2007 Annual Report

Near North Redevelopment Project Area



Pursuant to 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5(d)

JUNE 30, 2008



Ernst & Young LLPSears Tower233 South Wacker DriveChicago, Illinois 60606-6301

Phone: (312) 879-2000 www.ey.com

June 30, 2008

Mr. Arnold L. Randall Commissioner Department of Planning and Development 121 North LaSalle Street Chicago, Illinois 60602

Dear Commissioner:

Enclosed is the annual report for the Near North Redevelopment Project Area, which we compiled at the direction of the Department of Planning and Development pursuant to Section 5(d) of the Illinois Tax Increment Allocation Redevelopment Act (65 ILCS 5/11-74.6-1 et seq.), as amended. The contents are based on information provided to us by Chicago Departments of Planning and Development, Finance, and Law. We have not audited, verified, or applied agreed upon accounting and testing procedures to the data contained in this report. Therefore, we express no opinion on its accuracy or completeness.

It has been a pleasure to work with representatives from the Department of Planning and Development and other City Departments.

Very truly yours,

Ernst & Young LLP

Ernst + Young LLP

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ANNUAL REPORT – NEAR NORTH REDEVELOPMENT PROJECT AREA IN COMPLIANCE WITH SECTION (d) OF 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5.

		PAGE
LE'	TTER TO THE STATE COMPTROLLER	1
1)	DATE OF DESIGNATION OR TERMINATION	2
2)	AUDITED FINANCIALS	3
3)	MAYOR'S CERTIFICATION	4
4)	OPINION OF LEGAL COUNSEL	5
5)	ANALYSIS OF SPECIAL TAX ALLOCATION FUND	6
6)	DESCRIPTION OF PROPERTY	7
7)	STATEMENT OF ACTIVITIES	8
8)	DOCUMENTS RELATING TO OBLIGATIONS ISSUED BY THE MUNICIPALITY	13
9)	ANALYSIS OF DEBT SERVICE	14
10)	CERTIFIED AUDIT REPORT	15
11)	GENERAL DESCRIPTION AND MAP	16



City of Chicago Richard M. Daley, Mayor

Department of Planning and Development

Arnold L. Randall Commissioner

City Hall, Room 1000 121 North LaSalle Street Chicago, Illinois 60602 312 744-4190 312 744-2271 (FAX) 312 744-2578 (TTY)

http://www.cityofchicago.org

June 30, 2008

The Honorable Daniel Hynes Comptroller State of Illinois Office of the Comptroller 201 Capitol Springfield, IL 62706

Dear Comptroller Hynes:

Ownsle Kandal

We have compiled the attached information for the Near North Redevelopment Project Area (Report) pursuant to 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5(d).

Sincerely,

Arnold L. Randall Commissioner

NEIGHBORHOODS



(1) DATE OF DESIGNATION OR TERMINATION - 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5(d)(1.5)

The Project Area was designated on July 30, 1997. The Project Area may be terminated no later than July 30, 2020.

(2) AUDITED FINANCIALS - 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5(d)(2)

Please see attached.

FINANCIAL REPORT

DECEMBER 31, 2007

CONTENTS

	Page
INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT ON THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION	1-2
Management's discussion and analysis Statement of net assets and governmental funds balance sheet Statement of activities and governmental funds revenues,	3-5 6
expenditures and changes in fund balance Notes to financial statements	7 8-12
SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION	
Schedule of expenditures by statutory code	13

BANSLEY AND KIENER, L.L.P.

CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS
O'HARE PLAZA
8745 WEST HIGGINS ROAD, SUITE 200
CHICAGO, ILLINOIS 60631
AREA CODE 312 263.2700

INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT

The Honorable Richard M. Daley, Mayor Members of the City Council City of Chicago, Illinois

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of the Near North Redevelopment Project of the City of Chicago, Illinois, as of and for the year ended December 31, 2007, as listed in the table of contents. These financial statements are the responsibility of the City of Chicago's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

As discussed in Note 1, the financial statements present only the Near North Redevelopment Project and do not purport to, and do not present fairly the financial position of the City of Chicago, Illinois, as of December 31, 2007, and the changes in its financial position for the year then ended in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of the Near North Redevelopment Project of the City of Chicago, Illinois, as of December 31, 2007, and the changes in financial position thereof for the year then ended in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

The Management's Discussion and Analysis on pages 3 through 5 is not a required part of the basic financial statements but is supplementary information required by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board. We have applied certain limited procedures, which consisted principally of inquiries of management regarding the methods of measurement and presentation of the required supplementary information. However, we did not audit the information and express no opinion on it.

Our audit was conducted for the purpose of forming an opinion on the financial statements taken as a whole. The schedule of expenditures by statutory code on page 13, which is also the responsibility of the City of Chicago's management, is presented for purposes of additional analysis and is not a required part of the financial statements of Near North Redevelopment Project of the City of Chicago, Illinois. Such additional information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the financial statements and, in our opinion, is fairly stated in all material respects in relation to the financial statements taken as a whole.

Certified Public Accountants

Bansley and Kiener, L.L.P.

June 16, 2008

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS (UNAUDITED)

As management of the Near North Tax Increment Redevelopment Project Area (Project), we offer the readers of the Project's financial statements this narrative overview and analysis of the Project's financial performance for the year ended December 31, 2007. Please read it in conjunction with the Project's financial statements, which follow this section.

Overview of the Financial Statements

This discussion and analysis is intended to serve as an introduction to the Project's basic financial statements. The Project's basic financial statements include three components: 1) government-wide financial statements, 2) governmental fund financial statements, and 3) notes to the financial statements. This report also contains other supplementary information concerning the Project's expenditures by statutory code.

Basic Financial Statements

The basic financial statements include two kinds of financial statements that present different views of the Project – the *Government-Wide Financial Statements* and the *Governmental Fund Financial Statements*. These financial statements also include the notes to the financial statements that explain some of the information in the financial statements and provide more detail.

Government-Wide Financial Statements

The government-wide financial statements provide both long-term and short-term information about the Project's financial status and use accounting methods similar to those used by private-sector companies. The statement of net assets includes all of the project's assets and liabilities. All of the current year's revenues and expenses are accounted for in the statement of activities regardless of when cash is received or paid. The two government-wide statements report the Project's net assets and how they have changed. Net assets — the difference between the Project's assets and liabilities — is one way to measure the Project's financial health, or position.

Governmental Fund Financial Statements

The governmental fund financial statements provide more detailed information about the Project's significant funds – not the Project as a whole. Governmental funds focus on: 1) how cash and other financial assets can readily be converted to cash flows and 2) the year-end balances that are available for spending. Consequently, the governmental fund statements provide a detailed short-term view that helps determine whether there are more financial resources that can be spent in the near future to finance the Project. Because this information does not encompass the additional long-term focus of the government-wide statements, we provide additional information at the bottom of the statements to explain the relationship (or differences) between them.

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS (UNAUDITED) (Continued)

Notes to the Financial Statements

The notes provide additional information that is essential to a full understanding of the data provided in the government-wide and governmental fund financial statements. The notes to the financial statements follow the basic financial statements.

Other Supplementary Information

In addition to the basic financial statements and accompanying notes, this report also presents a schedule of expenditures by statutory code. This supplementary information follows the notes to the financial statements.

Condensed Comparative Financial Statements

The condensed comparative financial statements are presented on the following page.

Analysis of Overall Financial Position and Results of Operations

Property tax revenue for the Project was \$15,034,788 for the year. This was an increase of 4 percent over the prior year. The change in net assets produced an increase in net assets of \$7,904,875. The Project's net asset deficiency decreased by 85 percent from the prior year making it necessary for \$(1,441,033) to be funded in future years.

Debt Administration

Tax Increment Allocation Bonds outstanding at December 31, 2007 amounted to \$51,600,000. More detailed information about the Project's long-term liabilities is presented in Note 2 of the financial statements.

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS (UNAUDITED) (Concluded)

Government-Wide

	2007	2006	Change	% Change
Total assets	\$ 50,692,026	\$ 44,108,223	\$6,583,803	15%
Total liabilities	52,133,059	53,454,131	(1,321,072)	-2%
Total net assets (deficiency)	\$ (1,441,033)	\$ (9,345,908)	\$ 7,904,875	-85%
Total revenues	\$ 15,990,907	\$ 15,420,519	\$ 570,388	4%
Total expenses	8,086,032	7,255,818	830,214	11%
Operating transfers out		311,075	(311,075)	-100%
Changes in net assets	7,904,875	7,853,626	51,249	1%
Ending net assets (deficiency)	\$ (1,441,033)	\$ (9,345,908)	\$7,904,875	-85%

STATEMENT OF NET ASSETS AND GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS BALANCE SHEET DECEMBER 31, 2007

ASSETS	G	overnmental Funds	Α	.djustments	State o Net A	f
Cash and investments	\$	34,428,296	\$	_	\$ 34,42	28,296
Property taxes receivable	•	16,000,000		-		00,000
Accrued interest receivable		263,730		_	26	33,730
Total assets	\$	50,692,026	\$	-	\$ 50,69	92,026
<u>LIABILITIES</u>		-				
Vouchers payable	\$	922	\$	-	\$	922
Due to other City funds		276,867		-	27	76,867
Accrued interest payable		255,270		-	25	55,270
Deferred revenue		13,955,975		(13,955,975)		-
Bonds payable (Note 2): Due within one year Due after one year		1,800,000		- 49,800,000		00,000
Total liabilities		16,289,034		35,844,025	52,13	33,059
FUND BALANCE/NET ASSETS						
Fund balance: Reserved for debt service Designated for future redevelopment project costs		12,045,202		(12,045,202) (22,357,790)		-
Total fund balance		34,402,992		(34,402,992)	<u> </u>	-
Total liabilities and fund balance	\$	50,692,026			44444	
Net assets (deficiency): Restricted for debt service Restricted for future redevelopment project costs Total net assets (deficiency)			\$	26,001,177 (27,442,210) (1,441,033)	(27,4	01,177 42,210) 41,033)
Amounts reported for governmental activities in the statement of net asse	ets a	are different bed	cause	e:		
Total fund balance - governmental funds					\$ 34,4	02,992
Property tax revenue is recognized in the period for which levied rather than when "available". A portion of the deferred property tax revenue is not available.					13,9	55,975
Long-term liabilities applicable to the Project's governmental activities a payable in the current period and accordingly are not reported as fur long-term liabilities are reported in the statement of net assets.					(49,8	00,000)
Total net assets (deficiency) - governmental activities					\$ (1,4	41,033)

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the financial statements.

STATEMENT OF ACTIVITIES AND GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS REVENUES, EXPENDITURES AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2007

	Governmental Funds	Adjustments	Statement of Activities	
Revenues: Property tax Interest	\$ 14,018,809 956,119	\$ 1,015,979 -	\$ 15,034,788 956,119	
Total revenues	14,974,928	1,015,979	15,990,907	
Expenditures/expenses: Economic development projects	4,906,845	-	4,906,845	
Debt service: Principal retirement Interest	1,800,000 3,179,187	(1,800,000)	3,179,187	
Total expenditures/expenses	9,886,032	(1,800,000)	8,086,032	
Excess of revenues over expenditures	5,088,896	(5,088,896)	-	
Change in net assets	-	7,904,875	7,904,875	
Fund balance/net assets (deficiency): Beginning of year	29,314,096	(38,660,004)	(9,345,908)	
End of year	\$ 34,402,992	\$(35,844,025)	\$ (1,441,033)	
Amounts reported for governmental activities in the statement of	of activities are diffe	erent because:		
Net change in fund balance - governmental funds			\$ 5,088,896	
Property tax revenue is recognized in the period for which lev "available". A portion of the deferred property tax revenue	1,015,979			
Repayment of bond principal is reported as an expenditure in governmental funds and, thus, has the effect of reducing fund balance because current financial resources have been used. For governmental activities, however, the principal payments reduce the liabilities in the statement of net assets and do not result in an expense in the statement of activities. 1,800,000				
Change in net assets - governmental activities			\$ 7,904,875	

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Note 1 - Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

(a) Reporting Entity

In July 1997, the City of Chicago (City) established the Near North Tax Increment Redevelopment Project Area (Project). The area has been established to finance improvements, leverage private investment and create and retain jobs. The Project is accounted for within the capital projects, debt service and special revenue funds of the City.

(b) Government-Wide and Fund Financial Statements

The accompanying financial statements of the Project have been prepared in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles as prescribed by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB). In June 1999, the GASB unanimously approved Statement No. 34 (as amended by Statement No. 37), Basic Financial Statements - Management's Discussion and Analysis - for State and Local Governments and at a later date, Statement No. 38 Certain Financial Statements Disclosures, and include the following:

- A Management Discussion and Analysis (MD&A) section providing an analysis of the Project's overall financial position and results of operations.
- Government-wide financial statements prepared using the economic resources measurement focus and the accrual basis of accounting for all the Project's activities.
- Fund financial statements, which focus on the Project's governmental funds current financial resources measurement focus.

(c) Measurement Focus, Basis of Accounting, and Financial Statements Presentation

The government-wide financial statements are reported using the accrual basis of accounting. Revenues are recorded when earned and expenses are recorded when a liability is incurred regardless of the timing of related cash flows. Property taxes are recognized as revenues in the year for which they are levied.

The governmental fund financial statements are prepared on the *modified accrual basis of accounting* with only current assets and liabilities included on the balance sheet. Under the modified accrual basis of accounting, revenues are recorded when susceptible to accrual, i.e., both measurable and available to finance expenditures of the current period. Available means collectible within the current period or soon enough thereafter to be used to pay liabilities of the current period. Property taxes are susceptible to accrual and recognized as a receivable in the year levied. Revenue recognition is deferred unless the taxes are received within 60 days subsequent to year-end. Expenditures are recorded when the liability is incurred.

Private-sector standards of accounting and financial reporting issued prior to December 1, 1989, generally are followed in government-wide financial statements to the extent that those standards do not conflict with or contradict guidance of the Governmental Accounting Standards Board. The City has elected not to follow subsequent private-sector guidance.

When both restricted and unrestricted resources are available for use, it is the City's policy to use restricted resources first, then unrestricted resources, as they are needed.

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Note 1 – Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (Concluded)

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Accordingly, actual results could differ from these estimates.

(d) Assets, Liabilities and Net Assets

Cash and Investments

Cash belonging to the City is generally deposited with the City Treasurer as required by the Municipal Code of Chicago. The City Comptroller issues warrants for authorized City expenditures which represent a claim for payment when presented to the City Treasurer. Payment for all City warrants clearing is made by checks drawn on the City's various operating bank accounts.

The City Treasurer and City Comptroller share responsibility for investing in authorized investments. Interest earned on pooled investments is allocated to participating funds based upon their average combined cash and investment balances.

The City values its investments at fair value or amortized cost. U.S. Government securities purchased at a price other than par with a maturity of less than one year are reported at amortized cost.

Capital Assets

Capital assets are not capitalized in the governmental funds but, instead, are charged as current expenditures when purchased. The Government-wide financial statements (i.e., the statement of net assets and the statement of changes in net assets) of the City includes the capital assets and related depreciation, if any, of the Project in which ownership of the capital asset will remain with the City (i.e. infrastructure, or municipal building). All other construction will be expensed in both the government-wide financial statements and the governmental funds as the City nor Project will retain the right of ownership.

(e) Stewardship, Compliance, and Accountability

Illinois Tax Increment Redevelopment Allocation Act Compliance

The Project's expenditures include reimbursements for various eligible costs as described in subsection (q) of Section 11-74.4-3 of the Illinois Tax Increment Redevelopment Allocation Act and the Redevelopment Agreement relating specifically to the Project. Eligible costs include but are not limited to survey, property assembly, rehabilitation, public infrastructure, financing and relocation costs.

Reimbursements

Reimbursements, if any, are made to the developer for project costs, as public improvements are completed and pass City inspection. The semi-annual principal and interest payments are made solely from incremental real property taxes, which are paid in the redevelopment district.

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Note 2 - Bonds Payable

In August 1999, the City issued \$55,000,000 of Near North Redevelopment Project Tax Increment Allocation Revenue Bonds, Series 1999A and B (Taxable). The bonds have maturity dates ranging from January 1, 2003 to January 1, 2019. At the discretion of the City, the bonds may bear interest at a daily, weekly, flexible, adjustable long or fixed rate. The bonds were issued in the weekly rate. Remarketing and letter of credit fees are included in interest expense. Net proceeds of \$53,800,000 were used to finance certain project costs in the Near North Redevelopment Project Area (\$43,200,000) and to fund the debt service and related reserve accounts (\$10,600,000).

The City has entered into interest rate swap agreements to obtain a fixed interest rate of 5.084 percent for the Series A and 6.89 percent for the Series B (Taxable). The swaps were approved by the City Council and are effective from September 1, 1999 until January 1, 2019 for Series A and January 1, 2010 for Series B (Taxable) and the initial notional amount totals \$55,000,000.

Long-term liability activity for the year ended December 31, 2007 was as follows:

Beginning balance	\$52,700,000
Additions Reductions	(1,100,000)
Ending balance	<u>\$51,600,000</u>
Amounts due within one year	<u>\$ 1,800,000</u>

The aggregate maturities of the bonds are as follows:

Year Ending	Series	Series 1999A Series 1999B			
December 31,	Principal	Interest	Principal	Interest	
2008	\$ -	\$ 2,282,716	\$1,800,000	\$337,610	
2009	-	2,282,716	1,900,000	206,700	
2010	-	2,282,716	3,000,000	-	
2011	2,900,000	2,135,280	-	-	
2012	3,100,000	1,977,676	-	-	
2013-2017	22,400,000	6,751,552	-	-	
2018-2019	16,500,000	523,652			
Total	<u>\$44,900,000</u>	<u>\$18,236,308</u>	\$6,700,000	<u>\$544,310</u>	

Derivatives - Pay-Fixed, Receive-Variable Interest Rate Swaps

Objective of the swaps. In order to protect against the potential of rising interest rates, the City has entered into two separate pay-fixed, receive-variable interest rate swaps at a cost less than what the City would have paid to issue fixed-rate debt.

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Note 2 – Bonds Payable (Continued)

Terms, fair values and credit risk. The terms, including the fair values and credit ratings of the outstanding swaps as of December 31, 2007, are as follows. The notional amounts of the swaps match the principal amounts of the associated debt. The City's swap agreements contain scheduled reductions to outstanding notional amounts that are expected to approximately follow scheduled or anticipated reductions in the associated bonds payable. Under the swaps, the City pays the counterparty a fixed payment and receives a variable payment computed according to the London Interbank Offered Rate (LIBOR) and/or The Securities Industry and Financial Markets Association Municipal Swap Index (SIFMA):

Associated Bond Issue	Notional Amounts	Effective Date	Fixed Rate Paid	Variable Rate Received	Fair Values	Swap Termi- nation Date	Counter- party Credit Rating
Tax Increment Allocation Bonds (Near North TIF, Series 1999A)	44,900,000	9/1/1999	5.084	67% LIBOR	(6,596,000)	1/1/2019	Aa1/AA+
Tax Increment Allocation Bonds (Near North TIF, Series 1999B)	6,700,000	9/1/1999	6.890	67% LIBOR	(233,000)	1/1/2010	Aa1/AA
Total	\$ 51,600,000				\$ (6,829,000)		

Fair Value. As of December 31, 2007, the swaps had a negative fair value of \$6,829,000. As per industry convention, the fair values of the City's outstanding swaps were estimated using the zero-coupon method. This method calculates the future net settlement payments required by the swap, assuming that the forward rates implied by the yield curve correctly anticipate future spot rates. These payments are then discounted using the spot rates implied by the current yield curve for hypothetical zero-coupon bonds due on the date of each future net settlement on the swap. Because interest rates are below the Fixed Rate Paid, the City's swaps had negative values.

Credit Risk. The City is exposed to credit risk (counterparty risk) through the counterparties with which it enters into agreements. If minimum credit rating requirements are not maintained, the counterparty is required to post collateral to a third party. This protects the City by mitigating the credit risk, and therefore the ability to pay a termination payment, inherent in a swap. Collateral on all swaps is to be in the form of cash or Eligible Collateral held by a third-party custodian. Upon credit events, the swaps also allow transfers, credit support and termination if the counterparty is unable to meet the said credit requirements.

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Concluded)

Note 2 – Bonds Payable (Concluded)

Basis Risk. Basis risk refers to the mismatch between the variable rate payments received on a swap contract and the interest payment actually owed on the bonds. The two significant components driving this risk are credit and SIFMA/LIBOR ratios. Credit may create basis risk because the City's bonds may trade differently than the swap index as a result of a credit change in the City. SIFMA/LIBOR ratios (or spreads) may create basis risk. With percentage of LIBOR swaps, if the City's bonds trade at a higher percentage of LIBOR over the index received on the swap, basis risk is created. This can occur due to many factors including, without limitation, changes in marginal tax rates, tax-exempt status of bonds, and supply and demand for variable rate bonds. The City is exposed to basis risk on all swaps except those that are based on Cost of Funds, which provide cash flows that mirror those of the underlying bonds. For all other swaps, if the rate paid on the bonds is higher than the rate received, the City is liable for the difference. The difference would need to be available on the debt service payment date and it would add additional underlying cost to the transaction.

Tax Risk. The swap exposes the City to tax risk or a permanent mismatch (shortfall) between the floating rate received on the swap and the variable rate paid on the underlying variable-rate bonds due to tax law changes such that the federal or state tax exemption of municipal debt is eliminated or its value reduced. There have been no tax law changes since the execution of the City's swap transactions.

Termination Risk. The risk that the swap could be terminated as a result of certain events including a ratings downgrade for the issuer or swap counterparty, covenant violation, bankruptcy, payment default or other defined events of default. Termination of a swap may result in a payment made by the issuer or to the issuer depending upon the market at the time of termination.

Swap payments and associated debt. Bonds maturing and interest payable January 1, 2008 have been excluded because funds for their payment have been provided for. As of December 31, 2007, debt service requirements of the City's outstanding variable-rate debt and net swap payments, assuming current interest rates remain the same, for their term are as follows:

	Variable-R	ate Bonds	Interest Rate	
Year Ending	Principal	Interest	Swaps, Net	Total
December 31,				
2008	\$ 1,900,000	\$ 1,797,110	\$ 2,620,326	\$ 6,317,436
2009	3,000,000	1,703,060	2,489,416	7,192,476
2010	2,900,000	1,554,560	2,282,716	6,737,276
2011	3,100,000	1,444,800	2,135,280	6,680,080
2012	3,300,000	1,338,160	1,977,676	6,615,836
2013 - 2017	25,300,000	4,568,320	6,751,552	36,619,872
2018	10,300,000	354,320	523,652	11,177,972
	\$49,800,000	\$12,760,330	\$18,780,618	\$ 81,340,948

Note 3 – Commitments

The City has pledged certain amounts solely from available excess incremental taxes to provide financial assistance to a developer under the terms of a redevelopment agreement for the purpose of paying costs of certain eligible redevelopment project costs.



SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES BY STATUTORY CODE

Code Description

Costs of studies, surveys, development of plans and specifications, implementation and administration of the redevelopment plan including but not limited to staff and professional service costs for architectural, engineering, legal, marketing

\$ 276,765

Costs of property assembly, including but not limited to acquisition of land and other property, real or personal, or rights or interests therein, demolition of buildings, and the clearing and grading of land

45,946

Costs of financing, including but not limited to all necessary and incidental expenses related to the issuance of obligations and which may include payment of interest on any obligations issued hereunder accruing during the estimated period of construction of any redevelopment project for which such obligations are issued and for not exceeding 36 months thereafter and including reasonable reserves related thereto

4,979,187

Costs of construction of new housing units for low income and very low income households

4,584,134

\$9,886,032

(3) MAYOR'S CERTIFICATION - 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5(d)(3)

Please see attached.

STATE OF ILLINOIS)	
) S	S
COUNTY OF COOK)	

CERTIFICATION

TO:

Daniel W. Hynes
Comptroller of the State of Illinois
James R. Thompson Center
100 West Randolph Street, Suite 15-500
Chicago, Illinois 60601
Attention: June Tallamantez, Director of Local
Government

Dolores Javier, Treasurer City Colleges of Chicago 226 West Jackson Boulevard, Room 1125 Chicago, Illinois 60606

Peter C. Nicholson, Director Cook County Department of Planning & Development 69 West Washington Street, Room 2900 Chicago, Illinois 60602

Dan Donovan, Comptroller Forest Preserve District of Cook County 69 W. Washington Street, Suite 2060 Chicago, IL 60602

Martin Koldyke, Chairman Chicago School Finance Authority 135 South LaSalle Street, Suite 3800 Chicago, Illinois 60603 Timothy Mitchell, General Superintendent & CEO Chicago Park District 541 North Fairbanks Chicago, Illinois 60611

Arne Duncan, Chief Executive Officer Chicago Board of Education 125 South Clark Street, 5th Floor Chicago, Illinois 60603

Jacqueline Torres, Director of Finance Metropolitan Water Reclamation District of Greater Chicago 100 East Erie Street, Room 2429 Chicago, Illinois 60611

Douglas Wright
South Cook County Mosquito Abatement
District
155th & Dixie Highway
P.O. Box 1030
Harvey, Illinois 60426

I, RICHARD M. DALEY, in connection with the annual report (the "Report") of information required by Section 11-74.4-5(d) of the Tax Increment Allocation Redevelopment Act, 65 ILCS5/11-74.4-1 et seq, (the "Act") with regard to the Near North Redevelopment Project Area (the "Redevelopment Project Area"), do hereby certify as follows:

- 1. I am the duly qualified and acting Mayor of the City of Chicago, Illinois (the "City") and, as such, I am the City's Chief Executive Officer. This Certification is being given by me in such capacity.
- 2. During the preceding fiscal year of the City, being January 1 through December 31, 2007, the City complied, in all material respects, with the requirements of the Act, as applicable from time to time, regarding the Redevelopment Project Area.
- 3. In giving this Certification, I have relied on the opinion of the Corporation Counsel of the City furnished in connection with the Report.
 - 4. This Certification may be relied upon only by the addressees hereof.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto affixed my official signature as of this 30th day of June, 2008.

Richard M. Daley, Mayor
City of Chicago, Illinois

(4) OPINION OF LEGAL COUNSEL - 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5(d)(4)

Please see attached.



City of Chicago Richard M. Daley, Mayor

Department of Law

Mara S. Georges Corporation Counsel

City Hall, Room 600
121 North LaSalle Street
Chicago, Illinois 60602
(312) 744-0200
(312) 744-8538 (FAX)
(312) 744-2963 (TTY)
http://www.cityofchicago.org

June 30, 2008

Daniel W. Hynes Comptroller of the State of Illinois James R. Thompson Center 100 West Randolph Street, Suite 15-500 Chicago, Illinois 60601 Attention: June Tallamantez, Director of Local Government

Dolores Javier, Treasurer City Colleges of Chicago 226 West Jackson Boulevard, Room 1125 Chicago, Illinois 60606

Peter C. Nicholson, Director Cook County Department of Planning & Development 69 West Washington Street, Room 2900 Chicago, Illinois 60602

Dan Donovan, Comptroller Forest Preserve District of Cook County 69 W. Washington Street, Suite 2060 Chicago, IL 60602

Martin Koldyke, Chairman Chicago School Finance Authority 135 South LaSalle Street, Suite 3800 Chicago, Illinois 60603 Timothy Mitchell, General Superintendent & CEO Chicago Park District 541 North Fairbanks Chicago, Illinois 60611

Arne Duncan, Chief Executive Officer Chicago Board of Education 125 South Clark Street, 5th Floor Chicago, Illinois 60603

Jacqueline Torres, Director of Finance Metropolitan Water Reclamation District of Greater Chicago 100 East Erie Street, Room 2429 Chicago, Illinois 60611

Douglas Wright
South Cook County Mosquito Abatement
District
155th & Dixie Highway
P.O. Box 1030
Harvey, Illinois 60426

Re: Near North

Redevelopment Project Area (the "Redevelopment Project

Area")

Dear Addressees:

I am Corporation Counsel of the City of Chicago, Illinois (the "City"). In such capacity, I am providing the opinion required by Section 11-74.4-5(d)(4) of the Tax Increment Allocation Redevelopment Act, 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-1 et seq. (the "Act"), in connection with the submission of the report (the "Report") in accordance with, and containing the information required by, Section 11-74.4-5(d) of the Act for the Redevelopment Project Area.





Attorneys, past and present, in the Law Department of the City familiar with the requirements of the Act have had general involvement in the proceedings affecting the Redevelopment Project Area, including the preparation of ordinances adopted by the City Council of the City with respect to the following matters: approval of the redevelopment plan and project for the Redevelopment Project Area, designation of the Redevelopment Project Area as a redevelopment project area and adoption of tax increment allocation financing for the Redevelopment Project Area, all in accordance with the then applicable provisions of the Act. Various departments of the City, including, if applicable, the Law Department, Department of Planning and Development, Department of Housing, Department of Finance and Office of Budget and Management, have personnel responsible for and familiar with the activities in the Redevelopment Project Area affecting such Department(s) and with the requirements of the Act in connection therewith. Such personnel are encouraged to seek and obtain, and do seek and obtain, the legal guidance of the Law Department with respect to issues that may arise from time to time regarding the requirements of, and compliance with, the Act.

In my capacity as Corporation Counsel, I have relied on the general knowledge and actions of the appropriately designated and trained staff of the Law Department and other applicable City Departments involved with the activities affecting the Redevelopment Project Area. In addition, I have caused to be examined or reviewed by members of the Law Department of the City the certified audit report, to the extent required to be obtained by Section 11-74.4-5(d)(9) of the Act and submitted as part of the Report, which is required to review compliance with the Act in certain respects, to determine if such audit report contains information that might affect my opinion. I have also caused to be examined or reviewed such other documents and records as were deemed necessary to enable me to render this opinion. Nothing has come to my attention that would result in my need to qualify the opinion hereinafter expressed, subject to the limitations hereinafter set forth, unless and except to the extent set forth in an Exception Schedule attached hereto as Schedule 1.

Based on the foregoing, I am of the opinion that, in all material respects, the City is in compliance with the provisions and requirements of the Act in effect and then applicable at the time actions were taken from time to time with respect to the Redevelopment Project Area.

This opinion is given in an official capacity and not personally and no personal liability shall derive herefrom. Furthermore, the only opinion that is expressed is the opinion specifically set forth herein, and no opinion is implied or should be inferred as to any other matter. Further, this opinion may be relied upon only by the addressees hereof and the Mayor of the City in providing his required certification in connection with the Report, and not by any other party.

Very truly yours,

Mara S. Georges Corporation Counsel

SCHEDULE 1

(Exception Schedule)

- (X) No Exceptions
- () Note the following Exceptions:

(5) ANALYSIS OF SPECIAL TAX ALLOCATION FUND - 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5(d)(5)

Please see attached.

NEAR NORTH REDEVELOPMENT PROJECT AREA COMBINED STATEMENT OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE - GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2007

		2007
Revenues		
Property tax	\$	14,018,809
Sales tax		-
Interest		956,119
Total revenues		14,974,928
Expenditures		
Costs of studies, admin., and professional services. (q)(1)		276,765
Marketing costs. (q)(1.6)		-
Property assembly, demolition, site preparation and environmental		
site improvement costs. $(q)(2)$		45,946
Costs of rehabilitation, reconstruction, repair or remodeling and		
of existing buildings. (q)(3)		tue .
Costs of construction of public works and improvements. (q)(4)		w
Cost of job training and retraining. (q)(5)		160
Financing costs. (q)(6)		4,979,187
Approved capital costs of overlapping taxing districts. (q)(7)		, ,
Cost of reimbursing school district for their increase costs caused		
by TIF assisted housing projects (q)(7.5)		₩
Relocation costs. (q)(8)		
Payments in lieu of taxes. (q)(9)		==
Costs of job training, retraining advanced vocational or career		
education provided by other taxing bodies. (q)(10)		_
Costs of reimbursing private developers for interest expenses		
incurred on approved redevelopment projects. (q)(11)(A-E)		
Costs of construction of new housing units for low income and very		
low income households. (q)(11)(F)		4,584,134
Cost of day care services and operational costs of day care centers.		7,507,157
(q)(11.5)		
(4)(11.2)	-	
Total expenditures		9,886,032
Revenues over expenditures		5,088,896
Fund balance, beginning of year		29,314,096
Fund balance, end of year	\$	34,402,992
Fund balance		
Reserved for debt service	\$	12,045,202
Reserved for encumbrances	•	,,
Designated for future redevelopment projects costs		22,357,790
Total fund balance	\$	34,402,992

(5) ANALYSIS OF SPECIAL TAX ALLOCATION FUND - 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5(d)(5) cont.

Below is listed all vendors, including other municipal funds, that were paid in excess of \$5,000 during the current reporting year.*

Name	Service	Amount
City Staff Costs ¹	Administration	\$237,783
Graef, Anhalt & Schloemer & Associates	Professional Service	\$5,641
Moody's Investors Service	Professional Service	\$11,600
Chicago Department of Water Management	Remediation	\$36,054
Midland Industries	Property Acquisition	\$9,892
River Village Townhomes South LLC	Development	\$3,160,075
Parkside of OldTown I, LLC	Development	\$1,424,059
Cole Taylor Bank	Financing	\$4,979,187

¹ Costs relate directly to the salaries and fringe benefits of employees working solely on tax increment financing districts.

^{*} This table may include payments for Projects that were undertaken prior to 11/1/1999.

(6) **DESCRIPTION OF PROPERTY - 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5(d)(6)**

During 2007, the City did not purchase any property in the Project Area.

(7) STATEMENT OF ACTIVITIES - 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5(d)(7)

- (A) Projects implemented in the preceding fiscal year.
- **(B)** A description of the redevelopment activities undertaken.
- **(C)** Agreements entered into by the City with regard to disposition or redevelopment of any property within the Project Area.
- **(D)** Additional information on the use of all Funds received by the Project Area and steps taken by the City to achieve the objectives of the Redevelopment Plan.
- (E) Information on contracts that the City's consultants have entered into with parties that have received, or are receiving, payments financed by tax increment revenues produced by the Project Area.
- (F) Joint Review Board reports submitted to the City.
- (G) Project-by-project review of public and private investment undertaken from 11/1/99 to 12/31/07, and of such investments expected to be undertaken in year 2008; also, a project-by-project ratio of private investment to public investment from 11/1/99 to 12/31/07, and an estimated ratio of such investments as of the completion of each project and as estimated to the completion of the redevelopment project.

SEE TABLES AND/OR DISCUSSIONS ON THE FOLLOWING PAGES.

(7)(A) - 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5(d)(7)(A)

During 2007, no projects were implemented.

(7)(B) - 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5(d)(7)(B)

Redevelopment activities undertaken within this Project Area during the year 2007, if any, have been made pursuant to i) the Redevelopment Plan for the Project Area, and ii) any Redevelopment Agreements affecting the Project Area, and are set forth on Table 5 herein by TIF-eligible expenditure category.

(7)(C) - 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5(d)(7)(C)

During 2007, no agreements were entered into with regard to the disposition or redevelopment of any property within the Project Area.

(7)(D) - 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5(d)(7)(D)

The Project Area has received \$63,637,541 of property tax and sales tax (if applicable) increment since the creation of the Project Area. These amounts have been used to pay for project costs within the Project Area and for debt service (if applicable). The Project Area's fund balance as shown on Table 5 represents (on a modified accrual basis) financial resources (including increment) that have not been expended.

(7)(E) - 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5(d)(7)(E)

During 2007, no contracts were entered into by the City's tax increment advisors or consultants with entities or persons that have received, or are receiving, payments financed by tax increment revenues produced by the Project Area.

(7)(F) - 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5(d)(7)(F)

During 2007, no reports were submitted to the City by the Joint Review Board.

(7)(G) - 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5(d)(7)(G)

PROJECT BY PROJECT REVIEW OF PUBLIC AND PRIVATE INVESTMENT AND RATIO OF PRIVATE TO PUBLIC INVESTMENT * TABLE 7(G)

THE PARTY OF THE VITE TO LOBERT WAS INTERNAL.	I CDEIC IIN ES	TATTAT				
Projects Undertaken in This	Private Inve	Private Investment Undertaken	Public Inves	Public Investment Undertaken	Ratio Of]	Ratio Of Private/Public
Redevelopment Project Area					Inve	Investment
	11/1/1999 to	Amount Estimated to	11/1/1999 to	Amount Estimated	11/1/1999 to	Ratio Estimated
	End of	Complete the Project	End of	to Complete the	End of	as of Project
	Reporting FY		Reporting FY	Project	Reporting FY	Completion
Project 1: North Town Village	**	\$46,400,000	\$5,102,178	\$8,600,000	*	5.4:1
LLC						
Project 2: River Village	* *	\$3,051,024	\$1,395,312	\$2,836,008 (b)	* *	1.1:1
Townhomes & Lofts (Site H) (a)						
Project 3: River Village South	* *	\$2,958,227	\$3,160,074	\$3,140,395 (b)	* *	1:1.1
(Site I) (a)						
Project 4: Lakefront Supportive	* *	\$13,178,548	\$900,000	\$1,000,000	*	13.2:1
Housing						
Project 5: Parkside of Old Town –	* * *	\$91,592,000	\$4,092,862	\$9,037,605	* *	10.1:1
CHA Transformation						
Project 6: Small Business	Program is	\$3,000,000	0\$	\$1,500,000	Program is	2.0:1
Improvement Fund (SBIF) **	Ongoing				Ongoing	

Ratio of Private/Public Investment	7.2:1
Public Investment Undertaken Ratio	\$3,500,000
Private Investment Undertaken	\$25,185,704
Projects Estimated To Be Undertaken During 2008	Project 1: Cleveland Towers

PROJECT BY PROJECT REVIEW OF PUBLIC AND PRIVATE INVESTMENT AND RATIO OF PRIVATE TO PUBLIC INVESTMENT * (continued) TABLE 7(G)

		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Ratio of Private/Public Investment	7.1:1	N/A
Public Investment Undertaken	\$3,770,138	\$2,250,000
Private Investment Undertaken	\$26,617,440	\$0
Projects Estimated To Be Undertaken During 2008	Project 2: Clybourn/Larrabee	Project 3: IGA - Board of Education for ADA Projects

Each actual or estimated Public Investment reported here is, to the extent possible, comprised only of payments financed by tax increment revenues. In contrast, each actual or estimated Private Investment reported here is, to the extent possible, comprised of payments financed by revenues that are not tax increment revenues and, therefore, may include private equity, private lender financing, private grants, other public monies, or other local, state or federal grants or loans. Each amount reported here under Public Investment Undertaken, Amount Estimated to Complete the Project, is the maximum amount of payments financed by tax increment revenues that could be made pursuant to the corresponding Project's operating documents, but not including interest that may later be payable on developer notes, and may not necessarily reflect actual expenditures, if any, as reported in Sections 2 or 5 herein. The total public investment amount ultimately made under each Project will depend upon the future occurrence of various conditions including interest that may be payable on developer notes set forth in the Project's operating documents. Each amount reported here under Public Investment Undertaken, 11/1/1999 to End of Reporting FY, is cumulative from the date of execution of the corresponding Project to the end of the reporting year, and may include interest amounts paid to finance the Public Investment amount. Projects undertaken prior to 11/1/1999 are not reported on this table.

- ** Depending on the particular goals of this type of program, the City may: i) make an advance disbursement of the entire public investment amount to the City's program administrator, ii) disburse the amounts through an escrow account, or iii) pay the funds out piecemeal to the program administrator as each ultimate grantee's rehabilitation work is approved under the program.
- As of the End of the Reporting FY, the construction of this Project was ongoing; the Private Investment Undertaken and Ratio figures for this Project will be reported on the Annual Report for the FY in which the construction of the Project is completed and the total Private Investment figure is available.
- These projects straddle both the Near North Redevelopment Project Area and the Chicago Kingsbury Redevelopment Project Area. (a)
- This is the aggregate amount, which will be funded from increment received both from the Near North Redevelopment Project Area and the Chicago/Kingsbury Redevelopment (b) This Project Area.

(8) DOCUMENTS RELATING TO OBLIGATIONS ISSUED BY THE MUNICIPALITY - 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5(d)(8)(A)

During 2007, there were no obligations issued for the Project Area.

(9) ANALYSIS OF DEBT SERVICE - 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5(d)(8)(B)

During 2007, there were no obligations issued for the Project Area.

(10) **CERTIFIED AUDIT REPORTS - 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5(d)(9)**

Please see attached.



CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS

ESTABLISHED 1922

O'HARE PLAZA 8745 WEST HIGGINS ROAD SUITE 200 CHICAGO, ILLINOIS 60631 312.263.2700 FAX 312.263.6935 www.bk-cpa.com

INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT

The Honorable Richard M. Daley, Mayor Members of the City Council City of Chicago, Illinois

We have audited, in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America, the statement of net assets and governmental funds balance sheet of Near North Redevelopment Project of the City of Chicago, Illinois as of December 31, 2007, and the related statement of activities and governmental funds revenues, expenditures and changes in fund balance for the year then ended, and have issued our report thereon dated June 16, 2008.

In connection with our audit, nothing came to our attention that caused us to believe that the Project failed to comply with the regulatory provisions in Subsection (q) of Section 11-74.4-3 of the Illinois Tax Increment Allocation Redevelopment Act and Subsection (o) of Section 11-74.6-10 of the Illinois Industrial Jobs Recovery Law as they relate to the eligibility for costs incurred incidental to the implementation of the Near North Redevelopment Project of the City of Chicago, Illinois.

This report is intended for the information of the City of Chicago's management. However, this report is a matter of public record, and its distribution is not limited.

Certified Public Accountants

Bansley and Kiener, L.L.P.

June 16, 2008



(11) GENERAL DESCRIPTION AND MAP

The Near North Redevelopment Project Area is generally bounded on the north by West Evergreen Avenue, West North Avenue, and the CTA right-of-way; on the east by North Orleans, the CTA right-of-way, North Wells Street, and North Sedgwick; on the south by West Oak Street, West Locust Street, and West Chicago Avenue; and on the west by North Larrabee Street, North Kingsbury Street, the east seawall of the North Branch Canal of the Chicago River, and North Halsted Street. The map below illustrates the location and general boundaries of the Project Area. For precise boundaries, please consult the legal description in the Redevelopment Plan.

