2007 Annual Report

79th/Vincennes Redevelopment Project Area



Pursuant to 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5(d)

JUNE 30, 2008



■ Ernst & Young LLP
Sears Tower
233 South Wacker Drive
Chicago, Illinois 60606-6301

Phone: (312) 879-2000 www.ey.com

June 30, 2008

Mr. Arnold L. Randall Commissioner Department of Planning and Development 121 North LaSalle Street Chicago, Illinois 60602

Dear Commissioner:

Enclosed is the annual report for the 79th/Vincennes Redevelopment Project Area, which we compiled at the direction of the Department of Planning and Development pursuant to Section 5(d) of the Illinois Tax Increment Allocation Redevelopment Act (65 ILCS 5/11-74.6-1 et seq.), as amended. The contents are based on information provided to us by Chicago Departments of Planning and Development, Finance, and Law. We have not audited, verified, or applied agreed upon accounting and testing procedures to the data contained in this report. Therefore, we express no opinion on its accuracy or completeness.

It has been a pleasure to work with representatives from the Department of Planning and Development and other City Departments.

Very truly yours,

Ernst + Young LLP

Ernst & Young LLP

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ANNUAL REPORT – 79^{th} /VINCENNES REDEVELOPMENT PROJECT AREA IN COMPLIANCE WITH SECTION (d) OF 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5.

		PAGE
LE	TTER TO STATE COMPTROLLER	1
1)	DATE OF DESIGNATION OR TERMINATION	2
2)	AUDITED FINANCIALS	3
3)	MAYOR'S CERTIFICATION	4
4)	OPINION OF LEGAL COUNSEL	5
5)	ANALYSIS OF SPECIAL TAX ALLOCATION FUND	6
6)	DESCRIPTION OF PROPERTY	7
7)	STATEMENT OF ACTIVITIES	8
8)	DOCUMENTS RELATING TO OBLIGATIONS ISSUED BY THE MUNICIPALITY	12
9)	ANALYSIS OF DEBT SERVICE	13
10)	CERTIFIED AUDIT REPORT	14
11)	GENERAL DESCRIPTION AND MAP	15



City of Chicago Richard M. Daley, Mayor

Department of Planning and Development

Arnold L. Randall Commissioner

City Hall, Room 1000 121 North LaSalle Street Chicago, Illinois 60602 312 744-4190 312 744-2271 (FAX) 312 744-2578 (TTY)

http://www.cityofchicago.org

June 30, 2008

The Honorable Daniel Hynes Comptroller State of Illinois Office of the Comptroller 201 Capitol Springfield, IL 62706

Dear Comptroller Hynes:

We have compiled the attached information for the 79th/Vincennes Redevelopment Project Area (Report) pursuant to 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5(d).

Sincerely,

Arnold L. Randall
Commissioner





(1) DATE OF DESIGNATION AND TERMINATION - 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5(d)(1.5)

The Project Area was designated on September 27, 2007. The Project Area may be terminated no later than December 31, 2031.

(2) AUDITED FINANCIALS - 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5(d)(2)

During 2007, no financial activity or cumulative deposits over \$100,000 occurred in the Project Area. Therefore, no audited statements were prepared pertaining to the Special Tax Allocation Fund for the Project Area.

(3) MAYOR'S CERTIFICATION - 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5(d)(3)

Please see attached.

STATE OF ILLINOIS)
) SS
COUNTY OF COOK)

CERTIFICATION

TO:

Daniel W. Hynes Comptroller of the State of Illinois James R. Thompson Center 100 West Randolph Street, Suite 15-500 Chicago, Illinois 60601 Attention: June Tallamantez, Director of Local Government

Dolores Javier, Treasurer City Colleges of Chicago 226 West Jackson Boulevard, Room 1125 Chicago, Illinois 60606

Peter C. Nicholson, Director Cook County Department of Planning & Development 69 West Washington Street, Room 2900 Chicago, Illinois 60602

Dan Donovan, Comptroller Forest Preserve District of Cook County 69 W. Washington Street, Suite 2060 Chicago, IL 60602

Martin Koldyke, Chairman Chicago School Finance Authority 135 South LaSalle Street, Suite 3800 Chicago, Illinois 60603 Timothy Mitchell, General Superintendent & CEO
Chicago Park District
541 North Fairbanks
Chicago, Illinois 60611

Arne Duncan, Chief Executive Officer Chicago Board of Education 125 South Clark Street, 5th Floor Chicago, Illinois 60603

Jacqueline Torres, Director of Finance Metropolitan Water Reclamation District of Greater Chicago 100 East Erie Street, Room 2429 Chicago, Illinois 60611

Douglas Wright South Cook County Mosquito Abatement District 155th & Dixie Highway P.O. Box 1030 Harvey, Illinois 60426

I, RICHARD M. DALEY, in connection with the annual report (the "Report") of information required by Section 11-74.4-5(d) of the Tax Increment Allocation Redevelopment Act, 65 ILCS5/11-74.4-1 et seq, (the "Act") with regard to the 79th/Vincennes Redevelopment Project Area (the "Redevelopment Project Area"), do hereby certify as follows:

- 1. I am the duly qualified and acting Mayor of the City of Chicago, Illinois (the "City") and, as such, I am the City's Chief Executive Officer. This Certification is being given by me in such capacity.
- 2. During the preceding fiscal year of the City, being January 1 through December 31, 2007, the City complied, in all material respects, with the requirements of the Act, as applicable from time to time, regarding the Redevelopment Project Area.
- 3. In giving this Certification, I have relied on the opinion of the Corporation Counsel of the City furnished in connection with the Report.
 - 4. This Certification may be relied upon only by the addressees hereof.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto affixed my official signature as of this 30th day of June, 2008.

Richard M. Daley, Mayor City of Chicago, Illinois

(4) OPINION OF LEGAL COUNSEL - 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5(d)(4)

Please see attached.



City of Chicago Richard M. Daley, Mayor

Department of Law

Mara S. Georges Corporation Counsel

City Hall, Room 600
121 North LaSalle Street
Chicago, Illinois 60602
(312) 744-0200
(312) 744-8538 (FAX)
(312) 744-2963 (TTY)
http://www.cityofchicago.org

June 30, 2008

Daniel W. Hynes Comptroller of the State of Illinois James R. Thompson Center 100 West Randolph Street, Suite 15-500 Chicago, Illinois 60601 Attention: June Tallamantez, Director of Local Government

Dolores Javier, Treasurer City Colleges of Chicago 226 West Jackson Boulevard, Room 1125 Chicago, Illinois 60606

Peter C. Nicholson, Director Cook County Department of Planning & Development 69 West Washington Street, Room 2900 Chicago, Illinois 60602

Dan Donovan, Comptroller Forest Preserve District of Cook County 69 W. Washington Street, Suite 2060 Chicago, IL 60602

Martin Koldyke, Chairman Chicago School Finance Authority 135 South LaSalle Street, Suite 3800 Chicago, Illinois 60603 Timothy Mitchell, General Superintendent & CEO Chicago Park District 541 North Fairbanks Chicago, Illinois 60611

Arne Duncan, Chief Executive Officer Chicago Board of Education 125 South Clark Street, 5th Floor Chicago, Illinois 60603

Jacqueline Torres, Director of Finance Metropolitan Water Reclamation District of Greater Chicago 100 East Erie Street, Room 2429 Chicago, Illinois 60611

Douglas Wright
South Cook County Mosquito Abatement
District
155th & Dixie Highway
P.O. Box 1030
Harvey, Illinois 60426

Re: 79th/Vincennes

Redevelopment Project Area (the "Redevelopment Project

Area")

Dear Addressees:

I am Corporation Counsel of the City of Chicago, Illinois (the "City"). In such capacity, I am providing the opinion required by Section 11-74.4-5(d)(4) of the Tax Increment Allocation Redevelopment Act, 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-1 et seq. (the "Act"), in connection with the submission of the report (the "Report") in accordance with, and containing the information required by, Section 11-74.4-5(d) of the Act for the Redevelopment Project Area.





Attorneys, past and present, in the Law Department of the City familiar with the requirements of the Act have had general involvement in the proceedings affecting the Redevelopment Project Area, including the preparation of ordinances adopted by the City Council of the City with respect to the following matters: approval of the redevelopment plan and project for the Redevelopment Project Area, designation of the Redevelopment Project Area as a redevelopment project area and adoption of tax increment allocation financing for the Redevelopment Project Area, all in accordance with the then applicable provisions of the Act. Various departments of the City, including, if applicable, the Law Department, Department of Planning and Development, Department of Housing, Department of Finance and Office of Budget and Management, have personnel responsible for and familiar with the activities in the Redevelopment Project Area affecting such Department(s) and with the requirements of the Act in connection therewith. Such personnel are encouraged to seek and obtain, and do seek and obtain, the legal guidance of the Law Department with respect to issues that may arise from time to time regarding the requirements of, and compliance with, the Act.

In my capacity as Corporation Counsel, I have relied on the general knowledge and actions of the appropriately designated and trained staff of the Law Department and other applicable City Departments involved with the activities affecting the Redevelopment Project Area. In addition, I have caused to be examined or reviewed by members of the Law Department of the City the certified audit report, to the extent required to be obtained by Section 11-74.4-5(d)(9) of the Act and submitted as part of the Report, which is required to review compliance with the Act in certain respects, to determine if such audit report contains information that might affect my opinion. I have also caused to be examined or reviewed such other documents and records as were deemed necessary to enable me to render this opinion. Nothing has come to my attention that would result in my need to qualify the opinion hereinafter expressed, subject to the limitations hereinafter set forth, unless and except to the extent set forth in an Exception Schedule attached hereto as Schedule 1.

Based on the foregoing, I am of the opinion that, in all material respects, the City is in compliance with the provisions and requirements of the Act in effect and then applicable at the time actions were taken from time to time with respect to the Redevelopment Project Area.

This opinion is given in an official capacity and not personally and no personal liability shall derive herefrom. Furthermore, the only opinion that is expressed is the opinion specifically set forth herein, and no opinion is implied or should be inferred as to any other matter. Further, this opinion may be relied upon only by the addressees hereof and the Mayor of the City in providing his required certification in connection with the Report, and not by any other party.

Very truly yours,

Trala S. Meorgy

Mara S. Georges Corporation Counsel

SCHEDULE 1

(Exception Schedule)

- (X) No Exceptions
- () Note the following Exceptions:

(5) ANALYSIS OF SPECIAL TAX ALLOCATION FUND - 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5(d)(5)

During 2007, there was no financial activity in the Special Tax Allocation Fund.

(6) **DESCRIPTION OF PROPERTY - 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5(d)(6)**

TABLE 6
DESCRIPTION OF PROPERTY PURCHASED BY THE MUNICIPALITY WITHIN THE TIF AREA

	APPROXIMATE SIZE OR		
	DESCRIPTION OF		
STREET ADDRESS	PROPERTY	PURCHASE PRICE	SELLER OF PROPERTY
7544 S. Emerald ¹	N/A	N/A	N/A

¹ This property was acquired through the Tax Reactivation Program ("TRP"), under which the City instructs the County of Cook to make a no cash bid on certain tax-delinquent parcels. The City then pursues the acquisition in a court proceeding and receives a tax deed from the County after a court order is issued. The City pays court costs and certain incidental expenses for each parcel, which average between \$2,000 and \$2,500. The size and description of each parcel is usually not available.

(7) STATEMENT OF ACTIVITIES - 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5(d)(7)

- (A) Projects implemented in the preceding fiscal year.
- **(B)** A description of the redevelopment activities undertaken.
- **(C)** Agreements entered into by the City with regard to disposition or redevelopment of any property within the Project Area.
- **(D)** Additional information on the use of all Funds received by the Project Area and steps taken by the City to achieve the objectives of the Redevelopment Plan.
- (E) Information on contracts that the City's consultants have entered into with parties that have received, or are receiving, payments financed by tax increment revenues produced by the Project Area.
- (F) Joint Review Board reports submitted to the City.
- (G) Project-by-project review of public and private investment undertaken from 11/1/99 to 12/31/07, and of such investments expected to be undertaken in year 2008; also, a project-by-project ratio of private investment to public investment from 11/1/99 to 12/31/07, and an estimated ratio of such investments as of the completion of each project and as estimated to the completion of the redevelopment project.

SEE TABLES AND/OR DISCUSSIONS ON FOLLOWING PAGES.

(7)(A) - 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5(d)(7)(A)

During 2007, no projects were implemented.

(7)(B) - 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5(d)(7)(B)

Redevelopment activities undertaken within this Project Area during the year 2007, if any, have been made pursuant to i) the Redevelopment Plan for the Project Area, and ii) any Redevelopment Agreements affecting the Project Area, and are set forth on Table 5 herein by TIF-eligible expenditure category.

(7)(C) - 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5(d)(7)(C)

TABLE 7(C)

AGREEMENTS ENTERED INTO WITH REGARD TO THE DISPOSITION & REDEVELOPMENT OF PROPERTY WITHIN THE PROJECT AREA

STREET ADDRESS	APPROXIMATE SIZE OR DESCRIPTION OF PROPERTY	PURCHASE PRICE	BUYER OF PROPERTY
7653 S. GREEN	N/A	N/A	N/A
537 W. 79TH	N/A	N/A	N/A
537 W. 79TH	N/A	N/A	N/A
7915 S. PARNELL	N/A	N/A	N/A
7722 S. UNION	N/A	N/A	N/A

(7)(D) - 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5(d)(7)(D)

The Project Area has not yet received any increment.

(7)(E) - 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5(d)(7)(E)

During 2007, no contracts were entered into by the City's tax increment advisors or consultants with entities or persons that have received, or are receiving, payments financed by tax increment revenues produced by the Project Area.

(7)(F) - 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5(d)(7)(F)

Joint Review Board Reports were submitted to the City. See attached.

(7)(G) - 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5(d)(7)(G)

Since November 1, 1999, no public investment was undertaken in the Project Area. As of December 31, 2007, no public investment is estimated to be undertaken for 2008.

CITY OF CHICAGO JOINT REVIEW BOARD

Report of proceedings of a hearing before the City of Chicago, Joint Review Board held on June 1, 2007, at 10:05 a.m. City Hall, Room 703, Conference Room, Chicago, Illinois, and presided over by Mr. Eric Reese.

PRESENT:

MR. ERIC REESE, CHAIRMAN

MR. JOHN McCORMICK

MS. SUSAN MAREK

MR. DION SMITH

REPORTED BY: Accurate Reporting Service

200 N. LaSalle Street

Chicago, Illinois

By: Jack Artstein, C.S.R.

A CONTRACT DEDODERATE CONTRACT (040) 000 0000

MR. REESE: Good morning. For the 1 record, my name is Eric Reese. I'm the 2 representative of the Chicago Park District, 3 which under Section 11-74.4-5 of the Tax 4 Increment Allocation Redevelopment Act, as 5 one of the statutory designated members to 6 this Joint Review Board. Until election of a Chairperson, 8 I'll moderate to the Joint Review Board 9 Meetings. Start off with introduction. 10 MR. SMITH: Dion Smith, Chicago 11 Public Schools. 12 MR. McCORMICK: John McCormick, City 13 of Chicago. 14 MS. DAVIS: Patricia Davis, resident 15 of the District. 16 MR. REESE: Thank you very much for 17 joining us. 18 MS. DAVIS: You're welcome. 19 MR. REESE: For the record, there 20 will be two meetings of the Joint Review 21 Board today. The first meeting will be to 22 review the 79th and Vincennes, and the date 23

24

of the meeting was announced at and set by

1	the Community Development Commission, City
2	of Chicago in it's meeting of May 8 th , 2007.
3	
4	Notice of this meeting of the
5	Joint Review Board was also provided by
6	Certified Mail to each of the taxing
7	districts represented on the Board which
8	includes Chicago Board of Ed., Chicago
9	Community Colleges District 508, the Chicago
L O	Park District, Cook County, City of Chicago.
L 1	Public notice of this meeting was
L 2	also posted on Wednesday, May 30 th , 2007 in
1 3	various locations throughout City Hall.
1.4	When a proposed redevelopment
15	plan results in the displacement of
16	residents of 10 or more inhabited residents,
17	residential units, or will include 75 or more
18	inhabited residential units, the TIF Act
19	requires that the Public Member of the Joint
2 0	Review Board must reside in the proposed
2 1	redevelopment project area.
2 2	In addition, the Municipalities
2 3	Housing Effects Study determines that the
2.4	majority of residential units in a proposed

- 1 redevelopment project area are occupied by
- very low, low or moderate income households,
- as defined by Section 3 of the Illinois
- 4 Affordable Housing Act, the Public Member
- 5 must -- reside in the very low, low or
- 6 moderate income housing within the proposed
- 7 redevelopment project area.
- 8 With us today is Patricia Davis?
- 9 MS. DAVIS: Yes.
- MR. REESE: Are you familiar with the
- boundaries of the 79th and Vincennes project
- 12 area? What's the address of your residence?
- 13 MS. DAVIS: 7651 South Emerald
- 14 Avenue, Chicago, Illinois 60620.
- 15 MR. REESE: Is such address within
- the boundaries of the 79th and Vincennes
- 17 project area?
- MS. DAVIS: Yes.
- MR. REESE: Thank you. Have you,
- 20 have you been provided, has provided. Have
- 21 representatives of the City of Chicago's
- 22 Department of Planning and Development
- 23 provided you with accurate information
- 24 concerning your income and the income of

```
other members of the household at your
1
      address?
               MS. DAVIS: Yes.
3
               MR. REESE: Okay. Are you willing to
4
      serve as the Public Member on the Joint
      Review Board for the 79<sup>th</sup> and Vincennes
6
      project area?
               MS. DAVIS: Yes.
 8
               MR. REESE: Thank you very much.
 9
      I'll entertain a motion that Ms. Davis be
10
      selected as Public Member. Is there a
11
      motion?
12
               MR. McCORMICK: So moved.
13
               MR. SMITH: Second.
14
               MR. REESE: Thank you. All in favor?
15
16
                   (Chorus of ayes.)
17
               MR. REESE: Let the record reflect
18
      Ms. Davis has been selected as Public Member
19
      for the proposed 79<sup>th</sup> and Vincennes project
20
21
      area.
                   Our next order of business is to
22
```

select a Chairperson for this Joint Review

Board. Are there any nominations?

מסדנות המשתחת משמים משמים

23

MR. McCORMICK: I nominate Eric 1 2 Reese. 3 MR. REESE: Is there a second? MR. SMITH: 4 Second. 5 MR. REESE: All in favor of this nomination. 6 7 (Chorus of ayes.) 8 MR. REESE: Thank you. Let the record reflect myself, Eric Reese, has been 9 10 elected Chairperson and will now serve as 11 Chairperson for the remainder of the 12 meeting. 13 As I mentioned, at this meeting, we will be reviewing a plan for the proposed 14 79th and Vincennes Tax Increment Financing 15 16 District proposed by the City of Chicago, 17 staff of the City's Planning Department, 18 Planning and Development and Law, and other 19 departments have reviewed this plan which 20 was introduced by the City's Community 21 Development Commission on May 2007. We will listen to a presentation 22 23 by the consultant on the plan. Following the

presentation, we can address any questions

- 1 which the members might have for the
- 2 consultant or City staff.
- 3 An amendment to the TIF Act
- 4 requires us to base our recommendation to
- 5 approve or disapprove on the proposed 79th
- 6 and Vincennes Tax Increment Financing
- 7 District on the basis that the area and the
- 8 plan satisfying the plan requirements, the
- 9 eligibility criteria defined in the TIF Act
- 10 and the objectives of the TIF Act.
- If the Board approves the plan,
- the Board will then issue an advisory non-
- binding recommendation by the vote of the
- 14 majority of the members present and voting.
- 15 Such recommendation shall by submitted to
- the City within 30 days after the Board
- 17 Meeting.
- 18 Failure to submit a recommendation shall be
- 19 deemed to constitute approval by the Board.
- 20 If the Board disapproves the
- 21 plan, the Board must issue a written report
- describing why the plan area failed to meet
- one or more of the objectives of the TIF Act
- in both plan requirements and eligibility

- 1 requirements of the TIF Act.
- The City then will have 30 days
- 3 to resubmit a revised plan. The Board and
- 4 the City must also confer with, during this
- 5 time to try and resolve the issues that led
- 6 to their disapproval.
- 7 If such issues cannot be
- 8 resolved, or if the revised plan is
- 9 disapproved, the City may proceed with the
- 10 plan, and the plan can be approved only with
- three-fifths vote of the City Council,
- 12 excluding members that are vacant and those
- members that are ineligible due to conflicts
- 14 of interest.
- We're now going to have a
- presentation by S.B. Friedman for the 79th
- 17 project area.
- MS. KOSARKO: Good morning. I'm
- 19 Gretchen Kosarko, Project Manager, S.B.
- 20 Friedman & Company.
- The 79th and Vincennes TIF
- 22 boundary is illustrated on this graphic,
- generally bounded on the north by 75th and
- 24 $^{7.6}$ th Street, on the south by 79 th, 80 th, and

- 1 81st, on the east by Perry, the Dan Ryan --
- 2 and on the west, excuse me, by Halsted and
- 3 Peoria.
- And note an odd configuration
- 5 here to the center, the railroad -- didn't
- 6 want us to split prior to this meeting a TIF.
- 7 It can be addressed later if the City decides
- 8 that that is desirable.
- 9 Currently within a TIF, the land
- 10 uses are mainly residential. A couple
- 11 notable exceptions are the CTA bus line
- 12 facilities here, two public schools on
- 13 Ogelsby and Parker, and commercial along
- 14 primarily 79th Street and little bit here
- 15 along the Halsted frontage -- but otherwise
- 16 it's mainly residential. And again, there's
- 17 also the -- Park running through the center
- 18 of the TIF.
- We did field work to verify the
- 20 eligibility of the parcels within the TIF, as
- 21 well as obtain information from the County
- 22 Inspector's office, and then the Sewer and
- 23 Water Department with regard to adequacy of
- 24 utilities.

- We have designated the TIF in our
- 2 plan as a conservation area in that more than
- 3 50 percent of the structures are more than 35
- 4 years old in the district, and we have four
- 5 eligibility factors.
- Just one step back, that the TIF
- 7 overall is 880 parcels on 59 tax blocks, and
- 8 though our analysis was done parcel-by-
- 9 parcel, we displayed, for the sake of
- 10 simplicity, the eligibility factors by
- 11 block.
- So a block would deem to exhibit
- the eligibility factor, at least 50 percent
- of the parcels on that block exhibit the
- 15 factor, so that was our threshold of
- 16 eligibility.
- The factors, in addition to the
- 18 age factor I that mentioned that we found
- 19 present in the TIF, first of all the lack of
- 20 growth in the EAB in three of the past five
- 21 years from which information was available
- 22 at the time we did our study, that being 2000
- 23 to 2005.
- The district lags the -- of the

- Chicago -- is five years. 1 The second factor that we found 2 to be present to a significant extent was 3 This included either one or deterioration. more of the following deterioration --5 either infrastructure, building, I believe 6 those were the two parking areas, area 7 surfaces. 8 So if a parcel was to have at 9 least one of those factors, it was deemed to 10 be deteriorated, and again the blocks shown 11 as eligible here were those where at least 50 12 percent of the parcels exhibited one or more 13 of those types of deterioration. 14 So that factor was found to be 15 present on -- percent of the blocks, excuse 1.6 me, and as you can see here, there were a few 17 exceptions on some residential blocks, but 18 for the most part is very prevalent 19 throughout, throughout the district. 20 Second factor that we found to be 21 present to a significant extent of layouts. 22
- The first one, we looked at

This we analyzed in a couple of ways.

```
1 alleys where there were conflicting uses
```

- 2 sharing alleys, commercial uses and
- 3 residential uses sharing the same space
- 4 behind the buildings where there tend to be
- 5 vehicular conflicts as loading and unloading
- 6 versus residential access.
- 7 This is present in a couple of
- 8 places, mainly here on 79th Street where the
- 9 residential units sharing the alley with
- 10 storefronts and businesses.
- 11 We also looked at unsafe, excuse
- me, vehicular access and/or traffic flow.
- 13 For instance, here along Stewart Avenue,
- while this is a public right-of-way, you
- know, through street, there are residential
- 16 units that back up against this street, and
- 17 therefore backing out into the street
- 18 causing through-street unsafe traffic
- 19 situations.
- There are some triangular
- 21 parcels throughout the district that have
- vehicular access points on multiple sides --
- danger configurations at the intersection.
- 24 And the most prevalent factor

```
that led us to deem a parcel to exhibit
```

- 2 deleterious land use or layout, was the
- 3 presence of a large number of vacant lots
- 4 distributed very far and wide throughout the
- 5 district.
- 6 They're vacant parcels often
- 7 unsecured, or inadequately secured,
- 8 exhibiting a lot of debris in the yards,
- 9 either landscaping debris or some dumping
- 10 that had been done there, and we deemed those
- 11 to be a health and safety hazard when
- interspersed so regularly within, in between
- 13 residential parcels.
- 14 So we looked within, I think it
- was 50, 50 yards of any vacant parcel we
- 16 deemed those to be exhibiting deleterious
- 17 land use and layout. And again, for any
- 18 block it was deemed to be giving this factor,
- 19 if that block had 50 percent or more of its
- 20 parcels flagged as exhibiting deleterious
- 21 land use and layout.
- MR. REESE: Sorry to interrupt you.
- Does the City have any parcels, acquire any
- of the parcels on there?

MS. KOSARKO: The vacant parcels? 1 MR. REESE: Yes. 2 MS. KOSARKO: Those don't -- I'm not 3 sure we've got the number. 4 MR. REESE: Okay. 5 6 MS. KOSARKO: Some of those are City fund. We have deleterious land use and 7 layout to be present on 64 percent, I'm 8 sorry, 68 percent of the block, so 40 of the 10 -- within the redevelopment area. 11 The final primary factor for the 12 -- what we had, as I mentioned earlier we obtained water and sewer line information 13 14 for the redevelopment area, and based on the 15 useful life, as determined by the City's 16 Water & Sewer Department, we determined that 17 there were inadequate utilities present, I'm 18 sorry, I stated the percentage for 19 deleterious land use and layout, that was 20 78 percent of the block. 21 Inadequate utilities were 68 22 percent of the blocks, where sewer lines and 23 water lines were over 100 years old, or the

department, the respective department had

- 1 somewhat deemed that the lines in that area
- were ready for replacement and had not yet
- 3 been replaced. They were inadequate to pass
- 4 the development. So that factor was present
- on, again, 68 percent of the blocks.
- Two other factors that we looked
- 7 at as purporting, but not major factors,
- 8 again in terms of -- further decline of the
- 9 area, which we did not map, or obsolescence.
- A number, a small number of
- 11 buildings, 13 within the district, deemed to
- 12 exhibit obsolescence, or physical or
- 13 economic. Those are mainly, but not
- 14 exclusively related to the CTA bus
- 15 facilities. Some of the structures are very
- old. The changes in technology and simply
- 17 given the size of the buses be extra long,
- 18 particularly the buses.
- The facilities are not adequate
- and up-to-date to really service the buses in
- 21 a more efficient and effective way.
- So while there's a small number
- of buildings, they occupy a very large land
- 24 area within the district, and we think that

```
that could contribute to further decline of
```

- the area. It's not addressed through a
- 3 redevelopment plan.
- 4 Another minor factor that we
- looked at was structures below minimum code
- 6 standards. We found between 2000 and 2006
- 7 there were 86 separate property addresses
- 8 within the RTA that had -- violation
- 9 citations that were related to health,
- 10 safety and welfare, not things like replace
- 11 the sign on your building. Things like
- 12 broken windows, inadequate fire access,
- 13 etc., some more serious violations, and we
- 14 found that factor to be present, too. We --
- on 13 of the 59 blocks, so again, not a major
- 16 factor, but something with which we were
- 17 concerned.
- 18 The final supporting factor we
- 19 looked at was environmental cleanup.
- 20 According to the Illinois EPA, there are 11
- 21 sites within the RTA beginning with the
- 22 ground storage tank site.
- They're mainly along the
- 24 Vincennes corridor, here in that area of the

- 1 TIF, and again, while that didn't effect the
- 2 majority of parcels, we felt it was worth
- 3 noting and something that could be addressed
- 4 in the redevelopment plan for the area.
- We looked at the lack of growth
- 6 in private investment and found that the
- 7 compounding in the TIF District between 2000
- 8 and 2005, the growth rate of -- was about
- 9 five percent lower than the bounds of the
- 10 City of Chicago.
- We also looked at building
- 12 permits to determine what type of
- development activity had taken place. One-
- 14 hundred-eight-six building permits were
- issued between 2001 and 2006. Only 45 of
- these permits were for new construction. The
- 17 rest were for addressing code issues, or for
- 18 repairs, or minor additions.
- 19 Half of that 45 were for
- 20 ancillary structure construction, fences,
- 21 and garages, and other sort of minor
- 22 structures. So there were only 23 primary
- 23 structures which were comprised of a retail
- 24 store, a single -- building and 21

18

```
1 residential buildings, comprising 33
```

- 2 housing.
- 3 When we delved deeper into this
- 4 number, of 174 residential dwelling units
- 5 constructed between 2001 and 2006 were
- 6 permitted. Only seven of these were for
- 7 market rate housing. The balance were for
- 8 incomes restricted or special needs housing,
- 9 including 141 SRO units.
- 10 So we deemed that the level of
- 11 market could have been invested in the area,
- 12 but was lacking.
- 13 We did conduct a housing impact
- 14 study. There are 1,485 residential units in
- the TIF area, 222 single-family units and
- 16 1,263 multi-family units.
- 17 Based on our field observation,
- 18 222 units, or about 15 percent, were deemed
- 19 to be vacant based on observing the typical
- 20 status of the building where or not it
- 21 appeared to be occupied.
- Based on the average household
- size in the area, which is three people for,
- per the 2000 census, we estimated 3,789

- 1 residents living within the proposed
- 2 district.
- We conducted research for,
- 4 related to for sale and rental housing within
- the RPA and surrounding community areas, and
- 6 we do believe that there are adequate units
- 7 to meet the needs of any -- could potentially
- 8 be displaced, so it should be noted that
- 9 there is no acquisition list of this proposed
- 10 TIF district, and there are no planned
- 11 redevelopment projects that we anticipate
- 12 and reduce the number of our, but again based
- on the guidelines and the statute, the study
- 14 was conducted and housing, both for sale and
- rental, in the area, if any residents do
- 16 happen to be displaced.
- 17 The goals of the plan are
- infrastructure improvements, resources for a
- 19 rehabilitation building, property assembly
- and site preparation as needed, and job
- 21 training and day care assistance.
- As you can see in the future land
- 23 use plan and the budget for the district --
- The future land uses. A largely unchanged,

ACCUPANT DEPOPMENT CHRISTIAN (ACC) SCS COCO

- 1 primarily indicated to be a residential
- district, as it is now, which is this here,
- 3 the dot pattern here and most of the blocks,
- 4 continuing to maintain the green spaces.
- 5 There's a -- park here along, adjacent to a
- 6 rail right-of-way, and the -- Park.
- 7 We want to leave some opportunity
- 8 for continued mix of uses, residential,
- 9 commercial and public institutional, and
- there are two public schools, churches,
- 11 other social service agencies throughout the
- 12 district that we do not anticipate being
- disruptive and would like to keep in the
- 14 district.
- MR. REESE: And the, I'm sorry. And
- 16 then the -- is just to the south of the
- 17 district, right?
- 18 MS. KOSARKO: It --
- 19 MR. REESE: On Vincennes? Yeah.
- MS. KOSARKO: So again, not
- 21 anticipating those uses being excluded from
- 22 the district and this is the CTA bus barn
- 23 site from which there will likely be some
- 24 form of redevelopment during the district

- life, but that's yet to be determined. We --
- 2 as mixed use allows some flexibility.
- MR. REESE: What are the adjacent
- 4 TIFs?
- MS. KOSARKO: To the south is the
- 6 Chatham Bridge, and adjacent to the west is
- 7 the 79th Street --
- 8 MR. REESE: Okay.
- 9 MS. KOSARKO: -- which abuts here,
- 10 and the Chatham Bridge abuts here.
- MR. REESE: Okay.
- MS. KOSARKO: In terms of the budget
- for the TIF, excuse me, the estimated
- 14 redevelopment project costs a total of
- 15 \$40 million, half of which we have, is
- 16 currently allocated to public works and
- improvement to these streets, utility needs
- 18 potential public school capital costs, and
- 19 the two schools within the district, and
- 20 other open space parks and public facilities
- 21 as determined necessary during the life of
- 22 the district.
- A couple of the other larger cost
- 24 categories in the budget as it stands are

```
property assembly and rehabilitation of the
structures.
```

- 3 It should also be noted that
- 4 there is \$3 million total allocated to job
- training, retraining and day care services
- 6 -- of the plans, or any questions?
- 7 MR. McCORMICK: Well, I think the one
- 8 thing that's -- that we expanded the -- TIF.
- 9 You have money -- right?
- MR. SMITH: Yes.
- MR. McCORMICK: So if having that
- 12 there -- adjacent to this TIF. You know, it
- looks, there's nothing on the east side,
- though, I don't think as far as continuous
- 15 TIFs?
- 16 MS. KOSARKO: No. No, there's --
- MR. McCORMICK: That's pretty --
- 18 MS. KOSARKO: -- and then the Dan
- 19 Ryan.
- 20 MR. McCORMICK: Yeah. And then
- there's nothing all the way over to the Lake.
- 22 I mean, they're looking at some over there --
- 23
- MS. KOSARKO: Yeah.

```
1
              MR. McCORMICK: -- but, okay.
 2
              MR. SMITH: I'm sorry. Where's the
      Dan Ryan --
 3
              MS. KOSARKO: It's pretty much right
      under --
 5
 6
              MS. SMITH: Yes, okay.
              MS. KOSARKO: Just a couple blocks
 7
 8
      away.
              MR. McCORMICK: You have most of
      Chatham Ridge is right on the Dan Ryan right
10
11
      -- extended it to --
12
              MR. SMITH: Yeah. And -- is over
13
      there, too.
14
              MR. REESE: Any further questions?
      If there are no further questions, I'll
15
16
      entertain a motion that this Joint Review
     Board finds the proposed 79th Vincennes
17
      project area satisfies the redevelopment
18
19
      plan requirements under TIF Act and the
20
      eligibility criteria defined in the TIF Act,
      and the objectives of the TIF Act, and that
21
     based on such findings approves such
22
```

(',

23

24

motion?

A GOTTO A DE DODO DE TATO CEDATA CEDATA CON CONTRA CONTRA

proposed plan under the TIF Act. Is there a

1	MR. McCORMICK: So moved.
2	MR. SMITH: Second.
3	MR. REESE: All in favor?
4	(Chorus of ayes.)
5	MR. REESE: Let the record reflect
6	the Joint Review Board's approval of the
7	proposed 79 th and Vincennes under the TIF
8	Act.
9	MR. McCORMICK: Thank you for
10	your
11	MR. REESE: Thank you so much for
12	your time. Motion to adjourn the first part?
13	
14	MR. McCORMICK: So moved.

MR. SMITH: Second.

STATE OF ILLINOIS)
COUNTY OF C O O K)

I, JACK ARTSTEIN depose and

say that I am a verbatim reporter doing business in the County of Cook and City of Chicago; that I caused to be transcribed the proceedings heretofore identified and that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the aforesaid hearing.

JACK LUSTEIN

SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN TO

BEFORE ME THIS

320

DAV OF

AVOUST

A.D. 20<u>0</u>7.

NOTARY PUBLIC

OFFICIAL SEAL
RONALD N. LEGRAND, JR.
Notary Public - State of Illinois
My Commission Expires Oct 03, 2010

(8) DOCUMENTS RELATING TO OBLIGATIONS ISSUED BY THE MUNICIPALITY - 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5(d)(8)(A)

During 2007, there were no obligations issued for the Project Area.

(9) ANALYSIS OF DEBT SERVICE - 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5(d)(8)(B)

During 2007, there were no obligations issued for the Project Area.

(10) CERTIFIED AUDIT REPORTS - 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5(d)(9)

During 2007, there were no tax increment expenditures or cumulative deposits over \$100,000 within the Project Area. Therefore, no compliance statement was prepared.

(11) GENERAL DESCRIPTION AND MAP

The 79th/Vincennes Redevelopment Project Area is generally bounded by Perry Avenue on the east; 79th and 81st Streets on the south; portions of Union and Emerald Avenues and Halsted Street on the west; and 76th Street on the north. The map below illustrates the location and general boundaries of the Project Area. For precise boundaries, please consult the legal description in the Redevelopment Plan.

