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June 30, 2010

The Honorable Daniel Hynes
Comptroller
State of Illinois
Office of the Comptroller
201 Capitol
Springfield, IL 62706

Dear Comptroller Hynes:

We have compiled the attached information for the Roosevelt/Cicero Redevelopment Project Area (Report) pursuant to 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5(d).

Sincerely,

[Signature]

Christine Raguso
Acting Commissioner
The Project Area was designated on February 5, 1998. The Project Area may be terminated no later than February 5, 2021.
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INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT

The Honorable Richard M. Daley, Mayor
Members of the City Council
City of Chicago, Illinois

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of the Roosevelt/Cicero Redevelopment Project of the City of Chicago, Illinois, as of and for the year ended December 31, 2009, as listed in the table of contents. These financial statements are the responsibility of the City of Chicago's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

As discussed in Note 1, the financial statements present only the Roosevelt/Cicero Redevelopment Project and do not purport to, and do not present fairly the financial position of the City of Chicago, Illinois, as of December 31, 2009, and the changes in its financial position for the year then ended in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of the Roosevelt/Cicero Redevelopment Project of the City of Chicago, Illinois, as of December 31, 2009, and the changes in financial position thereof for the year then ended in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

The Management's Discussion and Analysis on pages 3 through 5 is not a required part of the basic financial statements but is supplementary information required by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board. We have applied certain limited procedures, which consisted principally of inquiries of management regarding the methods of measurement and presentation of the required supplementary information. However, we did not audit the information and express no opinion on it.
Our audit was conducted for the purpose of forming an opinion on the financial statements taken as a whole. The schedule of expenditures by statutory code on page 10, which is also the responsibility of the City of Chicago's management, is presented for purposes of additional analysis and is not a required part of the financial statements of Roosevelt/Cicero Redevelopment Project of the City of Chicago, Illinois. Such additional information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the financial statements and, in our opinion, is fairly stated in all material respects in relation to the financial statements taken as a whole.

Pamely and Reiner, L.L.P.
Certified Public Accountants

June 7, 2010
As management of the Roosevelt/Cicero Tax Increment Redevelopment Project Area (Project), we offer the readers of the Project's financial statements this narrative overview and analysis of the Project's financial performance for the year ended December 31, 2009. Please read it in conjunction with the Project's financial statements, which follow this section.

Overview of the Financial Statements

This discussion and analysis is intended to serve as an introduction to the Project's basic financial statements. The Project's basic financial statements include three components: 1) government-wide financial statements, 2) governmental fund financial statements, and 3) notes to the financial statements. This report also contains other supplementary information concerning the Project's expenditures by statutory code.

Basic Financial Statements

The basic financial statements include two kinds of financial statements that present different views of the Project – the Government-Wide Financial Statements and the Governmental Fund Financial Statements. These financial statements also include the notes to the financial statements that explain some of the information in the financial statements and provide more detail.

Government-Wide Financial Statements

The government-wide financial statements provide both long-term and short-term information about the Project's financial status and use accounting methods similar to those used by private-sector companies. The statement of net assets includes all of the project's assets and liabilities. All of the current year's revenues and expenses are accounted for in the statement of activities regardless of when cash is received or paid. The two government-wide statements report the Project's net assets and how they have changed. Net assets – the difference between the Project's assets and liabilities – is one way to measure the Project's financial health, or position.

Governmental Fund Financial Statements

The governmental fund financial statements provide more detailed information about the Project's significant funds – not the Project as a whole. Governmental funds focus on: 1) how cash and other financial assets can readily be converted to cash flows and 2) the year-end balances that are available for spending. Consequently, the governmental fund statements provide a detailed short-term view that helps determine whether there are more financial resources that can be spent in the near future to finance the Project. Because this information does not encompass the additional long-term focus of the government-wide statements, we provide additional information at the bottom of the statements to explain the relationship (or differences) between them.
Notes to the Financial Statements

The notes provide additional information that is essential to a full understanding of the data provided in the government-wide and governmental fund financial statements. The notes to the financial statements follow the basic financial statements.

Other Supplementary Information

In addition to the basic financial statements and accompanying notes, this report also presents a schedule of expenditures by statutory code. This supplementary information follows the notes to the financial statements.

Condensed Comparative Financial Statements

The condensed comparative financial statements are presented on the following page.

Analysis of Overall Financial Position and Results of Operations

Property tax revenue for the Project was $2,937,243 for the year. This was a decrease of 1 percent over the prior year. The change in net assets produced an increase in net assets of $2,850,735. The Project’s net assets increased by 30 percent from the prior year making available $12,265,677 of funding to be provided for purposes of future redevelopment in the Project’s designated area. Expenses decreased this year due to the Project’s formulation of a redevelopment plan or necessary funding was not substantially complete or available.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2009</th>
<th>2008</th>
<th>Change</th>
<th>% Change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total assets</td>
<td>$12,312,471</td>
<td>$9,547,047</td>
<td>$2,765,424</td>
<td>29%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total liabilities</td>
<td>46,794</td>
<td>132,105</td>
<td>(85,311)</td>
<td>-65%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total net assets</td>
<td>$12,265,677</td>
<td>$9,414,942</td>
<td>$2,850,735</td>
<td>30%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total revenues</td>
<td>$2,949,339</td>
<td>$3,006,385</td>
<td>(57,046)</td>
<td>-2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total expenses</td>
<td>98,604</td>
<td>360,497</td>
<td>(261,893)</td>
<td>-73%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operating transfers out</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>375,000</td>
<td>(375,000)</td>
<td>-100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Changes in net assets</td>
<td>2,850,735</td>
<td>2,270,888</td>
<td>579,847</td>
<td>26%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ending net assets</td>
<td>$12,265,677</td>
<td>$9,414,942</td>
<td>$2,850,735</td>
<td>30%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Assets

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Governmental Fund</th>
<th>Adjustments</th>
<th>Statement of Net Assets</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cash and investments</td>
<td>$ 9,550,343</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>$ 9,550,343</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Property taxes receivable</td>
<td>2,752,000</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2,752,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accrued interest receivable</td>
<td>10,128</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>10,128</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total assets</strong></td>
<td><strong>$ 12,312,471</strong></td>
<td><strong>$ -</strong></td>
<td><strong>$ 12,312,471</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Liabilities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Governmental Fund</th>
<th>Adjustments</th>
<th>Statement of Net Assets</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Due to other City funds</td>
<td>$ 46,794</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>$ 46,794</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deferred revenue</td>
<td>2,551,507</td>
<td>(2,551,507)</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total liabilities</strong></td>
<td><strong>2,598,301</strong></td>
<td>(2,551,507)</td>
<td>46,794</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Fund Balance/Net Assets

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Governmental Fund</th>
<th>Adjustments</th>
<th>Statement of Net Assets</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fund balance:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Designated for future redevelopment project costs</td>
<td>9,714,170</td>
<td>(9,714,170)</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total liabilities and fund balance</strong></td>
<td><strong>$ 12,312,471</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Governmental Fund</th>
<th>Adjustments</th>
<th>Statement of Net Assets</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Net assets:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Restricted for future redevelopment project costs</td>
<td>12,265,677</td>
<td></td>
<td>12,265,677</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total net assets</strong></td>
<td><strong>$ 12,265,677</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>$ 12,265,677</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Amounts reported for governmental activities in the statement of net assets are different because:

- **Total fund balance - governmental fund**: $ 9,714,170
- Property tax revenue is recognized in the period for which levied rather than when "available". A portion of the deferred property tax revenue is not available: 2,551,507
- **Total net assets - governmental activities**: $ 12,265,677

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the financial statements.
CITY OF CHICAGO, ILLINOIS
ROOSEVELT/CICERO REDEVELOPMENT PROJECT

STATEMENT OF ACTIVITIES AND GOVERNMENTAL FUND REVENUES, EXPENDITURES
AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE
FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2009

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Governmental Fund</th>
<th>Adjustments</th>
<th>Statement of Activities</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Revenues:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Property tax</td>
<td>$2,592,384</td>
<td>$344,859</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interest</td>
<td>12,096</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total revenues</td>
<td>2,604,480</td>
<td>344,859</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expenditures/expenses:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Economic development projects</td>
<td>98,604</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Excess of revenues over expenditures</td>
<td>2,505,876</td>
<td>(2,505,876)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change in net assets</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2,850,735</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fund balance/net assets:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beginning of year</td>
<td>7,208,294</td>
<td>2,206,648</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>End of year</td>
<td>$9,714,170</td>
<td>$2,551,507</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Amounts reported for governmental activities in the statement of activities are different because:

Net change in fund balance - governmental fund $2,505,876

Property tax revenue is recognized in the period for which levied rather than when "available". A portion of the deferred property tax revenue is not available. 344,859

Change in net assets - governmental activities $2,850,735

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the financial statements.
CITY OF CHICAGO, ILLINOIS
ROOSEVELT/CICERO REDEVELOPMENT PROJECT

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Note 1 – Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

(a) Reporting Entity

In February 1998, the City of Chicago (City) established the Roosevelt/Cicero Tax Increment Redevelopment Project Area (Project). The area has been established to finance improvements, leverage private investment and create and retain jobs. The Project is accounted for within the special revenue funds of the City.

(b) Government-Wide and Fund Financial Statements

The accompanying financial statements of the Project have been prepared in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles as prescribed by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB). In June 1999, the GASB unanimously approved Statement No. 34 (as amended by Statement No. 37), Basic Financial Statements - Management's Discussion and Analysis - for State and Local Governments and at a later date, Statement No. 38 Certain Financial Statements Disclosures, and include the following:

- A Management Discussion and Analysis (MD&A) section providing an analysis of the Project's overall financial position and results of operations.
- Government-wide financial statements prepared using the economic resources measurement focus and the accrual basis of accounting for all the Project's activities.
- Fund financial statements, which focus on the Project's governmental funds current financial resources measurement focus.

(c) Measurement Focus, Basis of Accounting and Financial Statements Presentation

The government-wide financial statements are reported using the accrual basis of accounting. Revenues are recorded when earned and expenses are recorded when a liability is incurred regardless of the timing of related cash flows. Property taxes are recognized as revenues in the year for which they are levied.

The governmental fund financial statements are prepared on the modified accrual basis of accounting with only current assets and liabilities included on the balance sheet. Under the modified accrual basis of accounting, revenues are recorded when susceptible to accrual, i.e., both measurable and available to finance expenditures of the current period. Available means collectible within the current period or soon enough thereafter to be used to pay liabilities of the current period. Property taxes are susceptible to accrual and recognized as a receivable in the year levied. Revenue recognition is deferred unless the taxes are received within 60 days subsequent to year-end. Expenditures are recorded when the liability is incurred.

Private-sector standards of accounting and financial reporting issued prior to December 1, 1989, generally are followed in government-wide financial statements to the extent that those standards do not conflict with or contradict guidance of the Governmental Accounting Standards Board. The City has elected not to follow subsequent private-sector guidance.

When both restricted and unrestricted resources are available for use, it is the City's policy to use restricted resources first, then unrestricted resources, as they are needed.
Note 1 – Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (Concluded)

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Accordingly, actual results could differ from these estimates.

(d) Assets, Liabilities and Net Assets

Cash and Investments

Cash belonging to the City is generally deposited with the City Treasurer as required by the Municipal Code of Chicago. The City Comptroller issues warrants for authorized City expenditures which represent a claim for payment when presented to the City Treasurer. Payment for all City warrants clearing is made by checks drawn on the City's various operating bank accounts.

The City Treasurer and City Comptroller share responsibility for investing in authorized investments. Interest earned on pooled investments is allocated to participating funds based upon their average combined cash and investment balances.

The City values its investments at fair value or amortized cost. U.S. Government securities purchased at a price other than par with a maturity of less than one year are reported at amortized cost.

Capital Assets

Capital assets are not capitalized in the governmental fund but, instead, are charged as current expenditures when purchased. The Government-wide financial statements (i.e., the statement of net assets and the statement of changes in net assets) of the City includes the capital assets and related depreciation, if any, of the Project in which ownership of the capital asset will remain with the City (i.e. infrastructure, or municipal building). All other construction will be expensed in both the government-wide financial statements and the governmental fund as the City nor Project will retain the right of ownership.

(e) Stewardship, Compliance and Accountability

Illinois Tax Increment Redevelopment Allocation Act Compliance

The Project's expenditures include reimbursements for various eligible costs as described in subsection (q) of Section 11-74.4-3 of the Illinois Tax Increment Redevelopment Allocation Act and the Redevelopment Agreement relating specifically to the Project. Eligible costs include but are not limited to survey, property assembly, rehabilitation, public infrastructure, financing and relocation costs.

Reimbursements

Reimbursements, if any, are made to the developer for project costs, as public improvements are completed and pass City inspection.
Note 2 – Commitments

The City has pledged certain amounts solely from available excess incremental taxes to provide financial assistance to a developer under the terms of a redevelopment agreement for the purpose of paying costs of certain eligible redevelopment project costs.
SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION
CITY OF CHICAGO, ILLINOIS
ROOSEVELT/CICERO REDEVELOPMENT PROJECT

SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES BY STATUTORY CODE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code Description</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Costs of studies, surveys, development of plans and specifications, implementation and administration of the redevelopment plan including but not limited to staff and professional service costs for architectural, engineering, legal, marketing</td>
<td>$50,102</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Costs of the construction of public works or improvements</td>
<td>5,382</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Costs of job training and retraining projects</td>
<td>43,120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$98,604</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
(3) MAYOR'S CERTIFICATION - 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5(d)(3)

Please see attached.
STATE OF ILLINOIS
COUNTY OF COOK

CERTIFICATION

TO:

Daniel W. Hynes
Comptroller of the State of Illinois
James R. Thompson Center
100 West Randolph Street, Suite 15-500
Chicago, Illinois 60601
Attention: June Canello, Director of Local
Government

Dolores Javier, Treasurer
City Colleges of Chicago
226 West Jackson Boulevard, Room 1125
Chicago, Illinois 60606

Maurice S. Jones
Director
Cook County Dept. Planning & Dev.
69 West Washington Street, Suite 2900
Chicago, Illinois 60602

Dan Donovan, Comptroller
Forest Preserve District of Cook County
69 W. Washington Street, Suite 2060
Chicago, IL 60602

Martin Kolodyke, Chairman
Chicago School Finance Authority
135 South LaSalle Street, Suite 3800
Chicago, Illinois 60603

Timothy Mitchell, General Superintendent &
CEO
Chicago Park District
541 North Fairbanks
Chicago, Illinois 60611

Ron Huberman
Chief Executive Officer
Chicago Board of Education
125 South Clark Street, 5th Floor
Chicago, Illinois 60603

Jacqueline Torres, Director of Finance
Metropolitan Water Reclamation District of
Greater Chicago
100 East Erie Street, Room 2429
Chicago, Illinois 60611

Douglas Wright
South Cook County Mosquito Abatement
District
155th & Dixie Highway
P.O. Box 1030
Harvey, Illinois 60426

I, RICHARD M. DALEY, in connection with the annual report (the “Report”) of
information required by Section 11-74.4-5(d) of the Tax Increment Allocation Redevelopment
Act, 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-1 et seq, (the “Act”) with regard to the Roosevelt/Cicero Redevelopment
Project Area (the “Redevelopment Project Area”), do hereby certify as follows:
1. I am the duly qualified and acting Mayor of the City of Chicago, Illinois (the “City”) and, as such, I am the City’s Chief Executive Officer. This Certification is being given by me in such capacity.

2. During the preceding fiscal year of the City, being January 1 through December 31, 2009, the City complied, in all material respects, with the requirements of the Act, as applicable from time to time, regarding the Redevelopment Project Area.

3. In giving this Certification, I have relied on the opinion of the Corporation Counsel of the City furnished in connection with the Report.

4. This Certification may be relied upon only by the addressees hereof.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto affixed my official signature as of this 30th day of June, 2010.

Richard M. Daley, Mayor
City of Chicago, Illinois
(4) OPINION OF LEGAL COUNSEL - 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5(d)(4)

Please see attached.
June 30, 2010

Daniel W. Hynes
Comptroller of the State of Illinois
James R. Thompson Center
100 West Randolph Street, Suite 15-500
Chicago, Illinois 60601
Attention: June Cancello, Director of Local Government

Dolores Javier, Treasurer
City Colleges of Chicago
226 West Jackson Boulevard, Room 1125
Chicago, Illinois 60606

Maurice S. Jones
Director
Cook County Dept. Planning & Dev.
69 West Washington Street, Suite 2900
Chicago, Illinois 60602

Dan Donovan, Comptroller
Forest Preserve District of Cook County
69 W. Washington Street, Suite 2060
Chicago, IL 60602

Martin Koldyke, Chairman
Chicago School Finance Authority
135 South LaSalle Street, Suite 3800
Chicago, Illinois 60603

Re: Roosevelt/Cicero
Redevelopment Project Area (the “Redevelopment Project Area”)

Dear Addressees:

I am Corporation Counsel of the City of Chicago, Illinois (the “City”). In such capacity, I am providing the opinion required by Section 11-74.4-5(d)(4) of the Tax Increment Allocation Redevelopment Act, 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-1 et seq. (the “Act”), in connection with the submission of the report (the “Report”) in accordance with, and containing the information required by, Section 11-74.4-5(d) of the Act for the Redevelopment Project Area.
Attorneys, past and present, in the Law Department of the City familiar with the requirements of the Act have had general involvement in the proceedings affecting the Redevelopment Project Area, including the preparation of ordinances adopted by the City Council of the City with respect to the following matters: approval of the redevelopment plan and project for the Redevelopment Project Area, designation of the Redevelopment Project Area as a redevelopment project area and adoption of tax increment allocation financing for the Redevelopment Project Area, all in accordance with the then applicable provisions of the Act. Various departments of the City, including, if applicable, the Law Department, Department of Planning and Development, Department of Housing, Department of Finance and Office of Budget and Management, have personnel responsible for and familiar with the activities in the Redevelopment Project Area affecting such Department(s) and with the requirements of the Act in connection therewith. Such personnel are encouraged to seek and obtain, and do seek and obtain, the legal guidance of the Law Department with respect to issues that may arise from time to time regarding the requirements of, and compliance with, the Act.

In my capacity as Corporation Counsel, I have relied on the general knowledge and actions of the appropriately designated and trained staff of the Law Department and other applicable City Departments involved with the activities affecting the Redevelopment Project Area. In addition, I have caused to be examined or reviewed by members of the Law Department of the City the certified audit report, to the extent required to be obtained by Section 11-74.4-5(d)(9) of the Act and submitted as part of the Report, which is required to review compliance with the Act in certain respects, to determine if such audit report contains information that might affect my opinion. I have also caused to be examined or reviewed such other documents and records as were deemed necessary to enable me to render this opinion. Nothing has come to my attention that would result in my need to qualify the opinion hereinafter expressed, subject to the limitations hereinafter set forth, unless and except to the extent set forth in an Exception Schedule attached hereto as Schedule 1.

Based on the foregoing, I am of the opinion that, in all material respects, the City is in compliance with the provisions and requirements of the Act in effect and then applicable at the time actions were taken from time to time with respect to the Redevelopment Project Area.

This opinion is given in an official capacity and not personally and no personal liability shall derive herefrom. Furthermore, the only opinion that is expressed is the opinion specifically set forth herein, and no opinion is implied or should be inferred as to any other matter. Further, this opinion may be relied upon only by the addressees hereof and the Mayor of the City in providing his required certification in connection with the Report, and not by any other party.

Very truly yours,

Mara S. Georges
Corporation Counsel
SCHEDULE 1

(Exception Schedule)

(X)  No Exceptions

( )  Note the following Exceptions:
Note

Reference is made in the first paragraph of Page 2 of the Opinion of Counsel for 2009 Annual Report to the Department of Planning and Development and the Department of Housing. The functions of both of these departments have been consolidated into the Department of Community Development.
(5) ANALYSIS OF SPECIAL TAX ALLOCATION FUND - 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5(d)(5)

Please see attached.
## ROOSEVELT/CICERO REDEVELOPMENT PROJECT AREA
### COMBINED STATEMENT OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE - GOVERNMENTAL FUND
### YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2009

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Revenues</th>
<th>2009</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Property tax</td>
<td>$ 2,592,384</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sales tax</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interest</td>
<td>12,096</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total revenues</strong></td>
<td><strong>2,604,480</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Expenditures</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Costs of studies, admin., and professional services. (q)(1)</td>
<td>50,102</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marketing costs. (q)(1.6)</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Property assembly, demolition, site preparation and environmental site improvement costs. (q)(2)</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Costs of rehabilitation, reconstruction, repair or remodeling and of existing buildings. (q)(3)</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Costs of construction of public works and improvements. (q)(4)</td>
<td>5,382</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cost of job training and retraining. (q)(5)</td>
<td>43,120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Financing costs. (q)(6)</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Approved capital costs of overlapping taxing districts. (q)(7)</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cost of reimbursing school district for their increase costs caused by TIF assisted housing projects (q)(7.5)</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relocation costs. (q)(8)</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Payments in lieu of taxes. (q)(9)</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Costs of job training, retraining advanced vocational or career education provided by other taxing bodies. (q)(10)</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Costs of reimbursing private developers for interest expenses incurred on approved redevelopment projects. (q)(11)(A-E)</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Costs of construction of new housing units for low income and very low income households. (q)(11)(F)</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cost of day care services and operational costs of day care centers. (q)(11.5)</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total expenditures</strong></td>
<td><strong>98,604</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Revenues over expenditures                   | 2,505,876   |
| Fund balance, beginning of year             | 7,208,294   |
| **Fund balance, end of year**               | $ 9,714,170 |

**Fund balance**
- Reserved for debt service: $ -
- Reserved for encumbrances: $ -
- Designated for future redevelopment project costs: $ 9,714,170

**Total fund balance**: $ 9,714,170
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(5) ANALYSIS OF SPECIAL TAX ALLOCATION FUND - 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5(d)(5)
cont.

Below is listed all vendors, including other municipal funds, that were paid in excess of $5,000 during the current reporting year.*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Service</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>City Staff Costs¹</td>
<td>Administration</td>
<td>$44,519</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bigane Paving Co.</td>
<td>Public Improvement</td>
<td>$5,382</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dudek &amp; Bock Spring Mfg.</td>
<td>Job Training</td>
<td>$43,120</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

¹ Costs relate directly to the salaries and fringe benefits of employees working solely on tax increment financing districts.

* This table may include payments for Projects that were undertaken prior to 11/1/1999.
(6) DESCRIPTION OF PROPERTY - 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5(d)(6)

During 2009, the City did not purchase any property in the Project Area.
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(7) STATEMENT OF ACTIVITIES - 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5(d)(7)

(A) Projects implemented in the preceding fiscal year.
(B) A description of the redevelopment activities undertaken.
(C) Agreements entered into by the City with regard to disposition or redevelopment of any property within the Project Area.
(D) Additional information on the use of all Funds received by the Project Area and steps taken by the City to achieve the objectives of the Redevelopment Plan.
(E) Information on contracts that the City's consultants have entered into with parties that have received, or are receiving, payments financed by tax increment revenues produced by the Project Area.
(F) Joint Review Board reports submitted to the City.
(G) Project-by-project review of public and private investment undertaken from 11/1/99 to 12/31/09, and of such investments expected to be undertaken in year 2010; also, a project-by-project ratio of private investment to public investment from 11/1/99 to 12/31/09, and an estimated ratio of such investments as of the completion of each project and as estimated to the completion of the redevelopment project.

SEE TABLES AND/OR DISCUSSIONS ON FOLLOWING PAGES.
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(7)(A) - 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5(d)(7)(A)
During 2009, no projects were implemented.

(7)(B) - 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5(d)(7)(B)
Redevelopment activities undertaken within this Project Area during the year 2009, if any, have been made pursuant to i) the Redevelopment Plan for the Project Area, and ii) any Redevelopment Agreements affecting the Project Area, and are set forth on Table 5 herein by TIF-eligible expenditure category.

(7)(C) - 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5(d)(7)(C)
During 2009, no agreements were entered into with regard to the disposition or redevelopment of any property within the Project Area.
(7)(D) - 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5(d)(7)(D)

The Project Area has received $13,006,102 of property tax and sales tax (if applicable) increment since the creation of the Project Area. These amounts have been used to pay for project costs within the Project Area and for debt service (if applicable). The Project Area’s fund balance as shown on Table 5 represents (on a modified accrual basis) financial resources (including increment) that have not been expended.

(7)(E) - 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5(d)(7)(E)

During 2009, no contracts were entered into by the City’s tax increment advisors or consultants with entities or persons that have received, or are receiving, payments financed by tax increment revenues produced by the Project Area.
(7)(F) - 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5(d)(7)(F)

During 2009, no reports were submitted to the City by the Joint Review Board.

(7)(G) - 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5(d)(7)(G)

During 2009, no public investment was undertaken in the Project Area. As of December 31, 2009, no public investment is estimated to be undertaken for 2010.
(8) DOCUMENTS RELATING TO OBLIGATIONS ISSUED BY THE MUNICIPALITY - 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5(d)(8)(A)

During 2009, there were no obligations issued for the Project Area.
(9) ANALYSIS OF DEBT SERVICE - 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5(d)(8)(B)

During 2009, there were no obligations issued for the Project Area.
(10) CERTIFIED AUDIT REPORTS - 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5(d)(9)

Please see attached.
INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT

The Honorable Richard M. Daley, Mayor
Members of the City Council
City of Chicago, Illinois

We have audited, in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America, the statement of net assets and governmental fund balance sheet of Roosevelt/Cicero Redevelopment Project of the City of Chicago, Illinois as of December 31, 2009, and the related statement of activities and governmental fund revenues, expenditures and changes in fund balance for the year then ended, and have issued our report thereon dated June 7, 2010.

In connection with our audit, nothing came to our attention that caused us to believe that the Project failed to comply with the regulatory provisions in Subsection (q) of Section 11-74.4-3 of the Illinois Tax Increment Allocation Redevelopment Act and Subsection (o) of Section 11-74.6-10 of the Illinois Industrial Jobs Recovery Law as they relate to the eligibility for costs incurred incidental to the implementation of the Roosevelt/Cicero Redevelopment Project of the City of Chicago, Illinois.

This report is intended for the information of the City of Chicago's management. However, this report is a matter of public record, and its distribution is not limited.

Bansley and Kiener, L.L.P.
Certified Public Accountants

June 7, 2010
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(11) GENERAL DESCRIPTION AND MAP

The Roosevelt/Cicero Redevelopment Project Area is generally bounded by Menard Avenue (north of Roosevelt Road) and the Belt Line Railroad/city limits of Chicago on the west (south of Roosevelt Road); Pulaski Road on the east; Cermak Road on the south; and Lexington/ the Eisenhower Expressway on the north. The map below illustrates the location and general boundaries of the Project Area. For precise boundaries, please consult the legal description in the Redevelopment Plan.