Assessment of Effects

Section 106 Consulting Parties Meeting #3

August 5, 2019

Logan Center for the Arts
915 E. 60th St.
3:00 PM – 5:00 PM
## Section 106 Contacts and Website

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Agency</th>
<th>Contact(s)</th>
<th>Email</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>LEAD AGENCY</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)</td>
<td>Matt Fuller</td>
<td><a href="mailto:matt.fuller@dot.gov">matt.fuller@dot.gov</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National Park Service (NPS)</td>
<td>Lee Terzis</td>
<td><a href="mailto:lee_terzis@nps.gov">lee_terzis@nps.gov</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chicago Department of Planning and Development (DPD)</td>
<td>Abby Monroe</td>
<td><a href="mailto:abby.monroe@cityofchicago.org">abby.monroe@cityofchicago.org</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chicago Department of Transportation (CDOT)</td>
<td>Nate Roseberry</td>
<td><a href="mailto:nathan.roseberry@cityofchicago.org">nathan.roseberry@cityofchicago.org</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chicago Park District</td>
<td>Heather Gleason</td>
<td><a href="mailto:heather.gleason@chicagoparkdistrict.com">heather.gleason@chicagoparkdistrict.com</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Illinois Department of Transportation (IDOT)</td>
<td>Brad Koldehoff</td>
<td><a href="mailto:brad.koldehoff@illinois.gov">brad.koldehoff@illinois.gov</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Project Website:** [https://tinyurl.com/JPIImprovements](https://tinyurl.com/JPIImprovements)
Agenda For Today

Section 106 Consulting Parties
Meeting #3

1. Assessment of Effects
   – Document Overview
   – Assessment Methodology
   – Key Findings

2. Small Group Discussion

3. Participant Questions

4. Next Steps in Section 106
   – Comment Process
   – Mitigation Overview and Examples

5. Project Timeline
Assessment of Effects
Assessment of Effects: Purpose

• Document the Federal agencies evaluation of effects from the undertaking to historic properties
• Seek feedback from Section 106 consulting parties and the public on the evaluation of effects
• The undertaking includes:
  1. FHWA Action – Proposed roadway and bike/ped improvements
  2. NPS Action – UPARR conversion and replacement
  3. City actions that are reasonably foreseeable:
     • OPC Site Development
     • Road Closures
     • Track and Field relocation
PROPOSED TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENTS

- Widen Lake Shore Drive West from 57th Drive to Hayes Drive
- Reconfigure Hayes Drive from Stony Island Avenue to Lake Shore Drive
- Widen Midway Plaisance and Convert to Two-Way East of Stony Island Avenue
- Reconfigure Intersection of Hayes Drive and Cornell Avenue
- Widen Cornell Drive and Convert to Two-Way East of Stony Island Avenue
- Widen Stony Island Avenue East from 59th Street to 68th Street
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NPS Action

DRAFT

PROPOSED CHANGES TO UPARR DESIGNATION

LEGEND
- Existing NPS UPARR Grant (Section 1010) Area to Remain
- Proposed Excision from Section 1010 Map
- Proposed Addition to Section 1010 Map

- **Excision:** Lake Shore Drive Widening
- **Excision:** OPC Site Development
- **Addition:** Midway Plaisance Recreation
- **Addition:** Vacated Roadway Footprints
- **Excision:** Cornell Drive Realignment
- **Addition:** Vacated Roadway Footprints
- **Excision:** Stony Island Widening
Proposed UPARR Recreation Replacement
Other Projects Considered in AOE Analysis

- Traffic signal interconnect along Stony Island
- Improvements to Lakefront Trail
- Relocation/reconfiguration of baseball facilities
- Great Lakes Fishery and Ecosystem Restoration (GLFER)
- Improvements to Osaka Garden
- Other improvements on Wooded Island
- Potential improvements of the Columbia (Clarence Darrow) Bridge

The above projects are not directly related to the actions discussed today. They are included in the AOE analysis due to their proximity to the study area and because they are anticipated to be built in the near future.
1. Describes the actions that are considered “the undertaking” for Section 106 purposes
2. Provides an overview of the process to identify historic properties
3. Assesses effects, or lack thereof, to historic properties from the undertaking
4. Assesses the potential for cumulative effects from other independent, yet reasonably foreseeable actions by others
5. Describes public involvement and SHPO consultation to date
6. Identifies efforts made to minimize effects to historic properties
7. Summarizes effect determinations for historic properties
8. References, appendices, figures
Appendix

- Maps of historic properties and contributing resources (including cultural landscape elements)
- Current OPC site plan
- Proposed City road closures
- Proposal for Midway Plaisance
- Photos of existing conditions
- Visual impact analysis
- Agency correspondence
- Public involvement to date
The assessment of effects applies the “criteria of adverse effect” described as follows (36 CFR 800.5(a)(1)):

An **adverse effect** is found when an undertaking may alter, directly or indirectly, any of the characteristics of a historic property that qualify the property for inclusion in the National Register in a manner that would diminish the integrity of the property’s location, design, setting, materials, workmanship, feeling, or association.

Consideration shall be given to all qualifying characteristics of a historic property, including those that **may have been identified subsequent** to the original evaluation of the property’s eligibility for the National Register.

Adverse effects may include **reasonably foreseeable** effects caused by the undertaking that may occur later in time, be farther removed in distance, or be cumulative.
For each historic property, the Federal agency determines if the undertaking will have:

- **“no effect”** – no alteration to the characteristics of a historic property qualifying it for inclusion in or eligibility for the National Register.

- **“no adverse effect”** – an undertaking may effect the historic property, but not in manor that alters directly or indirectly any of the characteristics of a historic property that qualify it for including in the National Register.

- **an “adverse effect”** – an undertaking alters directly or indirectly any of the characteristics of a historic property that qualify it for including in the National Register.
## Effect Determinations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Finding</th>
<th># of Historic Properties</th>
<th>Summary</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>“no effect”</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>No physical changes to these properties, no perceptible noise changes, setting or view shed not effected</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>“no adverse effect”</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>Minor physical changes, or minimal changes to setting or view shed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>“adverse effect”</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Jackson Park Landscape District and Midway Plaisance</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Adverse Effects
Jackson Park Historic District and Midway Plaisance

- Changes to:
  - Cultural landscape
  - Spatial organization of roadways
  - Spatial organization of contributing resources such as:
    - Cheney-Goode Memorial
    - Statue of the Republic
    - English Comfort Station
  - Inclusion of new elements, e.g., OPC buildings, plaza
  - Removal, replacement, or alteration to historic resources such as:
    - Women’s Garden
    - Western Perimeter Playground
    - Eastern end of Midway Plaisance
Next Steps
Written comments on the AOE are due by 5:00 PM on Friday, August 30, 2019.

Please submit by email to abby.monroe@cityofchicago.org.

Consider the following in your remarks:

1. Do you agree with the findings in the document? If you do not agree, please explain.
2. What effects to historic properties are you most concerned with?
3. What suggestions do you have to avoid, minimize, or mitigate the adverse effect?
## Memorandum of Agreement

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Official Signatories</th>
<th>Invited Signatories</th>
<th>Invited to Concur</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• FHWA</td>
<td>• IDOT</td>
<td>• Consulting Parties</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• NPS</td>
<td>• City of Chicago</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• SHPO</td>
<td>• Chicago Park District</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• ACHP</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Mitigation Examples

• Update National Register nomination for Jackson Park/Midway Plaisance

• Develop National Register nominations for other properties or districts within the Area of Potential Effect (APE)

• Develop multimedia educational and interpretive materials related to Jackson Park/Midway Plaisance

• Historic American Landscapes Survey (HALS) documentation
Anticipated Timeline*

*All dates are subject to change.