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PRESIDENT FOREMAN: Hi. My name is Ghian
Foreman, and I am the president of the Chicago
Police Board, and I am calling the meeting to
order.

First, I would like to welcome
our newest board member, Matthew Crowl.
Matthew is a former Assistant U.S. Attorney,
now in private practice. The City Council
approved Mayor Lightfoot's appointment on
September the 18th. So thank very much, Matt.
Look forward to working with you.

Second, we would like to thank
former board member John Simpson. John worked
with the Chicago Police Board for four years.
And not an easy job. So we'd really like to
thank John for his commitment and service to
the Board.

Is there a motion to approve the
minutes of the August 22nd public meeting?

BOARD MEMBER SWEENEY: So moved.
BOARD MEMBER EADDY: Second.
PRESIDENT FOREMAN: All in favor?

(CHORUS OF AYES.)
PRESIDENT FOREMAN: Any opposed?
PRESIDENT FOREMAN: Motion passes.

The next meeting will be held Thursday, October 17th, at 7:30 p.m., here at Chicago Public Safety Headquarters.

Next I will ask for a motion to close a series of executive sessions for the purposes of considering personnel matters and litigation, as authorized by Sections 2(c)(1), (3), (4) and 11 of the Illinois Open Meetings Act. Is there a motion?

BOARD MEMBER EADDY: So moved.

BOARD MEMBER SWEENEY: Second.

PRESIDENT FOREMAN: All in favor?

(CHORUS OF AYES.)

PRESIDENT FOREMAN: Any opposed?

(NO RESPONSE.)

PRESIDENT FOREMAN: The motion passes.

A report of disciplinary actions taken by the Board during the previous month has been made available at this evening's meeting and is posted on the Police Board's website.

The Police Board, as authorized
by the Open Meetings Act, has considered in a closed meeting one disciplinary case. The Board will now take final action on this case.

Regarding case number 19 PB 2959, the Superintendent filed charges to discharge Police Officer Dale Jesionowski from the Chicago Police Department for driving under the influence of alcohol while on duty. The Superintendent subsequently moved to withdraw the charges because the respondent resigned from the Chicago Police Department.

Is there a motion to grant the Superintendent's motion to withdraw the charges?

BOARD MEMBER SWEENEY: So moved.

BOARD MEMBER EADDY: Second.

PRESIDENT FOREMAN: All in favor?

(CHORUS OF AYES.)

PRESIDENT FOREMAN: Show of hands, please.

(SHOWING OF HANDS.)

PRESIDENT FOREMAN: Any opposed?

(NO RESPONSE.)
PRESIDENT FOREMAN: Voting in favor are Board Members Wolff, Crowl, DelGado, Eaddy, Flores, O'Malley, Sweeney, Zopp and myself. The motion passes.

The Board's decision in this case will be entered as of today's date and issued to the parties. A copy of the written order will be posted on the Board's website.

There are two disciplinary matters to announce this evening.

Pursuant to Section 2-78-130 of the Municipal Code of Chicago, I considered one matter on which the Chief Administrator of the Civilian Office of Police Accountability and the Superintendent of Police did not agree regarding the discipline of two police officers.

Regarding request for review numbers 19-17 and 19-18, the Chief Administrator recommended that two police officers be suspended for ten days for conducting a street stop without justification. The Superintendent recommended that the allegations be classified as
unfounded.

In my opinion, the Superintendent did not meet the burden of overcoming the Chief Administrative's recommendation for discipline.

Regarding request for review numbers 19-15 and 19-16, the Chief Administrator recommended that two police officers be suspended for five days for stopping and searching an individual without justification.

The Superintendent recommended that allegations be classified as unfounded and exonerated.

In reviewing Board Member Simpson's opinion, the Superintendent met the burden of overcoming the Chief Administrative's recommendation for discipline.

The written opinions in these matters will be posted on the Board's website as required by the Municipal Code of Chicago.

Next up, we have a presentation by Joseph Lipari. He is the Deputy Inspector General for Public Safety.
As we said a couple of times, we want to make sure that these meetings are useful for information flow from the community, from experts in the field to CPD as well, and so hopefully you guys will have a good opportunity to learn a little bit about the work that the Inspector General is doing.

MR. LIPARI: And I apologize turning my back on you guys and facing the audience.


What I was planning to do tonight was to introduce you to the Inspector General's Office's information portal. This went live last year. It provides the public access -- direct access to a ton of data, information on all City operations, all City departments and agencies.

We have a special section on public safety. There's a tech issue tonight. I was hoping to actually show you -- demonstrate some of the dashboards individually to you and show you how to use them and use the
filter so you can drill down to get information on your district or ward, even your beat. Because of the tech issue we're having, I can't actually get the live dashboards up. I'm just going to talk about a few and next month I will try to demonstrate some of these. But there's over 30 different dashboards here. You can get a little bit of a taste of them, I think. We also -- there's a pictorial here, a video that you can take a look at that will sort of explain how to use the various filters, features. We have a feedback button if there's some data or some information that either you don't understand or it's not there that you'd like to see something else, you can click on the feedback button. Send our team an e-mail requesting clarification or additional information. To access you go to our dashboard page. Go to ChicagoOIG. Go to the dashboard page. As I said, we have a lot of information on all the budgets, City ordinances, City employees, not actually names of employees, but other sort of data on salaries and positions. These sorts of
things. The public safety. We have a whole public safety set of dashboards. You can look at things like -- and all this data is either updated either monthly or daily, so it's very updated information.

We have -- you can go in and you can look at the most up-to-date numbers in terms of how many officers are on the force, what -- what units they're assigned to. You can look at your own district. Look at how many officers are currently as of this month assigned to your district. You can look at the average years of experience, the racial breakdown, gender breakdown of the police officers in your district. We also have information up here that provides the history going back to 2007 of complaints against Chicago police officers. Got a lot of officers in the room. That may make you a little nervous. You should be aware that that information has already been made available through FOIA requests and put up on the website called the Invisible Institute. The difference between --
MR. PREIB: Now, wait a minute. The invisible institute is a private organization. The City is not supposed to be publishing the Invisible Institute.

Frankly, where in the COPA guidelines is it listed that you should even be here doing this presentation?

MR. LIPARI: I was invited by the Police Board. And we are a City agency and we have jurisdiction over all City departments.

MR. PREIB: Where in the Police Board is this presentation authorized?

MR. LIPARI: I think the Police Board, you can speak to that.

PRESIDENT FOREMAN: We have made the decision that we are going to invite --

MR. PREIB: The Invisible Institute?

MR. LIPARI: I am not with the Invisible Institute.

MR. PREIB: You brought it up. You are not a legitimate organization.

PRESIDENT FOREMAN: Hold on one second.

Wait. Joe, Joe, excuse me. I've made the offer, and we are going to allow you an
opportunity to present as well and so the same
way how --

MR. PREIB: I could care less.
PRESIDENT FOREMAN: You are making a big
deal about him presenting.

MR. PREIB: I'm making a big deal about the
Invisible Institute.
PRESIDENT FOREMAN: We're not talking about
the Invisible Institute.

MR. PREIB: He brought up the Invisible
Institute.

MR. LIPARI: I can tell you the difference
between our information and the Invisible
Institute --

MR. PREIB: I know the difference
between -- nobody knows more about the
Invisible Institute than I do.

MR. LIPARI: Tell me the difference between
their --

MR. PREIB: The Invisible Institute is a
front -- a journalism front for the civil
rights law firms who make a lot of money suing
police officers and who these guys cave in to
every month, that's who the Invisible Institute
is.

MR. LIPARI: So that's your opinion on the Invisible Institute, but I was asking you actually the difference between the data that they provide and we provide. So let me tell you --

MR. PREIB: That's not what I asked. What I asked --

MR. LIPARI: That's what I'm about to tell you, so give me a second. I'll be happy to talk with you more after.

MR. PREIB: Why don't you answer my question?

MR. LIPARI: What was your question?

MR. PREIB: What right do you have to be making this presentation as part of the Police Board?

MR. LIPARI: I was invited.

MR. PREIB: That's not a right.

PRESIDENT FOREMAN: It is a right. We invited him.

MR. PREIB: It is not. This is another one of your dog and pony shows.

PRESIDENT FOREMAN: Mr. Preib, we're going
to continue.

MR. LIPARI: As I was saying, the difference between our data and the Invisible Institute's data is they got a much -- they have a much larger -- goes very much further in time. And their data set has not been cleaned or vetted, not that it can't be useful, but any time you talk about large data sets, you have to have other data sets to compare them against to make sure that the data's valid, that there aren't duplicates, there isn't missing information. So we were able to do because we have access to all the other data sets -- many other data sets of the Police Department, we were able to compare that data set to others, to clean the data to make it more accurate and more reliable. So it doesn't cover as much of a time period, but it is a more reliable for the period that it does cover.

A couple other things we have on there, just to be aware of, records of all -- not records, but data on all of the investigatory stop reports, so you can look at ISRs, again in your district, in your ward, in
your neighborhood, in your beat. You can even break it down to look at things like how many, say, juveniles were arrested as a result of an ISR in a school. So you can really drill down into a pretty detailed level of this information.

The purpose of this is to further educate, to bring transparency to this information and to inform and empower not only City agencies because they have access -- they can use this data to better manage their own agencies, in which the police department is in the process of doing, but also to educate, inform and empower the public.

So I encourage all of you, check out our website, the data portals, the dashboards. Maybe I will be back next month to demonstrate.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Can you repeat the full website?

MR. LIPARI: Google search for Chicago OIG. It will be the first thing. Office of Inspector General.

Thank you, guys. I'll stick
around for the end of the meeting. If anyone has any questions, I'm happy to take them. Thank you, guys.

PRESIDENT FOREMAN: Thank you.

QUEEN SISTER: Can I have your card?

MR. LIPARI: Yes.

PRESIDENT FOREMAN: The general orders issued by the Superintendent during the previous month are listed in the books and posted on the Police Department's website. Next, Superintendent, can you please provide a report?

SUPERINTENDENT JOHNSON: I will waive tonight. We don't have any additional information than what was provided last month. Next month we should be prepared to give a more detailed report.

PRESIDENT FOREMAN: Superintendent, are you sure? I'm going to ask for a motion. I don't think the motion is going to pass. Is there a motion to accept the Superintendent's report to waive on the Superintendent's report?

QUEEN SISTER: No.

BOARD MEMBER O'MALLEY: I'll make that
motion.

PRESIDENT FOREMAN: There's been a motion. Is there a second?

(NO RESPONSE.)

PRESIDENT FOREMAN: Motion fails.

SUPERINTENDENT JOHNSON: You just want me to give something, uh, just so that the audience knows the comments that were made last month regarding the Chicago Police Department, we sent a letter of response out in regard to those questions that were asked last month.

QUEEN SISTER: How many lives been lost since last month? Why Negroes dying in the street? That's why you got to go.

PRESIDENT FOREMAN: Superintendent, at the Police Board meeting, there's a duty for you to provide a report. We've stated that we will no longer waive the report. We only meet once a month. We believe that we, the Police Board, have a right to get this information as well as the members of the public. And so going forward, I will strongly encourage the Police Department for the City of Chicago to be prepared to present a report to the public on
what you guys are doing. It can be good news, it can be that you captured weapons, that you got drugs, that you arrested people. It can be anything. I find it kind of insulting to say that you responded to people last month and that's your oral report.

So we can speak about this later, but it's my -- it's this full Board's expectation that there will be an oral report presented to us every month.

SUPERINTENDENT JOHNSON: Duly noted.

PRESIDENT FOREMAN: Thank you.

BOARD MEMBER ZOPP: Can I make a request? Superintendent, I know that the Police Department has been doing a significant amount of work in regards to complying with the Consent Decree. Next month when you come, can you share with us some of the things you've been doing and the work that's been happening? Because I do know that a lot was heard, and I think that would be useful to us to know.

SUPERINTENDENT JOHNSON: Certainly.

BOARD MEMBER ZOPP: Thank you.

BOARD MEMBER SWEENEY: Superintendent, I
believe I heard that we confiscated a lot of
guns on the street. Are you -- can you make a
remark starting with all the guns that have
been confiscated?

SUPERINTENDENT JOHNSON: Certainly. So at
this point same time last year we recovered
over 20 percent more weapons than we did the
same time last year. We are currently on pace
to recover over 10,000 illegal weapons from the
streets of Chicago. This is not a testament to
how good CPD is, but moreover it's just a brief
reminder how many illegal weapons we have out
on the streets of Chicago. So we are
constantly working with ATF, our federal
partners, to stem the flow of illegal weapons.

Officers out there doing what we asked them to
do in terms of confiscating illegal weapons.

PRESIDENT FOREMAN: The Police Board is
also trying something new in that people who do
come and make comments at the public meeting,
we're asking for responses in writing, both
from CPD as well as COPA. The Board is pleased
to announce that we have received written
responses from people who testify. Some of
those people are here today. Some of the
issues have been resolved. Some are ongoing.
But we have received responses. Those are
available on the Police Board's website as
well.

Now, I will call on members of
the public who signed up in advance to speak.
We have a lot of speakers, so we are going to
hold steady to the two minutes. There's one
exception in that there's a group. I will
allow them to come and speak together and use
their time in a more efficient way.

So first speaker is Amanda
Parker. Amanda Parker.

Jamie Frazier. Good evening.

MR. FRAZIER: Good evening. I'm Reverend
Jamie Frazier, pastor of the Lighthouse of the
Church of Chicago UCC and board president of
Lighthouse Foundation.

Lighthouse Foundation advances
justice for black LGBTQ+ people across
Chicagoland through empowerment, education and
entertainment.

Police Board, I'm here today to
urge you to enforce the 60-day suspension of Officer Thomas Walsh, Senior, which former Superintendent McCarthy called for in 2015 after reviewing IPRA's nearly two-year thorough investigation into his behavior.

On November 29th, 2013, while off duty, Officer Walsh physically assaulted a black security guard named James Matthews at Lucky Horseshoe.

In IPRA's thorough 2015 summary report, they sustained allegation number one for Officer Walsh. They affirmed that Walsh, quote, "Engaged in an unjustified physical altercation when he pushed James Matthews to the floor causing an injury to his right rotator cuff."

IPRA also sustained allegation number two for Walsh in that he used, quote, "Profanity and racially motivated language towards James Matthews."

IPRA found that Walsh said to Matthews words to the effect of, "Fucking nigger. Don't you ever put your fucking hands on me. Who the fuck do you think you are, you
fucking nigger," and, "Get that fucking nigger away from me."

Police Board, six years have passed since this incident. I urge you to enforce the 60-day suspension that Superintendent McCarthy called for.

I urge you in this moment to get justice for James Matthews by bringing Walsh to justice.

PRESIDENT FOREMAN: Thank you.

Next speaker, Virginia White.

MS. WHITE: Good evening. My name is Virginia White, and I serve as co-pastor of Root and Branch Church of Logan Square.

Our congregation is committed to following the example of Jesus Christ who stood boldly for justice, who loved wildly and indiscriminately.

Likewise, you as members of the Police Board share this charge to uphold justice.

One of the allegations sustained by your Officer Walsh was that his actions brought discredit upon the Chicago Police
Department. Certainly those who serve as police officers should be some of our first allies in the fight for justice; however, in these times, when goodwill between the public and the police has eroded due to numerous instances of violence by officers against persons of color, violence like Officer Walsh's egregious assault of James Matthews in Boys Town six years ago, many looked at the police system with disappointment and apprehension. This is why we cannot afford to let unacceptable and shameful actions remain unaddressed.

As long as these allegations sustained against him languish in appeals, we all lose. But the work of this Police Board offers the possibility for restoration. When those engaged in violence are held accountable, trust is restored.

In the gospel is the greek word sozo, which is closely affiliated with Jesus' ministry, also translated as saved or delivered, it can also mean restore or heal. Police Board, by gaining control
of this case and holding Officer Walsh to account for his discrediting behavior, you're doing the work of restoration. And that is what we work. That is why I ask you, Police Board members, to take over Officer Walsh's case and enforce the 60-day suspension former Superintendent McCarthy recommended. Let's do something and let's do it now. Thank you.

PRESIDENT FOREMAN: Thank you.

Superintendent, do you know the status of this case?

SUPERINTENDENT JOHNSON: Sorry, but this is the first that I'm hearing about it, so we'll look into it.

PRESIDENT FOREMAN: Okay. Thank you very much.

Next speaker is Michael LaFargue, et al.

MR. MICHAEL LaFARGUE: Good evening. I'm Mike LaFargue, Chicago's First Good Realtor Neighbor honoree by the National Association of Realtors.

Our coalition, the Communities Organized to Win, supports developing good
business neighbor agreements similar to those created by the West Chesterfield Community and endorsed by the Red-Line Extension Coalition.

How many of you have experienced a business in your community that just does not fit in, look good or attract the type of clientele that you want to be associated with?

I ask you, imagine, if you will, a community that is safe, secure, having walkable destinations where you are comfortable sending your youngest child or most senior adult. Safe, meaning having good pedestrian, friendly streets, crosswalks and signals. Secure, meaning an area free of loitering, assaults, theft, murder. And walkable, meaning clean streetscaped areas with diverse businesses.

Good business neighbors are businesses that voluntarily sign an agreement with the community stating that businesses will actively participate in the community, keep their business' interiors and exteriors clean and well lit, follow City ordinances and enroll in the Chicago Police Department's
antiloitering/trespass affidavit program.

Components of this agreement can be customized per neighborhood. The agreement can be 50 percent voluntary and 50 percent based on City ordinances and that's enforceable. Mechanisms as community organizations, churches, block clubs and the proposed police business liaison officer in the City's Department of Business Affairs, consumer protection are needed to develop a rapport with these businesses, that includes communicating, coaching and awarding and commending our challenged businesses for achieving good business neighbor goals.

We expect businesses to be of the mind-set that their collective actions can improve area appearance, safety and can attract a positive mix of clients.

MR. ALONZO ANDERSON: Good evening. All neighborhoods desire a business community which is vibrant that provides needed goods and services. Residents of these communities want good business neighbors who run well-maintained properties which are properly lighted with
lights that turn on at dusk automatically.
These business owners make sure that their properties are adequately clean from the curb to the sidewalk, in front of the building, as well as the rear area behind the entity.

Other components demonstrated by good business neighbors are owners who attend or send a representative to a minimum of at least three community or association or CAPS meetings annually. They will participate in community activities by paying dues and sponsoring events which benefit local programs.

Lastly, good business neighbors will discourage loitering per Chicago ordinance and agree to CPD's trespass affidavit authorization to prevent loitering.

MS. JENNIFER EDWARDS: Good evening. The good business neighbor concept was introduced and developed by the West Chesterfield Community Association and the Red-Line Coalition. I'm repeating this because this is important.

We come to partner with the City of Chicago and the Chicago Police Department to
recommend a strategy to combat bad business activity or illegal activity that occurs in and around businesses currently in our communities.

We are in agreement with the City of Chicago that crime in Chicago occurs often times with the assistance of bad business activity or illegal activity that do not respect the quality of life of the neighborhoods where they are located.

We are proposing to make this project a City of Chicago ordinance and have begun talking with the aldermen within various areas of Chicago for support.

We hope it will be considered and that you will assist in helping us promote it with Chicago aldermen and the Chicago Police Department.

We hope to meet with the head of the CAPS program and the chair of the committee on public safety, which includes Alderman Taliaferro and the appropriate representative of the Mayor's Office. We also want to engage the community members in teaching how to report business violations effectively and to help
promote block club involvement to support good business activity.

We suggest expanding community outreach of the OEMC project to assist in this process with an expansion of the Smart911 and Smart311 projects.

We would ask OEMC to incorporate directing community members to the appropriate City departments for reporting the type of business violations and whether 311 or 911 should be used.

We support this concept as a potential ordinance for consideration and as a crime deterrent and believe this recommendation could help to link strategies in conjunction with community members, police, City departments and neighborhood businesses.

Now, you have in your packet an example of a bad business. And a picture carries a thousand words. Okay? So we just wanted to explain that.

PRESIDENT FOREMAN: Thank you.

MR. MATT BRANDON: To tie this all together, we're here as a group. And we're here -- most
of us are from either West Chesterfield or Gresham Auburn, the 6th Ward, and -- the 9th Ward. We plan to go all across the City and enlist common community members to fight for their communities. We understand that that fight begins by partnering with the Chicago Police Department. The Chicago Police Department is the first response in our community.

We know that there are differences and there are problems between the community and the police, and we want to work to fix those together.

We think that the community policing program is the direction that we need to go.

We applaud the efforts of the Chicago Police Department to promote that program.

We met today, Superintendent, with Commander Mohammed, and I hope you give him props, and Captain Milmine, his chief officer of his CAPS program for two hours. They sat with us for two hours and they
listened to us talk about some of our concerns.

Our concerns are also for the police officers on the street, particularly with these bad businesses, because if you ask any street officer if they go to a call at some of these outfits that are in our community, the windows are covered with papers and ad sales and they're walking into them blind.

The success of the outcome of a police encounter begins with the approach. Officers need to know what they're walking into before they walk into it.

PRESIDENT FOREMAN: Thank you.

MR. MATT BRANDON: So we're here to work with you, the Board, and with the Police Department.

PRESIDENT FOREMAN: Thank you very much. So, for the record, we had Michael LaFargue, Alonzo Anderson, Jennifer Edwards and Matt Brandon. I wanted to make sure we got it on the official record.

Next speaker, Patrick Murray.

Next speaker Sarah Lusche.

MS. SARAH LUSCHE: Close. Good evening.

My name is Sarah Lusche, and I am the co-pastor
of Hyde Park Union Church which is an open and affirming congregation that welcomes people of all races, ethnicities, family structures, gender expressions and sexual identities.

Our church has a long history of working for equity in the City of Chicago.

We believe that our faith extends beyond the church walls. That we must move within our lives and our communities in ways that proclaim justice and love for all people.

It is this faith and mission that convicts me to stand before you all tonight asking that the Police Board enforce the 60-day suspension for Officer Thomas Walsh, Senior.

I'm one of three speakers tonight who are here to insist upon justice for James. Each of us speaks for two minutes. That makes a combined six minutes to bring awareness to this issue which mirrors the six years that this case has gone without resolution.

The investigation found that Officer Walsh exhibited violence and racist behavior while off duty. These are the facts.

Officer Walsh unjustifiably physically
assaulted James Matthews. Officer Walsh hurled racial slurs at James Matthews. I hope that we can all agree this evening that these actions are unconscionable and certainly unfitting for someone tasked with upholding and protecting the common good.

As a citizen of Chicago, I'm appalled that Officer Walsh has yet to face the repercussions for his actions. And as a pastor in this City, I am deeply disturbed that a racial assault by someone in a position of power has gone unchallenged. This is not right. And this is not just. I love this City. And I have been called to serve the people of this City as best I can. And I believe that Chicago can and should be a place where all people are valued and where all people can flourish. Yet this can't happen if blatantly violent racist behavior remains unchecked.

PRESIDENT FOREMAN: Thank you.

MS. SARAH LUSCHE: For your information, the log number is 1066452. Thank you.

PRESIDENT FOREMAN: Thank you. Next
MS. OCTAVIA MITCHELL: Hi. Everybody knows my name is Octavia Mitchell. I spoke with Superintendent Eddie Johnson last month. As far as inventory, the DNA for my son Isaiah Jackson -- who was murdered by the Chicago police officers, who was shot three times in his back, we're in the process, I'm hoping, of getting the DNA samples that was withheld and lied about that was supposed to have been sent to the Illinois State Police Department. I asked for inventory or what was sent there and I'm constantly getting the same thing. I asked what was sent. What does the Illinois State Police Department have in 2019. What did CPD send to the Illinois State Police Department. I put that in the FOIA and requested that information and still yet I keep getting stuff from 2010. The stuff from 2010, everything is saying no comparison, no comparison, no glatex (phonetic) comparison. My son -- nothing of my son's is on these guns, the gun, the weapon or whatever it is you all saying he had. Why do you keep sending me the same exact thing? I
have a pile of the same exact thing.

So I'm asking, am I going to be able to get the inventory of what was sent to the Illinois State Police Department in 2019?

Because the Illinois State Police Department keeps telling me, once I give them the ID number, they can't talk to me. I need to talk to CPD.

SUPERINTENDENT JOHNSON: So, ma'am, we talked a few weeks ago about your issue, and the response in the research that was done since you and I talked will be available for you in a few days.

MS. OCTAVIA MITCHELL: Okay. COPA, do you know how long and how far we are? We know that the Illinois State Police supposed to have the DNA for testing. Do you know how long? Have you heard from the Illinois State Police Department?

MS. ROBERTS: No, we haven't received information what they have been doing with the investigation.

MS. OCTAVIA MITCHELL: I'll wait on you, Superintendent. What did you say, Ms. Roberts?
MS. ROBERTS: We haven't received word on when it will be done.

MS. OCTAVIA MITCHELL: Okay.

PRESIDENT FOREMAN: Thank you.

Next speaker, Flora Suttle.

MS. FLORA SUTTLE: Good afternoon. My name is Flora Suttle. I spoke last week about the murder of my son Derrick Suttle by an off-duty Chicago police officer after he shot and handcuffed him to the steering wheel of the vehicle that my son was riding in. I didn't mention that Derrick has a daughter, Larissa Suttle. She is 23 years old. She just graduated from Illinois State with a degree in political science. We've been going through this awhile.

My first request to reopen this case was in 2016. I wrote to Sharon Farley and asked for a -- I met with her, as a matter of fact. And she left office before I got any result. I've been meeting with Sidney Roberts. Chief Roberts, first of all, I'd like to stand corrected on a comment I made last session. I said that you told me to get a lawyer. You
were not the person directly telling me to get
a lawyer. It was suggested to me. And what I
have done, I've submitted the information that
you requested to consider reopening my son's
case.

I did receive a letter yesterday
from COPA saying that they would review the
information that I sent, and I sent you a
substantial amount of information. And I have
more. So I thank you for the letter. And this
is a start. This is all, to me, a beginning
for the Consent Decree, because it is in place,
and I will be at that meeting on Saturday to
find out where we're going and what we can do,
and I can give some information to them.

But so far, since our last
meeting, we're on point, and I expect to
continue communicating. And then I will be
giving reports as to what I came up with. In
the meantime, I will be working with Matt
Brandon and the group trying to bring together
some kind of peace of this.

Keep in mind, Derrick Suttle had
a family. I'm a retired police agent.
years of police service and 12 years in IAD and I know about investigations, inside and out. But it's not my case to investigate, and I can not determine the outcome of this investigation. I can give you the proper tools and proper information and evidence.

And the last thing I'd like to say, I'm not concerned about this officer's job. I'm concerned about criminal charges, and I want to know once the investigation is completed and there is a finding that he can be charged criminally, who pursues that matter? Is it up to me? Who gets it to the State's Attorney? Is it up to you? We will start where we need to start, but my main focus, there are criminal charges that should be made against the officer. Not only him, his wife was complicit in this.

PRESIDENT FOREMAN: Thank you, ma'am. Next speaker, Queen Sister.

MS. QUEEN SISTER: Blessings, blessings, blessings. You all miss me in between these months.

Look, I just come to let you
know, Eddie Winslow, it is time for you to go. It is clear that Mayor Shemp Lightfoot cares more about preserving your pension than she does about black folks dying in the streets of what the nation has come to know as Chiraq, Illinois, on your watch. So for the sake of the people, the citizens, and this City, we are calling for your resignation. We are asking you to resign effective immediately.

So when the calls start in the morning, you know where they come from. We can't take no more. Because, you know -- and tonight, waive, we want to wave at you. Going to give you a waiver. How about that?

We got this Police Board here, JC 286831, regarding a theft on a 40-year business involving a retired police officer, because he's out there running amuck, Superintendent. Now, he's out here drunk with the power. Then stole merchandise from a Vietnam veteran, 86-year-old senior. Everybody in the neighborhood knows it. And if I catch his ass and chastise his ass, you're going to be trying to lock me up and throw me under the jail,
instead of putting the investigators on the case and doing what they need to do. He gets special privilege.

The minute that they found out that there was a retired officer involved, everybody got -- yes, Mr. President?

PRESIDENT FOREMAN: Thank you. Your time is up.

Next speaker, Robert More.

MS. QUEEN SISTER: Mr. President, I done found me a Jewish -- God sent me a Jewish attorney who's fleece is white as snow to speak for me. And we need the list. We need that list and that background list.

PRESIDENT FOREMAN: Robert More.

MR. MORE: It is September of 2019. Robert J. More who's addressing -- Robert J. More is what's called a contra tob shebbe goyyim harog. Tob shebbe goyyim harog is four Yiddish words, even the best of the goyyim must be killed.

Robert J. More is not an anti-Semitic. Robert J. More accepts all of the condemnations of the Catholic Church before 1958, which still exists, but not presently any
observable government system, condemnations of antisemitism.

Robert J. More also accepts all of the instances in which the book known as the Talmud was ordered burned by legitimate popes and the protected measures that were put against Jewish ritual murder against usury, against the destruction, the degeneration of society was then Christendom from the immorality of this group of people. Not all of the group of people, but in general.

So the point is, the person in my position, an especially targeted individual, which is obvious to me, has to defend against the anti-Semite smear, has to defend against some type of psychological derangement smear, has to be able to pull the teeth on all these to stay in circulation, because they have full-time factory FBI agents who are trying to produce a false dossier and a false presentation of an individual to turn enough members of the public against them so they can get some type of institution -- into a mental institution or get a criminal conviction. So
they have to build these false dossiers. So to pull the teeth on that, that's why I have to address that. And it takes forever to move forward. But there's a massive disinformation industry which people don't understand. When I give presentations about the Bolles (phonetic) Jewish Ritual Murder, the death of Patton, Forrestal, JFK, MLK, RFK, First World Trade Center, Oklahoma City. Now we have Terrance Yeakey, the hero of Oklahoma City. It's dishonoring Terrance Yeakey to refuse to accept this ridiculous suicide depiction of what happened to him, which is now Ross Elder, and they're trying to pick up people to accept this version, because he is some type of rightful patriot at --

PRESIDENT FOREMAN: Time is up.

MR. DAVID DEWAR: Good evening. My name is David Dewar. I live in the 19th Ward, the 22nd District. I ran for alderman. Daviddewarforalderman.com. I do support good policing. Unfortunately, I was a victim of a false arrest on February 17th of 2014. I was here last month and I explained how Officer
Felmon and Devine created a false police report against me. What's sad is they were -- 2013, there was a CR report here, and through the investigator when they were both suspended, they asked them the question on Rule 14, Chicago Police Board of ethics, if you file a false police report, do you understand, they said yes. And what did they do? They created a false arrest report on me. I filed as an attorney pro se. I won with a federal judge. In fact, I was falsely arrested. Then a year later in 2015, six police officers came to my residence looking for me to arrest me. The codes show that. And then through my discovery in 2018, through the 22nd District, they all covered for him, which is in my case, 16 CV 2287. Docket entry 85 and 96 explains exactly how the whole Department did this. So my point is, you have Isaac Lambert, another officer in the 22nd, he was disciplined for doing the right thing on a false police report, and he was demoted from the detective division. The point is, in order to get public trust -- I was framed. There's no doubt about it. I was
framed and I continue -- but in order to get public trust, you have to discipline not only the police officers, but the Department. It's systemic. My case proves it. Why didn't I go to COPA, Eddie Johnson, Superintendent? Because I went to IPRA and they went -- they threatened to go into my house, right, without a warrant. So I lost faith. I already have this in front of me. There is no investigation.

So let me close here and say I'm a middle-aged white guy. I feel sorry for the people of color that have been going through this for years.

If you want true accountability for the public, you have to walk the talk.

PRESIDENT FOREMAN: Thank you. Next speaker, John Catanzara.

MR. JOHN CATANZARA: Good evening, Superintendent. With the announcement of the upcoming promotional exam for sergeant, I would urge you to consider a waiver for all the policemen who got on this job and there was no college requirement at the time they got hired
to take a promotional exam. To change the rules while they're already on the job is not fair. To have them uproot their life, have to go to school, out-of-pocket expenses for schooling, it's not right. And saves the City money in the long run, because they don't have to go and get college tuition reimbursement in order to qualify for promotional tests. For many of them it's going to be their last bite at the promotional apple. To grant them a waiver if they were hired prior to that requirement I think would be a fair thing to do.

To the Police Board. You're on the verge of rendering a decision in the Rialmo case. I'm going to tell you a story real quick hopefully. About 20 years ago, I was working middnights in the 8th District. I found myself straddling the roof of two buildings, standing on a railing and a rooftop, confronting an offender with a knife with a five-inch blade ranting like a lunatic after menacing six teenagers at about 2:00 o'clock in the morning. When he finally discovered that I found out
where he was, he came towards me with a knife in his hand above his head, up to probably the front of this podium, he got that close to me with a knife. The whole time my gun was pointed at his head. My Mag light was in my other hand, and I didn't shoot because I wasn't afraid. Now, does that make me stupid? To a lot of officers it did. You know why? Because three weeks later that same offender slaughtered three innocent human beings and stabbed them not less than 80 times in a single victim.

Now why am I telling you this? Because policemen face circumstances like this all the time. And to sit here and render a decision for a split-second decision that someone made is pretty dangerous. And to say that Rialmo did not have reasonable fear of his well-being and his partner's well-being when that incident went down that Mr. LeGrier is responsible for enacting is reckless. He should be given his job back and this nightmare should be over.

PRESIDENT FOREMAN: At this time, we've
heard from all members of the public who signed up in advance to speak. Is there a motion to adjourn?

BOARD MEMBER EADDY: So moved.

BOARD MEMBER FLORES: Second.

PRESIDENT FOREMAN: All in favor?

(CHORUS OF AYES.)

PRESIDENT FOREMAN: Thank you very much.

(WHEREUPON, the proceedings were adjourned at 8:25 p.m.)
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