2007 Annual Report

51st/Archer Redevelopment Project Area



Pursuant to 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5(d)

JUNE 30, 2008



■ Ernst & Young LLP
Sears Tower
233 South Wacker Drive
Chicago, Illinois 60606-6301

Phone: (312) 879-2000 www.ey.com

June 30, 2008

Mr. Arnold L. Randall Commissioner Department of Planning and Development 121 North LaSalle Street Chicago, Illinois 60602

Dear Commissioner:

Enclosed is the annual report for the 51st/ Archer Redevelopment Project Area, which we compiled at the direction of the Department of Planning and Development pursuant to Section 5(d) of the Illinois Tax Increment Allocation Redevelopment Act (65 ILCS 5/11-74.6-1 et seq.), as amended. The contents are based on information provided to us by Chicago Departments of Planning and Development, Finance, and Law. We have not audited, verified, or applied agreed upon accounting and testing procedures to the data contained in this report. Therefore, we express no opinion on its accuracy or completeness.

It has been a pleasure to work with representatives from the Department of Planning and Development and other City Departments.

Very truly yours,

Ernst & Young LLP

Ernst + Young LLP

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ANNUAL REPORT – $51^{\rm ST}$ /ARCHER REDEVELOPMENT PROJECT AREA IN COMPLIANCE WITH SECTION (d) OF 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5.

		PAGI
LE	TTER TO STATE COMPTROLLER	1
1)	DATE OF DESIGNATION OR TERMINATION	2
2)	AUDITED FINANCIALS	3
3)	MAYOR'S CERTIFICATION	4
4)	OPINION OF LEGAL COUNSEL	5
5)	ANALYSIS OF SPECIAL TAX ALLOCATION FUND	ϵ
6)	DESCRIPTION OF PROPERTY	7
7)	STATEMENT OF ACTIVITIES	8
8)	DOCUMENTS RELATING TO OBLIGATIONS ISSUED BY THE MUNICIPALITY	13
9)	ANALYSIS OF DEBT SERVICE	14
10)	CERTIFIED AUDIT REPORT	15
11)	GENERAL DESCRIPTION AND MAP	16



City of Chicago Richard M. Daley, Mayor

Department of Planning and Development

Arnold L. Randall Commissioner

City Hall, Room 1000 121 North LaSalle Street Chicago, Illinois 60602 312 744-4190 312 744-2271 (FAX) 312 744-2578 (TTY)

http://www.cityofchicago.org

June 30, 2008

The Honorable Daniel Hynes Comptroller State of Illinois Office of the Comptroller 201 Capitol Springfield, IL 62706

Dear Comptroller Hynes:

Omisle Kanlas

We have compiled the attached information for the 51st/ Archer Redevelopment Project Area (Report) pursuant to 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5(d).

Sincerely,

Arnold L. Randall Commissioner

NEIGHBORHOODS 以同心国间分量



(1) DATE OF DESIGNATION AND TERMINATION - 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5(d)(1.5)

The Project Area was designated on May 17, 2000. The Project Area may be terminated no later than December 31, 2024.

(2) AUDITED FINANCIALS - 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5(d)(2)

Please see attached.

FINANCIAL REPORT

DECEMBER 31, 2007

CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT ON THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION	1-2
Management's discussion and analysis Statement of net assets and governmental funds balance sheet Statement of activities and governmental funds revenues,	3-5 6
expenditures and changes in fund balance Notes to financial statements	7 8-10
SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION	
Schedule of expenditures by statutory code	11

BANSLEY AND KIENER, L.L.P.

CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS
O'HARE PLAZA
8745 WEST HIGGINS ROAD, SUITE 200
CHICAGO, ILLINOIS 60631
AREA CODE 312 263.2700

INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT

The Honorable Richard M. Daley, Mayor Members of the City Council City of Chicago, Illinois

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of the 51st/Archer Redevelopment Project of the City of Chicago, Illinois, as of and for the year ended December 31, 2007, as listed in the table of contents. These financial statements are the responsibility of the City of Chicago's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

As discussed in Note 1, the financial statements present only the 51st/Archer Redevelopment Project and do not purport to, and do not present fairly the financial position of the City of Chicago, Illinois, as of December 31, 2007, and the changes in its financial position for the year then ended in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of the 51st/Archer Redevelopment Project of the City of Chicago, Illinois, as of December 31, 2007, and the changes in financial position thereof for the year then ended in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

The Management's Discussion and Analysis on pages 3 through 5 is not a required part of the basic financial statements but is supplementary information required by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board. We have applied certain limited procedures, which consisted principally of inquiries of management regarding the methods of measurement and presentation of the required supplementary information. However, we did not audit the information and express no opinion on it.

Our audit was conducted for the purpose of forming an opinion on the financial statements taken as a whole. The schedule of expenditures by statutory code on page 11, which is also the responsibility of the City of Chicago's management, is presented for purposes of additional analysis and is not a required part of the financial statements of 51st/Archer Redevelopment Project of the City of Chicago, Illinois. Such additional information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the financial statements and, in our opinion, is fairly stated in all material respects in relation to the financial statements taken as a whole.

Bansley and Fierer, L. G. P.
Certified Public Accountants

June 20, 2008

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS (UNAUDITED)

As management of the 51st/Archer Tax Increment Redevelopment Project Area (Project), we offer the readers of the Project's financial statements this narrative overview and analysis of the Project's financial performance for the year ended December 31, 2007. Please read it in conjunction with the Project's financial statements, which follow this section.

Overview of the Financial Statements

This discussion and analysis is intended to serve as an introduction to the Project's basic financial statements. The Project's basic financial statements include three components: 1) government-wide financial statements, 2) governmental fund financial statements, and 3) notes to the financial statements. This report also contains other supplementary information concerning the Project's expenditures by statutory code.

Basic Financial Statements

The basic financial statements include two kinds of financial statements that present different views of the Project – the Government-Wide Financial Statements and the Governmental Fund Financial Statements. These financial statements also include the notes to the financial statements that explain some of the information in the financial statements and provide more detail.

Government-Wide Financial Statements

The government-wide financial statements provide both long-term and short-term information about the Project's financial status and use accounting methods similar to those used by private-sector companies. The statement of net assets includes all of the project's assets and liabilities. All of the current year's revenues and expenses are accounted for in the statement of activities regardless of when cash is received or paid. The two government-wide statements report the Project's net assets and how they have changed. Net assets – the difference between the Project's assets and liabilities – is one way to measure the Project's financial health, or position.

Governmental Fund Financial Statements

The governmental fund financial statements provide more detailed information about the Project's significant funds – not the Project as a whole. Governmental funds focus on: 1) how cash and other financial assets can readily be converted to cash flows and 2) the year-end balances that are available for spending. Consequently, the governmental fund statements provide a detailed short-term view that helps determine whether there are more financial resources that can be spent in the near future to finance the Project. Because this information does not encompass the additional long-term focus of the government-wide statements, we provide additional information at the bottom of the statements to explain the relationship (or differences) between them.

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS (UNAUDITED) (Continued)

Notes to the Financial Statements

The notes provide additional information that is essential to a full understanding of the data provided in the government-wide and governmental fund financial statements. The notes to the financial statements follow the basic financial statements.

Other Supplementary Information

In addition to the basic financial statements and accompanying notes, this report also presents a schedule of expenditures by statutory code. This supplementary information follows the notes to the financial statements.

Condensed Comparative Financial Statements

The condensed comparative financial statements are presented on the following page.

Analysis of Overall Financial Position and Results of Operations

Property tax revenue for the Project was \$819,405 for the year. This was an increase of 110 percent over the prior year. The change in net assets (including operating transfers in) produced an increase in net assets of \$3,584,630. The Project's net assets increased by 176 percent from the prior year making available \$5,619,253 of funding to be provided for purposes of future redevelopment in the Project's designated area. Revenues increased this year due to the Project's economic growth and accordingly increasing the total equalized assessed value of parcels and subsequent tax increment and related collections. Expenses increased this year due to the Project's formulation of a redevelopment plan or necessary funding was substantially complete and available.

Debt Administration

General Obligation Bonds (Modern Schools Across Chicago Program) outstanding at December 31, 2007 amounted to \$29,090,000. More detailed information about the Project's long-term liabilities is presented in Note 2 of the financial statements.

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS (UNAUDITED) (Concluded)

Government-Wide

	2007	 2006	Change	% Change
Total assets	\$36,148,542	\$ 2,042,792	\$34,105,750	1,670%
Total liabilities	30,529,289	 8,169	30,521,120	373,621%
Total net assets	\$ 5,619,253	\$ 2,034,623	\$ 3,584,630	176%
Total revenues	\$ 2,423,502	\$ 414,117	\$ 2,009,385	485%
Total expenses	1,497,374	 11,530	1,485,844	12,887%
Operating transfers in	2,658,502	 	2,658,502	100%
Changes in net assets	3,584,630	 402,587	3,182,043	790%
Ending net assets	\$ 5,619,253	\$ 2,034,623	\$ 3,584,630	176%

STATEMENT OF NET ASSETS AND GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS BALANCE SHEET DECEMBER 31, 2007

<u>ASSETS</u>	Governmental Funds	Adjustments	Statement of Net Assets
Cash and investments	\$ 35,198,986	\$ -	\$35,198,986
Property taxes receivable	700,000	-	700,000
Accrued interest receivable	249,556	_	249,556
Total assets	\$ 36,148,542	\$ -	\$36,148,542
<u>LIABILITIES</u>			
Vouchers payable	\$ 8,770	\$ -	\$ 8,770
Due to other City funds	11,443	-	11,443
Accrued interest payable	113,407	-	113,407
Deferred revenue	578,344	(578,344)	-
Bonds payable (Note 2): Due within one year Due after one year		435,000 29,960,669	435,000 29,960,669
Total liabilities	711,964	29,817,325	30,529,289
FUND BALANCE/NET ASSETS			
Fund balance: Reserved for debt service Designated for future redevelopment	1,718,708	(1,718,708)	-
project costs	33,717,870	(33,717,870)	
Total fund balance	35,436,578	(35,436,578)	
Total liabilities and fund balance	\$ 36,148,542		
Net assets: Restricted for economic development projects Restricted for debt service Restricted for future redevelopment		221,895 1,795,885	221,895 1,795,885
project costs		3,601,473	3,601,473
Total net assets		\$ 5,619,253	\$ 5,619,253
Amounts reported for governmental activities in the statement of net	assets are differen	t because:	
Total fund balance - governmental funds			\$35,436,578
Property tax revenue is recognized in the period for which levied rather than when "available". A portion of the deferred property tax revenue is not available.			578,344
Long-term liabilities applicable to the Project's governmental activities are not due and payable in the current period and accordingly are not reported as fund liabilities. All long-term liabilities are reported in the statement of net assets.			
Total net assets - governmental activities			\$ 5,619,253
The good manying notes are an interval next of the financial statement			. —

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the financial statements.

STATEMENT OF ACTIVITIES AND GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS REVENUES, EXPENDITURES AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2007

	Governmental Funds	Adjustments	Statement of Activities
Revenues: Property tax Interest	\$ 717,771 1,604,097	\$ 101,634 	\$ 819,405 1,604,097
Total revenues	2,321,868	101,634	2,423,502
Expenditures/expenses: Economic development projects	327,720	-	327,720
Debt service: Interest	1,251,258_	(81,604)	1,169,654
Total expenditures/expenses	1,578,978	(81,604)	1,497,374
Excess of revenues over expenditures	742,890	183,238	926,128
Other financing sources: Proceeds of debt (Note 2) Operating transfers in (Note 3)	30,477,273 2,658,502	(30,477,273)	- 2,658,502
Total other financing sources	33,135,775	(30,477,273)	2,658,502
Excess of revenues and other financing sources over expenditures	33,878,665	(33,878,665)	-
Change in net assets	- '	3,584,630	3,584,630
Fund balance/net assets: Beginning of year	1,557,913	476,710	2,034,623
End of year	\$ 35,436,578	\$(29,817,325)	\$ 5,619,253
Amounts reported for governmental activities in the stater	nent of activities a	re different becau	se:
Net change in fund balance - governmental funds			\$ 33,878,665
Property tax revenue is recognized in the period for whi "available". A portion of the deferred property tax rev	101,634		
Bond proceeds are reported as financing sources in governormal contribute to the change in fund balance. In the governormal issuing debt increases notes payable in the statement not affect the statement of activities.	(30,477,273)		
Premium received on the issuance of long-term debt is not accrued in governmental funds, but rather is amortized over the life of the bonds.			81,604
Change in net assets - governmental activities			\$ 3,584,630
The accompanying notes are an integral part of the finance	ial statements.		

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Note 1 – Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

(a) Reporting Entity

In May 2000, the City of Chicago (City) established the 51st/Archer Tax Increment Redevelopment Project Area (Project). The area has been established to finance improvements, leverage private investment and create and retain jobs. The Project is accounted for within the capital projects, debt service and special revenue funds of the City.

(b) Government-Wide and Fund Financial Statements

The accompanying financial statements of the Project have been prepared in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles as prescribed by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB). In June 1999, the GASB unanimously approved Statement No. 34 (as amended by Statement No. 37), Basic Financial Statements - Management's Discussion and Analysis - for State and Local Governments and at a later date, Statement No. 38 Certain Financial Statements Disclosures, and include the following:

- A Management Discussion and Analysis (MD&A) section providing an analysis of the Project's overall financial position and results of operations.
- Government-wide financial statements prepared using the economic resources measurement focus and the *accrual basis of accounting* for all the Project's activities.
- Fund financial statements, which focus on the Project's governmental funds *current* financial resources measurement focus.

(c) Measurement Focus, Basis of Accounting, and Financial Statements Presentation

The government-wide financial statements are reported using the accrual basis of accounting. Revenues are recorded when earned and expenses are recorded when a liability is incurred regardless of the timing of related cash flows. Property taxes are recognized as revenues in the year for which they are levied.

The governmental fund financial statements are prepared on the *modified accrual basis of accounting* with only current assets and liabilities included on the balance sheet. Under *the modified accrual basis of accounting*, revenues are recorded when susceptible to accrual, i.e., both measurable and available to finance expenditures of the current period. Available means collectible within the current period or soon enough thereafter to be used to pay liabilities of the current period. Property taxes are susceptible to accrual and recognized as a receivable in the year levied. Revenue recognition is deferred unless the taxes are received within 60 days subsequent to year-end. Expenditures are recorded when the liability is incurred.

Private-sector standards of accounting and financial reporting issued prior to December 1, 1989, generally are followed in government-wide financial statements to the extent that those standards do not conflict with or contradict guidance of the Governmental Accounting Standards Board. The City has elected not to follow subsequent private-sector guidance.

When both restricted and unrestricted resources are available for use, it is the City's policy to use restricted resources first, then unrestricted resources, as they are needed.

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Note 1 - Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (Concluded)

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Accordingly, actual results could differ from these estimates.

(d) Assets, Liabilities and Net Assets

Cash and Investments

Cash belonging to the City is generally deposited with the City Treasurer as required by the Municipal Code of Chicago. The City Comptroller issues warrants for authorized City expenditures which represent a claim for payment when presented to the City Treasurer. Payment for all City warrants clearing is made by checks drawn on the City's various operating bank accounts.

The City Treasurer and City Comptroller share responsibility for investing in authorized investments. Interest earned on pooled investments is allocated to participating funds based upon their average combined cash and investment balances.

The City values its investments at fair value or amortized cost. U.S. Government securities purchased at a price other than par with a maturity of less than one year are reported at amortized cost.

Capital Assets

Capital assets are not capitalized in the governmental fund but, instead, are charged as current expenditures when purchased. The Government-wide financial statements (i.e., the statement of net assets and the statement of changes in net assets) of the City includes the capital assets and related depreciation, if any, of the Project in which ownership of the capital asset will remain with the City (i.e. infrastructure, or municipal building). All other construction will be expensed in both the government-wide financial statements and the governmental fund as the City nor Project will retain the right of ownership.

(e) Stewardship, Compliance, and Accountability

Illinois Tax Increment Redevelopment Allocation Act Compliance

The Project's expenditures include reimbursements for various eligible costs as described in subsection (q) of Section 11-74.4-3 of the Illinois Tax Increment Redevelopment Allocation Act and the Redevelopment Agreement relating specifically to the Project. Eligible costs include but are not limited to survey, property assembly, rehabilitation, public infrastructure, financing and relocation costs.

Reimbursements

Reimbursements, if any, are made to the developer for project costs, as public improvements are completed and pass City inspection. The semi-annual principal and interest payments are made solely from incremental real property taxes, which are paid in this redevelopment district and other contiguous redevelopment districts needed to fulfill the debt service requirements.

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Concluded)

Note 2 - Bonds Payable

In January 2007, the City issued \$29,090,000 of General Obligation Bonds (Modern Schools Across Chicago Program), Series 2007A at a premium. The bonds have interest rates ranging from 3.60 to 4.00 percent and maturity dates ranging from December 1, 2008 to December 1, 2024. Net proceeds of \$29,090,000 will be used to pay for a portion of the costs for construction, renovation, design and acquisition of elementary and high schools that are part of the school system operated by the Board of Education of the City of Chicago (the "Board") and refund certain outstanding obligations of the Board.

Long-term liability activity for the year ended December 31, 2007 was as follows:

Beginning balance	\$ -
Additions Reductions	29,090,000
Subtotal	29,090,000
Plus unamortized premium	1,305,669
Ending balance	\$30,395,669
Amounts due within one year	<u>\$ 435,000</u>

The aggregate maturities of the bonds are as follows:

Year Ending December 31,	<u>Principal</u>	Interest
2008	\$ 435,000	\$ 1,360,885
2009	450,000	1,345,225
2010	470,000	1,329,025
2011	895,000	1,310,225
2012	930,000	1,276,662
2013-2017	7,075,000	5,724,063
2018-2022	12,055,000	3,615,250
2023-2024	<u>6,780,000</u>	512,750
Total	<u>\$29,090,000</u>	<u>\$16,474,085</u>

Note 3 - Operating Transfers In

During 2007, in accordance with State statutes, the Project received \$2,658,502 from two contiguous Redevelopment Projects (63rd/Pulaski \$1,387,704 and Midway Industrial Corridor \$1,270,798) to fund debt service and economic development projects.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION

SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES BY STATUTORY CODE

Code Description

Costs of studies, surveys, development of plans and specifications, implementation and administration of the redevelopment plan including but not limited to staff and professional service costs for architectural, engineering, legal, marketing

\$ 46,037

Costs of financing, including but not limited to all necessary and incidental expenses related to the issuance of obligations and which may include payment of interest on any obligations issued hereunder accruing during the estimated period of construction of any redevelopment project for which such obligations are issued and for not exceeding 36 months thereafter and including reasonable reserves related thereto

1,532,941

\$1,578,978

(3) MAYOR'S CERTIFICATION - 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5(d)(3)

Please see attached.

STATE OF ILLINOIS)
) SS
COUNTY OF COOK)

CERTIFICATION

TO:

Daniel W. Hynes
Comptroller of the State of Illinois
James R. Thompson Center
100 West Randolph Street, Suite 15-500
Chicago, Illinois 60601
Attention: June Tallamantez, Director of Local
Government

Dolores Javier, Treasurer City Colleges of Chicago 226 West Jackson Boulevard, Room 1125 Chicago, Illinois 60606

Peter C. Nicholson, Director Cook County Department of Planning & Development 69 West Washington Street, Room 2900 Chicago, Illinois 60602

Dan Donovan, Comptroller Forest Preserve District of Cook County 69 W. Washington Street, Suite 2060 Chicago, IL 60602

Martin Koldyke, Chairman Chicago School Finance Authority 135 South LaSalle Street, Suite 3800 Chicago, Illinois 60603 Timothy Mitchell, General Superintendent & CEO Chicago Park District 541 North Fairbanks Chicago, Illinois 60611

Arne Duncan, Chief Executive Officer Chicago Board of Education 125 South Clark Street, 5th Floor Chicago, Illinois 60603

Jacqueline Torres, Director of Finance Metropolitan Water Reclamation District of Greater Chicago 100 East Erie Street, Room 2429 Chicago, Illinois 60611

Douglas Wright
South Cook County Mosquito Abatement
District
155th & Dixie Highway
P.O. Box 1030
Harvey, Illinois 60426

I, RICHARD M. DALEY, in connection with the annual report (the "Report") of information required by Section 11-74.4-5(d) of the Tax Increment Allocation Redevelopment Act, 65 ILCS5/11-74.4-1 et seq, (the "Act") with regard to the 51st/ Archer Redevelopment Project Area (the "Redevelopment Project Area"), do hereby certify as follows:

- 1. I am the duly qualified and acting Mayor of the City of Chicago, Illinois (the "City") and, as such, I am the City's Chief Executive Officer. This Certification is being given by me in such capacity.
- 2. During the preceding fiscal year of the City, being January 1 through December 31, 2007, the City complied, in all material respects, with the requirements of the Act, as applicable from time to time, regarding the Redevelopment Project Area.
- 3. In giving this Certification, I have relied on the opinion of the Corporation Counsel of the City furnished in connection with the Report.
 - 4. This Certification may be relied upon only by the addressees hereof.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto affixed my official signature as of this 30th day of June, 2008.

Richard M. Daley, Mayor

City of Chicago, Illinois

(4) OPINION OF LEGAL COUNSEL - 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5(d)(4)

Please see attached.



City of Chicago Richard M. Daley, Mayor

Department of Law

Mara S. Georges Corporation Counsel

City Hall, Room 600
121 North LaSalle Street
Chicago, Illinois 60602
(312) 744-0200
(312) 744-8538 (FAX)
(312) 744-2963 (TTY)
http://www.cityofchicago.org

June 30, 2008

Daniel W. Hynes Comptroller of the State of Illinois James R. Thompson Center 100 West Randolph Street, Suite 15-500 Chicago, Illinois 60601 Attention: June Tallamantez, Director of Local Government

Dolores Javier, Treasurer City Colleges of Chicago 226 West Jackson Boulevard, Room 1125 Chicago, Illinois 60606

Peter C. Nicholson, Director Cook County Department of Planning & Development 69 West Washington Street, Room 2900 Chicago, Illinois 60602

Dan Donovan, Comptroller Forest Preserve District of Cook County 69 W. Washington Street, Suite 2060 Chicago, IL 60602

Martin Koldyke, Chairman Chicago School Finance Authority 135 South LaSalle Street, Suite 3800 Chicago, Illinois 60603 Timothy Mitchell, General Superintendent & CEO
Chicago Park District
541 North Fairbanks
Chicago, Illinois 60611

Arne Duncan, Chief Executive Officer Chicago Board of Education 125 South Clark Street, 5th Floor Chicago, Illinois 60603

Jacqueline Torres, Director of Finance Metropolitan Water Reclamation District of Greater Chicago 100 East Erie Street, Room 2429 Chicago, Illinois 60611

Douglas Wright
South Cook County Mosquito Abatement
District
155th & Dixie Highway
P.O. Box 1030
Harvey, Illinois 60426

Re: 51st/ Archer

Redevelopment Project Area (the "Redevelopment Project

Area")

Dear Addressees:

I am Corporation Counsel of the City of Chicago, Illinois (the "City"). In such capacity, I am providing the opinion required by Section 11-74.4-5(d)(4) of the Tax Increment Allocation Redevelopment Act, 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-1 et seq. (the "Act"), in connection with the submission of the report (the "Report") in accordance with, and containing the information required by, Section 11-74.4-5(d) of the Act for the Redevelopment Project Area.





Attorneys, past and present, in the Law Department of the City familiar with the requirements of the Act have had general involvement in the proceedings affecting the Redevelopment Project Area, including the preparation of ordinances adopted by the City Council of the City with respect to the following matters: approval of the redevelopment plan and project for the Redevelopment Project Area, designation of the Redevelopment Project Area as a redevelopment project area and adoption of tax increment allocation financing for the Redevelopment Project Area, all in accordance with the then applicable provisions of the Act. Various departments of the City, including, if applicable, the Law Department, Department of Planning and Development, Department of Housing, Department of Finance and Office of Budget and Management, have personnel responsible for and familiar with the activities in the Redevelopment Project Area affecting such Department(s) and with the requirements of the Act in connection therewith. Such personnel are encouraged to seek and obtain, and do seek and obtain, the legal guidance of the Law Department with respect to issues that may arise from time to time regarding the requirements of, and compliance with, the Act.

In my capacity as Corporation Counsel, I have relied on the general knowledge and actions of the appropriately designated and trained staff of the Law Department and other applicable City Departments involved with the activities affecting the Redevelopment Project Area. In addition, I have caused to be examined or reviewed by members of the Law Department of the City the certified audit report, to the extent required to be obtained by Section 11-74.4-5(d)(9) of the Act and submitted as part of the Report, which is required to review compliance with the Act in certain respects, to determine if such audit report contains information that might affect my opinion. I have also caused to be examined or reviewed such other documents and records as were deemed necessary to enable me to render this opinion. Nothing has come to my attention that would result in my need to qualify the opinion hereinafter expressed, subject to the limitations hereinafter set forth, unless and except to the extent set forth in an Exception Schedule attached hereto as Schedule 1.

Based on the foregoing, I am of the opinion that, in all material respects, the City is in compliance with the provisions and requirements of the Act in effect and then applicable at the time actions were taken from time to time with respect to the Redevelopment Project Area.

This opinion is given in an official capacity and not personally and no personal liability shall derive herefrom. Furthermore, the only opinion that is expressed is the opinion specifically set forth herein, and no opinion is implied or should be inferred as to any other matter. Further, this opinion may be relied upon only by the addressees hereof and the Mayor of the City in providing his required certification in connection with the Report, and not by any other party.

Very truly yours,

Mara S. Georges
Corporation Counsel

SCHEDULE 1

(Exception Schedule)

- (X) No Exceptions
- () Note the following Exceptions:

(5) ANALYSIS OF SPECIAL TAX ALLOCATION FUND - 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5(d)(5)

Please see attached.

51ST/ARCHER REDEVELOPMENT PROJECT AREA COMBINED STATEMENT OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE - GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2007

		2007
Revenues	_	
Property tax	\$	717,771
Sales tax		1 604 007
Interest		1,604,097
Total revenues		2,321,868
Expenditures		
Costs of studies, admin., and professional services. (q)(1)		46,037
Marketing costs. (q)(1.6)		-
Property assembly, demolition, site preparation and environmental		
site improvement costs. (q)(2)		-
Costs of rehabilitation, reconstruction, repair or remodeling and of existing buildings. (q)(3)		
Costs of construction of public works and improvements. (q)(4)		_
Cost of job training and retraining. (q)(5)		_
Financing costs. (q)(6)		1,532,941
Approved capital costs of overlapping taxing districts. (q)(7)		,,-
Cost of reimbursing school district for their increase costs caused		
by TIF assisted housing projects (q)(7.5)		
Relocation costs. (q)(8)		-
Payments in lieu of taxes. (q)(9)		
Costs of job training, retraining advanced vocational or career		
education provided by other taxing bodies. (q)(10)		a
Costs of reimbursing private developers for interest expenses incurred on approved redevelopment projects. (q)(11)(A-E)		
Costs of construction of new housing units for low income and very		-
low income households. (q)(11)(F)		***
Cost of day care services and operational costs of day care centers.		
(q)(11.5)		-
Total expenditures		1,578,978
Revenues over expenditures		742,890
Other financing sources		
Proceeds of debt		30,477,273
Operating transfers in		2,658,502
Total other financing sources		33,135,775
Revenues and other financing sources over expenditures		33,878,665
Fund balance, beginning of year		1,557,913
Fund balance, end of year	\$	35,436,578
Fund balance		
Reserved for debt service	\$	1,718,708
Reserved for encumbrances		· ·
Designated for future redevelopment project costs		33,717,870
Total fund balance	\$	35,436,578

(5) ANALYSIS OF SPECIAL TAX ALLOCATION FUND - 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5(d)(5) cont.

Below is listed all vendors, including other municipal funds, that were paid in excess of \$5,000 during the current reporting year.*

Name	Service	Amount
City Staff Costs ¹	Administration	\$11,299
U.S. Equities Realty LLC	Professional Service	\$27,870
Wells Fargo Bank	Financing	\$1,251,258
Siebert Brandford Shank & Co., LLC	Financing	\$138,863
Ambac Assurance Corporation	Financing	\$92,937
Kutak Rock LLP	Financing	\$16,291
Johnson Research Group	Financing	\$7,212
Greene and Letts	Financing	\$6,797

¹ Costs relate directly to the salaries and fringe benefits of employees working solely on tax increment financing districts.

^{*} This table may include payments for Projects that were undertaken prior to 11/1/1999.

(6) **DESCRIPTION OF PROPERTY - 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5(d)(6)**

During 2007, the City did not purchase any property in the Project Area.

(7) STATEMENT OF ACTIVITIES - 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5(d)(7)

- (A) Projects implemented in the preceding fiscal year.
- **(B)** A description of the redevelopment activities undertaken.
- **(C)** Agreements entered into by the City with regard to disposition or redevelopment of any property within the Project Area.
- **(D)** Additional information on the use of all Funds received by the Project Area and steps taken by the City to achieve the objectives of the Redevelopment Plan.
- (E) Information on contracts that the City's consultants have entered into with parties that have received, or are receiving, payments financed by tax increment revenues produced by the Project Area.
- (F) Joint Review Board reports submitted to the City.
- (G) Project-by-project review of public and private investment undertaken from 11/1/99 to 12/31/07, and of such investments expected to be undertaken in year 2008; also, a project-by-project ratio of private investment to public investment from 11/1/99 to 12/31/07, and an estimated ratio of such investments as of the completion of each project and as estimated to the completion of the redevelopment project.

SEE TABLES AND/OR DISCUSSIONS ON FOLLOWING PAGES.

(7)(A) - 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5(d)(7)(A)

TABLE 7(A)

PROJECTS IMPLEMENTED IN THE PROCEDING FISCAL YEAR

NAME OF PROJECT Southwest (Sandoval) Elementary School

(7)(B) - 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5(d)(7)(B)

Redevelopment activities undertaken within this Project Area during the year 2007, if any, have been made pursuant to i) the Redevelopment Plan for the Project Area, and ii) any Redevelopment Agreements affecting the Project Area, and are set forth on Table 5 herein by TIF-eligible expenditure category.

(7)(C) - 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5(d)(7)(C)

TABLE 7(C)

AGREEMENTS ENTERED INTO WITH REGARD TO THE DISPOSITION & REDEVELOPMENT OF PROPERTY WITHIN THE PROJECT AREA

PARTIES TO AGREEMENT WITH CITY	NATURE OF AGREEMENT	PROJECT DESCRIPTION	ADDRESS	JOBS CREATED/ RETAINED
Southwest (Sandoval) Elementary School	Redevelopment Agreement	Construction of School	5534 S. Saint Louis Ave	0/0

(7)(D) - 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5(d)(7)(D)

The Project Area has received \$2,158,563 of property tax and sales tax (if applicable) increment since the creation of the Project Area. These amounts have been used to pay for project costs within the Project Area and for debt service (if applicable). The Project Area's fund balance as shown on Table 5 represents (on a modified accrual basis) financial resources (including increment) that have not been expended.

(7)(E) - 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5(d)(7)(E)

During 2007, no contracts were entered into by the City's tax increment advisors or consultants with entities or persons that have received, or are receiving, payments financed by tax increment revenues produced by the Project Area.

(7)(F) - 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5(d)(7)(F)

Joint Review Board Reports were submitted to the City. See attached.

CITY OF CHICAGO JOINT REVIEW BOARD

Report of proceedings of a hearing before the City of Chicago, Joint Review

Board held on July 13, 2007, at 10:10 a.m.

City Hall, Room 703, Conference Room,

Chicago, Illinois, and presided over by

Mr. John McCormick.

PRESENT:

MR. JOHN McCORMICK, CHAIRMAN

MS. SUSAN MAREK

MS. JACQUELYN HARDER

MS. PHOEBE WOOD

REPORTED BY: Accurate Reporting Service

200 N. LaSalle Street

Chicago, Illinois

By: Jack Artstein, C.S.R.

2

MR. McCORMICK: 1 For the record, my 2 name is John McCormick. I am the representative of the City of Chicago under 3 Section 11-74.4-5 of the Tax Increment Allocation Redevelopment Act is one of the 5 statutorily designated members of the Joint 7 Review Board. Upon election of a Chairperson, I will moderate the Joint 8 Review Board Meetings. 9 10 For the record, there will be two 11 meetings of the Joint Review Board. first meeting is to review the proposed 12 Amendment No. 2 for the 51 st and Archer Tax 13 14 Increment Financing District. 15 The date of this meeting was 16 announced at and set by the Community 17 Development Commission of the City of 18 Chicago at its meeting of June 12, 2007. 19 Notice of this meeting of the 20 Joint Review Board was also provided by 21 Certified Mail to each taxing district 22 represented by the Board which includes the 23 Chicago Board of Education, the Chicago 24 Community Colleges District 508, the Chicago

- 1 Park District, Cook County and the City of
- 2 Chicago.
- Public notice of this meeting was
- 4 also posted July 11, 2007 in various
- 5 locations throughout City Hall.
- When a proposed redevelopment
- 7 plan would result in displacement of 10 or
- 8 more inhabited residential units, and will
- 9 include 75 or more inhabited residential
- units, the TIF Act requires that the Public
- 11 Member of the Joint Review Board must reside
- in the proposed redevelopment project area.
- 13 In addition, if the
- 14 Municipalities Housing Impact Study
- determines that the majority of the
- 16 residential units in the proposed
- 17 redevelopment project area are occupied by
- 18 very low or moderate income households as
- 19 defined in Section 3 of the Illinois
- 20 Affordable Housing Act, the Public Member
- 21 must be a person who resides in a low, very
- low housing or proposed redevelopment area.
- I want to interject here just
- 24 since we have a full people here, just the

- 1 introduction of the members before I
- 2 introduce the Public Member. I'm John
- 3 McCormick with the City of Chicago.
- 4 MS. MAREK: Susan Marek, Chicago
- 5 Board of Education.
- MS. HARDER: Jackie Harder, Cook
- 7 County.
- 8 MS. WOODS: Phoebe Woods, City
- 9 Colleges of Chicago.
- 10 MR. McCORMICK: Okay. And with us
- 11 today is Rudy Acosta. Are you familiar with
- the boundaries of the 51st and Archer Tax
- 13 Increment Financing Redevelopment project
- 14 area?
- MR. ACOSTA: I am.
- MR. McCORMICK: What is the address
- of your primary residence?
- MR. ACOSTA: 4548 South Avers.
- MR. McCORMICK: Is such address
- 20 within the boundaries of the 51st and Archer
- 21 Tax Increment Financing Redevelopment
- 22 project area?
- MR. ACOSTA: Yes.
- MR. McCORMICK: Have you provided

- 1 representatives of the City's Department of
- 2 Planning and Development with accurate
- 3 information concerning your income and
- 4 income of any other members of your household
- 5 residing at such address?
- 6 MR. ACOSTA: Yes.
- 7 MR. McCORMICK: Mr. Acosta, are you
- 8 willing to serve as the Public Member for the
- 9 Joint Review Board for the 51st and Archer
- 10 Tax Increment Financing Redevelopment
- 11 project area?
- MR. ACOSTA: I am.
- MR. McCORMICK: I will entertain a
- 14 motion that Rudy Acosta be selected as the
- 15 Public Member. Is there such a motion?
- MS. MAREK: So moved.
- 17 MR. McCORMICK: Is there a second?
- MS. WOODS: Second.
- MR. McCORMICK: All in favor, please
- vote by saying aye.
- (Chorus of ayes.)
- MR. McCORMICK: All opposed, please
- vote by saying no. Let the record reflect
- 24 that Rudy Acosta has been selected as the

- 1 Public Member for the 51st and Archer Tax
- 2 Increment Financing Redevelopment project
- 3 area.
- 4 Our next order of business is to
- 5 select a Chairperson for the Joint Review
- 6 Board. Are there any nominations?
- 7 MS. MAREK: I'll nominate John
- 8 McCormick.
- 9 MR. McCORMICK: Is there a second for
- 10 the nomination?
- MS. WOODS: Second.
- MR. McCORMICK: Are there any other
- nominations? Let the reflect there are no
- other nominations. All in favor of the
- nomination, please vote by saying aye.
- (Chorus of ayes.)
- MR. McCORMICK: All opposed, please
- 18 vote by saying no. Let the record reflect
- 19 that John McCormick has been elected
- 20 Chairperson and will now serve as
- 21 Chairperson for the remainder of the
- 22 meeting.
- As I mentioned at this meeting,
- we will be reviewing Amendment No. 2 for the

- 1 51st and Archer Tax Increment Financing
- District proposed by the City of Chicago,
- 3 staff of the City's Departments of Planning,
- 4 Development and Law, and other departments
- 5 have reviewed this plan which was introduced
- 6 at the City's Community Development
- 7 Commission on June 12, 2007.
- 8 We will listen to a presentation
- 9 by the consultant of the plan. Following the
- 10 presentation, we can address any members,
- any questions the members might have for the
- 12 consultant or staff.
- An amendment to the TIF Act
- 14 requires us to base our recommendation to
- approve or disapprove Amendment 2 for the
- 16 51st and Archer Tax Increment Financing
- 17 District on the basis of the area and the
- 18 plan satisfying plan requirements, the
- eligibility criteria defined in the TIF Act,
- and objectives of the TIF Act.
- 21 If the Board approves the plan,
- the Board will issue an advisory non-binding
- recommendation by the vote of the majority of
- those members present and voting. Such

- 1 recommendation will be submitted to the City
- within 30 days after the Board Meeting.
- Failure to submit such
- 4 recommendation will be deemed to constitute
- 5 approval by the Board.
- 6 If the Board disapproves the
- 7 plan, the Board must issue a written report
- 8 describing why the plan and the area failed
- 9 to meet one or more objectives of the TIF
- 10 Act, and both the plan requirements and
- 11 eligibility criteria of the TIF Act.
- 12 The City will have 30 days to
- 13 resubmit a revised plan. The Board and the
- 14 City must also confer during this time to try
- 15 to resolve the issues that led to the Board's
- 16 disapproval.
- 17 Is such issues cannot be
- 18 resolved, or if the revised plan is
- 19 disapproved, the City may proceed with the
- 20 plan, but the plan can only be approved with
- 21 a three-fifths vote of the City Council,
- 22 excluding positions of members that are
- vacant, and those members that are
- 24 ineligible to vote because of conflict of

- 1 interest.
- We will now have the presentation
- 3 by the consultant, Louik/Schneider &
- 4 Associates.
- 5 MS. MORENO: Hi. My name is Trisha
- 6 Moreno. I'm with Louik/Schneider &
- 7 Associates. We were retained by the City of
- 8 Chicago to prepare the Amendment to the 51st
- 9 and Archer Tax Increment Financing
- 10 Redevelopment Plan and project, which was
- originally by the City on May 17th in 2000.
- The TIF boundaries are roughly
- Archer Avenue on the north, 60th on the
- 14 south, Kedzie on the east and just of -- the
- 15 west.
- 16 The purpose of this Amendment was
- 17 to add language to the original plan in light
- 18 of recent amendment to the --
- 19 Secondly, to change the proposed
- 20 land uses to reflect changes in the area, and
- 21 to adjust the budget to reflect the potential
- 22 new development in plans --
- The existing land uses in the
- area now consist of commercial along Archer

```
1 Avenue, residential scattered sections
```

- throughout, and institutional uses and
- 3 industrial uses.
- 4 The area is currently operating
- 5 industrial companies that are limited to a
- 6 large manufacturer, a smaller company
- 7 concentrated throughout the area at 49th and
- 8 Lawndale, 51st and Mallard, and 59th and
- 9 Central Park.
- New development that has
- occurred since the designation has been
- limited to conversion development at 50th
- and Kedzie -- development, residential
- 14 development at 51st over here, and a new fire
- 15 station, you see that construction right
- 16 down here.
- Despite the continued efforts of
- the City to maintain industrial uses with the
- 19 designation of the plan, the industrial and
- 20 commercial sites have remained obsolescent.
- 21 Much of the older, the land is older
- 22 industrial and commercial sites.
- Some of that land has not been
- 24 desirable for industrial use. Residential

```
1 conversion is occurring on properties that
```

- 2 the former industrial --
- Factors contributing to the
- 4 area's declining industry include
- obsolescence and deteriorated buildings,
- 6 expansion of the adjacent area's
- 7 configuration of the industrial site, the
- 8 development of the Orange Line has increased
- 9 desirability of the area from residential
- 10 use. It has two stops, one at the east end
- on Kedzie, and one in the middle on Pulaski.
- 12 And so new residential development has been
- occurring in the area.
- 14 The plan was also amended to
- 15 expand the goal to accommodate the -- and the
- 16 institutional uses the growing number of
- 17 residents can support.
- The budget was also modified to
- 19 adjust for the increased development and was
- 20 changed from a projected increment of --
- 21 A Housing Impact Study was not
- 22 prepared in conjunction with this plan
- because there is less than 75 units, and we
- 24 certified that there will be no

- 1 displacement. Any questions?
- MR. McCORMICK: If there are no
- 3 further questions, I'll entertain a motion
- 4 that this Joint Review Board finds that
- 5 Amendment No. 2 of the 51st and Archer Tax
- 6 Increment Financing project area satisfies
- 7 the redevelopment plan requirements under
- 8 the TIF Act, and the eligibility criteria
- 9 defined in the TIF Act, and the objectives of
- 10 the TIF Act, and that based on such findings
- approve such proposed plan under the TIF Act.
- 12 Is there a motion?
- MS. MAREK: So moved.
- MR. McCORMICK: Is there a second for
- 15 the motion?
- MR. ACOSTA: I'll second it.
- MR. McCORMICK: Is there any further
- 18 discussion? If not, all in favor, please
- vote by saying aye.
- 20 (Chorus of ayes.)
- MR. McCORMICK: All opposed, please
- vote by saying no. Let the record reflect
- that the Joint Review Board's approval of
- 24 Amendment No. 2 of the 51st and Archer Tax

Increment Financing plan and area under the 1 Act. 2 We're going to adjourn. First of 3 all, I want to thank our Public Member for 4 participating. 5 We wouldn't be able to do this without your involvement. Many thanks. 6 7 We'll take a brief adjournment 8 and then we'll get to the second item on our 9 agenda, the Hollywood/Sheridan TIF Joint 10 11 Review Board Meeting. (Whereupon the meeting was 12

adjourned)

STATE OF ILLINOIS)
COUNTY OF C O O K)

I, JACK ARTSTEIN depose and

say that I am a verbatim reporter doing business in the County of Cook and City of Chicago; that I caused to be transcribed the proceedings heretofore identified and that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the aforesaid hearing.

JACK ARTSTEIN

SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN TO

BEFORE ME THIS

3KI

DAY OF

AVOUST

A.D. 2007.

NOTARY PUBLIC

OFFICIAL SEAL RONALD N. LEGRAND, JR. Notary Public - State of Illinois My Commission Expires Oct 03, 2010

(7)(G) - 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5(d)(7)(G)

PROJECT BY PROJECT REVIEW OF PUBLIC AND PRIVATE INVESTMENT AND RATIO OF PRIVATE TO PUBLIC INVESTMENT * TABLE 7(G)

Ratio Of Private/Public	Investment	11/1/1999 Ratio Estimated	to End of as of Project	Reporting Completion	FY	*** 0.0:1	
nt Undertaken		Amount	Estimated to	Complete the	Project	\$30,300,000	
Public Investment Undertaken		11/1/1999 to End Amount	of Reporting FY Estimated to			\$1,532,941	
Private Investment Undertaken		Amount Estimated	to Complete the	Project		\$910,083	
Private Inves		11/1/1999 to	End of	Reporting FY		* * *	
Projects Undertaken in This	Redevelopment Project Area					Project 1: Southwest (Sandoval)	Elementary School

Projects Estimated To Be Undertaken	Private Investment Undertaken	Public Investment Undertaken	Ratio of Private/Public Investment
During 2008			
Project 1: Park Place / 51st/ Lawndale	\$54,500,000	\$7,400,000	7.4:1
Project 2: 51st / Homan	\$63,509,590	\$7,200,000	8.8:1

Each actual or estimated Public Investment reported here is, to the extent possible, comprised only of payments financed by tax increment revenues. In contrast, each actual or estimated Private Investment reported here is, to the extent possible, comprised of payments financed by revenues that are not tax increment revenues and, therefore, may include private equity, private lender financing, private grants, other public monies, or other local, state or federal grants or loans.

Each amount reported here under Public Investment Undertaken, Amount Estimated to Complete the Project, is the maximum amount of payments financed by tax may not necessarily reflect actual expenditures, if any, as reported in Sections 2 or 5 herein. The total public investment amount ultimately made under each Project will depend increment revenues that could be made pursuant to the corresponding Project's operating documents, but not including interest that may later be payable on developer notes, and upon the future occurrence of various conditions including interest that may be payable on developer notes set forth in the Project's operating documents.

Each amount reported here under Public Investment Undertaken, 11/1/1999 to End of Reporting FY, is cumulative from the date of execution of the corresponding Project to the end of the reporting year, and may include interest amounts paid to finance the Public Investment amount. Projects undertaken prior to 11/1/1999 are not reported on this table.

*** As of the End of the Reporting FY, the construction of this Project was ongoing; the Private Investment Undertaken and Ratio figures for this Project will be reported on the Annual Report for the FY in which the construction of the Project is completed and the total Private Investment figure is available.

(8) DOCUMENTS RELATING TO OBLIGATIONS ISSUED BY THE MUNICIPALITY - 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5(d)(8)(A)

During 2007, the City issued the 2007 Modern Schools G.O. bonds, which authorize the use of general obligation (G.O.) bond proceeds for a school construction and/or renovation project within this Project Area, and which expressly states the City's intention to use TIF increment from this Project Area or, in some instances, from adjacent TIF projects areas, for the repayment of some or all of that project's costs. Please refer to the 2007 Modern School G.O. bond issue supplement to these annual reports for more information.

(9) ANALYSIS OF DEBT SERVICE - 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5(d)(8)(B)

During 2007, there were no obligations issued for the Project Area.

(10) CERTIFIED AUDIT REPORTS - 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5(d)(9)

Please see attached.



ANDELI AND MENER, E.E

CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS

ESTABLISHED 1922

O'HARE PLAZA 8745 WEST HIGGINS ROAD SUITE 200 CHICAGO, ILLINOIS 60631 312.263.2700 FAX 312.263.6935 WWW.BK-CPA.COM

INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT

The Honorable Richard M. Daley, Mayor Members of the City Council City of Chicago, Illinois

We have audited, in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America, the statement of net assets and governmental funds balance sheet of 51st/Archer Redevelopment Project of the City of Chicago, Illinois as of December 31, 2007, and the related statement of activities and governmental funds revenues, expenditures and changes in fund balance for the year then ended, and have issued our report thereon dated June 20, 2008.

In connection with our audit, nothing came to our attention that caused us to believe that the Project failed to comply with the regulatory provisions in Subsection (q) of Section 11-74.4-3 of the Illinois Tax Increment Allocation Redevelopment Act and Subsection (o) of Section 11-74.6-10 of the Illinois Industrial Jobs Recovery Law as they relate to the eligibility for costs incurred incidental to the implementation of the 51st/Archer Redevelopment Project of the City of Chicago, Illinois.

This report is intended for the information of the City of Chicago's management. However, this report is a matter of public record, and its distribution is not limited.

Certified Public Accountants

Sansky and Kiene, h.h.P.

June 20, 2008

@ 458

(11) GENERAL DESCRIPTION AND MAP

The 51st/Archer Redevelopment Project Area contains land along Archer Avenue from Central Park on the east to the Belt Line Railroad on the west, and along the Chicago & Grand Trunk & Western railroad right-of-way along Central Park Avenue, from 47th Street on the north to 59th Place on the south. The map below illustrates the location and general boundaries of the Project Area. For precise boundaries, please consult the legal description in the Redevelopment Plan.

