2004 Annual Report

35th/State Redevelopment Project Area



Pursuant to 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5(d)

JUNE 30, 2005



■ Ernst & Young LLP Sears Tower 233 South Wacker Drive Chicago, Illinois 60606-6301 ■ Phone: (312) 879-2000 www.ey.com

June 30, 2005

Ms. Denise Casalino Commissioner Department of Planning and Development 121 North LaSalle Street Chicago, Illinois 60602

Dear Commissioner:

Enclosed is the annual report for the 35th/State Redevelopment Project Area, which we compiled at the direction of the Department of Planning and Development pursuant to Section 5(d) of the Illinois Tax Increment Allocation Redevelopment Act (65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-1 et seq.), as amended. The contents are based on information provided to us by Chicago Departments of Planning and Development, Finance, and Law. We have not audited, verified, or applied agreed upon accounting and testing procedures to the data contained in this report. Therefore, we express no opinion on its accuracy or completeness.

It has been a pleasure to work with representatives from the Department of Planning and Development and other City Departments.

Very truly yours,

Ernst & Young LLP

Ernst + Young LLP

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ANNUAL REPORT – 35^{th} /STATE REDEVELOPMENT PROJECT AREA IN COMPLIANCE WITH SECTION (d) OF 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5.

		PAGI
LE	TTER TO STATE COMPTROLLER	1
1)	DATE OF DESIGNATION OR TERMINATION	2
2)	AUDITED FINANCIALS	3
3)	MAYOR'S CERTIFICATION	4
4)	OPINION OF LEGAL COUNSEL	5
5)	ANALYSIS OF SPECIAL TAX ALLOCATION FUND	6
6)	DESCRIPTION OF PROPERTY	7
7)	STATEMENT OF ACTIVITIES	8
8)	DOCUMENTS RELATING TO OBLIGATIONS ISSUED BY THE MUNICIPALITY	12
9)	ANALYSIS OF DEBT SERVICE	13
10)	CERTIFIED AUDIT REPORT	14
11)	GENERAL DESCRIPTION AND MAP	15



City of Chicago Richard M. Daley, Mayor

Department of Planning and Development

Denise M. Casalino, P.E. Commissioner

City Hall, Room 1000 121 North LaSalle Street Chicago, Illinois 60602 (312) 744-4190 (312) 744-2271 (FAX) (312) 744-2578 (TTY) http://www.cityofchicago.org June 30, 2005

The Honorable Daniel Hynes Comptroller State of Illinois Office of the Comptroller 201 Capitol Springfield, IL 62706

Dear Comptroller Hynes:

We have compiled the attached information for the 35th/State Redevelopment Project Area (Report) pursuant to 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5(d).

Sincerely,

Denise Casalino Commissioner





(1) DATE OF DESIGNATION AND TERMINATION - 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5(d)(1.5)

The Project Area was designated on January 14, 2004. The Project Area may be terminated no later than January 14, 2027.

Note: Incremental tax revenues levied in the 23rd tax year are collected in the 24th tax year. Although the Project Area will expire in Year 23 in accordance with 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-3(n)(J)(3), the incremental taxes received in the 24th tax year will be deposited into the Special Tax Allocation Fund.

(2) AUDITED FINANCIALS - 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5(d)(2)

Please see attached.

CITY OF CHICAGO, ILLINOIS 35TH/STATE REDEVELOPMENT PROJECT

FINANCIAL REPORT

DECEMBER 31, 2004

<u>CITY OF CHICAGO, ILLINOIS</u> 35TH/STATE REDEVELOPMENT PROJECT

CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT ON THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION	1-2
Management's discussion and analysis Statement of net assets and governmental fund balance sheet Statement of activities and governmental fund revenues,	3-4 5
expenditures and changes in fund balance Notes to financial statements	6 7-8
SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION	
Schedule of expenditures by statutory code	9

BANSLEY AND KIENER, L.L.P.

CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS

O'HARE PLAZA

8745 WEST HIGGINS ROAD, SUITE 200

CHICAGO, ILLINOIS 60631

AREA CODE 312 263-2700

INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT

The Honorable Richard M. Daley, Mayor Members of the City Council City of Chicago, Illinois

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of the 35th/State Redevelopment Project of the City of Chicago, Illinois, as of and for the year ended December 31, 2004, as listed in the table of contents. These financial statements are the responsibility of the City of Chicago's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

As discussed in Note 1, the financial statements present only the 35th/State Redevelopment Project and do not purport to, and do not present fairly the financial position of the City of Chicago, Illinois, as of December 31, 2004, and the changes in its financial position for the year then ended in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of the 35th/State Redevelopment Project of the City of Chicago, Illinois, as of December 31, 2004, and the changes in financial position thereof for the year then ended in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

The Management's Discussion and Analysis on pages 3 and 4 is not a required part of the basic financial statements but is supplementary information required by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board. We have applied certain limited procedures, which consisted principally of inquiries of management regarding the methods of measurement and presentation of the required supplementary information. However, we did not audit the information and express no opinion on it.

Our audit was conducted for the purpose of forming an opinion on the financial statements taken as a whole. The schedule of expenditures by statutory code on page 9, which is also the responsibility of the City of Chicago's management, is presented for purposes of additional analysis and is not a required part of the financial statements of 35th/State Redevelopment Project of the City of Chicago, Illinois. Such additional information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the financial statements and, in our opinion, is fairly stated in all material respects in relation to the financial statements taken as a whole.

Bandley and Kiener, L.e.P.

Certified Public Accountants

May 6, 2005

<u>CITY OF CHICAGO, ILLINOIS</u> 35TH/STATE REDEVELOPMENT PROJECT

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS (UNAUDITED)

As management of the 35th/State Tax Increment Redevelopment Project Area (Project), we offer the readers of the Project's financial statements this narrative overview and analysis of the Project's financial performance for the year ended December 31, 2004. Please read it in conjunction with the Project's financial statements, which follow this section.

Overview of the Financial Statements

This discussion and analysis is intended to serve as an introduction to the Project's basic financial statements. The Project's basic financial statements include three components: 1) government-wide financial statements, 2) governmental fund financial statements, and 3) notes to the financial statements. This report also contains other supplementary information concerning the Project's expenditures by statutory code.

Basic Financial Statements. The basic financial statements include two kinds of financial statements that present different views of the Project – the Government-Wide Financial Statements and the Governmental Fund Financial Statements. These financial statements also include the notes to the financial statements that explain some of the information in the financial statements and provide more detail.

Government-Wide Financial Statements provide both long-term and short-term information about the Project's financial status and use accounting methods similar to those used by private-sector companies. The statement of net assets includes all of the project's assets and liabilities. All of the current year's revenues and expenses are accounted for in the statement of activities regardless of when cash is received or paid. The two government-wide statements report the Project's net assets and how they have changed. Net assets – the difference between the Project's assets and liabilities – is one way to measure the Project's financial health, or position.

Governmental Fund Financial Statements provide more detailed information about the Project's significant funds – not the Project as a whole. Governmental funds focus on: 1) how cash and other financial assets can readily be converted to cash flows and 2) the year-end balances that are available for spending. Consequently, the governmental fund statements provide a detailed short-term view that helps determine whether there are more financial resources that can be spent in the near future to finance the Project. Because this information does not encompass the additional long-term focus of the government-wide statements, we provide additional information at the bottom of the statements to explain the relationship (or differences) between them.

Notes to the Financial Statements. The notes provide additional information that is essential to a full understanding of the data provided in the government-wide and governmental fund financial statements. The notes to the financial statements follow the basic financial statements.

Other Supplementary Information. In addition to the basic financial statements and accompanying notes, this report also presents a schedule of expenditures by statutory code. This supplementary information follows the notes to the financial statements.

Condensed Financial Statements

Government-Wide

	2004
Total assets	\$472,315
Total liabilities	4,443
Total net assets	<u>\$467,872</u>
Total revenues	\$472,315
Total expenses	4,443
Change in net assets	467,872
Ending net assets	<u>\$467,872</u>

Analysis of Overall Financial Position and Results of Operations

Condensed comparative financial statements are not provided as this is the first year of financial activity for the Project. Property tax revenue for the Project was \$472,069 for the year. The change in net assets produced an increase in net assets of \$467,872. The Project's net assets increased to \$467,872 making that amount of funding available to be provided for purposes of future redevelopment in the Project's designated area.

CITY OF CHICAGO. ILLINOIS 35TH\STATE REDEVELOPMENT PROJECT

STATEMENT OF NET ASSETS AND GOVERNMENTAL FUND BALANCE SHEET DECEMBER 31, 2004

<u>ASSETS</u>	Go	vernmental Fund	_Adju	stments		tatement of et Assets
Cash and investments	\$	272,157	\$	-	\$	272,157
Property taxes receivable		200,000		-		200,000
Accrued interest receivable		158		-		158
Total assets	\$	472,315	\$	-	\$	472,315
<u>LIABILITIES</u>						
Due to other City funds	\$	4,443	\$	-	\$	4,443
Deferred revenue		200,000	(2	200,000)		-
Total liabilities		204,443	(2	200,000)		4,443
FUND BALANCE/NET ASSETS						
Fund balance: Designated for future redevelopment project costs		267,872	(2	267,872)		-
Total liabilities and fund balance	\$	472,315				
Net assets: Restricted for future redevelopment project costs Total net assets				467,872 467,872	\$	467,872 467,872
Amounts reported for governmental activities in the statement of ne Total fund balance - governmental fund	t asse	ets are differe	ent bec	ause:	\$	267,872
Property tax revenue is recognized in the period for which levied r	ather	than when			*	_0.,0.2
"available". A portion of the deferred property tax revenue is not						200,000
Total net assets - governmental activities					\$	467,872

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the financial statements.

CITY OF CHICAGO. ILLINOIS 35TH\STATE REDEVELOPMENT PROJECT

STATEMENT OF ACTIVITIES AND GOVERNMENTAL FUND REVENUES, EXPENDITURES AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2004

	Governmental Fund	Adjustments	Statement of Activities
Revenues: Property tax Interest	\$ 272,069 246	\$ 200,000	\$ 472,069 246
Total revenues	272,315	200,000	472,315
Expenditures/expenses: Capital projects	4,443		4,443
Excess of revenues over expenditures	267,872	(267,872)	-
Change in net assets	-	467,872	467,872
Fund balance/net assets: Beginning of year			-
End of year	\$ 267,872	\$ 200,000	\$ 467,872
Amounts reported for governmental activities in the statement of act	tivities are differen	t because:	
Net change in fund balance - governmental fund			\$ 267,872
Property tax revenue is recognized in the period for which levied re "available". A portion of the deferred property tax revenue is not			200,000
Change in net assets - governmental activities			\$ 467,872

<u>CITY OF CHICAGO, ILLINOIS</u> 35TH/STATE REDEVELOPMENT PROJECT

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Note 1 - Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

(a) Reporting Entity

In January 2004, the City of Chicago (City) established the 35th/State Tax Increment Redevelopment Project Area (Project). The area has been established to finance improvements, leverage private investment and create and retain jobs. The Project is accounted for within the special revenue funds of the City.

(b) Government-Wide and Fund Financial Statements

The accompanying financial statements of the Project have been prepared in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles as prescribed by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB). In June 1999, the GASB unanimously approved Statement No. 34 (as amended by Statement No. 37), Basic Financial Statements - Management's Discussion and Analysis - for State and Local Governments and at a later date, Statement No. 38 Certain Financial Statements Disclosures, and include the following:

- A Management Discussion and Analysis (MD&A) section providing an analysis of the Project's overall financial position and results of operations.
- Government-wide financial statements prepared using the economic resources measurement focus and the accrual basis of accounting for all the Project's activities.
- Fund financial statements, which focus on the Project's governmental funds *current* financial resources measurement focus.

(c) Measurement Focus, Basis of Accounting, and Financial Statements Presentation

The government-wide financial statements are reported using the accrual basis of accounting. Revenues are recorded when earned and expenses are recorded when a liability is incurred regardless of the timing of related cash flows. Property taxes are recognized as revenues in the year for which they are levied.

The governmental fund financial statements are prepared on the *modified accrual basis of accounting* with only current assets and liabilities included on the balance sheet. Under *the modified accrual basis of accounting*, revenues are recorded when susceptible to accrual, i.e., both measurable and available to finance expenditures of the current period. Available means collectible within the current period or soon enough thereafter to be used to pay liabilities of the current period. Property taxes are susceptible to accrual and recognized as a receivable in the year levied. Revenue recognition is deferred unless the taxes are received within 60 days subsequent to year-end. Expenditures are recorded when the liability is incurred.

Private-sector standards of accounting and financial reporting issued prior to December 1, 1989, generally are followed in government-wide financial statements to the extent that those standards do not conflict with or contradict guidance of the Governmental Accounting Standards Board. The City has elected not to follow subsequent private-sector guidance.

When both restricted and unrestricted resources are available for use, it is the City's policy to use restricted resources first, then unrestricted resources, as they are needed.

<u>CITY OF CHICAGO, ILLINOIS</u> 35TH/STATE REDEVELOPMENT PROJECT

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Note 1 – Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Accordingly, actual results could differ from these estimates.

(d) Assets, Liabilities and Net Assets

Cash and Investments

Cash belonging to the City is generally deposited with the City Treasurer as required by the Municipal Code of Chicago. The City Comptroller issues warrants for authorized City expenditures which represent a claim for payment when presented to the City Treasurer. Payment for all City warrants clearing is made by checks drawn on the City's various operating bank accounts.

The City Treasurer and City Comptroller share responsibility for investing in authorized investments. Interest earned on pooled investments is allocated to participating funds based upon their average combined cash and investment balances.

The City values its investments at fair value or amortized cost. U.S. Government securities purchased at a price other than par with a maturity of less than one year are reported at amortized cost.

Capital Assets

Capital assets are not capitalized in the governmental fund but, instead, are charged as current expenditures when purchased. The Government-wide financial statements (i.e., the statement of net assets and the statement of changes in net assets) of the City includes the capital assets and related depreciation, if any, of the Project in which ownership of the capital asset will remain with the City (i.e. infrastructure, or municipal building). All other construction will be expensed in both the government-wide financial statements and the governmental fund as the City nor Project will retain the right of ownership.

(e) Stewardship, Compliance, and Accountability

Illinois Tax Increment Redevelopment Allocation Act Compliance

The Project's expenditures include reimbursements for various eligible costs as described in subsection (q) of Section 11-74.4-3 of the Illinois Tax Increment Redevelopment Allocation Act and the Redevelopment Agreement relating specifically to the Project. Eligible costs include but are not limited to survey, property assembly, rehabilitation, public infrastructure, financing and relocation costs.

Reimbursements

Reimbursements, if any, are made to the developer for project costs, as public improvements are completed and pass City inspection.



CITY OF CHICAGO. ILLINOIS 35TH\STATE REDEVELOPMENT PROJECT

SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES BY STATUTORY CODE

Code Description

Costs of studies, surveys, development of plans and specifications, implementation and administration of the redevelopment plan including but not limited to staff and professional service costs for architectural, engineering, legal, marketing

\$4,443

(3) MAYOR'S CERTIFICATION - 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5(d)(3)

Please see attached.

STATE OF ILLINOIS)
)
COUNTY OF COOK)

CERTIFICATION

TO:

Daniel W. Hynes Comptroller of the State of Illinois James R. Thompson Center 100 West Randolph Street, Suite 15-500 Chicago, Illinois 60601 Attention: June Tallamantez, Director of Local Government

Dolores Javier, Treasurer City Colleges of Chicago 226 West Jackson Boulevard, Room 1125 Chicago, Illinois 60606

Gwendolyn Clemons, Director Cook County Department of Planning & Development 69 West Washington Street, Room 2900 Chicago, Illinois 60602 Attn: Jackie Harder

Dan Donovan, Comptroller Forest Preserve District of Cook County 69 W. Washington Ave. Suite 2060 Chicago, IL 60602

Martin J. Koldyke, Chairman Chicago School Finance Authority 135 South LaSalle Street, Suite 3800 Chicago, Illinois 60603 Tim Mitchell, General Superintendent & CEO Chicago Park District 541 North Fairbanks Court, 7th Floor Chicago, Illinois 60611

Arne Duncan, Chief Executive Officer Chicago Board of Education 125 South Clark Street, 5th Floor Chicago, Illinois 60603 Attn: Linda Wrightsell

Jacqueline Torres, Director of Finance Metropolitan Water Reclamation District of Greater Chicago 100 East Erie Street, Room 2429 Chicago, Illinois 60611 Attn: Joe Rose

Wallace Young
South Cook County Mosquito Abatement
District
155th & Dixie Highway
P.O. Box 1030
Harvey, Illinois 60426
Attn: Dr. Khian K. Liem

I, RICHARD M. DALEY, in connection with the annual report (the "Report") of information required by Section 11-74.4-5(d) of the Tax Increment Allocation Redevelopment Act, 65 ILCS5/11-74.4-1 et seq, (the "Act") with regard to the 35th/State Redevelopment Project Area (the "Redevelopment Project Area"), do hereby certify as follows:

- 1. I am the duly qualified and acting Mayor of the City of Chicago, Illinois (the "City") and, as such, I am the City's Chief Executive Officer. This Certification is being given by me in such capacity.
- 2. During the preceding fiscal year of the City, being January 1 through December 31, 2004, the City complied, in all material respects, with the requirements of the Act, as applicable from time to time, regarding the Redevelopment Project Area.
- 3. In giving this Certification, I have relied on the opinion of the Corporation Counsel of the City furnished in connection with the Report.
 - 4. This Certification may be relied upon only by the addressees hereof.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto affixed my official signature as of this 30th day of June, 2005.

Richard M. Daley, Mayor City of Chicago, Illinois

(4) OPINION OF LEGAL COUNSEL - 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5(d)(4)

Please see attached.



City of Chicago Richard M. Daley, Mayor

Department of Law

Mara S. Georges Corporation Counsel

City Hall, Room 600 121 North LaSalle Street Chicago, Illinois 60602 (312) 744-6900 (312) 744-8538 (FAX) (312) 744-2963 (TTY)

http://www.cityofchicago.org

June 30, 2005

Daniel W. Hynes Comptroller of the State of Illinois James R. Thompson Center 100 West Randolph Street, Suite 15-500 Chicago, Illinois 60601 Attention: June Tallamantez, Director of Local Government

Dolores Javier, Treasurer City Colleges of Chicago 226 West Jackson Boulevard, Room 1125 Chicago, Illinois 60606

Gwendolyn Clemons, Director Cook County Department of Planning & Development 69 West Washington Street, Room 2900 Chicago, Illinois 60602 Attn: Jackie Harder

Dan Donovan, Comptroller Forest Preserve District of Cook County 69 W. Washington Ave. Suite 2060 Chicago, IL 60602

Martin J. Koldyke, Chairman Chicago School Finance Authority 135 South LaSalle Street, Suite 3800 Chicago, Illinois 60603 Tim Mitchell, General Superintendent & CEO
Chicago Park District
541 North Fairbanks Court, 7th Floor
Chicago, Illinois 60611

Arne Duncan, Chief Executive Officer Chicago Board of Education 125 South Clark Street, 5th Floor Chicago, Illinois 60603 Attn: Linda Wrightsell

Jacqueline Torres, Director of Finance Metropolitan Water Reclamation District of Greater Chicago 100 East Erie Street, Room 2429 Chicago, Illinois 60611 Attn: Joe Rose

Wallace Young
South Cook County Mosquito Abatement
District
155th & Dixie Highway
P.O. Box 1030
Harvey, Illinois 60426
Attn: Dr. Khian K. Liem

Re: 35th/State

Redevelopment Project Area (the "Redevelopment Project

Area")

Dear Addressees:

I am Corporation Counsel of the City of Chicago, Illinois (the "City"). In such capacity, I am providing the opinion required by Section 11-74.4-5(d)(4) of the Tax Increment Allocation Redevelopment Act, 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-1 et seq. (the "Act"), in connection with the submission of the report (the "Report") in accordance with, and containing the information required by, Section 11-74.4-5(d) of the Act for the Redevelopment Project Area.





Attorneys, past and present, in the Law Department of the City familiar with the requirements of the Act have had general involvement in the proceedings affecting the Redevelopment Project Area, including the preparation of ordinances adopted by the City Council of the City with respect to the following matters: approval of the redevelopment plan and project for the Redevelopment Project Area, designation of the Redevelopment Project Area as a redevelopment project area and adoption of tax increment allocation financing for the Redevelopment Project Area, all in accordance with the then applicable provisions of the Act. Various departments of the City, including, if applicable, the Law Department, Department of Planning and Development, Department of Housing, Department of Finance and Office of Budget and Management, have personnel responsible for and familiar with the activities in the Redevelopment Project Area affecting such Department(s) and with the requirements of the Act in connection therewith. Such personnel are encouraged to seek and obtain, and do seek and obtain, the legal guidance of the Law Department with respect to issues that may arise from time to time regarding the requirements of, and compliance with, the Act.

In my capacity as Corporation Counsel, I have relied on the general knowledge and actions of the appropriately designated and trained staff of the Law Department and other applicable City Departments involved with the activities affecting the Redevelopment Project Area. In addition, I have caused to be examined or reviewed by members of the Law Department of the City the certified audit report, to the extent required to be obtained by Section 11-74.4-5(d)(9) of the Act and submitted as part of the Report, which is required to review compliance with the Act in certain respects, to determine if such audit report contains information that might affect my opinion. I have also caused to be examined or reviewed such other documents and records as were deemed necessary to enable me to render this opinion. Nothing has come to my attention that would result in my need to qualify the opinion hereinafter expressed, subject to the limitations hereinafter set forth, unless and except to the extent set forth in an Exception Schedule attached hereto as Schedule 1.

Based on the foregoing, I am of the opinion that, in all material respects, the City is in compliance with the provisions and requirements of the Act in effect and then applicable at the time actions were taken from time to time with respect to the Redevelopment Project Area.

This opinion is given in an official capacity and not personally and no personal liability shall derive herefrom. Furthermore, the only opinion that is expressed is the opinion specifically set forth herein, and no opinion is implied or should be inferred as to any other matter. Further, this opinion may be relied upon only by the addressees hereof and the Mayor of the City in providing his required certification in connection with the Report, and not by any other party.

Very truly yours,

mara S. Georges Corporation Counsel

SCHEDULE 1

(Exception Schedule)

- (X) No Exceptions
- () Note the following Exceptions:

(5) ANALYSIS OF SPECIAL TAX ALLOCATION FUND - 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5(d)(5)

COMBINED STATEMENT OF REVENUES, EXPENDITUR AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE - GOVERNMENTAL F	
YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2004	01123
	2004
Revenues	2004
Property tax	\$ 272,069
Sales tax	- -
Interest	246
Total revenues	272,315
Expenditures	
Costs of studies, admin., and professional services. (q)(1)	4,443
Marketing costs. (q)(1.6)	-
Property assembly, demolition, site preparation and environmental	
site improvement costs. (q)(2)	-
Costs of rehabilitation, reconstruction, repair or remodeling and	
of existing buildings. (q)(3)	-
Costs of construction of public works and improvements. (q)(4)	-
Cost of job training and retraining. (q)(5) Financing costs. (q)(6)	<u>-</u>
Approved capital costs of overlapping taxing districts. (q)(7)	- -
Cost of reimbursing school district for their increase costs caused	
by TIF assisted housing projects (q)(7.5)	-
Relocation costs. (q)(8)	-
Payments in lieu of taxes. (q)(9)	-
Costs of job training, retraining advanced vocational or career	
education provided by other taxing bodies. (q)(10)	-
Costs of reimbursing private developers for interest expenses	
incurred on approved redevelopment projects. (q)(11)(A-E)	-
Costs of construction of new housing units for low income and very	
low income households. (q)(11)(F) Cost of day care services and operational costs of day care centers.	-
(q)(11.5)	_
(4)(11.3)	
Total expenditures	4,443
Revenues over expenditures	267,872
Fund balance, beginning of year	-
Fund balance, end of year	\$ 267,872
Fund balance	
Reserved for debt service	-
Reserved for encumbrances	-
Designated for future redevelopment project costs	\$ 267,872
Total fund balance	\$ 267,872

(6) **DESCRIPTION OF PROPERTY - 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5(d)(6)**

During 2004, the City did not purchase any property in the Project Area.

(7) STATEMENT OF ACTIVITIES - 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5(d)(7)

- (A) Projects implemented in the preceding fiscal year.
- **(B)** A description of the redevelopment activities undertaken.
- **(C)** Agreements entered into by the City with regard to disposition or redevelopment of any property within the Project Area.
- **(D)** Additional information on the use of all Funds received by the Project Area and steps taken by the City to achieve the objectives of the Redevelopment Plan.
- (E) Information on contracts that the City's consultants have entered into with parties that have received, or are receiving, payments financed by tax increment revenues produced by the Project Area.
- **(F)** Joint Review Board reports submitted to the City.
- (G) Project-by-project review of public and private investment undertaken from 11/1/99 to 12/31/04, and of such investments expected to be undertaken in year 2005; also, a project-by-project ratio of private investment to public investment from 11/1/99 to 12/31/04, and an estimated ratio of such investments as of the completion of each project and as estimated to the completion of the redevelopment project.

SEE TABLES AND/OR DISCUSSIONS ON FOLLOWING PAGES.

(7)(A) - 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5(d)(7)(A)

During 2004, no projects were implemented.

(7)(B) - 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5(d)(7)(B)

Redevelopment activities undertaken within this Project Area during the year 2004, if any, have been made pursuant to i) the Redevelopment Plan for the Project Area, and ii) any Redevelopment Agreements affecting the Project Area, and are set forth on Table 5 herein by TIF-eligible expenditure category.

(7)(C) - 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5(d)(7)(C)

During 2004, no agreements were entered into with regard to the disposition or redevelopment of any property within the Project Area.

(7)(D) - 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5(d)(7)(D)

The Project Area has received \$272,069 of property tax and sales tax (if applicable) increment since the creation of the Project Area. These amounts have been used to pay for project costs within the Project Area and for debt service (if applicable). The Project Area's fund balance as shown on Table 5 represents (on a modified accrual basis) financial resources (including increment) that have not been expended.

(7)(E) - 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5(d)(7)(E)

During 2004, no contracts were entered into by the City's tax increment advisors or consultants with entities or persons that have received, or are receiving, payments financed by tax increment revenues produced by the Project Area.

(7)(F) - 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5(d)(7)(F)

Joint Review Board Reports were submitted to the City. See attached.

(7)(G) - 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5(d)(7)(G)

TABLE 7(G)

PROJECT BY PROJECT REVIEW OF PUBLIC AND PRIVATE INVESTMENT AND RATIO OF PRIVATE TO PUBLIC INVESTMENT *

Projects Estimated To Be	Private Investment Undertaken	Public Investment Undertaken	Ratio of Private/Public Investment
Undertaken During 2005			
Project 1: Stateway Gardens/	\$74,975,249	\$12,039,666	6.2:1
Park Boulevard- Phase I			
Total:	\$74,975,249	\$12,039,666	n/a

increment revenues. In contrast, each actual or estimated Private Investment reported here is, to the extent possible, comprised of Each actual or estimated Public Investment reported here is, to the extent possible, comprised only of payments financed by tax payments financed by revenues that are not tax increment revenues and, therefore, may include private equity, private lender financing, private grants, other public monies, or other local, state or federal grants or loans.

CITY OF CHICAGO JOINT REVIEW BOARD

Report of proceedings of a hearing before the City of Chicago, Joint Review Board held on July 11, 2003, at 10:12 a.m. City Hall, Room 1003A, Conference Room, Chicago, Illinois, and presided over by Mr. John McCormick.

PRESENT:

MR. JOHN MCCORMICK, CHAIRMAN

MS. SUSAN MAREK

MS. ELIZABETH FORSYTHE

MS. LUIS MARTINEZ

REPORTED BY: Accurate Reporting Service 200 N. La Salle Street

Chicago, Illinois

By: Jack Artstein, C.S.R.

```
MR. MCCORMICK: Let's get started.
```

- 2 For the record, my name is John McCormick. I
- am a representative of the City of Chicago
- 4 which under Section 11-74.4-5 of the Tax
- 5 Increment Allocation Redevelopment Act as
- 6 one of the statutorily designated members of
- 7 the Joint Review Board. Until election of a
- 8 chairperson I will moderate the Joint Review
- 9 Board meeting.
- For the record, there will be
- 11 three meetings of the Joint Review Board.
- One to review the proposed 35th and State Tax
- 13 Increment Financing District, one to review
- 14 the proposed Edgewater/Ashland Tax Increment
- Financing District, and one for the 2003
- 16 Joint Review Board Annual Meeting.
- We will begin with the proposed
- 18 35th and State Tax Increment Financing
- 19 District meeting. The date of the 35th and
- State meeting was announced at and set by the
- 21 Community Development Commission of the City
- of Chicago at its meeting of June 10, 2003.
- Notice of the 35th and State meeting of the
- Joint Review Board was also provided by

```
1 certified mail to each taxing district
```

- 2 represented by the Board which includes the
- 3 Chicago Board of Education, the Chicago
- 4 Community Colleges District 508, the Chicago
- 5 Park District, Cook County, the City of
- 6 Chicago, and the Public Member.
- 7 Public notice of this meeting was
- 8 also posted as of Wednesday, July 9, 2003 in
- 9 various locations throughout City Hall.
- 10 When a proposed redevelopment plan would
- 11 result in displacement of residents from ten
- or more inhabited residential units or would
- include 75 or more inhabited residential
- units the TIF Act requires that the public
- 15 member of the Joint Review Board must reside
- in the proposed redevelopment area.
- In addition, if the
- 18 municipality's housing impact study
- 19 determines that the majority of the
- 20 residential units in the proposed
- 21 redevelopment project are occupied by very
- low, low, or moderate income households, as
- defined in Section III of the Illinois
- 24 Affordable Housing Act, the public member

- 1 must be a person who resides in very low,
- low, or moderate income housing with the
- 3 proposed redevelopment project area.
- With us today is Arvil Miles, did
- 5 I pronounce it correctly?
- MS. MILES: Yes.
- 7 MR. MCCORMICK: Okay, are you
- 8 familiar with the boundaries of the 35th and
- 9 State Tax Increment Financing and
- 10 Redevelopment Project Area?
- MS. MILES: Yes, I am.
- MR. MCCORMICK: What is the address of
- your primary residence?
- MS. MILES: 3616 South State.
- MR. MCCORMICK: Thank you. Is such
- 16 address within the boundaries of the
- proposed 35th and State Tax Increment
- 18 Financing Redevelopment Area?
- MS. MILES: Yes.
- MR. MCCORMICK: Thank you. Have you
- 21 provided representatives of the City of
- 22 Chicago Department of Planning and
- 23 Development with accurate information
- 24 concerning your income and income of any

1 members of your household residing at such

- 2 address?
- MS. MILES: Yes.
- 4 MR. MCCORMICK: Thank you. Based on
- 5 the information provided to you by the
- 6 Department of Planning and Development
- 7 regarding applicable income level for very
- 8 low, low, or moderate income, do you qualify
- 9 as a member of a very low, low, or moderate
- 10 income household?
- MS. MILES: Yes.
- MR. MCCORMICK: Thank you. Ms. Miles,
- are you willing to serve as the public member
- 14 for the Joint Review Board for the 35th and
- 15 State Tax Increment Financing Redevelopment
- 16 Project Area?
- MS. MILES: Yes.
- MR. MCCORMICK: Thank you. I will
- 19 entertain a motion that Arvil Miles be
- 20 selected as the public member. Is there a
- 21 motion?
- MS. MAREK: So moved.
- MR. MCCORMICK: Is there a second?
- MR. MARTINEZ: I second.

. 6

MR. MCCORMICK: All in favor, please

- 2 vote by saying aye.
- 3 (Chorus of ayes.)
- 4 MR. MCCORMICK: All opposed please
- 5 vote by saying no.
- 6 (No response.)
- 7 MR. MCCORMICK: Let the record
- 8 reflect that Arvil Miles has been selected as
- 9 the public member of the 35^{th} and State Tax
- 10 Increment Financing Redevelopment Project
- 11 Area.
- Our next order of business is to
- select a chairperson for this Joint Review
- 14 Board. Are there any nominations?
- MS. MAREK: I nominate John
- 16 McCormick.
- MR. MCCORMICK: Is there a second for
- 18 the nominations?
- MS. FORSYTHE: Second.
- MR. MCCORMICK: Are there any other
- 21 nominations? Let the record reflect that
- there were no other nominations. All in
- 23 favor of the nomination please vote by saying
- 24 aye.

```
1 (Chorus of ayes.)
```

- 2 MR. MCCORMICK: All opposed, please
- 3 vote by saying no.
- 4 (No response.)
- 5 CHAIRMAN MCCORMICK: Let the record
- 6 reflect that John McCormick has been elected
- 7 as Chairperson and will now serve as
- 8 Chairperson for the remainder of the
- 9 meeting.
- As I mentioned at this meeting,
- we will be reviewing the plan for 35th and
- 12 State TIF District proposed by the City of
- 13 Chicago. Staff of the City's Planning and
- 14 Development and Law and other departments
- have reviewed this plan when it was
- introduced at the City's Community
- 17 Development Commission on June 10, 2003.
- 18 We will listen to the
- 19 presentation by the consultant on the plan.
- Following the presentation we can address
- any questions that the members might have for
- 22 consultant or city staff. The recent
- amendment to the TIF Act requires us to base
- 24 our recommendations to approve or

```
disapprove the 35<sup>th</sup> and State plan and the
```

- 2 designation of the 35th and State TIF area on
- 3 the basis of the area and the plan satisfying
- 4 the plan requirements, the eligibility
- 5 criteria defined in the TIF Act and
- 6 , objectives of the TIF Act.
- 7 If the Board approves the planned
- 8 designation of the area the Board will then
- 9 issue an advisory non-binding recommendation
- 10 by the vote of the majority of those members
- 11 present and voting. Such recommendations
- shall be submitted to the City within 30 days
- after the board meeting. Failure to submit
- such recommendation shall be deemed to
- 15 constitute approval by the Board.
- 16 If the Board disapproves the plan
- 17 and designation of the area the Board must
- issue a written report describing why the
- 19 plan and area failed to meet one or more of
- the objectives of the TIF Act, and both the
- 21 plan requirements and eligibility of the TIF
- 22 Act.
- The City will then have 30 days
- 24 to resubmit a revised plan. The Board and

1 the City must also confer during this time to

- 2 try to resolve the issues that led to the
- Board's disapproval. If such issues cannot
- 4 be resolved or if the revised plan is
- 5 disapproved the City may proceed with the
- 6 plan, but the plan can be approved only by
- 7 three fifths vote of the City Council
- 8 excluding positions of members that are
- 9 vacant or those members who are ineligible to
- vote because of conflicts of interest.
- 11 Okay, I'd like to start our
- presentation which will be done by Ernest R.
- Sawyer Enterprises, Inc. with the assistance
- of Camiros, Ltd.
- MS. SAWYER: Good morning everyone.
- 16 My name is Mishaune Sawyer. I'm with ERS
- 17 Enterprises. This is Les Pollock, he's with
- 18 Camiros, and we have James Miller here with
- 19 State way Associates.
- First I'd like to direct you to
- 21 map number one. Now this just basically
- shows you the boundary areas for the TIF.
- 23 Can everybody see that? Now basically the
- 24 TIF is bounded on the west by 33rd and West

```
1 34<sup>th</sup> Street on the north, south State Street
```

- on the east, West Pershing Road on the south
- 3 and South Wentworth Avenue and the Dan Ryan
- 4 Expressway on the west. The project area
- 5 also includes a railroad which is the Chicago
- 6 Rock Island and Pacific railroad right-of-
- 7 way that extended all the way to 33rd Street.
- 8 You can see that here. And the rest of the
- 9 boundaries are outlined in red.
- The project area includes a total
- of 122 tax parcels, 99 of which are located
- 12 within the Dan Ryan Expressway. One tax
- parcel consists of a railroad right-of-way
- on the western edge of the project area and
- 15 the remaining 22 tax parcels comprise some
- improved and vacant portions of the project
- 17 here. Nine blocks contain improved
- 18 property. Five tax blocks consist of vacant
- 19 land and three tax blocks are entirely
- 20 railroad and Dan Ryan right-of-way.
- This is currently what exists in
- the project area. Can you see? It's color
- 23 coded where we've got for the public
- initiatives; there's currently a school

```
1 here. So any institutional property that's
```

- 2 here, in here in blue. You've got the
- 3 transportation which is the railroad and all
- 4 the other things that we talked about. And
- in grey here is currently what's vacant land.
- 6 And what's in orange is what's left of the
- 7 residential property.
- Now I'm going to turn things over
- 9 to Les Pollock and he's going to talk to you
- 10 about the plan and the budgeting.
- MR. POLLACK: Thanks Mishaune. By way
- of background, the area south of 35th Street
- is the area commonly known as Stateway
- 14 Gardens. The area north of 35th, the
- 15 developed area and east of the Metra tracks
- is the Illinois Institute of Technology
- 17 property and all of this area is involved in
- the TIF.
- The area you see here has a
- 20 present assessed value; an assessed value in
- the range of \$3.7 million dollars as of the
- 22 last accounting. The proposal is to
- 23 redevelop the site as follows:
- 24 Again the boundaries, you see

```
1 35<sup>th</sup> Street running across. The blue area to
```

- the north is IIT. The area to the south is
- 3 what is generally known as the Stateway
- 4 Gardens.
- 5 The area in the proposal for redevelopment is
- 6 to accommodate some additional development
- 7 north of 35th Street on the IIT property,
- 8 approximately 100,000 square feet in the
- 9 form of both commercial and institutional
- 10 uses.
- And then to develop south of 35th
- predominantly housing; approximately 880
- units of housing, about 362 total units
- existing right now, 191 of which are
- occupied. When we complete this area we'll
- add 80 units in a mix of 1/3 market; one
- third affordable, one third public housing
- 18 according to the, and reflecting the CHA plan
- 19 for transformation and James is going to be
- able to go into the details of that in a
- 21 moment.
- 22 Also on the site are various
- 23 public facilities and some commercial
- facilities. There will be about 27,500

```
1 square feet of commercial development on the
```

- 2 site in addition to the 880 houses. There is
- an existing park and added school which will
- 4 remain. There will be additional open space
- 5 provided as part of the scheme that James can
- 6 point out.
- 7 The area, as I said, contains a
- 8 number of public facilities on it; a park and
- 9 school, IIT, and on north 35th the Illinois
- 10 Leadership Center and Connection School.
- 11 There are a number of other facilities that
- are in the immediate neighborhood. One of
- 13 the key facilities, the -- school located a
- 14 block to the, to the east of the sites.
- When this redevelopment is
- 16 completed we'll be looking over time at a
- growth, the assessed value. Of course, the
- assessed value is presently 3.7 million, to
- 19 at the end of the TIF area in the range of
- 20 \$40-42 million dollars equalized assess
- 21 value.
- The budget is to propose \$50,000
- 23 dollars to carry out a range of improvements
- that will be needed to help facilitate this

1 development. The budget is allocated and is

- 2 as follows:
- Under the eight categories, the
- 4 eight statutory categories, \$3 million
- 5 dollars is set aside for analysis,
- 6 administration, studies, surveys, marketing.
- 7 \$10 million dollars has been allocated to
- 8 property assembly which includes
- 9 acquisition, site prep, demolition.
- There are currently two
- 11 buildings on the site to, apartment
- 12 buildings on the site that will need to be
- 13 demolished. There are approximately three
- small vacant parcels in the middle south of
- 15 the site that need to be acquired. Of these
- parcels, two are tax delinquent at the
- 17 present. And we set aside \$10 million
- 18 dollars for that purpose.
- Allocation of \$15 million
- 20 dollars has been set aside for public works,
- 21 improvements which includes fences,
- utilities, parks, open space, public
- 23 facilities. In there is funds that would be
- 24 available to tax free jurisdictions who have

```
1 kept the facilities in the area that need
```

- 2 improvement, as a result of the impact of
- 3 this growth.
- 4 One million dollars has been set
- 5 aside for relocation costs. Relocation will
- 6 be carried out by the Uniform Relocation Act
- 7 by the CHA as part of the plan for
- 8 transformation. There is \$4 million dollars
- 9 set aside for job training, new training,
- 10 loss of work. \$5 million dollars set aside
- 11 for interest subsidy. And \$2 million dollars
- 12 set aside for day care services. That gives
- us a total of \$50 million dollars in budget.
- We recognize that we meet the
- 15 requirements for housing impact study. All
- people, all buildings will be clear,
- 17 residential buildings will be clear on the
- 18 site. Of the 191 remaining people, families
- on the site will be relocated according to
- the plan for transformation meeting all the
- 21 requirements of the Uniform Relocation Act.
- The plan lists, as required by
- the Act, the range of residences that are
- 24 available within the immediate area that can

```
1 serve the needs of residents.
```

- The plan has been worked out to a
- 3 fairly deep level -- the application of the
- 4 group we thought it might be nice if James
- 5 spent a few minutes telling us about what the
- 6 plan consists of.
- 7 MR. MILLER: Good morning. This board
- 8 here shows our plan. I'm not sure how well
- 9 you can see it. We have put together a team,
- 10 Stateway Associates, LLC that will redevelop
- 11 the on-site, former site of Stateway Gardens
- 12 Public Housing into a for-sale develop
- 13 consisting of one third affordable homes,
- one third market rate homes and one third CHA
- 15 replacement units of all different housing
- types spanning from 35th to 39th, from State
- 17 to the rail tracks.
- 18 Our first phase is at 35th Street
- and consists of mid-rise buildings,
- townhomes, some six-flats and we have single
- 21 families around the park area that you see
- here. We have added, as a feature of the
- 23 development, a central park. And there will
- 24 be upgrades to the standard City of Chicago

```
1 light fixtures and standards as part of our
```

- 2 eligible projects. There's also a day care
- 3 center on site and a field house and new park
- 4 here.
- We will have retail at the corner
- of $35^{ ext{th}}$ and State, and wrapping around the
- 7 corner, coming down State Street. And then
- 8 as you move down State Street you transition
- 9 into a more residential field of six-flats
- 10 and courtyard buildings. That's the
- 11 description of the project. Thank you for
- 12 your time.
- MR. POLLOCK: We believe that this
- project meets the objectives of the TIF Act.
- Some of the key redevelopment objectives
- 16 that come forward in this plan is to incur
- 17 affordable facilities and housing on the
- 18 site, to reestablish the conditions of City
- 19 street grid on the area and get this area
- 20 back in to the larger community, to establish
- job readiness and job training programs,
- 22 strengthen the economic well-being of the
- 23 project area by returning the vacant
- 24 unutilized property to the tax -- and

1 providing opportunities for minority owned,

- women owned, local businesses and local
- 3 residents to share and be a part of this
- 4 project area.
- 5 CHAIRMAN MCCORMICK: Based upon the
- 6 presentation, do any members --
- 7 MS. MAREK: Can I ask one question?
- 8 CHAIRMAN MCCORMICK: Well that's what
- 9 I'm going to ask, if anybody has any
- 10 questions. Go for it.
- MS. MAREK: Did you make any estimate
- 12 of how many school age children would be
- 13 coming, if there would be a difference in the
- 14 number of school age children coming into the
- 15 community?
- MR. MILLER: Well, currently or
- formerly, I should say, there were 1644 units
- of site. We're replacing those 1600 units
- with 880 units. So the number of school age
- 20 children will decrease from the previous
- amount that were there.
- CHAIRMAN MCCORMICK: Okay, let me ask
- a question. In looking at the PIN numbers
- 24 associated with the development, most are

- 1 exempt as the CHA, but there is one that
- 2 takes up about 99 percent of all the -- with
- 3 3,708,892, you know, which is a large tax
- 4 paying entity. I just wondered what it was.
- MS. SAWYER: I think that's, we have
- 6 it as IIT, but let me --
- 7 CHAIRMAN MCCORMICK: Okay, I mean it
- 8 just jumped out at me.
- 9 MR. POLLOCK: It could be the atrium
- 10 building.
- 11 CHAIRMAN MCCORMICK: The what?
- MR. POLLOCK: It could be the old IIT
- 13 research building.
- 14 CHAIRMAN MCCORMICK: Okay. Now does
- that building, let me just ask this. This is
- looking down the road a little bit. Is
- there, is that, is that building in use now
- or it's going to be demolished? Does anybody
- 19 know?
- MR. POLLOCK: That building is, I
- 21 think, in use.
- MS. SAWYER: It is in use and it's
- going to remain in use.
- 24 CHAIRMAN MCCORMICK: Okay, that's

```
1 fine.
```

- MS. SAWYER: We're not going to
- 3 demolish anything over on IIT.
- 4 CHAIRMAN MCCORMICK: Okay, good, I
- just wanted to make sure that it didn't drop
- 6 down to zero in the future.
- 7 MS. SAWYER: I can't find it in here.
- 8 CHAIRMAN MCCORMICK: That's all
- 9 right. I mean, but it's IIT property.
- MS. SAWYER: But memory says that it
- was a major renovation that took part at IIT
- and they had to replace an elevator and
- things like that and that's what caused the
- 14 property value to shoot up.
- 15 CHAIRMAN MCCORMICK: And that's fine
- 16 too. And IIT is a tax paying entity. I mean
- 17 even what's university property I see. So
- 18 okay, that's fine. Any other questions.
- Okay, if there are no further questions I
- 20 will entertain a motion that this Joint
- 21 Review Board finds that the proposed
- 22 redevelopment plan, 35th and State Tax
- 23 Increment Financing Redevelopment Project
- 24 Area satisfied the redevelopment plan

Τ	as a redevelopment area under the TIF Act.
2	Before we adjourn, I'd like to
3	thank the public member for her assistance
4	and showing up today to be a part of this. I
5	think it will be a very good project for your
. 6	area. Thank you.
7	I move that we, is there a motion
8	to adjourn?
9	MS. MAREK: So moved.
10	MR. MARTINEZ: Second.
11	CHAIRMAN MCCORMICK: Okay, we're now
. 12	adjourned. I'd like to thank again, the
13	public members.
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	

(8) DOCUMENTS RELATING TO OBLIGATIONS ISSUED BY THE MUNICIPALITY - 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5(d)(8)(A)

During 2004, there were no obligations issued for the Project Area.

(9) ANALYSIS OF DEBT SERVICE - 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5(d)(8)(B)

During 2004, there were no obligations issued for the Project Area.

(10) **CERTIFIED AUDIT REPORTS - 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5(d)(9)**

Please see attached.

BERNARD J. SULLIVAN, C.P.A.
RICHARD J. QUINN, C.P.A.
PAUL A. MERKEL, C.P.A.
JOHN W. SANEW III, C.P.A.
THOMAS A. CERWIN, C.P.A.
STEPHEN R. PANFIL, C.P.A.
MICHAEL D. HUELS, C.P.A.
ROBERT J. MARSCHALK, C.P.A.
THOMAS J. CAPLICE, C.P.A.
ROBERT J. HANNIGAN, C.P.A.
GERARD J. PATER, C.P.A.
VINCENT M. GUZALDO, C.P.A.
TIMOTHY J. QUINN, C.P.A.

MAUREEN B. SHANAHAN, C.P.A.

Bansley and Kiener, L.L.P.

Certified Public Accountants

Established 1922

O'HARE PLAZA 8745 WEST HIGGINS ROAD CHICAGO, ILLINOIS 60631 312/263-2700 FAX 312/263-6935

INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT

The Honorable Richard M. Daley, Mayor Members of the City Council City of Chicago, Illinois

We have audited, in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America, the statement of net assets and governmental fund balance sheet of 35th/State Redevelopment Project of the City of Chicago, Illinois as of December 31, 2004, and the related statement of activities and governmental fund revenues, expenditures and changes in fund balance for the year then ended, and have issued our report thereon dated May 6, 2005.

In connection with our audit, nothing came to our attention that caused us to believe that the Project failed to comply with the regulatory provisions in Subsection (q) of Section 11-74.4-3 of the Illinois Tax Increment Allocation Redevelopment Act and Subsection (o) of Section 11-74.6-10 of the Illinois Industrial Jobs Recovery Law as they relate to the eligibility for costs incurred incidental to the implementation of the 35th/State Redevelopment Project of the City of Chicago, Illinois.

This report is intended for the information of the City of Chicago's management. However, this report is a matter of public record, and its distribution is not limited.

Bonsley and Kiener, L. L.P.

Certified Public Accountants

May 6, 2005

(11) GENERAL DESCRIPTION AND MAP

The 35th/State Redevelopment Project Area is generally bounded by 33rd Street and 34th Street on the north, State Street on the east, Pershing Road on the south, and the Dan Ryan Expressway on the west. The map below illustrates the location and general boundaries of the Project Area. For precise boundaries, please consult the legal description in the Redevelopment Plan.

