2008 Annual Report

Ogden/Pulaski Redevelopment Project Area



Pursuant to 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5(d)

JUNE 30, 2009



Ernst & Young LLP Sears Tower 233 South Wacker Drive Chicago, Illinois 60606-6301

Tel: +1 312 879 2000 Fax: +1 312 879 4000 www.ey.com

Ms. Christine Raguso Acting Commissioner Department of Planning and Development 121 North LaSalle Street Chicago, Illinois 60602 30 June 2009

Dear Commissioner:

Enclosed is the annual report for the Ogden/Pulaski Redevelopment Project Area, which we compiled at the direction of the Department of Planning and Development pursuant to Section 5(d) of the Illinois Tax Increment Allocation Redevelopment Act (65 ILCS 5/11-74.6-1 et seq.), as amended. The contents are based on information provided to us by Chicago Departments of Planning and Development, Finance, and Law. We have not audited, verified, or applied agreed upon accounting and testing procedures to the data contained in this report. Therefore, we express no opinion on its accuracy or completeness.

It has been a pleasure to work with representatives from the Department of Planning and Development and other City Departments.

Very truly yours,

Ernst & Young LLP

Ernst + Young LLP

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ANNUAL REPORT – OGDEN/PULASKI REDEVELOPMENT PROJECT AREA IN COMPLIANCE WITH SECTION (d) OF 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5.

		PAGE
LE'	TTER TO STATE COMPTROLLER	1
1)	DATE OF DESIGNATION OR TERMINATION	2
2)	AUDITED FINANCIALS	3
3)	MAYOR'S CERTIFICATION	4
4)	OPINION OF LEGAL COUNSEL	5
5)	ANALYSIS OF SPECIAL TAX ALLOCATION FUND	6
6)	DESCRIPTION OF PROPERTY	7
7)	STATEMENT OF ACTIVITIES	8
8)	DOCUMENTS RELATING TO OBLIGATIONS ISSUED BY THE MUNICIPALITY	12
9)	ANALYSIS OF DEBT SERVICE	13
10)	CERTIFIED AUDIT REPORT	14
11)	GENERAL DESCRIPTION AND MAP	15



City of Chicago Richard M. Daley, Mayor

Department of Community Development

Chris Raguso Acting Commissioner

City Hall, Room 1000 121 North LaSalle Street Chicago, Illinois 60602 (312) 744-4190 (312) 744-2271 (FAX) (312) 744-2578 (TTY)

http://www.cityofchicago.org

June 30, 2009

The Honorable Daniel Hynes Comptroller State of Illinois Office of the Comptroller 201 Capitol Springfield, IL 62706

Dear Comptroller Hynes:

We have compiled the attached information for the Ogden/Pulaski Redevelopment Project Area (Report) pursuant to 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5(d).

Sincerely,

ely, Hai Ragues Christine Raguso

Acting Commissioner





(1) DATE OF DESIGNATION AND TERMINATION - 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5(d)(1.5)

The Project Area was designated on April 9, 2008. The Project Area may be terminated no later than December 31, 2032.

(2) AUDITED FINANCIALS - 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5(d)(2)

During 2008, no financial activity or cumulative deposits over \$100,000 occurred in the Project Area. Therefore, no audited statements were prepared pertaining to the Special Tax Allocation Fund for the Project Area.

(3) MAYOR'S CERTIFICATION - 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5(d)(3)

Please see attached.

STATE OF ILLINOIS)
) SS
COUNTY OF COOK)

CERTIFICATION

TO:

Daniel W. Hynes Comptroller of the State of Illinois James R. Thompson Center 100 West Randolph Street, Suite 15-500 Chicago, Illinois 60601 Attention: June Canello, Director of Local Government

Dolores Javier, Treasurer City Colleges of Chicago 226 West Jackson Boulevard, Room 1125 Chicago, Illinois 60606

Maurice S. Jones Director Cook County Dept. Planning & Dev. 69 West Washington Street, Suite 2900 Chicago, Illinois 60602

Dan Donovan, Comptroller Forest Preserve District of Cook County 69 W. Washington Street, Suite 2060 Chicago, IL 60602

Martin Koldyke, Chairman Chicago School Finance Authority 135 South LaSalle Street, Suite 3800 Chicago, Illinois 60603 Timothy Mitchell, General Superintendent & CEO Chicago Park District 541 North Fairbanks Chicago, Illinois 60611

Ron Huberman Chief Executive Officer Chicago Board of Education 125 South Clark Street, 5th Floor Chicago, Illinois 60603

Jacqueline Torres, Director of Finance Metropolitan Water Reclamation District of Greater Chicago 100 East Erie Street, Room 2429 Chicago, Illinois 60611

Douglas Wright
South Cook County Mosquito Abatement
District
155th & Dixie Highway
P.O. Box 1030
Harvey, Illinois 60426

I, RICHARD M. DALEY, in connection with the annual report (the "Report") of information required by Section 11-74.4-5(d) of the Tax Increment Allocation Redevelopment Act, 65 ILCS5/11-74.4-1 et seq, (the "Act") with regard to the Ogden/Pulaski Redevelopment Project Area (the "Redevelopment Project Area"), do hereby certify as follows:

- 1. I am the duly qualified and acting Mayor of the City of Chicago, Illinois (the "City") and, as such, I am the City's Chief Executive Officer. This Certification is being given by me in such capacity.
- 2. During the preceding fiscal year of the City, being January 1 through December 31, 2008, the City complied, in all material respects, with the requirements of the Act, as applicable from time to time, regarding the Redevelopment Project Area.
- 3. In giving this Certification, I have relied on the opinion of the Corporation Counsel of the City furnished in connection with the Report.
 - 4. This Certification may be relied upon only by the addressees hereof.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto affixed my official signature as of this 30th day of June, 2009.

Richard M. Daley, Mayor City of Chicago, Illinois

(4) OPINION OF LEGAL COUNSEL - 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5(d)(4)

Please see attached.



City of Chicago Richard M. Daley, Mayor

Department of Law

Mara S. Georges Corporation Counsel

City Hall, Room 600
121 North LaSalle Street
Chicago, Illinois 60602
(312) 744-0200
(312) 744-8538 (FAX)
(312) 744-2963 (TTY)
http://www.cityofchicago.org

June 30, 2009

Daniel W. Hynes Comptroller of the State of Illinois James R. Thompson Center 100 West Randolph Street, Suite 15-500 Chicago, Illinois 60601 Attention: June Canello, Director of Local Government

Dolores Javier, Treasurer City Colleges of Chicago 226 West Jackson Boulevard, Room 1125 Chicago, Illinois 60606

Maurice S. Jones Director Cook County Dept. Planning & Dev. 69 West Washington Street, Suite 2900 Chicago, Illinois 60602

Dan Donovan, Comptroller Forest Preserve District of Cook County 69 W. Washington Street, Suite 2060 Chicago, IL 60602

Martin Koldyke, Chairman Chicago School Finance Authority 135 South LaSalle Street, Suite 3800 Chicago, Illinois 60603 Timothy Mitchell, General Superintendent & CEO Chicago Park District 541 North Fairbanks Chicago, Illinois 60611

Ron Huberman Chief Executive Officer Chicago Board of Education 125 South Clark Street, 5th Floor Chicago, Illinois 60603

Jacqueline Torres, Director of Finance Metropolitan Water Reclamation District of Greater Chicago 100 East Erie Street, Room 2429 Chicago, Illinois 60611

Douglas Wright
South Cook County Mosquito Abatement
District
155th & Dixie Highway
P.O. Box 1030
Harvey, Illinois 60426

Re: Ogden/Pulaski

Redevelopment Project Area (the "Redevelopment Project Area")

Dear Addressees:

I am Corporation Counsel of the City of Chicago, Illinois (the "City"). In such capacity, I am providing the opinion required by Section 11-74.4-5(d)(4) of the Tax Increment Allocation Redevelopment Act, 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-1 et seq. (the "Act"), in connection with the submission of the report (the "Report") in accordance with, and containing the information required by, Section 11-74.4-5(d) of the Act for the Redevelopment Project Area.





Attorneys, past and present, in the Law Department of the City familiar with the requirements of the Act have had general involvement in the proceedings affecting the Redevelopment Project Area, including the preparation of ordinances adopted by the City Council of the City with respect to the following matters: approval of the redevelopment plan and project for the Redevelopment Project Area, designation of the Redevelopment Project Area as a redevelopment project area and adoption of tax increment allocation financing for the Redevelopment Project Area, all in accordance with the then applicable provisions of the Act. Various departments of the City, including, if applicable, the Law Department, Department of Planning and Development, Department of Housing, Department of Finance and Office of Budget and Management, have personnel responsible for and familiar with the activities in the Redevelopment Project Area affecting such Department(s) and with the requirements of the Act in connection therewith. Such personnel are encouraged to seek and obtain, and do seek and obtain, the legal guidance of the Law Department with respect to issues that may arise from time to time regarding the requirements of, and compliance with, the Act.

In my capacity as Corporation Counsel, I have relied on the general knowledge and actions of the appropriately designated and trained staff of the Law Department and other applicable City Departments involved with the activities affecting the Redevelopment Project Area. In addition, I have caused to be examined or reviewed by members of the Law Department of the City the certified audit report, to the extent required to be obtained by Section 11-74.4-5(d)(9) of the Act and submitted as part of the Report, which is required to review compliance with the Act in certain respects, to determine if such audit report contains information that might affect my opinion. I have also caused to be examined or reviewed such other documents and records as were deemed necessary to enable me to render this opinion. Nothing has come to my attention that would result in my need to qualify the opinion hereinafter expressed, subject to the limitations hereinafter set forth, unless and except to the extent set forth in an Exception Schedule attached hereto as Schedule 1.

Based on the foregoing, I am of the opinion that, in all material respects, the City is in compliance with the provisions and requirements of the Act in effect and then applicable at the time actions were taken from time to time with respect to the Redevelopment Project Area.

This opinion is given in an official capacity and not personally and no personal liability shall derive herefrom. Furthermore, the only opinion that is expressed is the opinion specifically set forth herein, and no opinion is implied or should be inferred as to any other matter. Further, this opinion may be relied upon only by the addressees hereof and the Mayor of the City in providing his required certification in connection with the Report, and not by any other party.

Very truly yours,

Mara S. Georges Corporation Counsel

SCHEDULE 1

(Exception Schedule)

- (X) No Exceptions
- () Note the following Exceptions:

(5) ANALYSIS OF SPECIAL TAX ALLOCATION FUND - 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5(d)(5)

During 2008, there was no financial activity in the Special Tax Allocation Fund.

(6) **DESCRIPTION OF PROPERTY - 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5(d)(6)**

During 2008, the City did not purchase any property in the Project Area

(7) STATEMENT OF ACTIVITIES - 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5(d)(7)

- (A) Projects implemented in the preceding fiscal year.
- **(B)** A description of the redevelopment activities undertaken.
- (C) Agreements entered into by the City with regard to disposition or redevelopment of any property within the Project Area.
- **(D)** Additional information on the use of all Funds received by the Project Area and steps taken by the City to achieve the objectives of the Redevelopment Plan.
- (E) Information on contracts that the City's consultants have entered into with parties that have received, or are receiving, payments financed by tax increment revenues produced by the Project Area.
- **(F)** Joint Review Board reports submitted to the City.
- (G) Project-by-project review of public and private investment undertaken from 11/1/99 to 12/31/08, and of such investments expected to be undertaken in year 2009; also, a project-by-project ratio of private investment to public investment from 11/1/99 to 12/31/08, and an estimated ratio of such investments as of the completion of each project and as estimated to the completion of the redevelopment project.

SEE TABLES AND/OR DISCUSSIONS ON FOLLOWING PAGES.

(7)(A) - 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5(d)(7)(A)

During 2008, no projects were implemented.

(7)(B) - 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5(d)(7)(B)

Redevelopment activities undertaken within this Project Area during the year 2008, if any, have been made pursuant to i) the Redevelopment Plan for the Project Area, and ii) any Redevelopment Agreements affecting the Project Area, and are set forth on Table 5 herein by TIF-eligible expenditure category.

(7)(C) - 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5(d)(7)(C)

During 2008, no agreements were entered into with regard to the disposition or redevelopment of any property within the Project Area.

(7)(D) - 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5(d)(7)(D)

The Project Area has not yet received any increment.

(7)(E) - 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5(d)(7)(E)

During 2008, no contracts were entered into by the City's tax increment advisors or consultants with entities or persons that have received, or are receiving, payments financed by tax increment revenues produced by the Project Area.

(7)(F) - 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5(d)(7)(F)

Joint Review Board Reports were submitted to the City. See attached.

(7)(G) - 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5(d)(7)(G)

Since November 1, 1999, no public investment was undertaken in the Project Area. As of December 31, 2008, no public investment is estimated to be undertaken for 2009.

CITY OF CHICAGO JOINT REVIEW BOARD

Report of proceedings of a hearing before the City of Chicago, Joint Review Board held on January 4, 2008, at 10:07 a.m. City Hall, Room 703, 121 N. LaSalle Street, Chicago, Illinois, and presided over by Ms. Tanya Anthony.

PRESENT:

- MS. TANYA ANTHONY
- MR. JOHN McCORMICK
- MS. SUSAN MAREK
- MS. PHOEBE WOOD
- MR. DARRYL HOLMES
- MS. RENA JACKSON, Public Member

```
MS. ANTHONY: For the record, my name
```

- 2 is Tanya Anthony, I'm a representative of the
- 3 Chicago Park District, which under Section
- 4 11-74, 4 through 5 of the Tax Increment
- 5 Allocation Redevelopment Act is one of the
- 6 statutorily designated members of the Joint
- 7 Review Board. Until election of a
- 8 chairperson I will moderate the Joint Review
- 9 Board meeting.
- 10 For the record, this will be a
- 11 meeting to review the proposed Ogden/Pulaski
- 12 Tax Increment Financing District. The date
- of this meeting was announced at and set by
- 14 the Community Development Commission of the
- 15 City of Chicago at its meeting on December
- 16 11th, 2007.
- Notice of this meeting of the
- Joint Review Board was also provided by
- 19 certified mail to each taxing district
- 20 represented on the Board which includes the
- 21 Chicago Board of Education, the Chicago
- 22 Community Colleges District 508, the Chicago
- Park District, Cook County, and the City of
- 24 Chicago. Public notice of this meeting was

```
also posted as of Wednesday, January 2<sup>nd</sup>,
```

- 2 2008 in various locations throughout City
- 3 Hall.
- 4 When a proposed redevelopment
- 5 plan would result in displacement of
- 6 residents from ten or more inhabited
- 7 residential units or would include 75 or more
- 8 inhabited residential units, the TIF Act
- 9 requires that the public member of the Joint
- 10 Review Board must reside in the proposed
- 11 redevelopment project area.
- In addition, if a municipality's
- housing impact study determines that the
- 14 majority of residential units in the
- proposed redevelopment project area are
- occupied by very low, low or moderate income
- households as defined in Section 3 of the
- 18 Illinois Affordable Housing Act, the public
- member must be a person who resides in very
- low, low or moderate income housing with the
- proposed redevelopment project area.
- With us today is Rena Jackson.
- 23 Are you familiar with the boundaries of the
- 24 proposed Ogden/Pulaski Tax Increment

```
1 Financing Redevelopment Project Area?
```

- MS. JACKSON: Yes.
- MS. ANTHONY: What is the address of
- 4 your primary residence?
- 5 MS. JACKSON: 1813 South Central
- 6 Park.
- 7 MS. ANTHONY: Is such address within
- 8 the boundaries of the proposed Ogden/Pulaski
- 9 Tax Increment Financing Redevelopment
- 10 Project Area?
- MS. JACKSON: Yes.
- MS. ANTHONY: Have you provided
- representatives of the City of Chicago's
- 14 Department of Planning and Development with
- 15 accurate information concerning your income
- and the income of any other members of the
- household residing at such address?
- MS. JACKSON: Yes.
- MS. ANTHONY: Rena Jackson, are you
- willing to serve as the public member for the
- 21 Joint Review Board for the proposed
- 22 Ogden/Pulaski Tax Increment Financing
- 23 Redevelopment Project Area?
- MS. JACKSON: Yes.

```
1 MS. ANTHONY: I would entertain a
```

- 2 motion that Rena Jackson be selected as the
- 3 public member. Is there a motion?
- 4 MR. HOLMES: So moved.
- 5 MS. ANTHONY: Second?
- 6 MS. MAREK: Second.
- 7 MS. ANTHONY: All in favor?
- 8 (Chorus of ayes.)
- 9 MS. ANTHONY: All opposed? Let the
- 10 record reflect that Rena Jackson has been
- 11 selected as the public member for the
- 12 proposed Ogden/Pulaski Tax Increment
- 13 Financing Redevelopment Project Area.
- 14 Our next order of business is to
- select a chairperson for this Joint Review
- 16 Board. Are there any nominations?
- MS. MAREK: I'll nominate Tara.
- MS. ANTHONY: Tanya.
- MS. MAREK: Tanya, sorry.
- MS. ANTHONY: Is there a second for
- 21 the nomination?
- MS. WOOD: Second.
- MS. ANTHONY: Let the record reflect
- that Tanya Anthony has been elected as

```
1 chairperson and will serve now as the
```

- 2 chairperson for the remainder of the
- 3 meeting.
- 4 MR. HOLMES: -- it's been moved and
- 5 seconded.
- 6 MS. ANTHONY: Oh, all in favor?
- 7 Sorry.
- 8 (Chorus of ayes.)
- 9 MS. ANTHONY: All opposed? All
- 10 right. Let the record reflect that Tanya
- 11 Anthony has been elected as chairperson and
- 12 will now serve as the chairperson for the
- 13 remainder of the meeting.
- 14 I'd like to note that the room is
- full today, and I just want to remind
- 16 everyone that we're asking you to please
- remain quiet. We welcome your attendance and
- 18 your free to listen, but just remember that
- 19 you're not allowed to ask questions or make
- any comments.
- 21 As I mentioned, at this meeting
- we will be reviewing a plan for the proposed
- 23 Ogden/Pulaski Tax Increment Financing
- 24 District proposed by the City of Chicago

```
1 staff of the City's Department of Planning
```

- 2 and Development and Law, and other
- 3 departments have reviewed this plan
- 4 amendment which was introduced to the City's
- 5 Community Development Commission on December
- 6 11th, 2007. We will listen to a presentation
- 7 by the consultant on the plan. Following the
- 8 presentation we can address any questions
- 9 that the members might have for the
- 10 consultant or City staff.
- An amendment to the TIF Act
- requires us to base our recommendation to
- approve or disapprove the proposed
- 14 Ogden/Pulaski Tax Increment Financing
- 15 District on the basis of the area and the
- 16 plan satisfying the plan requirements, the
- 17 eligibility criteria defined in the TIF Act
- and objectives of the TIF Act.
- 19 If the Board approves the plan
- amendment, the Board will then issue an
- 21 advisory, non-binding recommendation by the
- vote of the majority of those members present
- and voting. Such recommendations shall be
- submitted to the City within 30 days after

```
the Board meeting. Failure to submit such
```

- 2 recommendations shall be deemed to
- 3 constitute approval by the Board.
- 4 If the Board disapproves the plan
- 5 amendment, the Board must issue a written
- 6 report describing why the plan and area
- 7 failed to meet one or more of the objectives
- 8 of the TIF Act and both the plan requirements
- 9 and the eligibility requirement of the TIF
- 10 Act.
- The City will then have 30 days
- 12 to resubmit a revised plan. The Board and
- the City must also confer during this time to
- try to resolve the issues that led to the
- 15 Board's disapproval. If such issues cannot
- be resolved or if the revised plan is
- 17 disapproved, the City may proceed with the
- 18 plan, but the plan can be approved only with
- 19 a three-fifths vote of the City counsel -- of
- 20 members that are vacant and those members
- that are ineligible to vote because of
- 22 conflicts of interest.
- 23 At this time we'll have a
- 24 presentation.

```
MS. MORONEY: Good morning, ladies
```

- and gentlemen. My name is Ann Moroney with
- 3 Johnson Research Group and our consulting
- 4 firm assisted the City of Chicago in
- 5 preparing this redevelopment plan and
- 6 conducting the eligibility study associated
- 7 with it.
- 8 The project area boundary is
- 9 roughly bounded by Roosevelt on the north,
- 10 Kostner on the, Kenneth and Kostner Avenues
- on the west, 16th Street also on the north,
- 12 24th Street and Cermak on the south and
- 13 Albany on the east. This is located
- 14 primarily in the North Lawndale Community
- Area but a portion of it is also in the South
- 16 Lawndale Community Area. It falls in two
- 17 wards, Alderman Dixon's 24th Ward and
- 18 Alderman Nunoz's ward, the 22nd Ward.
- It's adjacent to two TIF's, the
- 20 Midwest on the north and the Roosevelt --
- 21 Industrial on the west. The project area is
- 22 876 acres. It contains 3,876 buildings and
- 5,803 tax parcels. It's primarily
- 24 residential in land use and includes the CTA

```
1 Pink Line which runs almost straight through
```

- 2 it. It also has a number of historic
- 3 resources, including one historic district
- 4 and 121 buildings cited by the Chicago
- 5 Historic Resources as potentially
- 6 significant.
- Johnson Research conducted a
- 8 eligibility analysis. We did a field survey
- 9 during the months of February throughout
- 10 April of 2006. We collected data from the
- 11 county and City in regards to building codes
- violations, building permits, water and
- 13 sewer infrastructure needs, Cook County
- 14 Assessor records from the years 1995, 1999
- through 2006 and we reviewed all previously
- prepared plans, studies and data on the area.
- We found that 93 buildings, as a
- 18 threshold criteria age is required for
- 19 conservation areas, 50 percent or more of the
- 20 buildings must be 35 years in age or older,
- we found that 93 percent of the buildings in
- the area were, met that age criteria.
- And, for qualification as
- conservation area, 3 of some 13 factors must

```
1 be present in the area, we found that 5
```

- 2 factors were present, reasonably distributed
- 3 and -- present in the area. Those factors
- 4 include deterioration, presence of
- 5 structures below minimum code standards,
- 6 excessive vacancies, inadequate utilities
- 7 and a lack of community planning. All these
- 8 factors are defined in the TIF Act.
- In addition to these factors some
- 10 other evidence of disinvestment in the area
- are identified by the significant population
- decrease between 1970 and 2000. The
- population decreased by more than half since
- 14 1970. Housing units dropped 38 percent in
- 15 that time period.
- There was a significant area of
- 17 undeveloped land in the area, 26.9 percent of
- the developable acres are vacant. This is
- 19 1600 plus vacant lots. There's a high
- vacancy rate among buildings. The vacancy
- rate is 17 percent, compared with the City's
- vacancy rate of 13 percent. And 364 of the
- 23 roughly 5900 tax parcels were tax delinquent
- 24 in 2005.

```
1 In addition to these factors,
```

- 2 four other additional light factors were
- 3 found, though we did not document that, or
- 4 they were not present to reasonably
- 5 distributed, but these presence are found in
- of various places throughout the project area,
- 7 including dilapidation, obsolescence,
- 8 obsessive land coverage and overcrowding,
- 9 and deleterious land use or layout.
- Now, the redevelopment plan
- includes several components, including a
- goals and objective section and a land use
- 13 plan. The land use plan reinforces the
- 14 residential uses that are currently existing
- in the area and suggests reinforcing the
- 16 other land use plan land uses that are in the
- 17 area, residential, commercial, mixed use
- 18 area, public institutional, open spaces
- 19 should be encouraged and industrial in
- 20 limited areas.
- As required by the act, a housing
- 22 impact study was conducted in the project
- area. There are approximately 8,275
- 24 residential units. We identified, as

```
1 consistent with the policy that's used by the
```

- 2 City of Chicago, determined the number of
- 3 inhabited units that might be impacted. We
- 4 found that there's a total of 41 occupied
- 5 units that could be impacted by this, by
- 6 changes in the land use or in furtherance of
- 7 the redevelopment plan. There are no plans
- 8 to acquire or displace these properties at
- 9 this time.
- 10 There is an acquisition plan also
- 11 associated with this project and there are a
- 12 total of 652 parcels identified on the
- acquisition plan, only one is an occupied
- 14 residential unit. That's located at 2121
- 15 South Melard. The other parcels are vacant
- 16 and privately owned.
- There is a project budget that
- 18 has been developed for the project area and
- the budget estimated at \$100 million over the
- 20 course of this 23 year life plan. That's
- 21 broken down into categories of analysis and
- administration, \$7.5 million; property
- assembly and acquisition -- that's \$10
- million; rehab and affordable housing, \$35

```
1 million; public works and improvements
```

- 2 including streets and utilities, parks,
- 3 public facilities, \$30 million; relocation
- 4 costs, \$5 million; job training, retraining,
- 5 welfare work projects, 2.5 million; daycare,
- 6 million; intrasubsidies, 4 million.
- 7 This funding, or the source of
- 8 funds to pay for these estimated costs will
- 9 be generated by the project area itself. The
- 10 current, or the 2006 DAV for the project area
- is estimated at \$290 million. And, using
- modest assumptions over the life of this TIF,
- projected DAV is estimated at \$524 million.
- So that kind of, that wraps up
- sort of the gist of the project area, or the
- 16 redevelopment area. I'll be happy to answer
- any questions that you have.
- MS. DIXON: May I ask a question?
- 19 Those numbers you just read off, those are
- not permanent numbers, those are numbers,
- 21 estimated numbers?
- MS. MORONEY: Those are estimated
- 23 because there are no development plans in
- 24 place right now that we could generate more

1 refined numbers, and those will change over

- 2 the 23 years from this.
- MS. ANTHONY: I might point out for
- 4 anyone who wonders why she gets to talk,
- 5 she's the alderman of the ward.
- MS. DIXON: Under the land use plan,
- 7 can you identify the park areas?
- MS. MORONEY: Right now there's a
- 9 park here and there's an open space area here
- and there's a, there's a small park here.
- And, we are proposing a path along the
- 12 railroad that, would make it up to Douglas
- 13 Park over here.
- MS. DIXON: That would be a bike
- 15 path?
- MS. ANTHONY: Bike path.
- MR. HOLMES: How many residents do
- 18 you anticipate being displaced permanently
- or temporarily over the life of it?
- MS. MORONEY: Well, there is no plans
- to do any displacement right now, but because
- we have to identify, because in doing the
- housing impact study we go through certain
- criteria and one is, is there an acquisition

1 plan and on that acquisition plan are there

- any units that are going to be acquired.
- 3 There is one unit that that home owner has
- 4 come to the City and said that they want to
- 5 be acquired. So that's one unit that falls
- 6 under the, subject to displacement, or
- 7 subject to be impacted.
- 8 The next category is are there
- 9 any dilapidated buildings in the area with
- 10 occupied units in them. And we have
- identified some dilapidated buildings -- so
- they fall onto the list as well. There's no
- plans to demolish those buildings or acquire
- 14 them, but the criteria requires that you
- 15 identify those buildings and put them on the
- 16 list.
- And then lastly if there's a
- 18 change in land use. So if there's an
- 19 existing land use now that is in conflict
- with the proposed land use you're putting on
- the map, then, and there's any occupied units
- in those buildings, you put those on the map
- as well. And there are seven buildings that
- fall under that category, or seven units that

```
1 fall under that category. So those go on
```

- there. So a total of, I forget what I said,
- 3 46, 47?
- 4 MS. MAREK: You said 41.
- MS. MORONEY: 41? 41 occupied units
- 6 in 26 buildings that fall under those three
- 7 categories; acquisition map, dilapidation or
- 8 change in land use.
- 9 MR. HOLMES: Okay, thank you.
- MS. ANTHONY: Are there any more
- 11 questions? If there are no further questions
- 12 I will entertain a motion that this Joint
- Review Board finds that the proposed
- 14 Ogden/Pulaski TIF Increment Financing
- Redevelopment Project Area satisfies the
- 16 redevelopment plan requirements under the
- 17 TIF Act, the eligibility requirement defined
- in Section 11-74, 4 through 3 of the TIF Act
- and the objectives of the TIF Act, and that
- 20 based on such findings approve such proposed
- 21 plan under the TIF Act. Is there a motion?
- MS. MAREK: So moved.
- MS. ANTHONY: Is there a second for
- 24 the motion?

1	MS. WOOD: Second.
2	MS. ANTHONY: Is there any further
3	discussion? If not, all in favor please vote
4	by saying aye.
5	(Chorus of ayes.)
6	MS. ANTHONY: All opposed please vote
7	by saying no. Let the record reflect the
8	Joint Review Board's approval of the
9	proposed Ogden/Pulaski Tax Increment
10	financing redevelopment project area under
11	the TIF Act.
12	, I believe at this time this
13	meeting is adjourned.
14	(Whereupon the meeting adjourned
15	at 10:30 a.m.)
16	
1.7	
18	
19	
2 0	
21	
2 2	
2 3	

STATE OF ILLINOIS SS. COUNTY OF C O O K

I, JACK ARTSTEIN depose and say that I am a verbatim reporter doing business in the County of Cook and City of Chicago; that I caused to be transcribed the proceedings heretofore identified and that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the aforesaid hearing.

SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN TO

BEFORE ME THIS ________DAY OF

_, A.D. 20<u>08</u>.

NOTARY PUBLIC

OFFICIAL SEAL RONALD N. LEGRAND, JR. Notary Public - State of Illinois My Commission Expires Oct 03, 2010

(8) DOCUMENTS RELATING TO OBLIGATIONS ISSUED BY THE MUNICIPALITY - 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5(d)(8)(A)

During 2008, there were no obligations issued for the Project Area.

(9) ANALYSIS OF DEBT SERVICE - 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5(d)(8)(B)

During 2008, there were no obligations issued for the Project Area.

(10) **CERTIFIED AUDIT REPORTS - 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5(d)(9)**

During 2008, there were no tax increment expenditures or cumulative deposits over \$100,000 within the Project Area. Therefore, no compliance statement was prepared.

(11) GENERAL DESCRIPTION AND MAP

The Ogden/Pulaski Redevelopment Project Area is generally bounded on the north by Roosevelt Road and 16th Street, on the west by Kenneth and Kostner Avenues, on the south by 24th Street and Cermak Road and on the east by Albany Avenue. For precise boundaries, please consult the legal description in the Redevelopment Plan.

