# 2002 Annual Report

# **Central Loop Redevelopment Project Area**



# Pursuant to 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5(d)

JUNE 30, 2003

# **UERNST&YOUNG**

 Ernst & Young LLP Sears Tower
233 South Wacker Drive Chicago, Illinois 60606-6301 Phone: (312) 879-2000 www.ey.com

June 30, 2003

Ms. Alicia Mazur Berg Commissioner Department of Planning and Development 121 N. LaSalle St. Chicago, Illinois 60602

Dear Commissioner:

Enclosed is the annual report for the Central Loop Redevelopment Project Area, which we compiled at the direction of the Department of Planning and Development pursuant to Section 5(d) of the Illinois Tax Increment Allocation Redevelopment Act (65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-1 et <u>seq</u>.), as amended. The contents are based on information provided to us by Chicago Departments of Planning and Development, Finance, and Law. We have not audited, verified, or applied agreed upon accounting and testing procedures to the data contained in this report. Therefore, we express no opinion on its accuracy or completeness.

It has been a pleasure to work with representatives from the Department of Planning and Development and other City Departments.

Very truly yours,

Ernst + Young LLP

Ernst & Young LLP

#### TABLE OF CONTENTS

# ANNUAL REPORT – CENTRAL LOOP REDEVELOPMENT PROJECT AREA IN COMPLIANCE WITH SECTION (d) OF 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5.

Р	A	G	E
		9	_

LE	TTER TO THE STATE COMPTROLLER	1
1)	DATE OF DESIGNATION OR TERMINATION	2
2)	AUDITED FINANCIALS	3
3)	MAYOR'S CERTIFICATION	4
4)	OPINION OF LEGAL COUNSEL	5
5)	ANALYSIS OF SPECIAL TAX ALLOCATION FUND	6
6)	DESCRIPTION OF PROPERTY	9
7)	STATEMENT OF ACTIVITIES	10
8)	DOCUMENTS RELATING TO OBLIGATIONS ISSUED BY THE MUNICIPALITY	17
9)	ANALYSIS OF DEBT SERVICE	18
10)	) CERTIFIED AUDIT REPORT	19
11)	) GENERAL DESCRIPTION AND MAP	20



City of Chicago Richard M. Daley, Mayor

Department of Planning and Development

Alicia Mazur Berg Commissioner

121 North LaSalle Street Chicago, Illinois 60602 (312) 744-4190 (312) 744-2271 (FAX)

http://www.cityofchicago.org

June 30, 2003

The Honorable Daniel Hynes Comptroller State of Illinois Office of the Comptroller 201 Capitol Springfield, IL 62706

Dear Comptroller Hynes:

We have compiled the attached information for the Central Loop Redevelopment Project Area (Report) pursuant to 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5(d).

Sincerely,

4 ciamberg

Alicia Mazur Berg Commissioner





### (1) DATE OF DESIGNATION OR TERMINATION - 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5(d)(1.5)

The Project Area was designated on June 20, 1984. The Project Area may be terminated no later than June 20, 2007.

Note: Incremental tax revenues levied in the  $23^{rd}$  tax year are collected in the  $24^{th}$  tax year. Although the Project Area will expire in Year 23 in accordance with 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-3(n)(J)(3), the incremental taxes received in the  $24^{th}$  tax year will be deposited into the Special Tax Allocation Fund.

### (2) AUDITED FINANCIALS - 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5(d)(2)

Please see attached.

# Deloitte & Touche

# City of Chicago, Illinois Central Loop Redevelopment Project

Financial Statements for the Year Ended December 31, 2002 Required Supplementary Information and Additional Information for the Year Ended December 31, 2002 and Independent Auditors' Report

# **CENTRAL LOOP REDEVELOPMENT PROJECT**

### TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT	1-2
MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS	3-4
BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS:	
Government-Wide Statement of Net Assets (Deficit) and Governmental Funds Combined Balance Sheet	5
Reconciliation of the Governmental Funds Combined Balance Sheet to the Government-Wide Statement of Net Assets (Deficit)	6
Government-Wide Statement of Activities and Governmental Funds, Combined Statement of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Net Assets (Deficit) and Fund Balance	. 7
Reconciliation of the Governmental Funds Combined Statement of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Net Assets (Deficit) and Fund Balance to the Government-Wide Statement of Activities	8
Statement of Fiduciary Net Assets	9
Notes to the Financial Statements	10-15
ADDITIONAL INFORMATION:	
Schedule of Expenditures by Statutory Code	16

Deloitte & Touche LLP 180 N. Stetson Avenue Chicago, Illinois 60601-6779

Tel: (312) 946-3000 Fax: (312) 946-2600 www.deloitte.com

# Deloitte & Touche

#### **INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT**

To the Honorable Richard M. Daley, Mayor, and Members of the City Council City of Chicago, Illinois

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of the government-wide activities, governmental funds and agency fund of the City of Chicago, Illinois' ("City") Central Loop Redevelopment Project (the "Project") as of and for the year ended December 31, 2002, which collectively comprise the Project's basic financial statements, as listed in the table of contents. These financial statements are the responsibility of the City's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these combined financial statements based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, such financial statements present fairly, in all material respects, the respective net assets or financial position of the government-wide activities and governmental funds and the agency fund of the Project as of December 31, 2002, and the respective changes in their financial position for the year then ended, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

As discussed in Note 1, beginning in fiscal year 2002, the Project implemented Government Accounting Standards Board Statements No. 34, No. 37 and No. 38.

The Management's Discussion and Analysis on pages 3 to 4 is not a required part of the basic financial statements, but is supplementary information required by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board. This supplementary information is the responsibility of the City's management. We have applied certain limited procedures that consisted principally of inquiries of management regarding methods of measurement and presentation of the required supplementary information. However, we did not audit the information and we express no opinion or any other form of assurance on it.

Our audit was conducted for the purpose of forming an opinion on the Project's respective financial statements that collectively comprise the Project's basic financial statements. The additional information (page 16), which is also the responsibility of the City's management, is presented for purposes of additional analysis and is not a required part of the basic financial statements, but is required by the Illinois Tax Increment Financing Act of 1997. Such additional information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in our audit of the basic financial statements and, in our opinion, is fairly stated, in all material respects, when considered in relation to the basic financial statements taken as a whole.

Delaitte & Touche LLP

June 19, 2003

# **CENTRAL LOOP REDEVELOPMENT PROJECT**

### MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

As management of the Central Loop Redevelopment Project (the "Project"), we offer the readers of the Project's financial statements this narrative overview and analysis of the Project's financial performance for the year ended December 31, 2002. Please read it in conjunction with the Project's financial statements and notes to the financial statements immediately following this section.

#### Overview of the Financial Statements

This discussion and analysis is intended to serve as an introduction to the Project's basic financial statements. The Project's basic financial statements include four components: 1) government-wide financial statements, 2) governmental fund financial statements, 3) Statement of Fiduciary Net Assets and 4) notes to the financial statements. This report also contains additional information concerning the Project's expenditures as required by Illinois statutory code.

Basic Financial Statements include three kinds of financial statements that present different views of the Project—the Government-Wide Financial Statements, the Governmental Fund Financial Statements and the Fiduciary Statement of Net Assets. These financial statements also include the notes to the financial statements that explain information in the financial statements and provide more detail.

Government-Wide Financial Statements provide both long-term and short-term information about the Project's financial status and use accounting methods similar to those used by private-sector companies. The statement of net assets includes all of the project's assets and liabilities. All of the current year's revenues and expenses are accounted for in the statement of activities using the accrual basis of accounting. The two government-wide statements report the Project's net assets and how they have changed. Net assets—the difference between the Project's assets and liabilities—is one way to measure the Project's financial health, or position.

Governmental Fund Financial Statements provide more detailed information about the Project's significant funds—not the Project as a whole. Governmental funds focus on: 1) how cash and other financial assets can readily be converted to cash flows and 2) the year-end balances that are available for spending. Consequently, the governmental fund statements provide a detailed short-term view that helps determine whether there are more financial resources that can be spent in the near future to finance the Project. Such statements are prepared on the modified accrual basis of accounting. Because this information does not encompass the additional long-term focus of the government-wide statements, we provide additional reconciling information in a separate statement to explain the relationship (or differences) between the two.

Statement of Fiduciary Net Assets accounts for resources held for the benefit of parties outside the government. Fiduciary funds are not reflected in the government-wide financial statements because the resources of those funds are not available to support the City's own programs. The Project's fiduciary activity is presented in a separate Statement of Fiduciary Net Assets.

*Notes to the Financial Statements* provide additional information that is essential to a full understanding of the data provided in the government-wide financial statements, governmental fund financial statements and Statement of Fiduciary Net Assets. The notes to the financial statements follow the basic financial statements.

Additional Information presents a schedule of expenditures as required by Illinois statutory code. This additional information follows the notes to the financial statements.

#### **Governmental Funds** 2002 2001 Change % Change Total assets \$193,118,986 \$243,691,711 \$(50,572,725) (21)%**Total liabilities** 63,339,636 59,398,618 3,941,018 7% Total fund balance \$129,779,350 \$184,293,093 \$(54,513,743) (30)% Total revenues \$ 56,723,522 \$ 58,989,172 \$ (2,265,650) (4)% Total expenditures 101,644,265 107,352,441 (5,708,176)(5)% Excess (deficiency) of revenues over expenditures (44, 920, 743)(48, 363, 269)3,442,526 (7)% Operating transfer out (9,593,000)(6,000,000)(3,593,000)60 % Beginning fund balance 184,293,093 238,656,362 (54,363,269) (23)% Ending fund balance \$129,779,350 \$184,293,093 \$(54,513,743) (30)%

#### Condensed Comparative Financial Statements

In future years, when prior-year information is available, a comparative analysis of government-wide data will be presented.

#### Analysis of Overall Financial Position and Results of Operations

Property tax revenue for the Project was \$53,400,347 for the year. This was an increase of 6 percent from the prior year. Excess of expenditures over revenues produced a decrease in fund balance of \$44,920,743. The Project's fund balance decreased by 30 percent (including operating transfers out) from the prior year making available \$129,779,350 of funding to be provided for purposes of future redevelopment in the Project's designated area.

#### Debt Administration

Tax Increment Allocation Bonds outstanding at December 31, 2002 amounted to \$262,235,956. More detailed information about the Project's long-term liabilities is presented in note 3 of the financial statements.

### CENTRAL LOOP REDEVELOPMENT PROJECT

#### GOVERNMENT-WIDE STATEMENT OF NET ASSETS (DEFICIT) AND GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS COMBINED BALANCE SHEET DECEMBER 31, 2002

	Governmental Funds	Adjustments	Government- Wide Activities
ASSETS: Cash and cash equivalents (Note 2) Investments (Note 2) Cash and investments with escrow agent Due from other funds Property taxes receivable Accrued interest receivable	\$ 54,561,219 475,361 81,014,691 535,651 56,243,000 289,064	\$-	\$ 54,561,219 475,361 81,014,691 535,651 56,243,000 289,064
TOTAL ASSETS	<u>\$193,118,986</u>	<u>\$</u>	<u>\$ 193,118,986</u>
LIABILITIES: Vouchers payable Accrued interest Due to other funds Accrued liabilities Deferred revenue Bonds payable (Note 3): Due within one year Due after one year Total liabilities	\$ 1,710,097 863,762 4,583,015 750,000 55,432,762 	\$- (55,432,762) 42,475,000 219,760,956 206,803,194	\$ 1,710,097 863,762 4,583,015 750,000 42,475,000 219,760,956 270,142,830
FUND BALANCE / NET ASSETS (DEFICIT) Fund balance: Reserved for encumbrances (Note 5) Reserved for debt service Designated for future redevelopment project costs	12,637,853 81,010,650 <u>36,130,847</u>	(12,637,853) (81,010,650) (36,130,847)	
Total fund balance	129,779,350	(129,779,350)	
TOTAL LIABILITIES AND FUND BALANCE	<u>\$193,118,986</u>		
Net assets (deficit): Restricted for debt service Net deficit		136,443,412 (213,467,256)	136,443,412 (213,467,256)
TOTAL NET ASSETS (DEFICIT)		<u>\$ (77,023,844)</u>	<u>\$ (77,023,844)</u>

## **CENTRAL LOOP REDEVELOPMENT PROJECT**

### RECONCILIATION OF THE GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS COMBINED BALANCE SHEET TO THE GOVERNMENT-WIDE STATEMENT OF NET ASSETS (DEFICIT) DECEMBER 31, 2002

Amounts reported for government-wide activities in the statement of net assets (deficit) are different because:	
Total fund balance for governmental funds	\$ 129,779,350
Property tax revenue is recognized in the period for which levied rather than	
when "available." A Portion of the deferred property tax revenue is not available.	55,432,762
Long-term liabilities applicable to the Project's governmental activities are not due and payable in the current period and accordingly are not reported as fund liabilities. All long-term liabilities are reported in the statement of net assets.	
Long-term liabilities:	
Bonds payable Accreted interest	(253,446,614)
Accieted interest	(8,789,342)
Total long-term liabilities	(262,235,956)
Total net assets (deficit) for government-wide activities	\$ (77,023,844)

### **CENTRAL LOOP REDEVELOPMENT PROJECT**

### GOVERNMENT-WIDE STATEMENT OF ACTIVITIES AND GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS, COMBINED STATEMENT OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES AND CHANGES IN NET ASSETS (DEFICIT) AND FUND BALANCE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2002

	Governmental Funds	Adjustments	Statement of Activities
REVENUES:			
Property tax	\$ 53,400,347	\$ 5,487,073	\$ 58,887,420
Investment income	2,456,549		2,456,549
Rental income	229,001		229,001
Miscellaneous income	637,625		637,625
Total revenues	56,723,522	5,487,073	62,210,595
EXPENDITURES:			
Capital projects	60,918,185		60,918,185
Debt service:			
Principal retirement	28,300,000	(28,300,000)	-
Interest expense	12,426,080	4,373,146	16,799,226
Total expenditures	101,644,265	(23,926,854)	77,717,411
EXPENDITURES OVER REVENUES	(44,920,743)	29,413,927	(15,506,816)
OTHER FINANCING SOURCES (USES): Operating transfers out (Note 4)	(9,593,000)		(9,593,000)
REVENUES AND OTHER FINANCING SOURCES OVER (UNDER) EXPENDITURES AND OTHER FINANCING USES	(54,513,743)	54,513,743	-
CHANGE IN NET ASSETS (DEFICIT)		(25,099,816)	(25,099,816)
FUND BALANCE/NET ASSETS (DEFICIT): Beginning of year	184,293,093	(236,217,121)	(51,924,028)
End of year	<u>\$ 129,779,350</u>	\$(206,803,194)	<u>\$(77,023,844)</u>

### CENTRAL LOOP REDEVELOPMENT PROJECT

### RECONCILIATION OF THE GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS COMBINED STATEMENT OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES AND CHANGES IN NET ASSETS (DEFICIT) AND FUND BALANCE TO THE GOVERNMENT-WIDE STATEMENT OF ACTIVITIES YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2002

The change in net assets (deficit) reported for government-wide activities in the statement of activities is different because:	
Net change in fund balances-total governmental funds	\$ (54,513,743)
Property tax revenue is recognized in the period for which levied rather than when "available." A portion of the deferred property tax revenue is not available.	5,487,073
Repayment of bond principal is reported as an expenditure in governmental funds and, thus, has the effect of reducing fund balance because current financial resources have been used. For governmental activities, however, the principal payments reduce the liabilities in the statement of net assets (deficit) and do not result in an expense in the statement of activities.	28,300,000
Under the modified accrual basis of accounting used in the governmental funds, expenditures are not recognized for transactions that are not normally paid with expendable available financial resources. In the statement of activities, however, which is presented on the accrual basis, expenses and liabilities are reported regardless of when financial resources are available.	
Accreted interest on CAB Bonds	(4,373,146)
Change in net assets (deficit) of government-wide activities	<u>\$ (25,099,816)</u>

# **CENTRAL LOOP REDEVELOPMENT PROJECT**

# STATEMENT OF FIDUCIARY NET ASSETS DECEMBER 31, 2002

	Agency Fund
ASSETS: Cash and cash equivalents (Note 2) Accrued interest receivable	\$3,439,443 
Total assets	3,442,624
LIABILITIES: Accrued liabilities	3,442,624
Total liabilities	3,442,624
NET ASSETS	<u>\$                                    </u>

## CENTRAL LOOP REDEVELOPMENT PROJECT

#### NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2002

#### 1. ORGANIZATION AND SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

(a) Reporting Entity:

The Central Loop Redevelopment Project (the "Project") was established as a Tax Increment Financing ("TIF") district by the City of Chicago, Illinois (the "City") in 1997. The Project is an extension of the North Loop Redevelopment Project established in 1986 and has been established to finance improvements, leverage private investment, and create and retain jobs within the TIF district.

(b) Government-Wide Financial Statements, Governmental Fund Financial Statements and Statement of Fiduciary Net Assets:

The accounting policies of the Project are based upon accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America, as prescribed by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board ("GASB"). For the year ended December 31, 2002, the Project adopted GASB Statement No. 34, Basic Financial Statements and Management's Discussion and Analysis—for State and Local Governments, GASB Statement No. 37, Basic Financial Statements—and Management's Discussion and Analysis—for State and Local Governments: Omnibus and GASB Statement No. 38, Certain Financial Statements Disclosures. Certain of the significant changes in the Statements include the following:

- For the first time the financial statements include:
  - A Management Discussion and Analysis ("MD&A") section providing an analysis of the Project's overall financial position and results of operations.
  - Government-wide financial statements prepared using the economic resources measurement focus and the *accrual basis of accounting* for all the Project's activities.
  - Fund financial statements, which focus on the Project's governmental funds *current* financial resources measurement focus.
  - Statement of Fiduciary Net Assets.

These and other changes are reflected in the accompanying financial statements (including the notes to the financial statements).

(c) Measurement Focus, Basis of Accounting, and Financial Statements Presentation

The government-wide financial statements are reported using the *accrual basis of accounting*. Revenues are recorded when earned and expenses are recorded when a liability is incurred regardless of the timing of related cash flows. Property taxes are recognized as revenues in the year for which they are levied. The fiduciary funds are excluded from the government-wide financial statements.

The governmental fund financial statements are prepared on the *modified accrual basis of accounting* with only current assets and liabilities included on the balance sheet. Under *the modified accrual basis of accounting*, revenues are recorded when susceptible to accrual, i.e., both measurable and available to finance expenditures of the current period. Available means collectible within the current period or soon enough thereafter to be used to pay liabilities of the current period. Property taxes are susceptible to accrual and recognized as a receivable in the year levied. Revenue recognition is deferred unless the taxes are received within 60 days subsequent to year-end. Expenditures are recorded when the liability is incurred. The Project is accounted for within the capital projects, debt service, special revenue and agency funds of the City.

- Governmental Funds—The Project is accounted for within the capital projects, debt service and special revenue fund types of the City. Capital projects funds account for financial resources to be used for the acquisition or construction of major capital facilities. Debt service funds account for the accumulation of resources for, and the payment of, debt and related costs. Special revenue funds account for the proceeds of specific revenue sources requiring separate accounting because of legal, grant or regulatory provisions or administrative action. These funds are presented herein on a combined basis.
- *Fiduciary (Agency) Fund*—An agency fund accounts for assets held by the Project in a trustee capacity for a developer deposit. Agency funds are custodial in nature (assets equal liabilities) and do not involve measurement of results of operations.

Private-sector standards of accounting and financial reporting issued prior to December 1, 1989, generally are followed in government-wide financial statements to the extent that those standards do not conflict with or contradict guidance of the Governmental Accounting Standards Board. The City has elected not to follow subsequent private-sector guidance.

When both restricted and unrestricted resources are available for use, it is the City's policy to use restricted resources first, and then unrestricted resources, as they are needed.

(d) Management's Use of Estimates—The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Accordingly, actual results could differ from these estimates.

- (e) Assets, Liabilities and Net Assets:
  - Cash, cash equivalents and Investments:

The bond proceeds and incremental taxes associated with the Project are deposited with the City Treasurer or a trust account. The Municipal Code of Chicago (the "Code") permits deposits only to City Council-approved depositories, which must be regularly organized state or national banks and federal and state savings and loan associations, located within the City, whose deposits are federally insured. The City uses separate escrow accounts in which certain tax revenues are deposited and held for payment of general obligation debt.

Investments authorized by the Code include interest-bearing general obligations of the City, State of Illinois (State), and U.S. Government; U.S. treasury bills and other non-interestbearing general obligations of the U.S. Government purchased in the open market below face value; domestic money market funds regulated and in good standing with the Securities and Exchange Commission; and tax anticipation warrants issued by the City. The City is prohibited by ordinance from investing in derivatives, as defined, without City Council approval.

Investments, generally, may not have a maturity in excess of one year from the date of purchase. Certain other investment balances are held in accordance with the specific provisions of the applicable bond ordinance.

Cash equivalents include certificates of deposit and other investments with maturities of three months or less when purchased.

• Capital Assets:

Fixed assets are not capitalized in the governmental funds but, instead, are charged as current expenditures when purchased. The Government-wide financial statements (i.e., the statement of net assets and the statement of changes in net assets) of the City include the capital assets and related depreciation, if any, of the Project in which ownership of the capital asset will remain with the City (i.e. infrastructure, or municipal building). All other construction will be expensed in both the government-wide financial statements and the governmental funds as neither the City nor Project will retain the right of ownership.

- (f) Stewardship, Compliance, and Accountability:
  - Illinois Tax Increment Redevelopment Allocation Act Compliance:

The Project's expenditures include reimbursements for various eligible costs as described in subsection (q) of Section 11-74.4-3 of the Illinois Tax Increment Redevelopment Allocation Act and the Redevelopment Agreement relating specifically to the Project. Eligible costs include but are not limited to survey, property assembly, rehabilitation, public infrastructure, financing and relocation costs.

,

• Reimbursements:

Reimbursements are made to the developer for project costs, as public improvements are completed and pass City inspection. The semi-annual principal and interest payments are made solely from incremental real property taxes, which are paid in the redevelopment district.

#### 2. CASH, CASH EQUIVALENTS AND INVESTMENTS

**Deposits**—Certain deposits with the City Treasurer are commingled and invested by the Treasurer with deposits from other City funds; accordingly, it is not practical to disclose the related bank balance of such cash deposits for the Project. Of the City Treasurer's total bank balances at December 31, 2002, \$176 million or 100 percent were either insured or collateralized with securities held by City agents in the City's name.

**Investments**—Investments are categorized to give an indication of the level of credit risk. Category 1 includes investments that are insured or registered in the City's name or the securities were held by the City or its agent in the City's name. Category 2 includes uninsured and unregistered investments for which the securities are held by the counter party's trust department or its agent in the City's name. Category 3 includes uninsured and unregistered investments for which the securities are held by the financial institution or counter party, or by its trust department or agent, but not in the City's name. Pooled funds include primarily money market accounts. The following table provides a summary for all Project funds at December 31, 2002:

Description	Risk Category	2002
U.S. Government obligations	1	\$ 25,477,179
Noncategorized—pooled funds		102,006,257
Total		\$127,483,436

The following reconciles the fair value of investments to the financial statements:

	2002
Investments Investments included in cash and investments with escrow agent Investments included in cash and cash equivalents	\$ 475,361 81,014,691 <u>45,993,384</u>
Total	\$127,483,436

#### 3. BONDS PAYABLE

Tax Increment Allocation Bonds (Central Loop Redevelopment Project), Series 2000 A and Taxable Series 2000 B (\$142.3 million) were sold in November 2000. The Series 2000 A (\$79.9 million) were sold as capital appreciation bonds having yields of 5.03 percent and maturity dates ranging from December 1, 2005 to December 1, 2008. The Taxable Series 2000 B (\$62.4 million) were sold as current interest bonds having interest rates ranging from 6.55 percent to 6.8 percent with maturity dates ranging from December 1, 2002 to December 1, 2005. Net proceeds of \$139.4 million will be used to finance certain Project costs (\$125.2 million) and fund the debt service reserve account (\$14.2 million).

Subordinate Tax Increment Allocation Bonds (Central Loop Redevelopment Project), Series 2000 A (\$98.9 million) were sold in November 2000. The bonds have interest rates ranging from 6.25 percent to 6.5 percent with maturity dates ranging from December 1, 2002 to December 1, 2008. Net proceeds of \$95.9 million together with certain proceeds of \$10 million released from the debt service reserve account in respect to the Tax Increment Allocation Bonds (Central Loop Redevelopment Project), Series 1997 A were used to advance refund all of the Tax Increment Allocation Bonds (Central Loop Redevelopment Project), Series 1997 A (\$95 million); fund the debt service reserve requirement for the Subordinate Tax Increment Allocation Bonds (Central Loop Redevelopment Project), Series 2000 A (\$9.9 million); and fund a portion of the Project costs (\$1 million). The advance refunding increased total debt payments by \$22.9 million and resulted in an economic loss of \$9.2 million. The City advance refunded the Series 1997 A bonds in order to remove certain covenants and to issue new bonds to finance additional redevelopment project costs.

In November 1997, the City authorized and issued the City of Chicago Tax Increment Allocation Bonds (Central Loop Redevelopment Project) \$96 million Series 1997 A and \$91 million Taxable Series 1997 B. The bonds have interest rates ranging from 4.5 percent to 6.375 percent and have maturity dates ranging from June 1, 1999 to June 1, 2007. Certain net proceeds (\$166.6 million) are to be used to finance redevelopment of the TIF district. During 2000, \$96 million was defeased with the issuance of the new bonds. The remaining amounts outstanding are recorded in the City's general long-term debt account group.

Long-term liability activity for the year ended December 31, 2002 was as follows:

	Bonds Payable	Accretion of Capital Appreciation Bonds Net of Converted Bonds	Total Bonds
Beginning balance	\$281,746,614	\$4,416,195	\$286,162,809
Additions Reductions	(28,300,000)	4,373,147	4,373,147 (28,300,000)
Ending balance	\$253,446,614	\$8,789,342	\$262,235,956
Amounts due within one year	<u>\$ 42,475,000</u>		

The following summarizes debt service requirements as of December 31, 2002:

Year Ending December 31	Principal	Interest	Total
2003	\$ 42,475,000	\$10,365,151	\$ 52,840,151
2004	37,825,000	8,562,225	46,387,225
2005	44,905,604	9,178,061	54,083,665
2006	35,769,430	12,488,945	48,258,375
2007	35,123,600	13,017,150	48,140,750
2008	57,347,980	13,459,520	70,807,500
Total	\$253,446,614	\$67,071,052	\$320,517,666

#### 4. OPERATING TRANSFERS OUT

During 2002, the Project transferred funds to the City's General Fund relating to initial start-up costs funded by the City of \$9.6 million.

#### 5. COMMITMENTS

As of December 31, 2002, the Project has entered into contracts for services and construction projects for approximately \$13 million.

\* \* \* \* \* \*

## **CENTRAL LOOP REDEVELOPMENT PROJECT**

### SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES BY STATUTORY CODE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2002

#### EXPENDITURES:

EXPENDITURES: Costs of studies, surveys, development of plans and specifications, implementation and administration of the redevelopment plan including but not limited to staff and professional service costs for architectural, engineering, legal and marketing	\$ 1,787,000
Costs of property assembly, including but not limited to acquisition of land and other property, real or personal, or rights and interests therein, demolition of buildings, and the clearing and grading of land	22,202,075
Costs of rehabilitation, reconstruction or repair or remodeling of existing public or private buildings and fixtures	2,286,034
Costs of the construction of public works or improvements	34,315,603
Costs of job training and retraining projects	266,096
Costs of financing, including but not limited to all necessary and incidental expenses related to the issuance of obligations and which may include payment of interest on any obligations issued hereunder accruing during the estimated period of construction of any redevelopment project for which such obligations are issued and for not exceeding 36 months thereafter and including reasonable reserves related thereto	40,726,080
Costs of relocation to the extent that a municipality determines that relocation costs shall be paid or is required to make payment of relocation costs by federal or state law	61,377
TOTAL EXPENDITURES	<u>\$101,644,265</u>

## (3) MAYOR'S CERTIFICATION - 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5(d)(3)

Please see attached.

#### COUNTY OF COOK

### CERTIFICATION

#### TO:

Daniel W. Hynes Comptroller of the State of Illinois James R. Thompson Center 100 West Randolph Street, Suite 15-500 Chicago, Illinois 60601 Attention: Carol Reckamp, Director of Local Government

)

)

Dolores Javier, Treasurer City Colleges of Chicago 226 West Jackson Boulevard, Room 1125 Chicago, Illinois 60606

Gwendolyn Clemons, Director Cook County Department of Planning & Development 69 West Washington Street, Room 2900 Chicago, Illinois 60602 Attn: Jackie Harder

Kim Feeney, Comptroller Forest Preserve District of Cook County 69 West Washington Street, Room 2060 Chicago, Illinois 60602

Martin J. Koldyke, Chairman Chicago School Finance Authority 135 South LaSalle Street, Suite 3800 Chicago, Illinois 60603 David Doig, General Superintendent & CEO Chicago Park District 541 North Fairbanks Court, 7th Floor Chicago, Illinois 60611

Arne Duncan, Chief Executive Officer Chicago Board of Education 125 South Clark Street, 5th Floor Chicago, Illinois 60603 Attn: Linda Wrightsell

Mary West, Director of Finance Metropolitan Water Reclamation District of Greater Chicago 100 East Erie Street, Room 2429 Chicago, Illinois 60611 Attn: Joe Rose

Lawrence Gulotta, Treasurer South Cook County Mosquito Abatement District 155th & Dixie Highway P.O. Box 1030 Harvey, Illinois 60426 Attn: Dr. K. Lime

I, RICHARD M. DALEY, in connection with the annual report (the "Report") of information required by Section 11-74.4-5(d) of the Tax Increment Allocation Redevelopment Act, 65 ILCS5/11-74.4-1 et seq, (the "Act") with regard to the Central Loop Redevelopment Project Area (the "Redevelopment Project Area"), do hereby certify as follows:

1. I am the duly qualified and acting Mayor of the City of Chicago, Illinois (the "City") and, as such, I am the City's Chief Executive Officer. This Certification is being given by me in such capacity.

2. During the preceding fiscal year of the City, being January 1 through December 31, 2002, the City complied, in all material respects, with the requirements of the Act, as applicable from time to time, regarding the Redevelopment Project Area.

3. In giving this Certification, I have relied on the opinion of the Corporation Counsel of the City furnished in connection with the Report.

4. This Certification may be relied upon only by the addressees hereof.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto affixed my official signature as of this 30th day of June, 2003.

Richard M. Daley, Mayor City of Chicago, Illinois

# (4) **OPINION OF LEGAL COUNSEL - 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5(d)(4)**

Please see attached.



City of Chicago Richard M. Daley, Mayor

#### **Department of Law**

Mara S. Georges Corporation Counsel

City Hall, Room 600 121 North LaSalle Street Chicago, Illinois 60602 (312) 744-6900 (312) 744-8538 (FAX) (312) 744-2963 (TTY)

http://www.ci.chi.il.us

June 30, 2003

Daniel W. Hynes Comptroller of the State of Illinois James R. Thompson Center 100 West Randolph Street, Suite 15-500 Chicago, Illinois 60601 Attention: Carol Reckamp, Director of Local Government

Dolores Javier, Treasurer City Colleges of Chicago 226 West Jackson Boulevard, Room 1125 Chicago, Illinois 60606

Gwendolyn Clemons, Director Cook County Department of Planning & Development 69 West Washington Street, Room 2900 Chicago, Illinois 60602 Attn: Jackie Harder

Kim Feeney, Comptroller Forest Preserve District of Cook County 69 West Washington Street, Room 2060 Chicago, Illinois 60602

Martin J. Koldyke, Chairman Chicago School Finance Authority 135 South LaSalle Street, Suite 3800 Chicago, Illinois 60603 David Doig, General Superintendent & CEO Chicago Park District 541 North Fairbanks Court, 7th Floor Chicago, Illinois 60611

Arne Duncan, Chief Executive Officer Chicago Board of Education 125 South Clark Street, 5th Floor Chicago, Illinois 60603 Attn: Linda Wrightsell

Mary West, Director of Finance Metropolitan Water Reclamation District of Greater Chicago 100 East Erie Street, Room 2429 Chicago, Illinois 60611 Attn: Joe Rose

Lawrence Gulotta, Treasurer South Cook County Mosquito Abatement District 155th & Dixie Highway P.O. Box 1030 Harvey, Illinois 60426 Attn: Dr. K. Lime

Re: Central Loop Redevelopment Project Area (the "Redevelopment Project Area")

#### Dear Addressees:

I am Corporation Counsel of the City of Chicago, Illinois (the "City"). In such capacity, I am providing the opinion required by Section 11-74.4-5(d)(4) of the Tax Increment Allocation Redevelopment Act, 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-1 <u>et seq</u>. (the "Act"), in connection with the submission of the report (the "Report") in accordance with, and containing the information required by, Section 11-74.4-5(d) of the Act for the Redevelopment Project Area.





**Opinion of Counsel for 2002 Annual Report** Page 2

Attorneys, past and present, in the Law Department of the City familiar with the requirements of the Act have had general involvement in the proceedings affecting the Redevelopment Project Area, including the preparation of ordinances adopted by the City Council of the City with respect to the following matters: approval of the redevelopment plan and project for the Redevelopment Project Area, designation of the Redevelopment Project Area as a redevelopment project area and adoption of tax increment allocation financing for the Redevelopment Project Area, all in accordance with the then applicable provisions of the Act. Various departments of the City, including, if applicable, the Law Department, Department of Planning and Development, Department of Housing, Department of Finance and Office of Budget and Management, have personnel responsible for and familiar with the activities in the Redevelopment Project Area affecting such Department(s) and with the requirements of the Act in connection therewith. Such personnel are encouraged to seek and obtain, and do seek and obtain, the legal guidance of the Law Department with respect to issues that may arise from time to time regarding the requirements of, and compliance with, the Act.

In my capacity as Corporation Counsel, I have relied on the general knowledge and actions of the appropriately designated and trained staff of the Law Department and other applicable City Departments involved with the activities affecting the Redevelopment Project Area. In addition, I have caused to be examined or reviewed by members of the Law Department of the City the certified audit report, to the extent required to be obtained by Section 11-74.4-5(d)(9) of the Act and submitted as part of the Report, which is required to review compliance with the Act in certain respects, to determine if such audit report contains information that might affect my opinion. I have also caused to be examined or reviewed such other documents and records as were deemed necessary to enable me to render this opinion. Nothing has come to my attention that would result in my need to qualify the opinion hereinafter expressed, subject to the limitations hereinafter set forth, unless and except to the extent set forth in an Exception Schedule attached hereto as Schedule 1.

Based on the foregoing, I am of the opinion that, in all material respects, the City is in compliance with the provisions and requirements of the Act in effect and then applicable at the time actions were taken from time to time with respect to the Redevelopment Project Area.

This opinion is given in an official capacity and not personally and no personal liability shall derive herefrom. Furthermore, the only opinion that is expressed is the opinion specifically set forth herein, and no opinion is implied or should be inferred as to any other matter. Further, this opinion may be relied upon only by the addressees hereof and the Mayor of the City in providing his required certification in connection with the Report, and not by any other party.

Very truly yours,

mara S. Georges

Mara S. Georges Corporation Counsel

# **SCHEDULE 1**

# (Exception Schedule)

- (X) No Exceptions
- ( ) Note the following Exceptions:

# (5) ANALYSIS OF SPECIAL TAX ALLOCATION FUND - 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5(d)(5)

COMBINED STATEMENT OF REVENUES, EXPENDITU AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE - GOVERNMENTAL YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2002		
		2002
Revenues	¢	53 400 A47
Property tax Sales tax	\$	53,400,347
Interest		2,456,549
Rental revenue		229,001
M iscellaneous incom e		637,625
Total revenues		56,723,522
Expenditures		
Costs of studies, admin., and professional services. (q)(1)		1,792,309
Marketing costs. (q)(1.6)		-
Property assembly, demolition, site preparation and environmental		
site improvement costs. (q)(2)		22,196,766
Costs of rehabilitation, reconstruction, repair or remodeling and		2 2 2 4 4 2 2
of existing buildings. $(q)(3)$		2,286,034
Costs of construction of public works and improvements. (q)(4)		34,315,603 266,096
Cost of job training and retraining. (q)(5) Financing costs. (q)(6)		40,726,080
Approved capital costs of overlapping taxing districts. (q)(7)		40,720,080
Cost of reimbursing school district for their increase costs caused		· -
by TIF assisted housing projects (q)(7.5)		_
Relocation costs. $(q)(8)$		61,377
Payments in lieu of taxes. (q)(9)		-
Costs of job training, retraining advanced vocational or career		
education provided by other taxing bodies. (q)(10)		-
Costs of reim bursing private developers for interest expenses		
incurred on approved redevelopment projects. (q)(11)(A-E)		-
Costs of construction of new housing units for low income and very		
low income households. (q)(11)(F)		-
Cost of day care services and operational costs of day care centers.		
(q)(11.5)		-
T otal expenditures		101,644,265
Expenditures over revenues		(44,920,743)
O ther financing uses		
Operating transfers out		(9,593,000
Expenditures and other financing uses		
over revenues		(54,513,743)
Fund balance, beginning of year		184,293,093
Fund balance, end of year	\$	129,779,350
Fund Balance		
Reserved for debt service		81,010,650
Reserved for encum brances		12,637,853
Designated for future redevelopment project costs	\$	36,130,847
Total fund balance	\$	129,779,350

# (5) ANALYSIS OF SPECIAL TAX ALLOCATION FUND - 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5(d)(5) cont.

Below is listed all vendors, including other municipal funds, that were paid in excess of \$5,000 during the current reporting year.			
Name	Service	Amount	
Administration <sup>1</sup>	Administration	\$982,093	
Linberger & Company	Consulting	\$10,200	
Meckler, Bulger & Tilson	Consulting	\$76,861	
Franklin Fredman	Consulting	\$12,814	
Foran, Nasharr & O'Toole	Consulting	\$21,014	
Gibbon & Gibbons Ltd.	Consulting	\$18,050	
Integra Realty Resources-Chicago	Consulting	\$7,130	. <u> </u>
O'Donnell, Wicklund Pignozzi & Peterson	Consulting	\$14,308	
Skidmore Owings & Merrill	Consulting	\$80,622	
Clark Street Properties	Consulting	\$7,350	
_evenfeld & Pearlstein	Consulting	\$8,411	
Foran & Schultz	Consulting	\$40,841	
INTB Illinois, Inc.	Consulting	\$5,335	
Appraisal Reserach Counselors	Consulting	\$5,000	
Irkla, Pettigrew, Allen & Payne	Consulting	\$32,306	
DeLoitte & Touche	Consulting	\$15,000	
Wiss Janney Elstner Associates	Consulting	\$8,191	
Blackwell Consulting	Consulting	\$5,000	
J. S. Equities Realty, Inc.	Consulting	\$177,294	8
30 S. Michigan Ave.,LLC	Development	\$48,924	
01 N. Wells Investors, LLC	Development	\$400,000	
JV Venture	Development	\$13,099,460	
St. George Hotel, LLC	Development	\$4,250,000	
One North Dearborn Trust	Development	\$1,215,762	
lear North Insurance Agency	Financing Costs	\$5,172	

# (5) ANALYSIS OF SPECIAL TAX ALLOCATION FUND - 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5(d)(5) cont.

Below is listed all vendors, including other municipal funds, that were paid in excess of \$5,000 during the current reporting year.

Service	Amount	
Financing Costs	\$40,334,908	
Cost of Job Training	\$266,096	
Legal	\$205,138	
Property Acquisition	\$5,309	·
Property Acquisition	\$3,076,785	
Property Acquisition	\$150,000	
Cost of Public Improvements	\$223,604	
Cost of Public Improvements	\$1,127,829	
Cost of Public Improvements	\$29,905,661	
Cost of Public Improvements	\$970,000	
Cost of Public Improvements	\$273,041	
Cost of Public Improvements	\$124,604	
Cost of Public Improvements	\$9,125	
Cost of Public Improvements	\$36,859	. <u> </u>
Cost of Public Improvements	\$22,610	
Cost of Public Improvements	\$924,714	
Cost of Public Improvements	\$440,747	
Cost of Public Improvements	\$29,026	
Cost of Public Improvements	\$134,960	
Cost of Public Improvements	\$81,255	
Cost of Rehabilitation	\$1,000,000	
Cost of Rehabilitation	\$1,237,109	
Cost of Relocation	\$16,525	
Cost of Relocation	\$40,000	
	Financing CostsCost of Job TrainingLegalProperty AcquisitionProperty AcquisitionProperty AcquisitionCost of Public ImprovementsCost of RehabilitationCost of RehabilitationCost of Rehabilitation	Financing Costs\$40,334,908Cost of Job Training\$266,096Legal\$205,138Property Acquisition\$5,309Property Acquisition\$3,076,785Property Acquisition\$150,000Cost of Public Improvements\$223,604Cost of Public Improvements\$1,127,829Cost of Public Improvements\$29,905,661Cost of Public Improvements\$273,041Cost of Public Improvements\$124,604Cost of Public Improvements\$124,604Cost of Public Improvements\$22,610Cost of Public Improvements\$22,010Cost of Public Improvements\$29,026Cost of Public Improvements\$29,026Cost of Public Improvements\$134,960Cost of Public Improvements\$134,960Cost of Public Improvements\$134,960Cost of Public Improvements\$134,960Cost of Public Improvements\$81,255Cost of Rehabilitation\$1,000,000Cost of Rehabilitation\$1,000,000Cost of Rehabilitation\$16,525

<sup>1</sup>Costs Relate directly to the salaries of Department of Planning employees working solely on tax increment financing districts and their related fringe benefits.

## (6) **DESCRIPTION OF PROPERTY - 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5(d)(6)**

During 2002, the City did not purchase any property in the Project Area.

### (7) STATEMENT OF ACTIVITIES - 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5(d)(7)

- (A) Projects implemented in the preceding fiscal year.
- (B) A description of the redevelopment activities undertaken.
- (C) Agreements entered into by the City with regard to disposition or redevelopment of any property within the Project Area.
- (D) Additional information on the use of all Funds received by the Project Area and steps taken by the City to achieve the objectives of the Redevelopment Plan.
- (E) Information on contracts that the City's consultants have entered into with parties that have received, or are receiving, payments financed by tax increment revenues produced by the Project Area.
- (F) Joint Review Board reports submitted to the City.
- (G) Project-by-project review of public and private investment undertaken from 11/1/99 to 12/31/02, and of such investments expected to be undertaken in Year 2003; also, a project-by-project ratio of private investment to public investment from 11/1/99 to 12/31/02, and an estimated ratio of such investments as of the completion of each project and as estimated to the completion of the redevelopment project.

SEE TABLES AND/OR DISCUSSIONS ON THE FOLLOWING PAGES.

(7)(A) - 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5(d)(7)(A)

TABLE 7(A)PROJECTS IMPLEMENTED IN THE PROCEDING FISCAL YEAR

NAME <u>OF PROJECT</u>

Dearborn Center, LLC.

Central Loop Improvement Fund (CLIF) Program

### (7)(B) - 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5(d)(7)(B)

Redevelopment activities undertaken within this Project Area during the year 2002, if any, have been made pursuant to i) the Redevelopment Plan for the Project Area, and ii) any Redevelopment Agreements affecting the Project Area, and are set forth on Table 5 herein by TIF-eligible expenditure category.

### (7)(C) - 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5(d)(7)(C)

### TABLE 7 (C) AGREEMENTS ENTERED INTO WITH REGARD TO THE DISPOSITION & REDEVELOPMENT OF PROPERTY WITHIN THE PROJECT AREA

PARTIES TO AGREEMENT	NATURE OF AGREEMENT	PROJECT DESCRIPTION	ADDRESS	JOBS CREATED/ RETAINED
WITH CITY				
Dearborn Center, L.L.C.	Redevelopment Agreement	The new construction of approximately 1.5 million square feet of office and retail space.	123-141 South Dearborn	142/2012
Various	Central Loop Improvement Fund (CLIF) Program	Rehabilitation of properties in the Central Loop Redevelopment Area owned by qualifying small businesses.	Various	N/A

### (7)(D) - 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5(d)(7)(D)

The Project Area has received \$433,947,805 of property tax and sales tax (if applicable) increment since the creation of the Project Area. These amounts have been used to pay for project costs within the Project Area and for debt service (if applicable). The Project Area's fund balance as shown on Table 5 represents (on a modified accrual basis) financial resources (including increment) that have not been expended.

#### (7)(E) - 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5(d)(7)(E)

During 2002, no contracts were entered into by the City's tax increment advisors or consultants with entities or persons that have received, or are receiving, payments financed by tax increment revenues produced by the Project Area.

### (7)(F) - 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5(d)(7)(F)

During 2002, no reports were submitted to the City by the Joint Review Board.

## (7)(G) - 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5(d)(7)(G)

### TABLE 7(G) PROJECT BY PROJECT REVIEW OF PUBLIC AND PRIVATE INVESTMENT AND RATIO OF PRIVATE TO PUBLIC INVESTMENT \*

Projects Undertaken in This Redevelopment Project Area	Private Investment Undertaken		Public Investment Undertaken		Ratio Of Private/Public Investment	
	11/1/1999 to End of Reporting FY 2002	Amount Estimated to Complete the Project	11/1/1999 to End of Reporting FY 2002	Amount Estimated to Complete the Project	11/1/1999 to End of Reporting FY 2002	Ratio Estimated as of Project Completion
Project 1: Dearborn Center, L.L.C.	n/a	\$327,200,000	\$0	\$10,000,000	n/a	33:1
Project 2: CLIF Program**	n/a	\$3,000,000	\$772,984	\$1,500,000	n/a	2:1
Project 3: American Youth Hostels	n/a	\$10,470,000	\$2,199,219	\$3,530,000	n/a	3:1
Project 4: Canal Street Hotel Partners LP	n/a	\$19,325,000	\$2,458,426	\$2,500,000	n/a	8:1
Project 5: Goodman Theater	n/a	\$40,783,000	\$18,458,216	\$18,800,000	n/a	2:1
Project 6: Palmet Venture, L.L.C.	n/a	\$60,100,000	\$16,394,147	\$17,600,000	n/a	3:1
Project 7: Livent Realty (Chicago) Inc.	n/a	\$15,000,000	\$18,399,077	\$17,000,000	n/a	1:1
Project 8: 201 North Wells Investors, L.L.C.; 201 Wells Investors, Inc.	n/a	\$38,439,149	\$7,000,000	\$7,000,000	n/a	4:1
Project 9: 330 South Michigan	n/a	\$21,308,030	\$1,178,924	\$2,030,000	n/a	10:1
Project 10: Chicago Oxford Associates LP	n/a	\$25,800,000	\$1,608,871	\$1,700,000	n/a	15:1

Projects Undertaken in This	Private Investment Undertaken		Public Investment Undertaken		Ratio Of Private/Public	
Redevelopment Project Area					Investment	
	11/1/1999 to End of Reporting FY 2002	Amount Estimated to Complete the Project	11/1/1999 to End of Reporting FY 2002	Amount Estimated to Complete the Project	11/1/1999 to End of Reporting FY 2002	Amount Estimated to Complete the Project
Project 11: Chicago Symphony Orchestra	n/a	\$64,500,000	\$2,500,000	\$2,500,000	n/a	n/a
Project 12: EthnicGrocer.com, Inc.	n/a	\$2,548,918	\$0	\$1,148,255	n/a	2:1
Project 13: Fisher Building	n/a	\$27,280,973	\$6,600,000	\$6,600,000	n/a	4:1
Project 14: Mentor Building, LLC	n/a	\$9,006,161	\$2,500,000	\$2,500,000	n/a	4:1
Project 15: Michigan Wacker Associates, L.L.C.	n/a	\$9,200,000	\$0	\$1,500,000	n/a	6:1
Project 16: One North Dearborn LLC	n/a	\$124,055,809	\$10,440,833	\$13,500,000	n/a	9:1
Project 17: One South State Street, L.L.C.	n/a	\$63,415,586	\$0	\$5,500,000	n/a	12:1
Project 18: St. George Hotel, L.L.C.; HRH Development, L.L.C.	n/a	\$76,200,000	\$4,250,000	\$5,000,000	n/a	15:1
Project 19: State Cite, LLC (Info Fech)	n/a	\$28,521,000	\$8,000,000	\$8,000,000	n/a	3:1
Total:	n/a	\$966,153,626	\$102,760,697	\$127,908,255	n/a	n/a

#### TABLE 7(G) CONT.

Projects Estimated To Be	Private Investment Undertaken	Public Investment Undertaken	Ratio of Private/Public
Undertaken During 2003			Investment
Project 1: Shubert Associates, LLC	\$23,963,400	\$6,000,000	4:1
Total:	\$23,963,400	\$6,000,000	4:1

\* Each actual or estimated Public Investment reported here is, to the extent possible, comprised only of payments financed by tax increment revenues. In contrast, each actual or estimated Private Investment reported here is, to the extent possible, comprised of payments financed by revenues that are not tax increment revenues and, therefore, may include private equity, private lender financing, private grants, or other local, state or federal grants or loans.

Each amount reported here under Public Investment Undertaken, Amount Estimated to Complete the Project, is the maximum amount of payments financed by tax increment revenues that could be made pursuant to the corresponding Project's operating documents and may not necessarily reflect actual expenditures, if any, as reported in Sections 2 or 5 herein. The total public investment amount ultimately made under each Project will depend upon the future occurrence of various conditions set forth in the Project's operating documents.

Each amount reported here under Public Investment Undertaken, 11/1/1999 to End of Reporting FY, is cumulative from the date of execution of the corresponding Project to the end of the reporting year, and may include interest amounts paid to finance the Public Investment amount. Projects for which the last Public Investment made was prior to November 1, 1999 are not reported on this table.

\*\* Depending on the particular goals of this type of program, the City may: i) make an advance disbursement of the entire public investment amount to the City's program administrator, ii) disburse the amounts through an escrow account, or iii) pay the funds out piecemeal to the program administrator as each ultimate grantee's rehabilitation work is approved under the program.

### (8) DOCUMENTS RELATING TO OBLIGATIONS ISSUED BY THE MUNICIPALITY - 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5(d)(8)(A)

During 2002, there were no obligations issued for the Project Area.

# (9) ANALYSIS OF DEBT SERVICE - 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5(d)(8)(B)

During 2002, there were no obligations issued for the Project Area.

# (10) CERTIFIED AUDIT REPORTS - 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5(d)(9)

Please see attached.

Deloitte & Touche LLP 180 N. Stetson Avenue Chicago, Illinois 60601-6779

Tel: (312) 946-3000 Fax: (312) 946-2600 www.deloitte.com

# Deloitte & Touche

#### INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT

To the Honorable Richard M. Daley, Mayor, and Members of the City Council City of Chicago, Illinois

We have audited, in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America, the accompanying financial statements of the government-wide activities, governmental funds and agency fund of the City of Chicago, Illinois' Central Loop Redevelopment Project (the "Project") as of December 31, 2002, and have issued our report thereon dated June 19, 2003.

In connection with our audit, nothing came to our attention that caused us to believe that the Project failed to comply with the regulatory provisions of Subsection (q) of Section 11-74.4-3 of the Illinois Tax Increment Redevelopment Allocation Act insofar as they relate to financial and accounting matters. However, our audit was not directed primarily toward obtaining knowledge of noncompliance with such subsection.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of the management of the City of Chicago, the Project and the State of Illinois, and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

Delaitte & Touche LLP

June 19, 2003

#### (11) GENERAL DESCRIPTION AND MAP

The Central Loop Redevelopment Project Area is generally bounded by Wacker Drive on the north, Michigan Avenue on the east, Congress Parkway on the south, and Dearborn, LaSalle, and North Franklin Streets on the west. The map below illustrates the location and general boundaries of the Project Area. For precise boundaries, please consult the legal description in the Redevelopment Plan.

