths for the purpose of receiving sworn testimony at any hearing of charges before the Board.
- C. The Superintendent shall be represented at all proceedings before the Board by the Corporation Counsel of the City of Chicago.
- D. The Superintendent shall present evidence in support of the charges filed, and the respondent may then offer evidence in defense or mitigation. If the respondent offers evidence in defense or mitigation, the Superintendent may then follow with evidence in rebuttal.
- E. The testimony of all witnesses, whether offered by the Superintendent or respondent, shall be subject to cross-examination. The member of the Board or Hearing Officer designated to conduct the hearing shall not be bound by the formal or technical rules of evidence; however, hearsay evidence shall not be admissible during the hearing.
- F. The member of the Board or Hearing Officer designated to conduct the hearing shall allow time at the close of the evidence for closing arguments. Counsel for the Superintendent will give the opening argument, which will be followed by the respondent. Following the respondent's closing argument, the Counsel for the Superintendent shall be given time for rebuttal argument. If Counsel for the Superintendent waives argument but the respondent does not, Counsel for the Superintendent will be given opportunity for a rebuttal argument. If, however, the respondent waives closing argument, the Counsel for the Superintendent shall not be given opportunity to make a rebuttal argument.
- G. If the respondent does not appear or absents her/himself from any proceedings conducted by the Board, the member of the Board or Hearing Officer designated to conduct the hearing may proceed with the hearing in the absence of the respondent.
- H. At the close of all the evidence and arguments, the case will be taken under advisement by the Police Board, which in due course will render its findings and decision as provided by law. The Board may, in its discretion, after finding a respondent guilty of one or more rule violations, set the matter for additional proceedings for the purpose of determining administrative action. The Superintendent and the respondent, or through counsel, may submit any information concerning the respondent's past work performance or other relevant information in mitigation or aggravation which would assist the Police Board in determining administrative action required. Witnesses may appear on behalf of

the Superintendent or the respondent to give sworn testimony.

Pursuant thereto, the Police Board shall issue its written findings including penalty, if any. (Effective 16 December 1976)

- I. The findings and decision of the Police Board shall be preserved by the Secretary of the Board, who shall notify the Superintendent and the respondent of the Board's action.
- J. The Secretary of the Board shall forward the findings and decision of the Board to the Superintendent for enforcement of the Board's action. If the findings and decision is such that the respondent is guilty of the charges filed and removal, discharge or suspension is ordered, such order shall become effective forthwith.

IV. SUSPENSION REVIEW PROCEDURES

A. NOTICE

In all cases in which the Superintendent of Police has ordered a member to be suspended for a period of time, no less-fewer than six (6) days nor more than thirty (30) days, the member of the Department to be suspended will be served with a notice stating the length of suspension and the reason therefor. The notice will also state that set forth the various options available to the Department member has a right to have the suspension reviewed by the Police Board.

B. PROCEDURES

- 1. Within three business days the member to be suspended must file a request to have the proposed suspension reviewed by the Police Board, the member must file a request within three business days of being properly notified of the suspension and the right to request Police Board review. Failure to file within the period allotted constitutes a waiver of the member's right to review. Requests for review must be filed in person at the Office of the Police Board during business hours, or by certified mail (the date of the postmark of the certified mailing will be considered the date of filing).
- 2. Upon the filing of the request for review the member will receive a time-stamped copy of the request indicating that the request was filed within the period allotted. A time-stamped copy will also be forwarded to the Superintendent of Police; a copy will also be sent to the Chief Administrator of the Independent Police Review Authority ("IPRA") if IPRA conducted the complaint register investigation.
- 3. At the time of the filing or within five business days thereafter the member

may also submit a written memorandum delineating specific reasons for which the review was requested and documentary evidence, if any. If the member submits a written memorandum and/or documentary evidence, the Superintendent and the IPRA Chief Administrator shall each have an opportunity to provide a written response and/or documentary evidence. Upon receiving the copy of the request for review, the Superintendent and/or the IPRA Chief Administrator may also file a written memorandum concerning the matter.

- 4. Upon receipt of the investigation file and the material noted in item 3 above, the Secretary of the Police Board will assign the review of the file and above material to a Hearing Officer of the Police Board or a member of the Police Board within one calendar week.
- 5. The Hearing Officer or member of the Police Board must complete the review within one calendar week of being assigned the review, and upon completion of the review will submit a written report to each member of the Police Board indicating the specific allegations against the member, the evidence contained in the file and above material supporting the allegations as well as information in the file and above material indicating evidence not supporting the allegations.
- 6. The Police Board in executive session will consider the report of the Hearing Officer or member of the Police Board who has reviewed the file and will vote either to sustain, reduce the length of, or reverse the suspension ordered by the Superintendent. A majority vote by the Police Board will be required to render a-its findings and decision. However, the Police Board may in its discretion order a hearing before a member of the Board or a Hearing Officer prior to making a determination to sustain, reduce the length of, or reverse the suspension ordered by the Superintendent.
- 7. Any member of the Police Board may personally examine the investigative file and the material noted in item 3 above on her/his own initiative before a-the findings and decision are is-rendered by the Police Board.
- 8. Upon completion of deliberation, the Police Board will cause the Secretary of the Police Board to prepare the written findings and decision and forward copies to the Superintendent of Police and to the member who has requested the review.
- 9. Upon receipt of the findings and decision of the Police Board affirming the Superintendent's order, the Superintendent of Police may then immediately implement the suspension.

C. EMERGENCY PROCEDURES

- 1. The member shall be served with a notice of suspension including an express finding by the Superintendent of Police that the public safety, or the good of the Department or both require the immediate suspension of the member.
- 2. No later than seven (7) days after service of the notice of emergency suspension a member of the Police Board or its Hearing Officer shall review the order of the Superintendent together with the reasons therefor and shall at that time preliminarily affirm or reverse such order. If the order is reversed, the member shall be reinstated and paid for any period under suspension as a result of the order. If the order is preliminarily affirmed, the Police Board shall within thirty (30) days of such affirmance review such order and may in its discretion, afford the member an opportunity to receive a hearing pursuant to the Rules of Procedure of the Police Board.
- 3. If the Police Board, upon hearing, determines that the emergency suspension was unwarranted, the Police Board shall order the member reinstated and paid for any period under suspension as a result of the order.

D. SUSPENSION ACCOMPANIED BY FILING OF CHARGES

The procedures contained in Article IV do not apply to any suspension implemented by the Superintendent of Police which is accompanied by the filing of charges with the Police Board seeking a member's separation or suspension in excess of thirty (30) days except that no later than seven (7) days after service of the notice of suspension a member of the Police Board or its Hearing Officer shall review the order of the Superintendent together with the reasons therefor and shall at that time determine whether suspension pending the disposition of charges is warranted. Review of the suspension implemented by the Superintendent of Police in such instances will be considered in connection with the hearing before the Police Board. (Effective 1 November 1975)

V. APPLICATION OF RULES OF PROCEDURE

A. At the time the respondent is personally served with charges filed against her/him by the Superintendent as provided herein, the respondent shall also be given a copy of these Rules of Procedure.

B. All time limitation contained in these Rules regarding continuances and motions shall be subject to exception in cases of extreme hardship, unusual circumstances or other justification. Any deviation therefrom shall be within the discretion of the Board Member or Hearing Officer designated to conduct the hearing, and whose ruling shall be subject to review by the Board at the time the case is taken under advisement.

VI. THREE-MEMBER PANELS UNDER THE INDEPENDENT POLICE REVIEW AUTHORITY ORDINANCE

Recent amendments were made to §§Chapter 2-57-010 et seq. of the Municipal Code of Chicago relating to the Independent Police Review Authority ("IPRA"), formerly known as the Office of Professional Standards. The amendments imposes on the Police Board certain duties when a conflict arises between the IPRA Chief Administrator ("Chief Administrator") and the Superintendent of Police ("Superintendent") over the recommended discipline for Police Department ("Department") members under investigation for violating Department rules. In particular, §2-57-060 of the IPRA Ordinance assigns the Police Board a role in resolving any such conflict. The following Rules of Procedure set forth the method by which the Police Board will perform such duties under §2-57-060 of the IPRA Ordinance.

- A. To perform its duties under the IPRA Ordinance, the Police Board shall designate from its membership a three-member panel to review disciplinary recommendations ("Review Panel"). Members of the Review Panel shall be selected on a random basis and designated at a regularly scheduled meeting of the Police Board. Each Review Panel so selected shall consider requests for review filed until a new Review Panel is selected at the next regularly scheduled meeting. Substitution of the Review Panel's members may be made from time to time, as authorized by the Police Board, in the event that a member becomes unavailable to perform the functions required of a Review Panel member.
- B. A request for review of disciplinary recommendations ("Request for Review") by the Chief Administrator shall be sent to the Review Panel at the Office of the Police Board and to the attention of the Executive Director of the Police Board. The Request for Review shall include the Superintendent's written response to the Chief Administrator's disciplinary recommendation, and the Chief Administrator's written objections thereto. The Request for Review shall be accompanied by a certificate from the Chief Administrator stating the date on which the Chief Administrator and Superintendent met to discuss the disciplinary recommendations, as required under the IPRA Ordinance, and the date and method by which the Chief Administrator sent the Request for Review to the Review Panel.
- C. Upon receipt, the Executive Director of the Police Board will prepare for the Review Panel a Request for Review file consisting of the materials sent to the

Review Panel. The file shall indicate the date of receipt of the Request for Review.

- D. Within 10 business days of receipt, the Review Panel shall review, in closed session, the Request for Review file. Upon completion of its review, the Review Panel may, in its discretion, request that the Chief Administrator and the Superintendent present additional documentation or present oral arguments in support of their respective positions. Any such request by the Review Panel shall be in writing, shall be served upon the Chief Administrator and the Superintendent, and shall identify the additional information or actions requested. The additional information or documentation requested shall be provided, and any argument scheduled, within a reasonably prompt period of time, as determined by the Review Panel. The Review Panel may impose reasonable limitations on the presentation of additional documentation or argument. The Chief Administrator and Superintendent shall serve each other with copies of any additional documentation submitted to the Review Panel.
- E. The Review Panel, in closed session, shall consider the Request for Review file, and the requested additional documentation and oral argument, if any. A majority vote of the Review Panel is required to render a finding as to whether the Superintendent's response met the Superintendent's burden to overcome the Chief Administrator's recommendation for discipline. If, in the majority opinion of the Review Panel, the Superintendent's response did not meet the Superintendent's burden to overcome the Chief Administrator's recommendation, the Chief Administrator's recommendation will be deemed to be accepted by the Superintendent, as provided by the IPRA Ordinance. The Review Panel shall notify in writing the Chief Administrator and Superintendent of its determination.
- G. Members of the Review Panel shall recuse themselves from any future involvement by the full Police Board with respect to the disciplinary matter before the Review Panel.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Preface:	Agenda, Minutes, Decisions, Attendance and Participation Policy, Revisions to the Rules of Procedure
DIGEST OF	DIRECTIVES ISSUED DURING MARCH 2015
GEN	ERAL ORDERS
SPEC	CIAL ORDERS
DEP	ARTMENT NOTICES
EMP	LOYEE RESOURCES
UNII	FORM AND PROPERTY
RES	OURCES
PERSONNI	EL AND TRAINING. 3
IAD AND I	PRA STATISTICS
COM	IPLAINTS RECEIVED, COMPLETED, AND DISPOSITION 4
DISC	CIPLINARY ACTIONS
SEPARATI	ON REPORT
CIVI	LIAN 6
SWC	DRN 7

This communication summarizes new or amended directives issued by Superintendent Garry F. McCarthy between **01 March 2015** and **31 March 2015**.

The following directives, along with all of the Chicago Police Department's orders, are available for review in their entirety on the Department website listed below:

http://directives.chicagopolice.org

GENERAL ORDERS

G03-02-02 Force Options

SPECIAL ORDERS

S01-02	Unit Designations
S04-14-01	Traffic Citation Books
S04-14-02	Traffic Court Citing and Scheduling
S04-14-03	Mandatory Court Appearance
S04-14-05	Traffic Violators, Name Checks, and Bonding
S04-18-01	Inventorying Narcotics Evidence
S04-13-12	Bicycle Registration and Reporting Procedures
S-06-13	Bond Procedures
S06-13-01	Bond Procedures – Nonresident Violator Compact

UNIFORM AND PROPERTY

U06-02-23	Individual First Aid Kit (IFAK)
U06-04-03	Insignia – Embroidered Patches

RESOURCES

Forms Retention Schedule - CPD-11.717

PERSONNEL AND TRAINING

During the month of **March 2015**, **113** training blocks were conducted for Chicago Police Recruits, Metropolitan Recruits (including surrounding agencies) and In-Service Courses.

A total of **15,647** Department attendees received In-Service/E-Learning training, which included: Patrol Carbine Operator Course and Requalification, Law Enforcement Protective Measures, Gang Violence Reduction Strategy, Procedural Justice 2, Taser Qualification and Recertification, Automated Contact Card User Guide, Domestic Violence 4-Part Refresher, Firearms Concealed Carry Act Online Course, Contact Information System, First Amendment Online Training, Crowd Control Online Review, Hate Crime Online Training (ADL) – 3 Part Program, Hazmat Annual Refresher, Pedestrian Safety, Occupational Exposure to Disease Refresher, Vehicle Pursuits and Balancing Test, Use of Force Policy, Meth Awareness 4-Part Program.

173 people completed the Crisis Intervention Training 40 hour training (Year-to-date) – 136 CPD members and 37 outside agencies from University of Chicago, University of Illinois at Chicago, Berwyn and Oak Lawn PD. 2 recruit CIT classes were conducted for 112 recruits. 21 newly promoted Lieutenants received Supervisor CIT and 98 newly promoted Detectives received CIT training. Currently, there are 1827 active CIT trained CPD members.

A total of **296** Chicago Police Recruits were in training along with **42** Metropolitan Police Recruits.

IAD AND IPRA STATISTICS COMPLAINTS RECEIVED, COMPLETED AND DISPOSITION

Police Board March 2015 Complaint Statistics

Log Numbers Received in ICLEAR

	Total Received	Assigned to BIA	Percent of Total
March 2014	575	422	73.4%
2014 Year to Date	1,483	1,094	73.8%
March 2015	471	364	77.3%
2015 Year to Date	1,275	986	77.3%

BIA Admin Closed	Percent of BIA Total
164	28.5%
459	31.0%
109	23.1%
321	25.2%

Pre-Affidavit Investigations

	Assigned to BIA	Percent of Total	Assigned to IPRA	Percent of Total	Total Received
March 2014	258	72.3%	99	27.7%	357
2014 Year to Date	635	71.3%	256	28.7%	891
March 2015	255	76.8%	77	23.2%	332
2015 Year to Date	665	76.8%	201	23.2%	866

BIA Investigations Received

BIA Investigations Closed (Investigation Completed)

	2014	2015	+/-
March	258	255	-3
Year to Date*	635	665	30

2014	2015	+/-
290	145	-145
738	567	-171

BIA Investigative Findings** (Includes Field Units)

	March 2014	Percent of Total	YTD 2014	March 2015	Percent of Total	YTD 2015	YTD +/-
Sustained	32	11.0%	80	11	7.6%	57	-23
Exonerated	4	1.4%	19	3	2.1%	16	-3
Unfounded	38	13.1%	94	37	25.5%	96	2
Not Sustained	30	10.3%	68	10	6.9%	45	-23
No Affidavit /NC	186	64.1%	477	84	57.9%	353	-124
	290		738	145		567	-171

NOTE: Investigative findings are based on the date the investigation has been completed, not received. The new intake system generates Log Numbers representing informational records. Pursuant to Illinois State Law, Complaint Register numbers are generated upon execution of an affidavit.

IAD AND IPRA STATISTICS **COMPLAINTS RECEIVED, COMPLETED AND DISPOSITION**

CRMS Disciplinary Codes Entered for Members, Not Unique Log# BIA Investigations Only (Discipline at 'Final Finding', Case Closed in Records with Sustained Finding)

	March 2014	Percent of Total	YTD 2014	March 2015	Percent of Total	YTD 2015	YTD +/-
000 - Violation Noted	1	5.0%	27	5	10.6%	27	0
100 - Reprimand	2	10.0%	42	16	34.0%	66	24
200 - Susp Over 30 days	0	0.0%	0	0	0.0%	0	0
800 - Resigned Not Served	7	35.0%	12	3	6.4%	5	-7
900 - Penalty Not Served	3	15.0%	6	2	4.3%	3	-3
Suspended 1 to 5 days	6	30.0%	38	17	36.2%	51	13
Suspended 6 to 15 days	1	5.0%	7	4	8.5%	8	1
Suspended 16 to 30 days	0	0.0%	2	0	0.0%	3	1
	20	100.0%	134	47	100.0%	163	29



Report Time: 1628 Nrs Produced By OEMC IT Data Warehouse





	SUMMAR	Y OF SEPARATK	SUMMARY OF SEPARATIONS FOR MAR 2015 - CIVILLAN	S-CIVILIAN		
SEPARATION	DESCRIPTION	MAR 2015	JAN -	MAR 2014	JAN - MAR 2014	ALL OF 2014
810	RESIGN PENSION	2	7	0	6	88
812	RESIGN OTHER EMPLOY	0	8	0		4
815	RESIGN MEDICAL REASN	Q	0	0	0	. 6
819	SEP/OTHER CITY POS	2	2	0	0	10
821	RESIGNOTHER	gira	2	•	8	10
824	RESIGN FROM LAY-OFF	0	0	0	0	-
825	JOB ABANDONMENT	0	-	0	-	2
826	RESIGNIPERSONAL	0	0	0	0	-
827	RELOCATION	0	0	0	0	-
828	RESIGN FROM LOA	φ	2	0	0	4
845	MANDATORY RETIREMENT	φ	0	gu-	-	-
855	DISCHARGED	Q	0	0	0	-
858	PROGRAM TERMINATION	0	0	0	0	9 00
860	реатн	0	0	-	-	4
881	EXPIRATION OF LOA	0	O	***	-	2
	CIVILIAN TOTALS	เก	16	4	16	66



Produced By OEMC IT Data Warehouse



CITY OF CHICAGO DEPARTMENT OF POLICE

	SUMMARY	OF SEPARATION	SUMMARY OF SEPARATIONS FOR MAR 2015 - SWORN	SWORN		
SEPARATION	DESCRIPTION	MAR 2015	JAN - MAR 2015	MAR 2014	JAN - MAR 2014	ALL OF 2014
807	RES/DISCHARGE APPROV	0	0	0	0	-
808	RESIGN PENSIO/INVEST	0	-	ŗ	ю	10
809	RESIGNUNDER INVEST	0	0	4	64	7
810	RESIGN PENSION	22	88	12	28	268
812	RESIGN OTHER EMPLOY	2	м	m	m	11
819	SEP/OTHER CITY POS	-	-	11	17	16
821	RESIGN/OTHER	7	, ko	0	m	15
825	JOB ABANDONMENT	0	0	0	0	-
826	RESIGNIPERSONAL	0	0	0	0	6
827	RELOCATION	0	0	0	0	2
828	RESIGN FROM LOA	-	2	0	-	15
829	RESIGN FROM DPR	0	S	е	စ	23
845	MANDATORY RETIREMENT	е	11	-	စ	2
855	DISCHARGED	0	0	-	gan	6
856	DISCHIPROBATIONARY	2	2	0	0	60
860	ОЕАТН	0	0	4	9	80
881	EXPIRATION OF LOA	0	0	4	4	ĸ
	SWORN TOTALS	33	118	38	105	439

WOTE! THE STROMMATION IS CONSEST AS OF THE DAYS AND THAN OF THE REPORT.