The 47th/Ashland Tax Increment Financing Redevelopment Plan and Project Revision #1



City of Chicago • Richard M. Daley, Mayor

September 26, 2001 Revised January 30, 2002

PGA URBAN CONSULTING

with assistance from R. M. CHIN & ASSOCIATES & GOODMAN WILLIAMS GROUP

The 47th/Ashland Tax Increment Financing Redevelopment Plan and Project Revision #1



City of Chicago • Richard M. Daley, Mayor

September 26, 2001 Revised January 30, 2002

PGA URBAN CONSULTING

with assistance from R. M. CHIN & ASSOCIATES & GOODMAN WILLIAMS GROUP

TABLE OF CONTENTS

SECTION

I.	Exe	cutive Summary1-1
	A.	Area Location1-1
	B.	Existing Conditions1-1
	C.	Area Decline
	D.	Redevelopment Plan Purpose1-4
	\mathbf{E} .	Plan Goals & Objectives
	F.	Redevelopment Plan and Project Activities and Costs
	G.	Summary & Conclusions
II.	Area	a Location, Legal Description and Project Boundary2-1
III.	Stat	utory Basis for Tax Increment Financing3-1
	A.	Introduction3-1
	В.	The Redevelopment Plan for the 47th/Ashland
		Tax Increment Financing Redevelopment Project Area3-2
IV.	Rede	evelopment Goals and Objectives4-1
	A.	Goals of the 47 th /Ashland Redevelopment Area4-1
	В.	Redevelopment Objectives4-2
	C.	Development and Design Objectives4-2
V.	Basis	s for Eligibility of the Area & Findings5-1
	A.	Introduction5-1
	В.	Area Background Information5-1
	C.	Investigation and Analysis of Conservation Factors5-4
	D.	Summary of Findings5-5
VI.	Rede	velopment Plan and Project6-1
	A.	Introduction6-1
	B.	Generalized Land Use Plan6-1
	C.	Redevelopment Projects6-3
	D.	Assessment of Financial Impact on Taxing Districts6-7
	E.	Prior Efforts6-10

VII.	Stat	cutory Compliance and Implementation Strategy7-1
	A.	Implementation Strategy
	В.	Most Recent Equalized Assessed Valuation 7.4
	C.	Redevelopment Valuation
	D.	Sources of Funds
	E.	Nature and Term of Obligation and Completion of the
	F.	Redevelopment Plan
		Affirmative Action Plan
	G.	Amending the Redevelopment Plan
	H.	Conformity of the Plan for the Area To Land
	-	Uses Approved by the Planning Commission of the City 7-7
	I.	City Policies
TABI	LES	
	5-1	Tabulation of Existing Land Use5-1
	5-2	Conservation Factors Matrix
	6-1	Estimated Redevelopment Project Costs6-5
MAP		
	Locati	on Map – 47 th /Ashland TIF Area1-2

APPENDIX

Attachment One - Eligibility Study

Attachment Two - Maps and Plan Exhibits

Exhibit A - Boundary Map of TIF Area

Exhibit B – Generalized Existing Land Use Assessment Map

Exhibit C - Generalized Land Use Plan

Exhibit D - Generalized Existing Zoning Map

Exhibit E - Sub-Area Key Map

Exhibit F - Empowerment Zone Map

Exhibit G - Enterprise Zone Map

Exhibit H-1 - Land Acquisition Overview Map

Exhibit H-2 - Land Acquisition By Block And Parcel Identification Number

Exhibit I – Adjacent Redevelopment Areas Map

Attachment Three - Legal Description

Attachment Four - 2000 Estimated EAV by Tax Parcel

Attachment Five - Housing Impact Study



SECTION I - EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

A. Area Location

The 47th/Ashland Redevelopment Project Area (hereafter referred to as the "Area") is located on the southwest side of the City of Chicago ("City"), approximately six miles southwest of downtown Chicago and approximately two miles west of the Dan Ryan Expressway. A location map is provided on the following page indicating the general location of the Area within the City.

The Area is irregularly shaped and is adjacent or in close proximity to several existing redevelopment areas located to the north and east (see Exhibit I, Adjacent Redevelopment Areas Map in Attachment Two of the Appendix). The Area encompasses approximately 325 acres and includes 100 (full and partial) city blocks. The core of the Area generally follows three corridors along Ashland Avenue, 47th and 51st Streets. On the north, along Ashland Avenue, the Area begins at a railroad right-of-way north of 42nd Street and continues southward to 53rd Street. Along 47th Street the Area begins at Racine Avenue on the east and continues westward to a railroad right-of-way west of Hoyne Avenue. Along 51st Street the Area begins at Loomis Boulevard on the east and continues west to the alley west of Damen Avenue. In addition, the Area includes several pockets that extend off of the main spines formed by Ashland Avenue, 47th and 51st Streets. A boundary map of the Area is provided in Appendix, Attachment Two, Exhibit A, Boundary Map of TIF Area and the legal description of the Area is provided in Appendix, Attachment Three, Legal Description.

B. Existing Conditions


The core of the Area consists primarily of older industrial properties and commercial properties located along Ashland Avenue, 47^{th} and 51^{st} Streets (see Appendix, Attachment Two, Exhibit B, Generalized Existing Land Use Assessment Map). Residential uses are scattered along these commercial corridors and constitute the majority of uses within the sections of the Area located adjacent to these commercial corridors. Zoning classifications in the Area include varying industrial, commercial and residential categories as shown on Exhibit D, Generalized Existing Zoning Map of Attachment Two of the Appendix.

Many of the structures and site improvements in the Area are in need of repair as documented in the **Eligibility Study** included as **Appendix**, **Attachment One**. Lack of widespread public and private investment is evidenced by significant needs in the public infrastructure and deterioration of private properties. The Area is further characterized by the following conditions:

- the predominance (92%) of structures that are 35 years or older;¹
- dilapidation (22%);
- obsolescence (66%):
- deterioration of buildings and site improvements (95%);

¹ This is 42% greater than the statutory requirement. Under the Tax Increment Allocation Redevelopment Act, for designation of an area as a Conservation Area, 50% or more of the buildings must be 35 years old or older.

Location Map 47th / Ashland Redevelopment Project A City of Chicago, Illinois



- · illegal use of individual structures (less than 1%);
- · presence of structures below minimum code standards (21%);
- excessive vacancies (20%);
- lack of ventilation, light or sanitary facilities (3%);
- excessive land coverage and overcrowding of structures (65%);
- deleterious land use and layout (53% of sub-areas²);
- lack of community planning (93% of sub-areas²); and
- declining or sub-par Area EAV growth.

In addition, streets contain potholes and cracked surfaces. Sidewalks and curbs exhibited cracked and broken sections. Streetlights were leaning, rusted and broken. Several viaducts exhibited spalling material on wing walls and other concrete surfaces, and several viaducts had inadequate clearances. All of these conditions further hinder efficient industrial and commercial operations of the Area. The conditions and locations of these conditions are further detailed in the Eligibility Study.

C. Area Decline

During the past several decades, the Area has experienced significant decline. In some instances, vacant lots exist that once were occupied with commercial and residential buildings. The building stock is declining and some structures are vacant. The visual character of the Area suffers greatly from vacant storefronts and deteriorated buildings.

Three redevelopment areas have been established to the north and east of the Area: The Stockyards Industrial-Commercial Redevelopment Area, the Stockyards Southeast Quadrant Industrial Redevelopment Area, and the Stockyards Annex Redevelopment Project Area (see Exhibit I, Adjacent Redevelopment Areas Map in Attachment Two of the Appendix). The establishment of these three areas has resulted in an increase in development activity north and east of the Area. The City and the State of Illinois ("State") have also included much of the Area in Enterprise Zone 2 (see Exhibit G, Enterprise Zone Map in Attachment Two of the Appendix) and the U. S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) have included a significant portion of the Area in the Federal Empowerment Zone Program (see Exhibit F, Empowerment Zone Map in Attachment Two of the Appendix). However, these initiatives have not resulted in significant redevelopment activity in the commercial corridors and residential neighborhoods surrounding the former stockyards.

In addition, a portion of the 47th/Ashland Area is located in the southern portion of the Stockyards Industrial Corridor (one of 22 industrial corridors established by the City). Predominant industry types include: food and kindred products, trucking and warehousing, fabricated metals, and chemical products.

Over the decades that the stockyards area was in decline a corresponding decline was occurring in the commercial and residential areas that bordered the stock-

² Sub-Area refers to the Sub-Area key map contained in the Appendix.

yards. This negative secondary effect was a result of the lost jobs and secondary economic impacts that occurred as the stockyards gradually became less of an economic engine. As residents moved elsewhere to find employment the market for businesses and demand for housing also declined. The rebirth of the stockyards over a decade ago (as an economic engine for the south side of Chicago) has not created wide spread positive economic benefits in terms of regenerating the business and housing market that once existed in the Area.

Within the Area, there are conditions that affect the viability of Area businesses. These conditions are:

- · poor street conditions;
- · lack of parking for commercial areas;
- · lack of appropriate transportation facilities and services;
- · blighted conditions; and
- · local resources not meeting training needs of Area businesses.

In addition, the following conditions impact the viability of Area residential properties and residential properties adjacent to the area:

- heavy truck traffic from industrial uses;
- employees of industrial and commercial uses utilizing residential streets for parking;
- · noise from industrial uses;
- deteriorated housing stock; and
- · unscreened industrial yard areas.

The survey and field investigation undertaken for this Plan shows that there is a need to eliminate blighting conditions, address problems associated with vacant buildings and vacant sites, and provide for improved public infrastructure.

D. Redevelopment Plan Purpose

Tax increment financing ("TIF") is permitted by the *Illinois Tax Increment Allocation Redevelopment Act*, 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-1 et seq., as amended (the "Act"). The Act sets forth the requirements and procedures for establishing a redevelopment project area and a redevelopment plan. This 47th/Ashland Tax Increment Financing Redevelopment Plan and Project (hereafter referred to as the "Plan") includes the documentation as to the qualifications of the Area. The purposes of this Plan are to provide an instrument that can be used to guide the correction of Area blighting influences, attract new private development that will produce new employment and tax increment revenues and to stabilize existing development in the Area. This Plan identifies those activities, sources of funds, procedures and various other necessary requirements in order to implement tax increment financing pursuant to the Act.

E. Plan Goals & Objectives

The Plan represents an opportunity for the City to implement a program to expand the tax base of the Area, increase employment, and revive commercial and residential areas. This can be accomplished by utilizing TIF as described in Section III. Initiatives that are part of this TIF program are designed to arrest the spread of blight and decline of the Area. In doing so, the TIF program will help to preserve, retain, redevelop and expand industry and business within an area that has traditionally been industrial and commercial in nature. In addition, the opportunity exists to revive and enhance declining residential areas that were once vibrant neighborhoods but have not reaped the benefits of nearby development activity.

The primary goals of this Plan are to:

- 1. Creation of new commercial development and rehabilitation of existing commercial uses, especially on the facade.
- 2. Creation of new residential uses on vacant land that includes affordable housing units.
- 3. Rehabilitation of existing mixed-use residential/commercial uses.
- 4. Public improvements along major commercial corridors, including beautification efforts.
- 5. Creation of an environment within the Area that will contribute to the health, safety and general welfare of the City and that will generate revenue for the Area.

This Plan will create the mechanism to revitalize this important industrial area and adjacent commercial corridors through the improvement of the physical environment and infrastructure. The City proposes to use TIF, as well as other economic development resources, when available, to address needs in the Area and induce the investment of private capital. The primary corridors of the Area should be maintained as commercial cores that serve adjacent industrial uses and residential neighborhoods. Industrial opportunities also exist within the area to provide additional jobs and an improved tax base for taxing jurisdictions. Revitalization of residential pockets within the Area will help to provide additional housing opportunities for Area residents.

The City recognizes that blighting influences will continue to weaken the Area unless the City itself becomes a leader and a partner with the private sector in the revitalization process. Consequently, the City wishes to encourage private development activity by using TIF as a prime implementation tool to complete various public projects.

F. Redevelopment Plan and Project Activities and Costs

The projects anticipated for the Area may include, but are not limited to:

- · land acquisition;
- · street, alley and sidewalk construction;
- · transportation improvements;
- · utility work;
- property rehabilitation and improvements to various existing properties and streetscapes;
- private developer assistance;
- · site clean-up and preparation;
- marketing and promotion; and
- job training.

The anticipated activities and associated costs are shown in **Table 6-1**, **Estimated Redevelopment Project Costs**, included in Section 6. The total estimated cost for the activities listed in **Table 6-1** are \$25,000,000.

G. Summary & Conclusions

This Plan summarizes the analyses and findings of the consultant's work, which, unless otherwise noted, is the responsibility of PGAV-Urban Consulting ("Consultant"). The City is entitled to rely on the findings and conclusions of this Plan in designating the Area as a redevelopment project area under the Act. The Consultant has prepared this Plan and the related Eligibility Study with the understanding that the City would rely: 1) on the findings and conclusions of the Plan and the related Eligibility Study in proceeding with the designation of the Area and the adoption and implementation of the Plan, and 2) on the fact that the Consultant compiled the necessary information so that the Plan and the related Eligibility Study will comply with the Act.

The study and survey of the Area indicate that the requirements necessary for designation of the Area as a "conservation area" under the Act are present. Therefore, the Area is qualified under the terms of these definitions in the Act. This Plan and the supporting documentation contained in the Eligibility Study indicates that the Area on the whole has not been subject to growth and development through investment by private enterprise, and would not reasonably be anticipated to be developed without the adoption of the Plan.

SECTION II - AREA LOCATION, LEGAL DESCRIPTION AND PROJECT BOUNDARY

The Area is located six miles southwest of downtown Chicago and approximately two miles west of the Dan Ryan Expressway (I-90/94). The Area contains approximately 325 acres and consists of 100 (full and partial) blocks and 1,208 tax parcels.

The Area is irregularly shaped and is adjacent or in close proximity to several existing redevelopment areas located to the north and east. The core of the Area generally follows three corridors along Ashland Avenue, 47th and 51st Streets. On the north, along Ashland Avenue, the Area begins at a railroad right-of-way north of 42nd Street and continues southward to 53rd Street. Along 47th Street the Area begins at Racine Avenue on the east and continues westward to a railroad rightof-way west of Hoyne Avenue. Along 51st Street the Area begins at Loomis Boulevard on the east and continues west to the alley west of Damen Avenue. In addition, the Area includes several pockets that extend off of the main spines formed by Ashland Avenue and 47^{th} and 51^{st} Streets. The northern portion of the Area includes properties along 43rd Street west of Ashland Avenue to Wolcott Avenue. The eastern portion of the Area includes properties located between the Stockyards Redevelopment Area's to the east, Ashland Avenue and 47th Street. The Southern portion of the Area includes residential uses located east of Ashland Avenue in an area located between Loomis Street, Ashland Avenue, 49th Street and 51st Street.

The boundaries of the Area include only those contiguous parcels of real property and improvements substantially benefited by the activities to be undertaken as a part of the Plan. Since the boundaries of the Area include approximately 325 acres of land, the statutory minimum of 1.5 acres is exceeded. The boundaries of the Area are shown on Appendix, Attachment Two, Exhibit A, Boundary Map of TIF Area and the boundaries are described in Appendix, Attachment Three, Legal Description. A listing of the permanent index numbers and the 2000 equalized assessed value for all properties in the Area is included in Appendix, Attachment Four, 2000 Estimated EAV by Tax Parcel.

As indicated on Appendix, Attachment Two, Exhibit A, Boundary Map of TIF Area, the boundaries of the Area cover a large geographic area. These properties represent areas that have failed to benefit from the on-going redevelopment activities associated with the Stockyards area to the north.

Where appropriate, institutional uses have been included in the Area. These uses are adjacent to the declining areas discussed above and in some cases also contain deteriorating conditions. All properties within the Area will benefit from a program that will address the blighted conditions of the Area.

SECTION III - STATUTORY BASIS FOR TAX INCREMENT FINANCING

A. Introduction

In January 1977, Tax Increment Financing (TIF) was made possible by the Illinois General Assembly through passage of the Tax Increment Allocation Redevelopment Act, 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-1 et seq., as amended (the "Act"). The Act provides a means for municipalities, after the approval of a redevelopment plan and project, to redevelop blighted, conservation, or industrial park conservation areas and to finance eligible "redevelopment project costs" with incremental property tax revenues. "Incremental property tax" or "incremental property taxes" are derived from the increase in the current EAV of real property within the redevelopment project area over and above the "certified initial EAV" of such real property. Any increase in EAV is then multiplied by the current tax rate, which results in incremental property taxes. A decline in current EAV does not result in a negative incremental property tax.

To finance redevelopment project costs, a municipality may issue obligations secured by incremental property taxes to be generated within the Area. In addition, a municipality may pledge towards payment of such obligations any part or any combination of the following:

- (a) net revenues of all or part of any redevelopment project;
- (b) taxes levied and collected on any or all property in the municipality;
- (c) the full faith and credit of the municipality;
- (d) a mortgage on part or all of the redevelopment project; or
- (e) any other taxes or anticipated receipts that the municipality may lawfully pledge.

TIF does not generate tax revenues by increasing tax rates. It generates revenues by allowing the municipality to capture, for a specified period, the new revenues produced by the enhanced valuation of properties resulting from the municipality's redevelopment program, improvements and activities, various redevelopment projects, and the reassessment of properties. This increase or "increment" can be used to finance "redevelopment project costs" such as land acquisition, site clearance, building rehabilitation, interest subsidy, construction of public infrastructure, etc. as permitted by the Act.

Under the Act, all taxing districts continue to receive property taxes levied on the initial valuation of properties within the redevelopment project area. Additionally, taxing districts can receive distributions of excess incremental property taxes when annual incremental property taxes received exceed principal and interest obligations for that year and redevelopment project costs necessary to implement

the Plan have been paid. Taxing districts also benefit from the increased property tax base after redevelopment project costs and obligations are paid.

As used herein and in the Act, the term "Redevelopment Project" ("Project") means any public and private development project in furtherance of the objectives of a redevelopment plan. The term "Area" means an area designated by the municipality, which is not less in the aggregate than 1-1/2 acres and in respect to which the municipality has made a finding that there exist conditions which cause the area to be classified as an industrial park conservation area or a blighted area or a conservation area, or a combination of both blighted area and a conservation area. Plan means the comprehensive program of the municipality for development or redevelopment intended by the payment of redevelopment project costs to reduce or eliminate those conditions the existence of which qualified the redevelopment project area for utilization of tax increment financing, and thereby to enhance the tax base of the taxing districts which extend into the redevelopment project area.

The Illinois General Assembly made various findings in adopting the Act:

- 1. That there exists in many municipalities within the State blighted and conservation areas; and
- 2. That the eradication of blighted areas and the treatment and improvement of conservation areas by redevelopment projects are essential to the public interest and welfare.

These findings were made on the basis that the presence of blight, or conditions which lead to blight, are detrimental to the safety, health, welfare and morals of the public.

To ensure that the exercise of these powers is proper and in the public interest, the Act specifies certain requirements that must be met before a municipality can proceed with implementing a redevelopment plan. One of these requirements is that the municipality must demonstrate that a redevelopment project area qualifies for designation. With certain exceptions, an area must qualify generally either as:

- a blighted area (both "improved" and "vacant" or a combination of both); or
- a conservation area; or
- a combination of both blighted areas and conservation areas within the definitions for each set forth in the Act.

The Act offers detailed definitions of the blighting factors used to qualify areas. These definitions were used as the basis for preparing the Eligibility Study.

B. The Redevelopment Plan for the 47th/Ashland Tax Increment Financing Redevelopment Project Area.

As evidenced in the Eligibility Study and summarized herein, the Area as a whole has not been subject to growth and development through private invest-

ment. Furthermore, it is not reasonable to expect that the Area as a whole will be redeveloped without the use of TIF.

This Plan has been formulated in accordance with the provisions of the Act and is intended to guide improvements and activities within the Area in order to stimulate private investment in the Area. The goal of the City, through implementation of this Plan, is that the entire Area be revitalized on a comprehensive and planned basis to ensure that private investment in rehabilitation and new development occurs in the following manner:

- 1. On a coordinated rather than piecemeal basis to insure that land use, access and circulation, parking, public services and urban design are functionally integrated and meet present-day principles and standards;
- 2. On a reasonable, comprehensive and integrated basis to ensure that blighting factors are eliminated; and
- 3. Within a reasonable and defined period so that the Area may contribute productively to the economic vitality of the City.

This Plan sets forth the overall Project which are those public and private activities to be undertaken to accomplish the City's above-stated goal. During implementation of the Project, the City may, from time to time: (i) undertake or cause to be undertaken public improvements and activities; and (ii) enter into redevelopment agreements with private entities to construct, rehabilitate, renovate or restore private improvements on one or several parcels (collectively referred to as "Redevelopment Projects").

Successful implementation of this Plan requires that the City utilize incremental property taxes and other resources in accordance with the Act to stimulate the comprehensive and coordinated development of the Area. Only through the utilization of tax increment financing will the Area develop on a comprehensive and coordinated basis, thereby reducing or eliminating the conditions that have precluded development of the Area by the private sector. The use of incremental property taxes will permit the City to direct, implement and coordinate public improvements and activities to stimulate private investment within the Area.

SECTION IV - REDEVELOPMENT GOALS AND OBJECTIVES

In preparing this document, the Consultant utilized prior planning studies such as, A Plan for Industry in Chicago's South Side, prepared by the City of Chicago, Department of Planning and Development. In addition, data was obtained from the Back of the Yards Neighborhood Council, comments expressed at neighborhood meetings and field investigations by the Consultant. The intent of these efforts was to determine and document information regarding the needs of the Area and proposals for the future.

The Area's boundaries were established after investigation of existing conditions and input by the City of Chicago, Department of Planning and Development, to maximize utilization of development tools created by the Act and its ability to address Area problems.

As a result of these efforts, various goals and objectives have been established for the Area as noted in this section.

A. Goals of the 47th/Ashland Redevelopment Area

Listed below are the general goals adopted by the City for redevelopment of the Area. These goals provide overall focus and direction for this Plan as follows:

- 1. Creation of new commercial development and rehabilitation of existing commercial uses, especially on the facades.
- 2. Creation of new residential uses on vacant land that includes affordable housing units.
- 3. Rehabilitation of existing mixed-use residential/commercial uses.
- 4. Public improvements along major commercial corridors, including beautification efforts.
- 5. Creation of an environment within the Area that will contribute to the health, safety and general welfare of the City and that will generate revenue for the Area.

In addition to these general goals, several items originally identified during the "model industrial corridor" planning process developed by the City are included. These characteristics are stated below as additional goals of this Plan to address industrial uses in the Area and reflect a continuation of the process developed for the "model industrial corridor" program that named a portion of the Area as one of 22 industrial corridors:

- · Improve safety and security;
- Improve accessibility and functionality;
- · Develop a management entity;

- · Improve the appearance and establish an identity; and
- Increase the Area's marketability and competitiveness.

B. Redevelopment Objectives

Listed below are the redevelopment objectives that will guide planning decisions regarding redevelopment within the Area:

- 1. Remove structurally substandard buildings, obsolete building types, deleterious uses, and other blighting influences through acquisition and demolition, or through private and public rehabilitation.
- 2. Assemble current City-owned vacant lots and other land into parcels of appropriate shape and sufficient size to meet contemporary development needs and standards for residential, commercial, institutional, and industrial uses, and street and traffic improvements.
- 3. Create a coherent overall urban design and character, especially along the commercial corridors.
- 4. Provide necessary public improvements and facilities in proper relationship to the projected demand for such facilities and in accordance with modern design standards for such facilities.
- 5. Establish job training, job readiness and other programs permitted in the Act to provide residents from within, and surrounding the Area with the skills and other needs necessary to secure jobs within the Area and adjacent redevelopment project areas.
- 6. Secure commitments from employers located in the Area and any current or future adjacent redevelopment project areas to interview graduates of the Area's job readiness and job training programs.
- 7. Provide opportunities for women-owned and minority-owned businesses.
- 8. Maximize the existing accessibility features of the Area and ensure that it is served by a street system and public transportation facilities that provide safe and convenient access to, and circulation within, the Area.
- 9. Enhance and strengthen the viability of the Area and surrounding neighborhoods through provision of TIF funding for capital improvement projects in the Area and through the use of other redevelopment mechanisms to promote development.

C. Development and Design Objectives

Listed below are the specific development and design objectives which will assist the City in directing and coordinating public and private improvement and investment throughout the Area in order to achieve the general goals and redevelopment objectives for the Area identified previously in this Plan.

The following guidelines are intended to help attract desirable new businesses and employment opportunities, foster a consistent and coordinated development pattern and create an attractive and quality image and identity for the Area.

1. Land Use

- Promote comprehensive, redevelopment of the Area as a planned and cohesive mix of rehabilitated residential neighborhoods, industrial uses, and supporting commercial corridors.
- Provide sites for a range of land uses with primary emphasis on residential uses in residential neighborhoods, retail and commercial service uses along commercial corridors and industrial uses where appropriate. However, the plan recognizes the need for and existence of institutional uses and parks where appropriate.

2. Building and Site Development

- Repair and rehabilitate existing buildings in poor condition, when feasible, and demolish buildings where rehabilitation is not feasible.
- Reuse vacant buildings in serviceable condition for new business, industry or residential uses.
- Preserve and promote buildings with historic and architectural value, where appropriate.
- Ensure that the design of new buildings is compatible with the surrounding building context.
- Promote the use of themed architectural treatments (including lighting, signage and landscaping) around buildings to add visual interest.
- Locate building service and loading areas away from front entrances and major streets where possible.
- Require sufficient space for off street parking for area businesses and industrial uses.

3. <u>Transportation and Infrastructure</u>

- Provide safe and convenient access to and within the Area for trucks, autos, pedestrians and public transportation.
- Improve the street surface conditions, street lighting, curbs, sidewalks and traffic signalization.

- Discourage or eliminate truck traffic through residential areas.
- Consider closing selected street segments to create larger building sites and enhance opportunities for new development.
- · Improve viaduct clearances and the condition of viaduct structures.
- Promote commercial and industrial developments that incorporate transit facilities into their design.
- Upgrade public utilities and infrastructure throughout the Area as required.

4. <u>Urban Design</u>

- Establish a comprehensive streetscape system to guide the design and location of light fixtures, sidewalks, paving materials, landscaping, street furniture and signage throughout the Area.
- Enhance the appearance of the Area by landscaping the major street corridors and repaying deteriorating sidewalks and curbs.
- Provide distinctive design features, including landscaping and signage, at the major entryways into the Area to create a unified identity.
- Clean-up and maintain vacant land, particularly in highly visible locations; where feasible, use vacant lots for permanent, attractive open space, off-street parking or new residential construction where appropriate.
- Eliminate illegal dumping, abandoned vehicles, overgrown weeds and graffiti.
- Discourage proliferation of building and site signage and restrict offpremises advertising to the extent permitted by law.

5. <u>Landscaping and Open Space</u>

- Provide landscaped buffer areas around the periphery of, and within the Area to secure areas and reduce the negative visual impact of commercial and industrial activities on residential neighborhoods.
- Screen active rail tracks with landscaping.
- Ensure that all landscaping and design materials comply with the City of Chicago Landscape Ordinance.
- Design, landscape and light open spaces to promote a high level of security.

SECTION V - BASIS FOR ELIGIBILITY OF THE AREA & FINDINGS

Introduction

To designate a redevelopment project area, according to the requirements of the Act a municipality must find that there exist conditions which cause such project area to be classified as a blighted area, conservation area, combination of blighted and conservation areas, or an industrial park conservation area. The Eligibility Study included as Attachment One of the Appendix provides a comprehensive report documenting all factors required by the Act to make a determination that the Area is eligible under the Act. Following the background information provided below, a summary of the information provided in the Eligibility Study is presented.

B. Area Background Information

1. Existing Land Use and Zoning

A tabulation of the existing land use within the Area is provided below:

Table 5-1 Tabulation of Existing Land Use

Land Use	Land Area Acres	% of Net Land Area ¹	% of Gross Land Area
Industrial	113	49%	35%
Commercial	68	29%	21%
Institutional, Public, Semi-Public	14	6%	4%
Vacant Land	19	8%	6%
Residential	19	8%	6%
Public Right-of-Way	92	N/A	28%
Total	325 Ac.	100%	100%

Net Land Area does not include public right-of-way.

Note: Percentage and acreage figures are approximated due to rounding.

The existing land uses itemized in Table 5-1 show the predominantly industrial nature of the Area (49% of the net land area is industrial). In addition to industry, the Area is home to numerous commercial uses along 47th Street, Ashland Avenue and 51st Street. Commercial uses comprise 29% of the net land area. Residential use comprise 8% of the net land area and are primarily located east of Ashland Avenue between 49th and 51st Street although residential uses are scattered throughout the Area. Several schools (institutional uses) are also located in the Area.

Adequate parking is not provided in the commercial corridors along Ashland Avenue, $47^{\rm th}$ and $51^{\rm st}$ Streets. These older commercial corridors along major transportation routes were constructed with little off-street parking for businesses or upper floor residential uses. In addition, most of the commercial development along these corridors backs up to densely populated residential neighborhoods. Parking is limited because of narrow street frontages for individual businesses, dense commercial development, and nearby densely populated residential side streets.

There are no significant multi-tenant retail shopping centers in the Area; although immediately to the north in the Stockyards Commercial/Industrial Redevelopment Area several commercial "big box" retail uses are present.

Along the flanks of the Area and within some residential pockets, residential uses are in close proximity to industries and businesses. The boundary separating residential and industrial uses is often a local access road or alley. These situations often create conflicts related to traffic generation and use of on-street parking by Area employees and customers. The pockets of residential uses along the major corridors are isolated from surrounding residential neighborhoods by industrial or commercial land uses.

The majority of property within the Area is zoned for industrial and commercial/business uses. Residential zoning primarily covers the portion of the Area east of Ashland and south of 49th Street. (see Exhibit D, Generalized Existing Zoning Map in Attachment Two of the Appendix).

2. Prior Efforts

Prior efforts by the City, Area leaders, residents, businesses and groups have met with limited success and have been limited to minor street and alley improvements, on-going maintenance of public improvements and business retention and development programs. Three redevelopment areas have been established north and east of the Area. However, these efforts and the proximity to adjacent redevelopment activity has not prevented further decline in the Area because of the age, condition and obsolete nature of the commercial and residential building stock of the Area. The City is developing this Plan and Program in an attempt to attract new growth and development.

The City and the State of Illinois ("State") have designated 80% of the Area as a part of Enterprise Zone 2 (see Exhibit G, Enterprise Zone Map in Attachment Two of the Appendix). In addition, the City and the U. S. department of Housing and Urban Development have included 48% of the Area in the Federal Empowerment Zone Program (see Exhibit F, Empowerment Zone Map in Attachment Two of the Appendix). However, these initiatives have not reversed decline in the area. It is anticipated that, in the future, the Enterprise Zone and Empowerment Zone efforts in conjunction with the components of this Plan will greatly assist in addressing Area problems.

The northern portion of the 47th/Ashland Area is located in the southern portion

of the Stockyards Industrial Corridor. This corridor is one of the 22 industrial corridors identified by the City. The Stockyards Industrial Corridor is home to 218 firms employing more than 17,000 workers. The Stockyards Industrial Corridor employs more workers than any other industrial corridor in the City. The portion of the corridor within the Area contains in excess of 20 major industrial firms that employ more than 1,500 workers. The limits of the Area within the Stockyards Industrial Corridor are shown on Exhibit I, Adjacent Redevelopment Areas Map, contained in Attachment Two of the Appendix. Industry types represented in the Area include food and kindred products, trucking and warehousing, fabricated metal products and chemical products. In addition, the commercial corridors along 47th Street, Ashland Avenue and 51st Street are home to approximately 250 commercial businesses that support the adjacent industrial areas and provide employment opportunities and services to residents in surrounding neighborhoods. Business groups such as the Back of the Yards Neighborhood Council and others have continued to work to retain businesses and industries.

However, the commercial corridors and residential sections of the Area still contain many vacant and deteriorated buildings. Over the decades that the stockyards area was in decline a corresponding decline was occurring in the commercial and residential areas that bordered the stockyards. This negative secondary effect was a result of the jobs and secondary economic impacts that were lost as the stockyards gradually became less of an economic engine before its rebirth. As residents moved elsewhere to find employment the market for businesses and demand for housing also declined. The rebirth of the stockyards over a decade ago (as an economic engine for the south side of Chicago) has not created wide spread positive economic benefits in terms of regenerating the business and housing market that once existed in the Area.

The primary reason for this lack of spin off effect is that most of the redevelopment activity that has occurred near the Area in the last several decades was focused on the rebuilding the stockyards as an industrial area. The decline in the housing and commercial market was not addressed. Little was done to address the aging and obsolete commercial buildings (small narrow commercial buildings on narrow lots) within the commercial corridors because the market was not strong enough to attract new retail users due to the loss of population from residential areas. Likewise, as residents moved away, maintenance and upkeep of the aging housing stock also declined. The result of these effects is a commercial building stock that is obsolete in terms of contemporary development requirements and residential neighborhoods that have seen their viability reduced as deteriorated houses and vacant lots appeared in the once vibrant residential neighborhoods of the Area.

3. Historic EAV and Area Decline

Historic Equalized Assessed Values (EAV's) for the Area and the rate of growth for the City of Chicago and the Consumer Price Index (CPI) for All Urban Consumers for the period between 1995 and 2000 were identified in the **Eligibility Study**. In 1995 the EAV of the Area was approximately \$46.8 million. In 2000 the EAV of

the Area was approximately \$53.7 million. These figures represent a \$6.8 million increase in EAV since 1995. However, in four of the last five years the Area experienced rates of growth below the remainder of the City. In addition, in one of the last five years the EAV of the Area grew slower than the Consumer Price Index (CPI) for All Urban Consumers published by the United States Department of Labor. Further, approximately twelve (12) percent of the properties in the Area are delinquent in the payment of 1999 real estate taxes. In addition, 360 building code violations (30% of properties) have been issued on properties since January 1993.

Between January 1996 and June 2001, the building department issued 282 permits. Of this total, 37 were issued for new construction, 88 were issued for demolition, 33 were issued for rehabs to existing buildings, and 124 were issued for repairs.

Of the 37 permits issued for new construction only 8 were issued for construction of new buildings. Of these eight permits, one permit was issued for construction of a single-family residence, five permits for commercial buildings, one permit for an industrial building, and one permit for a school building. The remaining 29 permits for new construction were issued for a variety of site improvements including; garages, fences, etc. Approximately 31% of the permits issued in the last five and one-half years were issued for demolition.

Vacancies of longer than one year exist in some buildings. There is approximately 400,000 square feet of vacant industrial and commercial floor space in the Area. These conditions add significantly to the view that the Area has experienced additional blight and that private market acceptance of portions of the Area is not favorable.

C. Investigation and Analysis of Conservation Factors

In making the determination of eligibility, it is not required that each and every property or building in the Area be blighted or otherwise qualify. It is the Area as a whole that must be determined to be eligible. However, the factors must be reasonably distributed throughout the Area.

The Act sets forth 13 separate blighting factors that are to be used to determine if an area qualifies as a "conservation area". If a combination of 3 or more is found to exist, the Redevelopment Area can be found to qualify as a "conservation area". In addition, there is a threshold that must be met in order for an area to be established as a conservation area. For an area to qualify as a conservation area 50% or more of the structures in the area must have an age of 35 years or more. The Eligibility Study, included as Attachment One in the Appendix, defines all of the terms and the methodology employed by the Consultant in arriving at the conclusions as to eligibility.

In terms of the condition of the Area, much of the Area is in need of redevelopment, rehabilitation and revitalization and is characterized by:

- the predominance (92%) of structures that are 35 years or older;³
- dilapidation (22%);
- obsolescence (66%);
- deterioration of buildings and site improvements (95%);
- illegal use of individual structures (less than 1%);
- presence of structures below minimum code standards (21%);
- excessive vacancies (20%);
- lack of ventilation, light or sanitary facilities (3%);
- excessive land coverage and overcrowding of structures (65%);
- deleterious land use and layout (53% of sub-areas⁴);
- lack of community planning (93% of sub-areas⁴); and
- · declining or sub-par Area EAV growth.

In addition, street surfaces, sidewalks, curbs and site improvements were observed to be in need of reconstruction or replacement and are documented further in the Eligibility Study.

Table 5-2, Conservation Factors Matrix, provided on the following pages, tabulates the condition of buildings in Area. This table indicates that five factors associated with the Area are present to a major extent, five factors are present to a minor extent, and declining or sub-par EAV growth for the entire Area is present and that these factors are present throughout the Area.

D. Summary of Findings

It was determined in the investigation and analysis of blighting factors that the Area qualifies as a conservation area. The Plan includes measures designed to reduce or eliminate the deficiencies that cause the Area to qualify consistent with the strategy of the City for revitalizing other redevelopment project areas and the 22 designated industrial corridors.

The factors noted in the Eligibility Study and summarized above are reasonably distributed throughout the Area. Building code violations, EAV growth rates below those of the City as a whole, delinquent taxes, and vacancies, are evidence that the Area on the whole has not been subject to growth and development through investment by private enterprise and is not reasonably anticipated to be developed without the adoption of this Plan. Age and the requirements of contemporary commercial and industrial tenants have caused portions of the Area and its building stock to become obsolete and may result in further disinvestment in the Area. The loss of businesses from this Area, mirroring the experience of other large urban centers, further documents the trend line and deteriorating conditions of the neighborhood. Closures, abandonment of various properties and demolition of structures are further evidence of declining conditions in the Area, lack of private investment, and little interest in the Area by the private market.

³ This is 42% greater than the statutory requirement. Under the Statute, for designation of an area as a Conservation Area 50% or more of the buildings must be 35 years old or older.

⁴ Sub-Area refers to the Sub-Area key map contained in the Appendix.

PGAV Urban Consulting

Table 5-2 47th/Ashland Redevelopment Project Area Conservation Factors Matrix

						Buildings	Buildings Exhibiting Factors	tore									
		Buildings										Sub Areas E.	Sub Areas Exhibiting Factors				
Sub Area*	Number of Buildings	Number of 16 Years of Buildings Age or More**	Dilapida- tion	Obsoles- cence	Detario	illegal Use of Individual Structures	Presence of Structures Below Min. Code	Excessive Vacancy	Leck of Ventilation, Light or Sanitary Facilities	Excessive Land Coverage and Overcrowding of Structures	Inadequate Utilities	Deleterious Lend Use and Layout	Environmental Clean-up	Lack of Community Planning	Declining or Sub-par Area EAV Growth	Total Number of Blighting Factors	Area Has 2 or More Factors
¥	17	13	-	92	=	0	-	20	6	ä	9						
¥¥	30	98	6.	239	98	0	4	91			a		0	,		10	YES
AB	39	8 6	50	9	35	0	61	2 0	, -	De a	9 6	0	0	`	1	œ	YES
ΥC	34	32	75	=	8	0	10	م ا	- 6	0 6	5 6	,	0	`		10	YES
ΑD	30	30	<u>=</u>	61	30		14	9	0				С	,	I	02	YES
В	2.5	17	9	2.2	12	0	6	9	c	1.3		•	0	0		2	YES
၁	۲-	7.	0	0	2	0	0	0		2 4		0	0	,		æ	YES
Q	2	e	0	2	3	0	0				n i	0	0	`		2	YES
Э	20	50	-	20	20	0	-	†	, ,	•	-	0	0	`	1	5	YES
F	15	15	2	12	13	1			٠,	07	0	,	0	`	I	œ	YES
9	ų	0	0	•	6	•	, e		۵ ,	£ ,	0	`	0	`		œ	YES
H	æ	1	6	œ	∞	-	, -	,	، د	20	0	0	0	`	PRESENT	7	YES
-	12	21	-	12	2	, -	- -	- -	>	7	0	`	0	`		t-	YES
r	50	91	6	<u> </u>	! 9			7	0	12	0	`	0	`		-	YES
×	32	25	0	2	: 8			4	0	50	0	0	0	`	<u>, </u>	9	YES
ı	9	- gg	2	× ×	2 2			2	0	32	0	,	0	`		1	YES
¥	92	36	24	26	S 8			ه (م	0	26	0	`	0	`		æ	YES
z	31	31	6.	=	31	, 0	1 0	° ª	- ·	25	С	0	0	`		æ	YES
0	12	0.5	 	6	6	0	,	: .) ,	F	0	`	0	`	<u>.</u>	æ	YES
<u>a</u>	5	-	0	-	5	- -	, -	3 -	- ·		С	`	0	`		æ	YES
ď	17	91	0	91	92	c	, c	- -	- -	0	c	0	0	0		2	YES
æ	24	5:	7	i i	23	,	, ,	, ,	-	91	С	0	0	`		7	YES
	-					,	-		0	23	0	`	e	`	L.,	6	YES

47th/Ashland TIF Redevelopment Plan and Project

Table 5-2 47th/Ashland Redevelopment Project Area Conservation Factors Matrix

						Building	Buildings Patifician P.										
		ir ii ru					1 Survey	ectors.				Sub Areas E	Sub Areas Exhibiting Factors				
Sub Area•	Number of 16 Years of Buildings Age or Di	Moret	Dilapide- tion	Obsoles- cence	Deterio- ration	illegal Use of Individual Structures	Presence of Structures Below Min. Code Standards	Ricessive Vacancy	Lack of Ventilation, Light or Seattary Facilities	Excessive Land Coverage and Overcrowding of Structures	Insdequate		Environmental Clean-up	Lack of Community Planning	Declining or Sub-par Area EAV Growth	Total Number of Area Has Blighting 8 or More Factors	Area Has for More Factors
o	7	7	0	7	7	-	0	d									
E	572	24	e=	23	24	o	,	5	6	-	0	0	0	`		7	YES
מ	53	7.7	œ	23	23	, _ c	,	, !		23	0	0	0	`		6	YES
Λ	85	37	20	-	38		۶ (۶	<u>s</u> .	0	EX.	0	0	0	`	1	æ	YES
*	45	44	Œ	14	45	0	27	- -	0	0	0	`	0	`	1 200	æ	YES
x	26	23	F.	91	25		2 .	7	٥	-	С	`	0	`	rhesent	6	YES
¥	25	25	¥	=		,	-	20	0	82	0	0	0	`		œ	YES
2	26			=	SZ.	0	6	-	0	12	0	`	0	,			
Total Bldgs.		17	e	22	27	0	4	8	0	15	0	,	-				. E.S.
Or Sub-Areas Exhibiting Factors	899	617	150	440	633	-	137	136	61	435	0	91	0		PDECENT	6	YES
% Total Bidgs.															I MESEN I		YES.
or Sub-Areas Exhibiting Factors	×001	92%	22%	%99	%96 %	Less Than 1%	21%	20%	3%	65%	%	63%	% 0	33%			
Notes:																	

Notes:

*** Age to set a fector Age is a threshold that must be met for an area to qualify. For an area to qualify as a conservation area 50% or more of the structures in the area must have an age of 35 years or more

*** Declaring or Sub-Par EAV included in Total Number of Bighting Factors Present

*** Interests that factor is present

*** Interests that factor is present

*** Interests that factor is present.

*** Section III narrative of Eligibility Skudy for qualification of sits improvements and public infrastructure improvements.

Page 5-7

PGAV Urban Consulting

09.26-01 Revised January 30, 2002

There is approximately 400,000 square feet of vacant floor space throughout the Area. This vacant floor space is primarily located in commercial buildings located along the commercial corridors of the Area. Many of these properties have been available for several years with little interest being expressed by private sector businesses. In addition, some residential structures in the Area exhibit deteriorated conditions and are evidence of the need to improve residential housing stock in the Area.

The City and State have designated a significant portion of the Area as State of Illinois Enterprise Zone and a Federal Empowerment Zone. These designations are in response to the deteriorating conditions in the area, recognition of the significant needs of the Area, and realization that financial incentives are required to attract private investment. In addition, as previously noted, a portion of the Area is included within one of the 22 industrial corridors identified by the City.

The summary table contained on the following page highlights the factors found to exist in the Area that causes the Area to qualify. The summaries provided in this section were based upon data assembled by the Consultant. The conclusions presented in this report are those of the Consultant (see full text of the Eligibility Study in Attachment One of the Appendix).

The conclusion of the Consultant is that the number, degree and distribution of eligibility factors as documented in this report warrant the designation of the Area as a conservation area as set forth in the Act. Although it may be concluded that the mere presence of the stated eligibility factors noted herein may be sufficient to make a finding of qualification as a conservation area, this evaluation was made on the basis that the factors must be present to an extent that would lead reasonable persons to conclude that public intervention is appropriate or necessary. Secondly, the distribution of conservation area eligibility factors throughout the Area must be reasonable so that a sound area is not arbitrarily qualified simply because of proximity to an area that exhibits conservation area factors.

Therefore, it is the conclusion of the Consultant that the Area qualifies as a conservation area to be designated as a redevelopment project area and eligible for Tax Increment Financing under the Act. The local governing body should review this report and, if satisfied with the summary of findings contained herein, adopt a resolution making a finding of a conservation area for the Area, and making this report a part of the public record.

A. <u>Conservation Area Statutory Factors</u>

	FACTOR ¹	EXISTING IN AREA ²
	$ m Age^3$	92% of bldgs. exceed 35 years of age.
1	Dilapidation	Minor Extent
2	Obsolescence	Major Extent
3	Deterioration	Major Extent
4	Illegal use of individual structures	Minor Extent
5	Presence of structures below minimum code standards	Minor Extent
6	Excessive vacancies	Minor Extent
7	Lack of ventilation, light or sanitary facilities	Minor Extent
8	Inadequate utilities	Not Present
9	Excessive land coverage	Major Extent
10	Deleterious land use or layout	Major Extent
11	Environmental clean-up	Not Present
12	Lack of Community Planning	Major Extent
13	Declining or sub-par EAV growth	Present

Notes:

- Only three factors are required by the Act for eligibility. Eleven factors are present in the Area.
- 2 Factors found to exist on more then 50% of the structures or sub-areas in the Area were identified as being found to a major extent. Factors found to exist on less then 50% of the structures or sub-areas in the Area were identified as being found to a minor extent. Five factors were found to exist to a major extent, five were found to exist to a minor extent and declining or sub-par EAV growth for the entire Area was present.
- 3 Age, although not a blighting factor for designation, is a threshold that must be met before an Area can qualify as a Conservation Area.

SECTION VI - REDEVELOPMENT PLAN AND PROJECT

A. Introduction

This section presents the Plan and Project for the Area. Pursuant to the Act, when the finding is made that an area qualifies as a conservation, blighted, combination of conservation and blighted areas, or industrial park conservation area, a redevelopment plan must be prepared. A redevelopment plan is defined in the Act at 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-3 (n) as:

the comprehensive program of the municipality for development or redevelopment intended by the payment of redevelopment project costs to reduce or eliminate those conditions the existence of which qualified the redevelopment project area as a "blighted area" or "conservation area" or combination thereof or "industrial park conservation area", and thereby to enhance the tax bases of the taxing districts which extend into the redevelopment project area.

B. Generalized Land Use Plan

The generalized land use plan for the Area is presented as Exhibit C, Generalized Land Use Plan included in Attachment Two of the Appendix.

The generalized land use plan for the Area will be in effect upon adoption of this Plan. This land use plan is a generalized plan in that it states land use categories and alternative land uses that apply to each block in the Area. Existing land uses that are not consistent with these categories may be permitted to remain. However, TIF assistance will only be provided for those properties in conformity with this generalized land use plan.

The Area should be redeveloped primarily as a planned and cohesive industrial, business and employment center providing sites for a wide range of land uses, including industrial, office, commercial, residential, and institutional uses. The various land uses should be arranged and located to minimize conflicts between neighboring land use activities. The intent of this redevelopment program is also to enhance and support the existing, viable commercial businesses and residential uses in the Area through providing opportunities for financial assistance for expansion and growth where appropriate.

The generalized land use plan highlights opportunities for industrial and business improvement, enhancement and new development within the Area. The generalized land use plan is focused on maintaining and enhancing sound and viable existing businesses, promoting new business development and residential development at selected locations. The generalized land use plan designates six (6) land use categories within the Area as follows:

- i. Residential
- ii. Mixed Use (Residential, Commercial, Industrial, Institutional)

Residential (Justine, Laflin, Bishop and Loomis)

This sub-area generally consists of existing residential uses along Justine, Laflin, and Bishop Streets and Loomis Boulevard. The Generalized Land Use Plan indicates that the property in this sub-area should continue to be used for residential purposes (with the exception of Richards High School which is identified as institutional). The Generalized Land Use Plan reflects underlying zoning that also calls for the sub-area to continue to serve as a residential area. The Generalized Land Use Plan adds the residential component to provide for in-fill residential construction and rehabilitation of residential uses within the Area where they are most appropriate.

It is not the intent of the Generalized Land Use Plan to eliminate non-conforming existing uses. The intent is to prohibit the expansion of certain uses where inappropriate, promote changes in use where appropriate and allow the various subarea's to remain intact so that defined commercial corridors, industrial districts and residential neighborhoods can be maintained. Existing non-conforming uses may remain until such time that they are no longer viable for their current use as determined under other City ordinances governing non-conforming uses or become redeveloped in a manner consistent with this Plan.

C. Redevelopment Projects

To achieve the objectives proposed in the Plan, a number of projects and activities will need to be undertaken. An essential element of the Plan is a combination of private projects, as well as public projects and infrastructure improvements. All redevelopment project activities will be subject to the provisions of the City's ordinances and applicable codes as may be in existence and may be amended from time-to-time. Projects and activities necessary to implement the Plan may include the following:

Private Redevelopment Projects:

Rehabilitation of existing properties including adaptive reuse of certain existing buildings built for one use but proposed for another use. New construction or reconstruction of private buildings at various locations as permitted by the Plan.

2. Public Redevelopment Projects:

Public projects and support activities will be used to induce and complement private investment. These may include, but are not limited to: street improvements, building rehabilitation; land assembly and site preparation; street work; transportation improvement programs and facilities; public utilities (water, sanitary and storm sewer facilities); environmental clean-up; park improvements; school improvements; landscaping; traffic signalization; promotional and improvement programs; signage and lighting, as well as other programs as may be provided by the City and permitted by the Act.

The estimated costs associated with the eligible public redevelopment projects are presented in **Table 6-1**, **Estimated Redevelopment Project Costs** shown on the following page. These projects are necessary to address the needs of the Area identified in this Plan. This estimate includes reasonable or necessary costs incurred or estimated to be incurred in the implementation of this Plan. A description of eligible redevelopment project costs pursuant to the Act is contained in Section VII of this Plan.

The City proposes to achieve its redevelopment goals and objectives for the Area through the use of public financing techniques including, but not limited to, tax increment financing. The City also reserves the right to undertake additional activities and improvements authorized under the Act.

3. Property Assembly:

The City will encourage property acquisition and land assembly by the private sector in accordance with this Plan. To meet the goals and objectives of this Plan, the City may acquire and assemble property throughout the Area. Land assemblage by the City may be by purchase, exchange, donation, lease, eminent domain or through the Tax Reactivation Program and may be for the purpose of; (a) sale, lease or conveyance to private developers; or (b) sale, lease, conveyance or dedication for the construction of public improvements or facilities. Furthermore, the City may require written redevelopment agreements with developers before acquiring any properties. As appropriate, the City may devote acquired property to temporary uses until such property is scheduled for disposition and redevelopment.

Exhibit H-1, Land Acquisition Overview Map, included in Attachment Two of the Appendix, indicates the parcels currently proposed to be acquired for clearance and redevelopment in the Project Area. Exhibit H-2, Land Acquisition By Block And Parcel Identification Number, included in Attachment Two of the Appendix, portrays the acquisition properties in more detail.

In connection with the City exercising its power to acquire real property not currently identified on **Exhibit H-1 or H-2**, including the exercise of the power of eminent domain, under the Act in implementing the Plan, the City will follow its customary procedures of having each such acquisition recommended by the Community Development Commission (or successor commission) and authorized by the City Council of the City. Acquisition of such real property as may be authorized by the City Council does not constitute a change in the nature of this Redevelopment Plan.

TABLE 6-1 Estimated Redevelopment Project Costs

<u>E1</u>	igible Expense	Estin	ated Costs
1.	Analysis, Administration, Studies, Surveys, Legal, Marketing, etc.	\$	1,000,000
2.	Property Assembly including Acquisition, Site Prep and Demolition, Environmental Remediation	\$	8,000,000
3.	Rehabilitation of Existing Buildings, Fixtures and Leasehold Improvements, Affordable Housing Construction and Rehabilitation Costs	\$	7,300,000
4.	Public Works & Improvements, including streets and utilities, parks and open space, public facilities (schools & other public facilities) ¹	\$	3,000,000
5.	Relocation Costs	\$	1,500,000
6.	Job Training, Retraining, Welfare-to-Work	\$	1,000,000
7.	Day Care Services	\$	1,000,000
8.	Interest Subsidy	\$	2,200,000
Tota	al Redevelopment Costs ^{2,3}	\$ 25	5,000,0004

¹This category may also include paying for or reimbursing (i) an elementary, secondary or unit school district's increased costs attributed to assisted housing units, and (ii) capital costs of taxing districts impacted by the redevelopment of the Project Area. As permitted by the Act, to the extent the City by written agreement accepts and approves the same, the City may pay, or reimburse all, or a portion of a taxing district's capital costs resulting from a redevelopment project necessarily incurred or to be incurred within a taxing district in furtherance of the objectives of this Plan.

²Total Redevelopment Project Costs exclude any additional financing costs, including any interest expense, capitalized interest and costs associated with optional redemptions. These costs are subject to prevailing market conditions and are in addition to Total Redevelopment Project Costs.

The amount of the Total Redevelopment Project Costs that can be incurred in the Project Area will be reduced by the amount of redevelopment project costs incurred in contiguous redevelopment project areas, or those separated from the Project Area only by a public right-of-way, that are permitted under the Act to be paid, and are paid, from incremental property taxes generated in the Project Area, but will not be reduced by the amount of redevelopment project costs incurred in the Project Area which are paid from incremental property taxes generated in contiguous redevelopment project areas or those separated from the Project Area only by a public right-of-way.

⁴Increases in estimated Total Redevelopment Project Costs of more than five percent, after adjustment for inflation from the date of the Plan adoption, are subject to the Plan amendment procedures as provided under the Act.

Additional funding from other sources such as federal, state, county, or local grant funds may be utilized to supplement the City's ability to finance Redevelopment Project Costs identified above.

For properties described on Exhibit H-1 and H-2, the acquisition of occupied properties by the City shall commence within four (4) years from the date of the publication of the ordinance approving the Plan. Acquisition shall be deemed to have commenced with the sending of an offer letter. After the expiration of this four-year period, the City may acquire such property pursuant to this Plan under the Act according to its customary procedures as described in the preceding paragraph.

The City may demolish improvements, remove and grade soils and prepare sites with soils and materials suitable for new construction. Acquisition, clearance and demolition will, to the greatest extent possible, be timed to coincide with redevelopment activities so that tax-producing redevelopment closely follows site clearance.

The City may (a) acquire any historic structure (whether a designated City or State landmark or on, or eligible for, nomination to the National Register of Historic Places); (b) demolish any non-historic feature of such structure; and (c) incorporate any historic structure or historic feature into a development on the subject property or adjoining property.

There were two buildings identified in the Chicago Historic Resources Survey (CHRS) as being significant. The Peoples Theater at 1616 W. 47th Street and Goldblatt's Brothers Store at 4700 S. Ashland Avenue may be eligible for listing on the National Register of Historic Places. At the time of this writing, the Goldblatt's Brothers Store had recently reopened and is occupied. However, the Peoples Theater is currently vacant and dilapidated. In addition, several commercial building facades were identified during field investigation as being significant. These building facades are located along Ashland Avenue near the intersection of Ashland and 47th Street.

Relocation assistance may be provided in order to facilitate redevelopment of portions of the Area, and to meet the other City objectives. Businesses or households legally occupying properties to be acquired by the City may be provided with relocation advisory and/or financial assistance as determined by the City. The Housing Impact Study, included as Appendix, Attachment Five contains further details with respect to location and number of residential units that may require relocation and the relocation plan for any residents displaced as a result of this Plan.

In the event that the implementation of the Plan results in the removal of residential housing units in the Project Area occupied by low-income households or very low-income households, or the displacement of low-income households or very low-income households from such residential housing units, such households shall be provided affordable housing and relocation assistance not less than that which would be provided under the federal Uniform Relocation Assistance and Real Property Acquisition Policies Act of 1970 and the regulations thereunder, including the eligibility criteria. Affordable housing may be either existing or newly constructed

housing. The City shall make a good faith effort to ensure that this affordable housing is located in or near the Project Area.

As used in the above paragraph "low-income households", "very low-income households" and "affordable housing" shall have the meanings as set forth in Section 3 of the Illinois Affordable Housing Act, 310 ILCS 64/3. As of the date of this Plan, these statutory terms are defined as follows: (i) "lowincome household" means a single person, family or unrelated persons living together whose adjusted income is more than 50 percent but less than 80 percent of the median income of the area of residence, adjusted for family size, as such adjusted income and median income are determined from time to time by the United States Department of Housing and Urban Development ("HUD") for purposes of Section 8 of the United States Housing Act of 1937; (ii) "very low-income household" means a single person, family or unrelated persons living together whose adjusted income is not more than 50 percent of the median income of the area of residence, adjusted for family size, as so determined by HUD; and (iii) "affordable housing" means residential housing that, so long as the same is occupied by low-income households or very low-income households, requires payment of monthly housing costs, including utilities other than telephone, of no more than 30 percent of the maximum allowable income for such households, as applicable.

As set forth in the Act, if the redevelopment plan for a redevelopment project area would result in the displacement of residents from 10 or more inhabited residential units, or if the redevelopment project area contains 75 or more inhabited residential units and a municipality is unable to certify that no displacement will occur, the municipality must prepare a housing impact study and incorporate the study in the redevelopment project plan.

The Area contains 716 inhabited residential units. The Plan provides the development or redevelopment of several portions of the Area the may contain occupied residential units. As a result, it is possible that by implementation of this Plan, the displacement of residents from 10 or more inhabited residential units could occur.

The results of the housing impact study section are described in a separate report which presents certain factual information required by the Act. The report, prepared by the Consultant, is entitled "The 47th/Ashland Tax Increment Financing Redevelopment Plan and Project Housing Impact Study" and is attached as Appendix, Attachment Five of this Plan.

D. Assessment of Financial Impact on Taxing Districts

In 1994, the Act was amended to require an assessment of any financial impact of the Redevelopment Project Area on, or any increased demand for services from, any taxing district affected by the Redevelopment Plan and a description of any program to address such financial impacts or increased demand. The City intends to monitor development in the Area and with the cooperation of the other affected taxing districts will attempt to ensure that any increased needs are addressed in connection with any particular development.

The following taxing districts presently levy taxes against all properties located within the Area:

Cook County. The County has principal responsibility for the protection of persons and property, the provision of public health services and the maintenance of County highways.

Cook County Forest Preserve District. The Forest Preserve District is responsible for acquisition, restoration and management of lands for the purpose of protecting and preserving open space in the City and County for the education, pleasure and recreation of the public.

Metropolitan Water Reclamation District of Greater Chicago. This district provides the main trunk lines for the collection of wastewater from cities, villages and towns, and for the treatment and disposal thereof.

Chicago Community College District 508. This district is a unit of the State of Illinois' system of public community colleges, whose objective is to meet the educational needs of residents of the City and other students seeking higher education programs and services.

Board of Education of the City of Chicago. General responsibilities of the Board of Education include the provision, maintenance and operations of educational facilities and the provision of educational services for kindergarten through twelfth grade. Hamline School, Chavez Center, Seward School and Richards High School are located within the Area. These schools are identified on Exhibit A, Boundary Map of TIF Area included in Attachment Two of the Appendix.

<u>Chicago Park District.</u> The Park District is responsible for the provision, maintenance and operation of park and recreational facilities throughout the City and for the provision of recreation programs. No public parks are located with in the Area.

Chicago School Finance Authority. The Authority was created in 1980 to exercise oversight and control over the financial affairs of the Board of Education.

<u>City of Chicago.</u> The City is responsible for the provision of a wide range of municipal services, including: police and fire protection; capital improvements and maintenance; water supply and distribution; sanitation service; building, housing and zoning codes, etc.

City of Chicago Library Fund. There are no public libraries located within the boundaries of the Area.

In addition, the following entities have taxing jurisdiction over a portion of the Area:

Southwest Home Equity Assurance Program.

Bridgeport Home Equity Assurance Program (the Bridgeport Home Equity Assurance program is not a functioning agency at this time).

City of Chicago Special Service Area No. 10.

City of Chicago Special Service Area No. 13

The City finds that the financial impact of the Plan and the Area on the taxing districts listed above is not significant. The replacement of vacant and underutilized properties with new development may cause some increased demand for services and/or capital improvements provided by the Metropolitan Water Reclamation District (M.W.R.D.), and fire and police protection as well as sanitary collection, recycling, etc. by the City. It is expected that any increase in demand for sanitary and storm sewage facilities can be adequately handled by existing treatment facilities of the M.W.R.D. Likewise, facilities of the City of Chicago are adequate to handle any increased demands that may occur. In addition, some existing residential development may be replaced by new or expanded commercial or industrial uses and therefore will have an offsetting effect to any new residential development.

The purpose of this Plan is to: (i) revitalize and restore existing industrial, business and residential areas; (ii) assemble tracts of land for new private development; (iii) accomplish a planned program of public improvements to achieve new business and residential in-fill development wherever possible and (iv) address the needs identified herein which cause the Area to qualify for TIF under the Act. Existing built-up areas are proposed to be revitalized and stabilized. This will not result in a need for new facilities or expanded services from area taxing bodies. In addition, the costs presented in **Table 6-1 – Estimated Redevelopment Project Costs**, include capital improvement costs for capital improvements associated with taxing district facilities located within the Area. TIF funds may be used to improve the taxing district facilities listed previously.

The Area represents a very small portion (0.13%) of the total tax base of the City. The EAV of the Area has been growing at a rate below that of the balance of the City of Chicago and CPI in recent years, as previously noted. Hence, the taxing bodies will benefit from a program designed to stabilize the tax base in the Area, check the declining tax revenues that are the result of deteriorated conditions in the Area and attract new growth and development in the future.

It is expected that benefits from new public and private investment in the Area will result in spillover of new development and investment in property, and therefore increased property values, in adjoining neighborhoods of the community. The potential for the realization of this trend is borne out by data that was compiled by

the Illinois Department of Revenue (DOR). In a report from December 10, 1997, the DOR notes that E.A.V. grows at a faster rate (6.7%) in areas outside of TIF boundaries, in communities where TIF's have been created than it does in communities that have not created TIF's, where the E.A.V. grew by only 3.5%. Therefore, DOR's research suggests that establishment of the Area and Plan is likely to also have this spillover effect and will generate additional tax revenue for the City and other local taxing bodies from investment outside its borders.

E. Prior Efforts

A description has been previously given regarding prior plans, studies and activities initiated by the City and others designed to guide the revitalization of the Area. Each of these prior efforts involved area residents, elected officials, businesses and groups such as the Back of the Yards Neighborhood Council. Meetings held in the Area have elicited comments and input from those residing in or doing business in the Area.

Each of the efforts outlined previously have documented the need for continued and broader efforts to address the very significant needs of the Area. The community leaders and businesses point to the need for expanded concerted efforts to:

- Eliminate the blighting factors;
- Redevelop abandoned sites;
- · Reduce crime;
- Improve transportation services, including provision of centralized parking areas, incorporation of vehicular traffic and safety measures and viaduct improvements;
- Initiate employment training programs so as to better prepare the labor force in the Area for employment opportunities;
- Undertake physical improvements to improve the appearance, image and marketability of the Area; and
- Encourage other proposals that can create long-term economic life and stability.

SECTION VII - STATUTORY COMPLIANCE AND IMPLEMENTATION STRATEGY

A. Implementation Strategy

The development and follow through of an implementation strategy is an essential element in achieving the success of this Plan. In order to maximize program efficiency and to take advantage of current developer interest in the Area, and with full consideration of available funds, a phased implementation strategy will be employed.

The City may enter into agreements with public entities or private developers, where deemed appropriate by the City, to facilitate public or private projects. The City may also contract with others to accomplish certain public projects and activities as contained in this Plan.

Costs that may be incurred by the City in implementing this Plan may include, without limitation, project costs and expenses that may be eligible under the Act, as amended from time to time, including those costs that are necessary and related or incidental to those listed below as currently permitted by the Act.

The various redevelopment expenditures that are eligible for payment or reimbursement under the Act are reviewed below. A list of estimated redevelopment project costs that are deemed to be necessary to implement this Plan were previously provided in Section 6, Table 6-1, Estimate Redevelopment Project Costs.

1. Eligible Redevelopment Costs

Redevelopment project costs include the sum total of all reasonable or necessary costs incurred, estimated to be incurred, or incidental to this Plan pursuant to the Act. Such costs may include, without limitation, the following:

- a) Costs of studies, surveys, development of plans and specifications, implementation and administration of the Plan, including but not limited to, staff and professional service costs for architectural, engineering, legal, financial, planning, or other services (excluding lobbying expenses), provided that no charges for professional services are based on a percentage of the tax increment collected;
- b) Costs of marketing sites within the Area to prospective businesses, developers, and investors;
- c) Property assembly costs, including but not limited to, acquisition of land and other property, real or personal, or rights or interests therein, demolition of buildings, site preparation, site improvements that serve as an engineered barrier addressing ground level or below ground environmental contamination, including, but not limited to, parking lots

and other concrete or asphalt barriers, and the clearing and grading of land;

- d) Costs of rehabilitation, reconstruction, or repair or remodeling of existing public or private buildings, fixtures, and leasehold improvements; and the costs of replacing an existing public building if pursuant to the implementation of a redevelopment project the existing public building is to be demolished to use the site for private investment or devoted to a different use requiring private investment and the costs of the construction of public works or improvements subject to the limitations in Section 74.4-3(q)(4) of the Act;
- e) Costs of job training and retraining projects, including the costs of "welfare to work" programs implemented by businesses located within the Area and such proposals that feature a community-based training program which ensures maximum reasonable opportunities for residents of the New City Community Area with particular attention to the needs of those residents who have previously experienced inadequate employment opportunities and development of job-related skills including residents of public and other subsidized housing and people with disabilities;
- f) Financing costs, including but not limited to, all necessary and incidental expenses related to the issuance of obligations and which may include payment of interest on any obligations issued thereunder, including interest accruing during the estimated period of construction of any redevelopment project for which such obligations are issued and for not exceeding thirty-six (36) months following completion and including reasonable reserves related thereto.
- g) To the extent the City, by written agreement, accepts and approves the same, all or a portion of a taxing district's capital costs resulting from the redevelopment project necessarily incurred or to be incurred (consistent with statutory requirements) within the taxing district in furtherance of the objectives of the Plan and Project.
- h) Relocation costs, to the extent that the City determines that relocation costs shall be paid or is required to make payment of relocation costs by federal or state law or by Section 74.4-3(n)(7) of the Act (see "Relocation" section);
- i) Payments in lieu of taxes, as defined in the Act;
- j) Costs of job training, retraining, advanced vocational education or career education, including but not limited to, courses in occupational, semi-technical or technical fields leading directly to employment, incurred by one or more taxing districts, provided that such costs: (i) are related to the establishment and maintenance of additional job training,

advanced vocational education or career education programs for persons employed or to be employed by employers located in a Redevelopment Project Area; (ii) when incurred by a taxing district or taxing districts other than the City, are set forth in a written agreement by or among the City and the taxing district or taxing districts, which agreement describes the program to be undertaken, including but not limited to, the number of employees to be trained, a description of the training and services to be provided, the number and type of positions available or to be available, itemized costs of the program and sources of funds to pay for the same, and the term of the agreement. Such costs include, specifically, the payment by community college districts of costs pursuant to the Public Community College Act 110 ILCS 805/3-37, 805/3-38, 805/3-40 and 8051/3-40.1 and by school districts of costs pursuant to 105 ILCS 5/10-22.20a and 5/10-23.3a:

- k) Interest costs incurred by a redeveloper related to the construction, renovation, or rehabilitation of a redevelopment project provided that:
 - (i) such costs are to be paid directly from the special tax allocation fund established pursuant to the Act;
 - (ii) such payments in any one year may not exceed 30% of the annual interest costs incurred by the redeveloper with regard to the redevelopment project during that year;
 - (iii)if there are not sufficient funds available in the special tax allocation fund to make the payment pursuant to this provision then the amounts so due shall accrue and be payable when sufficient funds are available in the special tax allocation fund;
 - (iv) the total of such interest payments paid pursuant to the Act may not exceed 30% of the total: (i) cost paid or incurred by the redeveloper for the redevelopment project plus (ii) redevelopment project costs excluding any property assembly costs and any relocation costs incurred by the City pursuant to the Act; and
 - (v) the 30% limitation in (ii) and (iv) above may be increased to up to 75% of the interest cost incurred by a redeveloper for the financing of rehabilitated or new housing for low-income households and very low-income households, as defined in Section 3 of the Illinois Affordable Housing Act.
- l) An elementary, secondary, or unit school district's increased costs attributable to assisted housing units as provided in the Act;
- m) Up to 50% of the cost of construction, renovation and/or rehabilitation of all low- and very low-income housing units (for ownership or rental) as defined in Section 3 of the Illinois Affordable Housing Act. If the units are part of a residential redevelopment project that includes units not affordable to low- and very low-income households, only the low- and

very low-income units shall be eligible for this benefit under the Act;

n) The cost of daycare services for children of employees from low-income families working for businesses located within the redevelopment project area and all or a portion of the cost of operation of day care centers established by redevelopment project area businesses to serve employees from low-income families working in businesses located in the redevelopment project area. For the purposes of this paragraph, "low-income families" means families whose annual income does not exceed 80% of the City, county or regional median income as determined from time to time by the United States Department of Housing and Urban Development.

If a special service area has been established pursuant to the Special Service Area Tax Act, 35 ILCS 235/0.01 et seq., then any tax increment revenues derived from the tax imposed pursuant to the Special Service Area Tax Act may be used within the redevelopment project area for the purposes permitted by the Special Service Area Tax Act as well as the purposes permitted by the Act;

 Unless explicitly provided in the Act, the cost of construction of new privately-owned buildings shall not be an eligible redevelopment project costs;

In the event the Act is amended after the date of approval of this Plan by the City Council of Chicago to (a) include new eligible redevelopment project costs, or (b) expand the scope or increase the amount of existing eligible redevelopment project costs (such as, for example, by increasing the amount of incurred interests costs that may be paid under 65 ILCS 5/1-74.4-3(q)(11)), this Plan shall be deemed to incorporate such additional, expanded or increased eligible costs as eligible costs under the Plan, to the extent permitted by the Act. In the event of such amendment(s), the City may add any new eligible redevelopment project costs as a line item in **Table 6-1** or otherwise adjust the line items in **Table 6-1** without amendment to this Plan, to the extent permitted by the Act. In no instance, however, shall such additions or adjustments result in any increase in the total redevelopment project costs without a further amendment to this Plan.

B. Most Recent Equalized Assessed Valuation

The purpose of identifying the most recent equalized assessed valuation ("EAV") of the Area is to provide an estimate of the initial EAV which the Cook County Clerk will certify for the purpose of annually calculating the incremental EAV and incremental property taxes of the Redevelopment Project Area. The 2000 EAV of all taxable parcels in the Redevelopment Project Area is approximately \$53.7 million. This total EAV amount by PIN, is summarized in, 2000 Estimated E.A.V. by Tax Parcel included as Attachment Four in the Appendix. The EAV is subject to verification by the Cook County Clerk. After verification, the final figure shall be certified by the Cook County Clerk, and shall become the Certified Initial EAV from which all incremental property taxes in the Redevelopment Project Area will be cal-

Revised January 30, 2002

culated by Cook County. If the 2001 EAV shall become available prior to the date of the adoption of this Plan by the City Council, the City may update the Redevelopment Plan by replacing the 2000 EAV with the 2001 EAV without further City Council action.

C. Redevelopment Valuation

Contingent on the adoption of this Plan, it is anticipated that several major private developments and/or improvements may occur within the Area. The private redevelopment investment and anticipated growth that will result from redevelopment and rehab activity in this Area is expected to increase the equalized assessed valuation by approximately \$25 million to \$30 million over the 23-year period in which the Plan is in place. This is based, in part, upon an assumption that the undeveloped land will be built upon with new development and that the vacant buildings will be improved and increase in assessed value. These actions will stabilize values in the remainder of the Area and further stimulate rehab and expansion of existing viable businesses.

D. Sources of Funds

Funds necessary to pay for Redevelopment Project Costs and secure municipal obligations issued for such costs are to be derived primarily from Incremental Property Taxes. Other sources of funds which may be used to pay for Redevelopment Project Costs or secure municipal obligations are land disposition proceeds, state and federal grants, investment income, private financing and other legally permissible funds the City may deem appropriate. The City may incur redevelopment project costs which are paid for from funds of the City other than incremental taxes, and the City may then be reimbursed for such costs from incremental taxes. Also, the City may permit the utilization of guarantees, deposits and other forms of security made available by private sector developers. Additionally, the City may utilize revenues, other than State sales tax increment revenues, received under the Act from one redevelopment project area for eligible costs in another redevelopment project area that is either contiguous to, or is separated only by a public right-of-way from, the redevelopment project area from which revenue is received.

The Area is adjacent to two Redevelopment Project Areas an one industrial corridor (see Exhibit I, Adjacent Redevelopment Areas Map, contained in Attachment Two of the Appendix):

- the Stockyards Industrial Corridor;
- the Stockyards Southeast Quadrant Industrial Redevelopment Project Area; and
- Stockyards-Industrial-Commercial Redevelopment Area.

The Area is currently, and may in the future, be contiguous to, or be separated only by a public right-of-way from, other redevelopment project areas created under the Act. The City may utilize net incremental property taxes received from the Area to pay eligible Redevelopment Project Costs, or obligations issued to pay

such costs, in other contiguous redevelopment project areas, or those separated only by a public right-of-way, and vice versa. The amount of revenue from the Area, made available to support such contiguous redevelopment project areas, or those separated only by a public right-of-way, when added to all amounts used to pay eligible Redevelopment Project Costs within the Area, shall not at any time exceed the total Redevelopment Project Costs described in this Plan.

The Area may become contiguous to, or be separated only by a public-right-of-way from, redevelopment project areas created under the Industrial Jobs Recovery Law (65 ILCS 5/11-74.61-1, et seq.). If the City finds that the goals, objectives, and financial success of such contiguous redevelopment project areas, or those separated only by a public right-of-way, are interdependent with those of the Area, the City may determine that it is in the best interests of the City and in furtherance of the purposes of the Plan that net revenues from the Area be made available to support any such redevelopment project areas, and vice versa. The City therefore proposes to utilize net incremental revenues received from the Area to pay eligible Redevelopment Project Costs (which are eligible under the Industrial Jobs Recovery Law referred to above) in any such areas, and vice versa. Such revenues may be transferred or loaned between the Area and such areas. The amount of revenue from the Area so made available, when added to all amounts used to pay eligible Redevelopment Project Costs within the Area or other areas as described in the preceding paragraph, shall not at any time exceed the total Redevelopment Project Costs described in **Table 6-1** of this Plan.

E. Nature and Term of Obligation and Completion of the Redevelopment Plan

The City may issue obligations secured by Incremental Property Taxes pursuant to Section 11-74.4-7 of the Act. To enhance the security of a municipal obligation, the City may pledge its full faith and credit through the issuance of general obligations bonds. Additionally, the City may provide other legally permissible credit enhancements to any obligations issued pursuant to the Act.

The redevelopment project shall be completed, and all obligations issued to finance redevelopment costs shall be retired, no later than December 31 of the year in which the payment to the City treasurer as provided in the Act is to be made with respect to ad valorem taxes levied in the twenty-third calendar year following the year in which the ordinance approving the Area is adopted (i.e., assuming City Council approval of the Area and Plan in 2002), by 2025. Also the final maturity date of any such obligations which are issued may not be later than 20 years from their respective dates of issue. One or more series of obligations may be sold at one or more times in order to implement this Plan. Obligations may be issued on a parity or subordinated basis.

In addition to paying Redevelopment Project Costs, Incremental Property Taxes may be used for the scheduled retirement of obligations, mandatory or optional redemptions, establishment of debt service reserves and bond sinking funds. To the extent that Incremental Property Taxes are not needed for these purposes, and are not otherwise required, pledged, earmarked or otherwise designated for

the payment of Redevelopment Project Costs, any excess Incremental Property Taxes shall then become available for distribution annually to taxing districts having jurisdiction over the Area in the manner provided by the Act.

F. <u>Commitment To Fair Employment Practices and Affirmative Action Plan</u>

The City is committed to and will affirmatively implement the following principles with respect to this Plan:

- 1. The assurance of equal opportunity in all personnel and employment actions with respect to the Redevelopment Project, including but not limited to hiring, training, transfer, promotion, discipline, fringe benefits, salary, employment working conditions, termination, etc., without regard to race, color, religion, sex, age, disability, national origin, ancestry, sexual orientation, martial status, parental status, military discharge status, source of income, or housing status.
- 2. Redevelopers will meet City of Chicago standards for participation of 25 percent Minority Business Enterprises and 5 percent Woman Business Enterprises and the City Resident Construction Worker Employment Requirement as required in redevelopment agreements.
- 3. This commitment to affirmative action and nondiscrimination will ensure that all members of the protected groups are sought out to compete for all job openings and promotional opportunities.
- 4. Redevelopers will meet City standards for any applicable prevailing wage rate as ascertained by the Illinois Department of Labor to all project employees.

The City shall have the right in its sole discretion to exempt certain small businesses, residential property owners and developers from the above. In order to implement these principles, the City will require and promote equal employment practices and affirmative action on the part of itself and its contractors and vendors. In particular, parties engaged by the City will be required to agree to the principles set forth in this section.

G. Amending the Redevelopment Plan

This Plan may be amended in accordance with the provisions of the Act.

H. <u>Conformity of the Plan for the Area To Land Uses Approved by the Planning Commission of the City</u>

This Plan and the Project described herein include the generalized land uses set forth on the Generalized Land Use Plan, as approved by the Chicago Plan Commission prior to the adoption of the Plan by the City of Chicago.

I. City Policies

- 1. The City may incur redevelopment project costs which are paid for from funds of the City other than incremental taxes and the City may then be reimbursed for such costs from incremental taxes.
- 2. The City requires that developers who receive TIF assistance for market rate housing set aside 20% of the units to meet affordability criteria established by the City's Department of Housing. Generally, this means the affordable forsale units should be priced at a level that is affordable to persons earning no more than 120% of the area median income, and affordable rental units should be affordable to persons earning no more than 80% of the area median income.
- 3. The City may enter into redevelopment agreements or intergovernmental agreements with private entities or public entities to construct, rehabilitate, renovate or restore private or public improvements on one or several parcels (collectively referred to as "Redevelopment Projects").
- 4. The City will pursue their overall goal of employment of residents within and surrounding the Area in jobs in the Area and in adjacent redevelopment project areas. In this regard, the following objectives are established to meet the goals of the Plan and Project:
 - i. Establish job readiness and job training programs to provide residents within and surrounding the Area with the skills necessary to secure entry level and permanent jobs in the Area and in adjoining Areas.
 - Secure commitments from employers in the Area and adjacent Areas to interview graduates of the Area's job readiness and job training programs.

The above includes taking appropriate actions to work with Area employers, local community organizations, and residents to provide job readiness and job training programs that meet employers hiring needs.

###

Appendix

Attachment One Eligibility Study

The 47th/Ashland Tax Increment Financing Redevelopment Plan and Project Eligibility Study Revision #1



City of Chicago • Richard M. Daley, Mayor

September 26, 2001 Revised January 30, 2002

PGA URBAN CONSULTING

with assistance from R. M. CHIN & ASSOCIATES, INC. & GOODMAN WILLIAMS GROUP

TABLE OF CONTENTS

SECTION

I.	Intr	oduction	1-1
II.	Bacl	kground Information	2-1
	A.	Location and Size of Area	2-1
	B.	Description of Current Conditions	2-1
III.	Qua	lification of The Area	3-1
	A.	Illinois Tax Increment Allocation Redevelopment Act	3-1
	В.	Survey, Analysis and Distribution of Eligibility Factors	3-3
	C.	Evaluation Procedure	
	D.	Investigation and Analysis of Factors	3-3
	\mathbf{E} .	Eligibility Factors	
	F.	Conclusion of Investigation of Eligibility Factors for	
		the Redevelopment Project Area	3-16
IV.	Sum	mary and Conclusions	4-1
TAB:	LES		
	2-1	Equalized Assessed Value Trends	2-2
	2-2	Tabulation of Existing Land Use	2-5
	3-1	Conservation Factors Matrix	3-4 & 3-5

I. Introduction

PGAV Urban Consulting (the "Consultant") has been retained by the City of Chicago (the "City") to prepare a Tax Increment Redevelopment Plan for the proposed redevelopment project area known as the 47th/Ashland Redevelopment Area (the "Area"). Prior to preparation of the Redevelopment Plan, the Consultant undertook various surveys and investigations of the Area to determine whether the Area qualifies for designation as a tax increment financing district, pursuant to the Illinois Tax Increment Allocation Redevelopment Act, 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-1 et seq., as amended ("Act").

This report summarizes the analyses and findings of the Consultant's work, which is the responsibility of the Consultant. This assignment is the responsibility of PGAV Urban Consulting who has prepared this Eligibility Study with the understanding that the City would rely: 1) on the findings and conclusions of this Eligibility Study in proceeding with the designation of the Area as a redevelopment project area under the Act, and 2) on the fact that PGAV Urban Consulting has obtained the necessary information to conclude that the Area can be designated as a redevelopment project area in compliance with the Act.

Following this introduction, Section II presents background information of the Area including the geographic location, description of current conditions and area data; Section III documents the building condition assessment and qualifications of the Area as a conservation area under the Act. Section IV, Summary and Conclusions, documents the findings of the Eligibility Study.

This Eligibility Study is a part of the overall tax increment redevelopment plan (the "Plan") for the Area. Other portions of the Plan contain information and documentation as required by the Act for a redevelopment plan.

II. BACKGROUND INFORMATION

A. Location and Size of Area

The Area is located six miles southwest of downtown Chicago and approximately two miles west of the Dan Ryan Expressway (I-90/94). The Area contains approximately 325 acres and consists of 100 (full and partial) blocks and 1,208 tax parcels.

The Area is irregularly shaped and is adjacent or in close proximity to several existing redevelopment areas located to the north and east (see Exhibit I, Adjacent Redevelopment Areas Map in Attachment Two of the Appendix of the Plan). The core of the Area generally follows three corridors along Ashland Avenue, 47th and 51st Streets. On the north, along Ashland Avenue, the Area begins at a railroad right-of-way north of 42nd Street and continues southward to 53rd Street. Along 47th Street the Area begins at Racine Avenue on the east and continues westward to a railroad right-of-way west of Hoyne Avenue. Along 51st Street the Area begins at Loomis Boulevard on the east and continues west to the alley west of Damen Avenue. In addition, the Area includes several pockets that extend off of the main spines formed by Ashland Avenue, 47th and 51st Streets.

The boundaries of the Area are described in the Plan, Appendix, Attachment Three - Legal Description and are geographically shown on Plan, Appendix, Attachment Two, Exhibit A - Boundary Map of TIF Area. The existing land uses are identified on Plan, Appendix, Attachment Two, Exhibit B - Generalized Existing Land Use Assessment Map.

B. Description of Current Conditions

Area Decline

Much of the Area is in need of redevelopment, rehabilitation and revitalization. Along Ashland Avenue, 47th and 51st Streets vacant buildings reflect that deteriorating, and in some instances dilapidated, conditions have resulted in numerous commercial and industrial structures being underutilized. In other sections of the Area older structures exhibit deteriorated conditions and are in need of upgrade and improvement. Several other sites in the Area contain trailer storage yards or junkyards that present a highly negative image and are incompatible with surrounding land uses. Commercial uses and streetscapes are deteriorated and in need of upgrade. Several residential pockets also exhibit deteriorated housing stock. These conditions inhibit the ability of Area properties to maintain commercial, industrial, and residential value.

Long-term (more than one year) vacancies exist in some buildings and sections of the Area are vacant and have not generated private development interest. Approximately 8% of the net land area within the Area is vacant and the presence of ap-

09-26-01 Revised January 30, 2002 PGAV Urban Consulting

proximately 400,000 sq. ft. of vacant floor area add significantly to the view that the Area may experience additional evidence of blight and that market acceptance of portions of the Area is not favorable.

Assessed Value and Development Activity

Historic Equalized Assessed Values (EAVs) for the Area and the rate of growth for the City of Chicago and the Consumer Price Index (CPI) for All Urban Consumers for the period between 1995 and 2000 are shown below on Table 2-1 - Equalized Assessed Value Trends. Between 1995 and 2000 the City of Chicago EAV increased from \$30.4 billion to \$40.5 billion. The annual percent change in EAV is indicated below on Table 2-1. In 1995 the EAV of the Area was approximately \$46.8 million. In 2000 the EAV of the Area was approximately \$53.7 million. In four of the last 5 years the Area experienced rates of growth below the remainder of the City. In addition, in one of the last 5 years the EAV of the Area grew slower then the Consumer Price Index (CPI) for All Urban Consumers published by the United States Department of Labor. Further, approximately 12% of the properties in the Area are delinquent in the payment of 1999 real estate taxes and 360 violations have been issued on properties since January 1993 by the City Department of Buildings.

In addition, Between January 1996 and June 2001, the building department issued 282 permits. Of this total, 37 were issued for new construction, 88 were issued for demolition, 33 were issued for rehabs to existing buildings, and 124 were issued for repairs.

Table 2-1 Equalized Assessed Value Trends 1995-2000

Year	Area EAV	Area % Change Over Previous Year	City of Chi- cago % Change Over Previ- ous Year	CPI % Change Over Previ- ous Year ¹	Area EAV Growth Rate Below City	Area EAV Growth Rate Be- low CPI
1995	\$46,835,316	N/A	0.97%	3.16%	N/A	N/A
1996	\$47,031,058	0.42%	1.26%	2.67%	Yes	Yes
1997	\$50,374,813	7.11%	8.40%	2.73%	Yes	
1998	\$51,765,613	2.76%	1.77%	2.04%	No	No No
1999	\$52,953,404	2.29%	4.17%	2.06%		No No
2000	\$53,668,888	1.32%	14.50%	3.20%	Yes Yes	No No

¹ Consumer Price Index for All Urban Consumers (CPI-U) – Chicago-Gary-Kenosha, IL-IN-WI, United States Bureau of Labor Statistics, January 2002.

Of the 37 permits issued for new construction only 8 were issued for construction of new buildings. Of these eight permits, one permit was issued for construction of a single-family residence, five permits for commercial buildings, one permit for an industrial building, and one permit for a school building. The remaining 29 permits for new construction were issued for a variety of site improvements including; garages, fences, etc. Approximately 31% of the permits issued in the last five and one-half years were issued for demolition. Of the 668 buildings and approximately 325 acres in the Area, approximately 92% of the buildings in the Area exceed 35 years of age.

Transportation

Public Transportation

The 47th/Ashland Redevelopment Area is served by several CTA bus routes. These routes include:

- North-South Routes
 - Route 9: Ashland Avenue
 - Route 44: Racine/Wallace
 - Route 48: Damen
 - East-West Routes
 - Route 43: Root Street
 - Route 47: 47th Street
 - Route 51: 51st Street

All of the east/west bus routes intersect the CTA Red Line at the Dan Ryan Expressway approximately two miles to the east. Route 47-47th Street and Route 51-51st Street also connect to the CTA Orange Line to the west. The east/west lines also provide a direct connection to the CTA Green Line further east. The north/south bus routes intersect the CTA Orange Line approximately two miles north of 47th Street at Archer Avenue. No Metra commuter stations are located in the Area. The frequent spacing of CTA bus lines and direct connection service to various CTA train station locations, provides all sections of the Area with reasonable commuter transit alternatives.

Street System

Regional - Access to the regional street system is primarily provided via the Dan Ryan South Expressway (I-90/94). The Stevenson Expressway (I-55) is located approximately two miles to the north. Access to the Dan Ryan South Expressway is provided via interchanges at 51st Street, 43rd Street, and Pershing Avenue.

Local - Arterial streets in the Area generally have one or two travel lanes in each direction and curbside parking lanes. Arterial class streets are signalized at intersections with other arterial and collector streets. Ashland Avenue and 47th Street experience significant volumes of truck traffic and vehicular traffic during all peri-

ods of the day. Access to Area industrial uses east of Ashland Avenue and north of 47th Street is gained primarily along the aforementioned streets via 43rd Street and Racine Avenue. Much of the truck traffic accessing the "Stockyards" Redevelopment Areas to the north and east also utilize these streets.

Viaducts – Several viaducts are located along the I.H.B/G.T.W. Railroad lines that cross the southern portion of the Area. The Ashland Avenue viaduct is marked with a clearance of 14'-6" and is in need of minor repairs due to deteriorated surfaces and walls. The Justine, Laflin, and Loomis viaducts were not marked and appeared to be less than 13'-6" (the minimum standard for use by larger vehicles). All of these viaducts were dilapidated and exhibited spalling, cracked, and damaged surfaces on walls. In addition, the retaining wall that runs along the northern right-of-way line of the I.H.B./G.T.W. rail line between the Ashland Avenue viaduct and the Loomis Avenue viaduct is in need of repair. There are large cracks along the wall and the structure exhibits spalling sections of wall surface.

Internal Traffic Patterns and Parking

Several large users in the northern portion of the Area generate the majority of the industrial traffic. The "Stockyards" complex located north of 47th Street and east of Ashland Avenue generates significant amounts of truck traffic.

Arterial streets have peak-period parking restrictions, which can increase street capacity and improve efficiency. The commercial areas located along 51st Street, 47th Street, and Ashland Avenue have limited on-street parking for patrons and employees. Individual businesses along these streets have narrow street frontage and many buildings cover 100% of the lot thereby preventing any on-site parking. In addition, several sites in the northern portion of the Area are hindered by inadequate access via the existing local streets that often are also utilized for parking by adjacent businesses. In one instance vehicles were being repaired by a local vehicle repair business on Marshfield Avenue in the northern portion of the Area. Along South Packers Avenue the lack of parking for area employees creates a unsafe mix of employee vehicles and large semi-trailer trucks trying to access area industry.

Pedestrian Traffic

Pedestrian traffic in the Area is concentrated along the major arterial streets in the Area. The 47th/Ashland and 51st/Ashland intersections have the largest concentration of pedestrian traffic. Numerous street vendors were observed in these sections of the Area. The higher concentration of pedestrian traffic in these areas is associated with commuters utilizing the CTA bus lines along this route and concentrations of commercial uses. Pedestrian traffic is also associated with schools in the Area. Pedestrian traffic in the vicinity of Area schools is present during the peak periods before and after school hours.

Existing Land Use

A tabulation of land area by land use category is provided below:

Table 2-2
Tabulation of Existing Land Use

Land Use	Land Area Acres	% of Net Land Area ¹	% of Gross Land Area
Industrial	113	49%	35%
Commercial	68	29%	21%
Institutional, Public, Semi-Public	14	6%	4%
Vacant Land	19	8%	6%
Residential	19	8%	6%
Public Right-of-Way	92	N/A	28%
Total	325 Ac.	100%	100%

^{1.} Net Land Area does not include public right-of-way.

Note: Percentage and acreage figures are approximated due to rounding.

At the present time, the existing land uses itemized in **Table 2-2** are predominantly industrial in nature, as 49% of the net area (exclusive of public right-of-way) is industrial. The Area is also home to commercial uses along Ashland Avenue, 47th and 51st Streets. The section of the Area along Ashland Avenue contains predominately commercial land uses with some buildings containing upper floor residential and office uses. Commercial uses account for 29% of the net land area. There are no significant multi-tenant retail shopping centers in the Area.

Residential uses in the Area generally consist of three types of structures:

- Isolated residential structures (single-family and multi-family) located along commercial corridors;
- Upper floor residential units in commercial buildings along commercial corridors; and
- Single-family and multi-family structures located in residential neighborhoods.

There are a total of 716 inhabited residential units in the Area as determined during the field survey of area properties. As set forth in the Act, if a redevelopment plan for the Area results in the displacement of residents from 10 or more inhabited residential units, or if the Area contains 75 or more inhabited residential units and the City is unable to certify that no displacement of residents will occur, the municipality shall prepare a housing impact study and incorporate the study in the feasibility report required by subsection (a) of Section 11-74.4-5 (sic) [Section 11.74-4-4.1] of the

Act. Because 75 or more inhabited residential units are located in the Area, a housing impact study has been prepared and is included as an attachment to the Plan as Appendix, Attachment Five, Housing Impact Study.

Along the main corridors of Ashland Avenue, $47^{\rm th}$ and $51^{\rm st}$ Streets the residential uses consist of widely scattered residential structures and upper floor residential units in commercial buildings. The southern portion of the Area contains the largest concentration of residential structures along Loomis Boulevard, Justine and Laflin Avenues. 6% of the gross land area and 8% of the net land area is residential in the Area. Along the boundaries of the Area residential uses are in close proximity to the commercial corridors of $51^{\rm st}$, $47^{\rm th}$ and $43^{\rm rd}$ Streets and Ashland Avenue. The boundary separating residential and commercial uses is often a local access road or alley. These situations often create conflicts between residential and commercial traffic and noise from industrial operations. Institutional and recreational uses such as public schools and playgrounds are also located in the Area. A more detailed description of residential uses in the Area is provided in the Housing Impact Study prepared for the 47 th/Ashland Redevelopment Area Plan.

Inadequate parking is present along the commercial corridors of Ashland Avenue, 51st and 47th Streets. These older commercial corridors along major transportation routes were constructed with little off-street parking for businesses or second floor residential uses. In addition, nearly all the commercial development along these corridors backs up to densely populated residential neighborhoods. These parking arrangements cause competition for parking between residents, employees and patrons of area businesses who must park on adjacent streets to access the Area. These conditions create a negative shopping environment and can lead to property crime to vehicles and inhibit public safety and security for residents, employees and patrons that must park their vehicles on adjacent streets and walk to their destination.

Zoning Characteristics

The majority of property within the Area is zoned for manufacturing uses. Commercial and business zoning is predominant along 51st and 47th Streets and Ashland Avenue. Several pockets of residential zoning exist in the Area. These zones are primarily associated with institutional uses and the residential uses located in the southern portion of the Area (see Plan, Appendix, Attachment Two, Exhibit E, Generalized Existing Zoning Map).

Historic Structures

There are two structures in the Area listed in the Chicago Historic Resources Survey (CHRS). The Peoples Theater, 1616 W. 47th Street, is a Classical Revival building designed by Rapp and Rapp. The theater was built in 1917. The Goldblatt's Brothers Store, 4700 S. Ashland is a neighborhood department store built in the "Chicago School" style. Both of these structures would be eligible for listing on the National

Register of Historic Places but currently are not listed on the National Register. At the time of this writing, the Goldblatt's Brothers Store had recently reopened and is occupied. However, the Peoples Theater is currently vacant and dilapidated. In addition, several commercial building facades were identified during field investigation as being significant. These building facades are located along Ashland Avenue near the intersection of Ashland and 47th Street. However, many of these buildings and the existing streetscape are in need of repair and improvement.

Prior Redevelopment Efforts

Prior redevelopment efforts by the City, Area leaders and residents, businesses and business groups have been associated with on-going business retention efforts, education efforts and scattered street improvements in the Area. To the north and east of the Area three redevelopment areas have been established (See Exhibit I, Adjacent Redevelopment Areas Map, contained in Attachment Two of the Appendix of the Plan). These Areas are: the Stockyards Industrial-Commercial Redevelopment Area; the Stockyards Southeast Quadrant Industrial Redevelopment Area; and the Stockyards Annex Redevelopment Project Area. The establishment of these three areas has resulted in an increase in development activity north of the Area. However, these initiatives have not resulted in significant redevelopment activity in the Area and decline continues. The City has begun to implement capital improvements for street and alley repair and repaving of some streets near the Area. However, these activities have been limited to residential streets.

The City and the State of Illinois ("State") have also included much of the Area in an Enterprise Zone (See Exhibit G, Enterprise Zone Map, contained in Attachment Two of the Appendix of the Plan). As noted on the map, a significant portion of the Area (approximately 80%) is located in Enterprise Zone 2. In addition, the City and the U. S. Department of Housing and Urban Development have included a significant portion of the Area (approximately 48%) in the Federal Empowerment Zone Program (See Exhibit F, Empowerment Zone Map, contained in Attachment Two of the Appendix of the Plan).

However, these initiatives have not reversed decline throughout much of the Area. It is anticipated that in the future, the Enterprise Zone and Empowerment Zone in conjunction with components of this tax increment finance strategy, will greatly assist in addressing Area problems. Obstacles to efficient business operations for existing Area businesses and industries continue to include:

- poor street maintenance;
- limited street access to some portions of the Area;
- awkward traffic arrangements and limited parking;
- a need to improve transportation facilities and services;
- elimination of blighted conditions; and
- a need to provide improved training programs for area employees and residents.

III. QUALIFICATION OF THE AREA

A. Illinois Tax Increment Allocation Redevelopment Act

The Act authorizes Illinois municipalities to redevelop locally designated deteriorated areas through tax increment financing. In order for an area to qualify as a tax increment financing district, it must first be designated as a blighted area, a conservation area (or a combination of the two) or an industrial park conservation area as defined at 5/11-74.4-3(a) of the Act. Based on the criteria set forth in the Act the Area was determined to qualify as a conservation area. As set forth in the Act a conservation area is:

"conservation area means any improved area within the boundaries of a redevelopment project area located within the territorial limits of the municipality in which 50% or more of the structures in the area have an age of 35 years or more. Such an area is not yet a blighted area but because of a combination of 3 or more of the following factors is detrimental to the public safety, health, morals or welfare and such an area may become a blighted area.

- (1) Dilapidation. An advanced state of disrepair or neglect of necessary repairs to the primary structural components of buildings or improvements in such a combination that a documented building condition analysis determines that major repair is required or the defects are so serious and so extensive that the buildings must be removed.
- (2) Obsolescence. The condition or process of falling into disuse. Structures have become ill-suited for the original use.
- (3) Deterioration. With respect to buildings, defects including, but not limited to, major defects in the secondary building components such as doors, windows, porches, gutters and downspouts, and fascia. With respect to surface improvements, that the condition of roadways, alleys, curbs, gutters, sidewalks, off-street parking, and surface storage areas evidence deterioration, including, but not limited to, surface cracking, crumbling, potholes, depressions, loose paving material, and weeds protruding through paved surfaces.
- (4) Presence of structures below minimum code standards. All structures that do not meet the standards of zoning, subdivision, building, fire, and other governmental codes applicable to property, but not including housing and property maintenance codes.
- (5) Illegal use of individual structures. The use of structures in violation of applicable federal, State, or local laws, exclusive of those applicable to the presence of structures below minimum code standards.
- (6) Excessive vacancies. The presence of buildings that are unoccupied or underutilized and that represent an adverse influence on the area because of the frequency, extent, or duration of the vacancies.
- (7) Lack of ventilation, light or sanitary facilities. The absence of adequate ventilation for light or air circulation in spaces or rooms without windows, or that require the removal of dust, odor, gas, smoke, or other noxious airborne materials. Inadequate natural light and ventilation means the absence or inadequacy of skylights or windows for interior spaces or rooms and improper window sizes and amounts by room area to window area ratios. In-

- adequate sanitary facilities refers to the absence or inadequacy of garbage storage and enclosure, bathroom facilities, hot water and kitchens, and structural inadequacies preventing ingress and egress to and from all rooms and units within a building.
- (8) Inadequate utilities. Underground and overhead utilities such as storm sewers and storm drainage, sanitary sewers, water lines, and gas, telephone, and electrical services that are shown to be inadequate. Inadequate utilities are those that are: (i) of insufficient capacity to serve the uses in the redevelopment project area, (ii) deteriorated, antiquated, obsolete, or in disrepair, or (iii) lacking within the redevelopment project area.
- (9) Excessive land coverage and the overcrowding of structures and community facilities. The over-intensive use of property and the crowding of buildings and accessory facilities onto a site. Examples of problem conditions warranting the designation of an area as one exhibiting excessive land coverage are: the presence of buildings either improperly situated on parcels or located on parcels of inadequate size and shape in relation to present-day standards of development for health and safety and the presence of multiple buildings on a single parcel. For there to be a finding of excessive land coverage, these parcels must exhibit one or more of the following conditions: insufficient provision for light and air within or around buildings, increased threat of spread of fire due to the close proximity of buildings, lack of adequate or proper access to a public right-of-way, lack of reasonably required off-street parking, or inadequate provision for loading and service.
- (10) Deleterious land use or layout. The existence of incompatible land-use relationships, buildings occupied by inappropriate mixed-uses, or uses considered to be noxious, offensive, or unsuitable for the surrounding area.
- (11) Lack of community planning. The proposed redevelopment project area was developed prior to or without the benefit or guidance of a community plan. This means that the development occurred prior to the adoption by the municipality of a comprehensive or other community plan or that the plan was not followed at the time of the area's development. This factor must be documented by evidence of adverse or incompatible land-use relationships, inadequate street layout, improper subdivision, parcels of inadequate shape and size to meet contemporary development standards, or other evidence demonstrating an absence of effective community planning.
- (12) The area has incurred Illinois Environmental Protection Agency or United States Environmental Protection Agency remediation costs for, or a study conducted by an independent consultant recognized as having expertise in environmental remediation has determined a need for, the clean-up of hazardous waste, hazardous substances, or underground storage tanks required by State or federal law, provided that the remediation costs constitute a material impediment to the development or redevelopment project area.
- (13) The total equalized assessed value of the proposed redevelopment project area has declined for 3 of the last 5 calendar years for which information is available or is increasing at an annual rate that is less than the balance of the municipality for 3 of the last 5 calendar years for which information is available or is increasing at an annual rate that is less than the Consumer Price Index for All Urban Consumers published by the United States Department of Labor or successor agency for 3 of the last 5 calendar years for which information is available.

B. Survey, Analysis and Distribution of Eligibility Factors

A parcel-by-parcel analysis of the Area was conducted to identify the presence of eligibility factors (see Conservation Factors Matrix, Table 3-1, contained on the following pages). A form similar to Table 3-1 was used to document the conditions of Area buildings and properties during field surveys. The data from the field survey was consolidated by block area for each of the factors relevant to making a finding of eligibility is present as stated in the tabulations presented in Table 3-1.

C. Evaluation Procedure

Professional senior planners and a registered architect from the staff of PGAV Urban Consulting conducted exterior surveys of observable conditions on all properties, buildings and public and private improvements located in the Area. These inspectors have been trained in TIF survey techniques and have extensive experience in similar undertakings.

The surveys examined not only the condition and use of buildings but also included surveys of streets, sidewalks, curbs, gutters, lighting, vacant land, underutilized land, parking facilities, landscaping, fences and walls, and general maintenance. In addition, an analysis was conducted on existing site coverage, parking and land uses, and their relationship to the surrounding Area. Investigators also researched historic photos and were assisted by information obtained from the City of Chicago and the Back of the Yards Neighborhood Council. The boundary and qualification of the Area was determined by the field investigations, eligibility requirements described in the Act and the needs and deficiencies of the Area.

D. Investigation and Analysis of Factors

In determining whether or not the proposed Area meets the eligibility requirements of the Act, various methods of research were used in addition to the field surveys. The data includes information assembled from the sources below:

- 1. Contacts with local individuals knowledgeable as to Area conditions and history, age of buildings and site improvements, methods of construction, real estate records and related items, and other information related to the Area was used. In addition, aerial photographs, Sidwell block sheets, etc. were also utilized.
- 2. Inspection and research as to the condition of local buildings, streets, utilities, etc.

PGAV Urban Consulting

Table 3-1 47th/Ashland Redevelopment Project Area Conservation Factors Matrix

						Buildings	Buildings Rehibeit										
		Building					I January	e core				Sub Areas Ex	Sub Areas Exhibiting Factors				
Sub Area*	Number of 1 Buildinge	Age or More	Dilapida- tion	Obsoles- cence	Detario- ration	Illegal Use of Individual Structures	Presence of Structures Below Min. Code Standards	Excessive Vacancy	Lack of Ventilation, Light or Saniary Facilities	Exemetve Land Coverage and Overcrowding of Structures	Inadequate Utilities		Environmental Clean-up	Lack of Community Planaine	Declining or Sub-par Area EAV Growth	Total Number of Blighting Factors	Area Has 3 or More Factors
¥	1.7	E2	-	9	7	0	-	1.								F resut	
AA	30	30	3	29	8		- -	6	۶.	91	0	`	0	`		02	YES
AB	£	38	22	9	35	0	4 5	9 0	0 ,	30	0	0	0	,	.	æ	YES
AC	æ	32	15	=	30	0	2 2	7 10	- •	9 6	0	,	0	`	·	01	YES
ΑD	30	30	81	61	30	0	=	9	, e	- :	0	`	0	`	L1	01	YES
В	22	21	g	23	21	0	6		,		0	0	0	0		7	YES
c	7	27	0	0	5	0	0	, -	, ,	2 .	0	0	0	`		æ	YES
D	s	£	-	2	3	0	0	, -	; -	a ,	0	0	0	`		တ	YES
E	20	70	-	20	20	•	. 0			e 6	0	0	0	`		5	YES
ís.	15	15	7	22	22	0	-		,	S	0	•	0	`		æ	YES
9	9	0	0	0	6	e	,		e (13	c	`	0	`	L	æ	YES
н	œ	7	0	20	∞	0	-		9 0	3	0	0	0	`	TENGOLD DE	-	YES
_	71	12	0	21	12	0				7	0	,	0	`\	L L	7	YES
7	20	91	0	19	6	-		, ,		2	0	`	0	`		2	YES
*	35	32	c	32	35	0	2 0	- 0		02	0	0	0	`		9	YES
ı	40	88	2	52	25	0	, -	4 u		25	0	`	0	`		7	YES
Σ	56	52.	7	26	97	0	2 2	2 0	-	56	0	`	0	`		x	YES
z	31	31	6	31	31	0	1 0	, <u>c</u>		25	0	0	0	`		æ	YES
0	12	9	-	6	6	0		2 4		E	0	,	0	`		æ	YES
a.	ιs	-	0	-	5	0	0		0 -	= -	0	,	0	`		x	YES
_o	1.7	91	0	91	91	0	0		- -	a	0	0	0	0		5	YES
~	24	92	1	23	23	0	;	0 6	- -	91	0	0	0	`		7	YES
			-					-		23	0	`	•	`	<u> </u>	6	VES

PGAV Urban Consulting

Eligibility Study 47th/Ashland TIF Redevelopment Plan and Project

47th/Ashland Redevelopment Project Area Conservation Factors Matrix Table 3-1

						Buildings	Buildings Exhibiting Factors	ctors				Sub Areas P.	Sub Areas Prinibilian France				
Sub Area*	Number of Buildings	Buildings Age or D	Dilapida- tion	Obsoles- cence	Deterio- ration	Illegal Use of Individual Structures	Presence of Structures Below Min. Code Standards	Excessive Vacancy	Lack of Vantilation, Light or Sanitary Pacilities	Excessive Land Coverage and Overerowding of Structures	Inadequate		Environmental Clean-up	Lack of Community Planning	Declining or Sub-par Area EAV Growth	Number of Area Has Blighting 3 or More Factors Factors	Ares Has I or More Factors
s	7	7	0	7	7	-	0	0	6		-			,			
Ę	25	24	3	23	24	0	Þ	7	_	. 66	, ,	٥	0	•		7	YES
n	23	2.7	æ	23	73	0	α	01				2	0	`		6	YES
Λ	38	37	20	_	88	0	92	-	0	67	ə (0	0	`		80	YES
*	45	£	82	7	45	c	91				9		0	`	PRESENT	œ	YES
×	3%	56	-		,	,		4		_	0	`	0	`		6.	YES
		i		<u> </u>	CZ	0	7	æ	0	<u>*</u>	0	0	0	`		æ	YFC
λ	25	25	9	=	25	٥	6	4	0	12		,					
2	27	7.7	5	17	27	0	4	6	0	7		\	0 0			5 .	YES
Total Bldgs. Or Sub-Areas											٥		0	`		6	YES
Exhibiting Factors	895	617	150	440	633		137	136	61	435	0	91	0	28	PRESENT	=	YES
% Total Bldgs. or Sub-Areas Exhibiting Factors	%001	.26	22%	×99	96%	Less Than	21%	20%	3%	65%	*0	53%	80	93%			
Notes:																	

Notes:

"Age to note a feator. Age is a threshold that must be marre to qualify. For an arre to qualify as a conservation area 50% or more of the structures in the area must have an age of 35 years or more.

"The present of the structures in the area of Bighting Feators Treent

"Indicates that feator is present

"A indicates that feator is present.

See Section III narrative of Eligibility Study for qualification of sits improvements and public infrastructure improvements.

- 3. On-site field inspection of the proposed Area conditions by experienced property inspectors of the Consultant and others as previously noted. Personnel of the Consultant are trained in techniques and procedures of determining conditions of properties, utilities, streets, etc. and determination of eligibility of designated areas for tax increment financing.
- 4. Use of accepted definitions as provided for in the Act.
- 5. Adherence to basic findings of need as established by the Illinois General Assembly in establishing tax increment financing which became effective on January 10, 1977. These are:
 - i. There exists in many Illinois municipalities areas that are conservation or blighted areas, within the meaning of the TIF statute.
 - ii. The eradication of blighted areas and the treatment of conservation areas by redevelopment projects are essential to the public interest.
 - iii. These findings are made on the basis that the presence of blight or conditions, which lead to blight, is detrimental to the safety, health, welfare and morals of the public.

E. Eligibility Factors

In making the determination of eligibility, each and every property or building in the Area is not required to be blighted or otherwise qualify. It is the Area as a whole that must be determined to be eligible.

The report stated below details conditions that cause the Area to qualify under the Act, as a conservation area, per surveys and research undertaken by the Consultant between May and August 2001:

Age Of Structures

Age, although not one of the 13 factors used to establish a conservation area under the Act, is used as a threshold that an area must meet in order to qualify

Age presumes the existence of problems or limiting conditions resulting from normal and continuous use of structures and exposure to the elements over a period of many years. As a rule, older buildings typically exhibit more problems than buildings constructed in later years because of longer periods of active usage (wear and tear) and the impact of time, temperature and moisture. Additionally, older buildings tend not to be ideally suited for meeting modern-day space and development standards. These typical problematic condi-

tions in older buildings can be the initial indicators that the factors used to qualify the Area may be present.

Summary of Findings Regarding Age:

The Area contains a total of 668 buildings, of which 92%, or 617 buildings are 35 years of age or older as determined by field surveys and local research. In many instances buildings are significantly older than 35 years of age and were constructed late in the 19th century or early in the 20th century. Therefore, the Area meets the threshold requirement for a conservation area in that 50% or more of the structures in the Area exceed 35 years of age.

1. Dilapidation

Dilapidation as a factor is based upon the documented presence and reasonable distribution of buildings and improvements in an advanced state of disrepair. The field survey of buildings in the Area found structures with severely leaning or bowing bearing walls and parapet walls, critical defects in primary structural components such as severely sagging roofs, damaged floor structures and foundations exhibiting major cracks or displacement. 42nd Street, 43rd Street, 49th Street, Marshfield Avenue, Bishop Street, Hoyne Avenue, and Loomis Boulevard all exhibited damaged driving surfaces. In addition, several surface parking lots, sections of fencing associated with commercial and industrial uses, sidewalks, curbs and gutters, and alleyways throughout the Area exhibited paved surfaces in need of reconstruction and site fencing that was damaged or missing.

Summary of Findings Regarding Dilapidation:

Of the 668 buildings in the Area, 150 buildings, or 22%, were found to exhibit buildings in an advanced state of disrepair. In addition, street surfaces, sidewalks, curbs and site improvements were observed to be in need of reconstruction or replacement. They are:

- Street and sidewalk Marshfield Avenue between 43rd Street and 42nd Street;
- Street and sidewalk 42nd Street between Ashland Avenue and Marshfield Avenue;
- Street Loomis between 46th Street and 45th Street;
- Street Bishop Street between 47th Street and 46th Street;
- Street 49th Street between Loomis Boulevard and Ashland Avenue;
- Street 49th Street west of Hoyne Avenue;
- Street Hoyne Avenue between 48th Street and 49th Street;
- · Sidewalk and curb 43rd Street between Ashland and Hermitage;
- Alleys Alleyways throughout the Area are in need of repavement;
- Site fencing properties along 43rd Street west of Paulina Street; and
- Site fencing properties along 47th Street west of Laflin Street;

2. Obsolescence

An obsolete building or improvement is one, which is becoming obsolete or going out of use -- not entirely disused, but gradually becoming so. Thus, obsolescence is the condition or process of falling into disuse. Obsolescence, as a factor, is based upon the documented presence and reasonable distribution of buildings and other site improvements evidencing such obsolescence. Examples include:

- a. Functional Obsolescence: Structures are typically built for specific uses or purposes and their design, location, height and space arrangement are each intended for a specific occupancy at a given time. Buildings are obsolete when they contain characteristics or deficiencies, which limit the use and marketability of such buildings. The characteristics may include loss in value to a property resulting from an inherent deficiency existing from poor design or layout, improper orientation of building on site, etc., which detracts from the overall usefulness or desirability of a property. Obsolescence in such buildings is typically difficult and expensive to correct.
- b. Economic Obsolescence: Economic obsolescence is normally a result of adverse conditions that cause some degree of market rejection, and hence, depreciation in market values. Typically, buildings classified as dilapidated and buildings that contain vacant space are characterized by problem conditions, which may not be economically curable, resulting in net rental losses and/or depreciation in market value.
- c. Obsolete platting: Obsolete platting would include parcels of limited or narrow size and configuration or parcels of irregular size or shape that would be difficult to develop on a planned basis and in a manner compatible with contemporary standards and requirements. Plats that created inadequate right-of-way widths for streets, alleys and other public right-of-ways or which omitted easements for public utilities, should also be considered obsolete.
- d. Obsolete site improvements: Site improvements, including sewer and water lines, public utility lines (gas, electric and telephone), roadways, parking areas, parking structures, sidewalks, curbs and gutters, lighting, etc., may also evidence obsolescence in terms of their relationship to contemporary development standards for such improvements. Factors of this obsolescence may include inadequate utility capacities, outdated designs, etc.

Obsolescence was observed in multiple structures. Economically obsolete buildings prominent in the Area include the vacant grocery store located at S. Bishop and 47th Street and the vacant theatre located on 47th Street. Throughout the Area the lack of on-site parking, vacant storefronts, vacant second and third floor uses and dilapidated or deteriorated building conditions indicate that many of the structures in the Area exhibit some form of obsolescence.

Summary of Findings Regarding Obsolescence:

The field survey of buildings in the Area found that certain buildings exhibit characteristics of obsolescence. Obsolete buildings comprised approximately 66% or 440 of the 668 buildings in the Area. Obsolete site improvements also exist in the Area and also include conditions caused by obsolete construction of streets. Narrow streets or driveways, irregular widths, poor or inadequate turning radii or sight lines and lack of paved surfaces on driveways and service areas exist throughout the Area. Inadequate street widths are evident on Marshfield Avenue north of 43rd Street and 42rd Street west of Ashland Avenue. During certain periods, the activities associated with several commercial and industrial uses in this portion of the Area contribute to periodic traffic congestion that limits access. During these periods, the street system in the vicinity of these operations is so congested that it represents a significant access impediment to fire, ambulance and other emergency vehicles.

3. Deterioration

Deterioration refers to physical deficiencies or disrepair in buildings or site improvements requiring treatment or repair. Conditions, which are not easily correctable in the course of normal maintenance, were classified as deteriorated. Such buildings may be classified as deteriorating or in an advanced stage of deterioration, depending upon the degree or extent of defects.

Buildings with major defects in the secondary building components (i.e., damaged doors and door frames, broken windows, window frames and mullins, porches in need of material replacement, gutters and downspouts damaged or missing, weathered fascia materials, cracks in masonry walls, spalling masonry surfaces, etc.) were observed in the Area. Many of the commercial structures located along the major streets of the Area exhibited these conditions. In addition, roadways, alleys, curbs, gutters, sidewalks, offstreet parking and surface storage areas also evidenced deterioration such as; surface cracking, crumbling, potholes, depressions, loose paving materials, weeds protruding through the surface, etc. Sidewalks and curbs along Ashland Avenue, 43rd, 47th, and 49th Streets exhibited deterioration. Site fencing on many larger commercial and industrial lots was rusting and required repair to individual posts or sections of fencing. In some instances parking areas for vacant properties were being used for trailer storage. In these instances it was evident that the lots and in some cases adjacent streets were

not designed for such use and were exhibiting cracks and other deteriorated conditions as a result of heavy truck traffic.

Summary of Findings Regarding Deterioration:

Throughout the Area, deteriorating conditions were recorded on approximately 95% or 633 of the 668 buildings in the Area. The exterior field survey of main buildings in the Area found structures with major defects in the secondary structural components, including windows, doors, gutters, downspouts, porches, chimneys, fascia materials, parapet walls, etc. Deterioration of site improvements and public improvements was also observed. Therefore, deterioration was found to be present in the Area.

4. Presence of Structures Below Minimum Code Standards

Structures below minimum code standards include all structures that do not meet the standards of zoning, subdivision, State building laws and regulations. The principal purposes of such codes are to require buildings to be constructed in such a way as to sustain safety of loads expected from various types of occupancy, to be safe for occupancy against fire and similar hazards, and/or establish minimum standards essential for safe and sanitary habitation. Structures below minimum code are characterized by defects or deficiencies that presume to threaten health and safety.

Summary of Findings Regarding Presence of Structures Below Minimum Code Standards;

Considering the age of buildings in the Area, it is certain that many of the buildings are below the minimum code standards currently in force by the City of Chicago. However, in order to substantiate these conditions both interior and exterior inspections of the properties would be required. Based on clearly observable conditions evident from exterior inspection, throughout the Area, structures below minimum code were recorded in 21% or 137 of the 668 buildings in the Area. The exterior field survey of main buildings in the Area found structures not in conformance with local zoning codes and structures not safe for occupancy because of fire and similar hazards.

In addition, on several Area properties, garbage, trash, discarded tires and abandoned vehicles were observed. Trash and debris from drive-by dumping is illegal and promotes unsanitary or unhealthy conditions. Old tires can collect water and promote mosquito breeding. The presence of open air dumping of trash creates conditions that promote the presence of disease carrying insects and vermin.

5. Illegal Use of Individual Structures

This factor applies to the use of structures in violation of applicable national, state or local laws. Examples of illegal uses may include, but not be limited to, the following:

- a. illegal home occupations;
- b. conduct of any illegal vice activities such as gambling or drug manufacture;
- uses not in conformance with local zoning codes and not previously grand fathered in as legal nonconforming uses;
- d. uses involving manufacture, sale, storage or use of dangerous explosives and firearms.

Summary of Findings Regarding Illegal Use of Individual Structures: This factor was documented in one instance in the Area with respect to structures. However, several vagrants were observed in one of the yard areas used for trailer storage. The individuals were using a semi-trailer for shelter and habitation. This condition is extremely unhealthy, unsafe and illegal.

6. Excessive Vacancies

Establishing the presence of this factor requires the documenting of the presence of vacant buildings which are unoccupied or underutilized and which represent an adverse influence on the Area because of the frequency, extent, or duration of such vacancies. It includes properties which evidence no apparent effort directed toward occupancy or utilization and partial vacancies.

During the field investigation of the Area a total of 130 buildings were observed to contain vacant floor space. Based on City of Chicago maps that indicate building footprints it was estimated that approximately 400,000 square feet of floor space was vacant. Based on the condition of some of the vacant floor space (boarded up windows, deteriorated interior finishes, lack of lighting, outdated signage, etc.) it is evident that much of this floor space has been vacant for an extended period of time.

Summary of Findings Regarding Excessive Vacancies:

The field investigation indicates that 136 buildings, approximately 20% of the total 668 buildings, have vacant floor space. There is approximately 400,000 sq. ft. of vacant floor space (ground floor and upper floors) in the Area. This space is primarily located in commercial buildings along the primary corridors of the Area.

7. Lack of Ventilation, Light or Sanitary Facilities

Many older structures fail to provide adequate ventilation, light or sanitary facilities. This is also a characteristic often found in illegal or improper building conversions and in commercial buildings converted to residential usage. Lack of ventilation, light or sanitary facilities is presumed to adversely affect the health and building occupants (i.e., residents, employees or visitors).

Summary of Findings Regarding Lack of Ventilation, Light or Sanitary Facilities:

The exterior field survey of main buildings in the Area found structures without adequate mechanical ventilation, natural light and proper window area ratios in the Area. Structures exhibiting a lack of ventilation, light or sanitary facilities were recorded in approximately 3% or 19 of the 668 main buildings.

8. Inadequate Utilities

Inadequate utilities refers to deficiencies in the capacity or condition of utilities which service a property or area, including, but not limited to, storm drainage, water supply, electrical power, sanitary sewers, gas and electricity.

Summary of Findings Regarding Inadequate Utilities: No evidence of this factor was documented in the Area.

9. Excessive Land Coverage and Overcrowding of Structures and Community Facilities

This factor may be documented by showing instances where building coverage is excessive. Excessive coverage refers to the over-intensive use of property and the crowding of buildings and accessory facilities onto a site. Problem conditions include buildings either improperly situated on the parcel or located on parcels of inadequate size and/or shape in relation to present-day standards of development for health and safety; and multiple buildings on a single parcel. The resulting inadequate conditions include such factors as insufficient provision for light and air, increased threat of fire due to close proximity to nearby buildings, lack of adequate or proper access to a public right-of-way, lack of required off-street parking, and inadequate provision for loading or service. Excessive land coverage has an adverse or blighting effect on nearby development because problems associated with lack of parking or loading areas impact adjoining properties.

Summary of Findings Regarding Excessive Land Coverage and Overcrowding of Structures and Community Facilities:

Structures exhibiting 100% lot coverage with party or fire walls separating one structure from the next is a historical fact of high-density urban development. This situation is common throughout the 47th/Ashland Redevelopment Area.

Numerous commercial and industrial businesses are located in structures that cover 100% of their respective lots. Other businesses are utilizing 100% of their lots for business operations. These conditions typically do not allow for off-street loading facilities for shipping operations or do not provide parking for patrons and employees. This has prompted overflow parking and truck traffic associated with normal business operations to utilize surrounding residential areas for parking and access. In some instances cars were illegally parked across sidewalks and on top of curbs along the narrow local streets that flank industrial portions of the Area. In addition, delivery trucks were observed to be blocking alleys and streets while performing normal delivery operations or accessing shipping facilities. Excessive land coverage and overcrowding of structures and community facilities was exhibited on 65% or 435 of the 668 structures in the Area.

10. Deleterious Land Use or Layout

Deleterious land uses include all instances of incompatible land-use relationships, buildings occupied by inappropriate mixed uses, or uses which may be considered noxious, offensive or environmentally unsuitable.

Summary of Findings Regarding Deleterious Land Use or Layout:

In an area such as the 47th/Ashland Redevelopment Area where its character has evolved over the years, industrial, commercial and residential uses are often in close proximity to one another. It is not unusual to find small pockets or isolated residential buildings within a predominantly industrial area or an industrial use in a residential area. Although these areas may be excepted by virtue of age and continuous occupancy as legal non-conforming uses (whose existence and use is thereby "grand fathered"), they are, nonetheless, incompatible land uses inasmuch as the character of the Area is negatively influenced by these differing uses. As noted previously, 49% of the net acreage of the Area (minus streets and public right-of-way) is used for industrial purposes and 29% is used for commercial purposes. The combination of limited on-site parking and high density industrial, commercial and residential development in close proximity causes conflicts in traffic, parking and environmental conditions that has promoted deleterious use of land in some portions of the Area. Twenty-nine instances of deleterious land use and layout were observed in the Area. Deleterious land uses and land use relationships were located within, approximately 53% or 16 of the 30 sub-areas identified on Exhibit E - Sub-Area Key Map, included in Appendix, Attachment Two of the Plan

11. Lack of Community Planning

This may be counted as a factor if the Area developed prior to or without the benefit or guidance of a community plan. This means that no community plan existed, was considered inadequate, and/or was virtually ignored during the time of the area's development. Indications of a lack of community planning include:

- 1. Streets in the industrial and commercial areas that are too narrow to accommodate truck movements.
- 2. Street intersections that do not conform to modern traffic engineering standards and practices.
- 3. One-way street systems that exist with little regard for overall systematic traffic planning.
- 4. Street parking existing on streets that are too narrow to accommodate two-way traffic and street parking.
- 5. Viaducts that are lower than minimum height requirements creating truck clearance problems.
- 6. Some larger tracts of land suffer from improper platting that has led to some parcels having awkward configuration and/or unusual dimensions for their use.
- 7. Some properties in the Area do not enjoy good access to public streets.
- 8. Some pockets of residential land use and residential zoning exist that present incompatible relationships in areas with a heavy industrial environment.
- 9. Numerous commercial/industrial properties exist that are too small to adequately accommodate appropriate off-street parking and loading requirements.

Summary of Findings Regarding Lack of Community Planning: The field investigation indicates that 96 instances of a lack of community planning were observed in the Area with respect to improved property. Lack of Community Planning was observed within 93% or 28 of the 30 sub-areas identified on Exhibit E – Sub-Area Key Map. In addition, conditions exist that indicate community planning has been inadequate with respect to public improvements:

- Along the IHB Railroad right-of-way that bisects the southern portion of the Area several viaducts are not posted with clearance heights. In most cases these viaducts do not meet the minimum clearance standards of 13' 6". Viaducts that do not meet the minimum clearance of 13' 6" are located at the Justines, Laflin, and Loomis Avenue crossings in the southern portion of the Area.

12. Environmental Remediation Costs

If an Area has incurred Illinois Environmental Protection Agency or United States Environmental Protection Agency remediation costs for, or a study conducted by an independent consultant recognized as having expertise in environmental remediation has determined a need for, the clean-up of hazardous waste, hazardous substances, or underground storage tanks required by State or federal law, provided that the remediation costs constitute a material impediment to the development or redevelopment project area then this factor may be counted.

Summary of Findings Regarding Environmental Remediation Costs: Investigation did not indicate the presence of this factor.

13. Declining or Lagging Rate of Growth of Total Equalized Assessed Valuation

If the total equalized assessed value of the proposed redevelopment project area has declined for 3 of the last 5 calendar years for which information is available or is increasing at an annual rate that is less than the balance of the municipality for 3 of the last 5 calendar years for which information is available or is increasing at an annual rate that is less than the Consumer Price Index for All Urban Consumers published by the United States Department of Labor or successor agency for 3 of the last 5 calendar years for which information is available then this factor may be counted.

Summary of Findings Regarding Declining or Lagging Rate of Growth of Total Equalized Assessed Valuation:

Investigation of historic EAV indicated the presence of this factor. The EAV of the Area has grown slower than the balance of the City of Chicago in 4 of the last 5 calendar years. In addition, the EAV of the Area has grown slower then the Consumer Price Index (CPI) for All Urban Consumers in 1 of the last 5 calendar years.

F. Conclusion of Investigation of Eligibility Factors for the Redevelopment Project Area

Vacancies, deteriorated, dilapidated and obsolete structures and site improvements are evidence of the declining conditions in the Area. These conditions also underscore the lack of private investment and rejection of the Area by the private market.

The City and the State of Illinois have designated 80% of the Area as a State of Illinois Enterprise Zone (Zone No. 2) and 48% of the Area as a Federal Empowerment Zone. In addition, a portion of the Area is also included in one of the 22 industrial corridors (Stockyards) identified in a previously prepared study by the City ("A Plan For Industry in Chicago's South Side"). These designations are in further response to the deteriorating conditions in the area, recognition of the significant needs, and realization that financial incentives are required to attract private investment to this section of the City.

The tax increment program and redevelopment plan include measures designed to reduce or eliminate the deficiencies which cause the Area to qualify as a conservation area consistent with the strategy of the City of Chicago for revitalizing other designated redevelopment areas and industrial corridors. As documented in this investigation and analysis it is clear that the Area is impacted by a number of eligibility factors. The presence of these factors qualifies the Area as a conservation area.

IV. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

The conclusions of PGAV Urban Consulting is that the number, degree and distribution of eligibility factors in the Area as documented in this Eligibility Study warrant the designation of the Area as a conservation area as set forth in the Act. Below is a summary table highlighting the factors found to exist in the Area which cause it to qualify as a conservation area.

A. <u>Conservation Area Statutory Factors</u>

	FACTOR ¹	EXISTING IN AREA2
	Age ³	92% of bldgs. exceed 35 years of age.
1	Dilapidation	Minor Extent
2	Obsolescence	Major Extent
3	Deterioration	Major Extent
4	Illegal use of individual structures	Minor Extent
5	Presence of structures below minimum code standards	Minor Extent
6	Excessive vacancies	Minor Extent
7	Lack of ventilation, light or sanitary facilities	Minor Extent
8	Inadequate utilities	Not Present
9	Excessive land coverage	Major Extent
10	Deleterious land use or layout	Major Extent
11	Environmental clean-up	Not Present
12	Lack of Community Planning	Major Extent
13	Declining or sub-par EAV growth	Present

Notes:

- Only three factors are required by the Act for eligibility. Eleven factors are present in the Area.
- 2 Factors found to exist on more then 50% of the structures or sub-areas in the Area were identified as being found to a major extent. Factors found to exist on less then 50% of the structures or sub-areas in the Area were identified as being found to a minor extent. Five factors were found to exist to a major extent, five were found to exist to a minor extent, and declining or sub-par EAV growth for the entire Area was present.
- 3 Age, although not a blighting factor for designation, is a threshold that must be met before an Area can qualify as a Conservation Area.

Although it may be concluded that the mere presence of the stated eligibility factors noted above may be sufficient to make a finding of qualification as a conservation area, this evaluation was made on the basis that the factors must be present to an extent that would lead reasonable persons to conclude that public intervention is

appropriate or necessary. From the data presented in this report it is clear that the eligibility factors are reasonably distributed throughout the Area.

The Area on the whole has not been subject to growth and investment by private enterprise and is not expected to do so without the adoption of the Plan. Few new buildings have been built in the recent past and 20% of the buildings in the Area contain vacancy of floor space. Age and the requirements of contemporary commercial and industrial tenants have caused portions of the Area and its building stock to become obsolete and may result in further disinvestments that will not be overcome without action by the City. These conditions have been previously documented in this report.

The conclusions presented in this Eligibility Study are those of the Consultant. The local governing body should review this Eligibility Study and, if satisfied with the summary of findings contained herein, adopt a resolution making a finding of a conservation area and making this Eligibility Study a part of the public record.

The analysis contained herein was based upon data assembled by PGAV Urban Consulting. The study and survey of the Area indicate that requirements necessary for designation as a conservation area are present. Therefore, the Area qualifies as a conservation area to be designated as a redevelopment project area and eligible for Tax Increment Financing under the Act.

###


Attachment Two Maps and Plan Exhibits

Boundary Map of TIF Area 47th / Ashland Redevelopment Area City of Chicago, Illinois

LEGEND


SEPTEMBER 5, 2001

Boundary of the 47th / Ashland Tax Increment Radevelopment Project Area







PGA Urban Consulting




Generalized Existing Land Use Assessment Map 47th / Ashland Redevelopment Area City of Chicago, Illinois



Generalized Land Use Plan 47th / Ashland Redevelopment Area City of Chicago, Illinois



Generalized Existing Zoning Map 47th / Ashland Redevelopment Area



LEGEND

Boundary of the 47th / Ashland
Tax Increment Redevelopment Project Area

AC Sub-Area Identification

43RD С D 51ST

LEGEND

State Empowerment Zone


SEPTEMBER 5, 2001





Boundary of the 47th / Ashland Tax Increment Redevelopment Project Area

NOTE: For empowerment zone boundaries outside of TIF Area, see City files.



LEGEND

SEPTEMBER 5, 2001

\$00 800 900




PGA Urban Consulting

Boundary of the 47th / Ashland
Tax increment Redevelopment Project Area

State Enterprise Zone 2

NOTE: For enterprise zone boundaries outside of



Land Acquisition Overview Map 47th / Ashland Redevelopment Area City of Chicago, Illinois




Exhibit H-2 Chicago (47th / Ashland) Acquisition List LAND ACQUISITION BY BLOCK AND PARCEL IDENTIFICATION NUMBER

COUNT	T IDENTIFICATION NUMBER1	PIN NUMBER	2000 EAV	TAX DELINQUENT ²	RESIDENTIAL UNIT TYPE ³	NUMBER OF RESIDENTIAL	OCCUPIED RESIDENTIAL	RESIDENTIAL
+	1	20-06-411-025	58 420		3	UNITS	UNITS	PROPERTY ADDRESS*
7	-	20-06-411-026	17 723					
3	2	20-05-305-024	10,133					
4	2	20-05-305-022	10,468	YES				
5	2	20-05-305-023	43.554					
9	2	20-05-305-025	13,001	YES				
7	2	20-05-305-027	1,003	YES				
8	2	20-05-305-028	100,1					
6	2	20.05.305.020	1,247	YES				
9	2	20.05.305.020	1,223	YES				
£	2	20.05.305.034	8/6/8	YES				
12	2	20.05.305.031	8,525	YES				
13	2	20.05.305.032	1,221					
14	2	20.05.305.033	1,078					
15	2	20 06 306 036	20,298					
16		20 -02 -303-033	2,831					
17	2	960-305-07	2,995					
ξ.	70	20-05-305-039	17,177		SINGLE FAMILY			
٥	7	20-05-305-040	3.564	VEC	CHACLE L'ANIELT		_	4522 S. McDOWELL ST
13	2	20-05-305-041	3,669	VES				ıl .
2	2	20-05-305-042	1908	VEC				
5	2	20-05-305-043	5 483	2				
22	2	20-05-305-044	5 483					
23	2	20-05-305-045	1 650					
24	2	20-05-305-046	2,000	YES				
25	2	20-05-305-047	1 059	YES				
26	2	20-05-305-048	10 067					
27	2	20-05-305-052	38 308		MIXED USE	1	-	4554 S Manovaria ex
28	3	20-06-416-040	12 690					STATE OF THE STATE
23	3	20-06-416-041	6 106					
စ္က	4	20-06-425-016	306 507					
3	4	20-06-425-049	84 064					
32	5	20-06-425-024	64 100					
33	5	20-06-425-025	36 127					
34	5	20-06-425-027	52,004					
35	5	20-06-425-028	50,33					
36	3	20-06-425-029	00,700					
37	5	20-06-425-030	61 464					
38	5	20-06-425-031	61 464					
39	5	20-06-425-032	34 246					
40	5	20-06-425-033	440,600					
		200 000	000,641					

$2000~{\rm EAV}~{\rm UPDATED}~47 {\rm th}~{\rm \&}~{\rm Ashland}~{\rm PINS}~{\rm TO}~{\rm BE}~{\rm ACQUIRED}~{\rm listing.xls}$

Exhibit H-2 Chicago (47th / Ashland) Acquisition List LAND ACQUISITION BY BLOCK AND PARCEL IDENTIFICATION NUMBER

2	IDENTIFICATION	PIN NIMBER	2000 EAV	TAY		NI MOED OF	a Light	
2 2 2 4 3	NUMBER'		* V 3 2224	DEI INOLIENITA	RESIDENTIAL	RESIDENTIAL	DECIDENTIAL	RESIDENTIAL
3 2 4 2	5	20-06-425-034	740.661	CEEGNACOENI	UNIT TYPE	UNITS	NESIDEN I AL	PROPERTY ADDRESS
Ω 4 δ	5	20-06-425-048	70,050				CINO	SET VERY SET
4 v	9	20-05-300-030	19,003					
55	9	20-05-309-033	19,930					
	7	20-05-310-002	786,021					
46	7	20-05-310-003	20,033					
47	80	20-07-107-002	5000					
48	80	20-07-107-003	2,003					
6	8	20-07-107-004	4,014					
9	8	20-07-107-005	5,003					
-	8	20-07-107-006	20,000					
52	8	20-07-107-007	£ 062					
£	8	20-07-107-008	2,003					
4	80	20-07-107-009	2,003					
2	8	20-07-107-040	2,003					
9	80	20-07-107-019	5,063					
1	000	20 07 407 040	2,063					
80		20-07-10/-012	5,063					
6		20-07-107-013	4,785					
+		20-07-107-014	5,063					
1		20-07-107-015	68,362					
+	8	20-07-107-016	93,460					
+	8	20-07-107-029	264 281					
	8	20-07-107-036	2 183					
-	8	20-07-107-037	132 444					
_	6	20-07-108-048	134,111					
99	10	20-07-206-008	404,121	YES				
_	10	20-07-206-040	08.0					
_	11	20 08 400 043	45,653					
69		20-00-100-012	25,339					
_	11	20-00-100-013	50,100					
7.1	1	20 00 100 045	5,250					
72	15	20 00 100-013	152,087		MIXEDIISE	7.0		
73	12	20 00 101-006	4,082		100	2	0	1535 W. 47TH ST.
L		20 08 404 040	2,968					
74	12	20-101-049						
75		20-08-101-049-8001	EXEMPT					
+		20-08-101-049-8002	8,745	VEC				
+		20-08-101-050		2				
19	12 20	20-08-101-050-8001	EXEMPT					
+		20-08-101-050-8002	1.376	VEC				
-		20-08-101-051						

Exhibit H-2 Chicago (47th / Ashland) Acquisition List LAND ACQUISITION BY BLOCK AND PARCEL IDENTIFICATION NUMBER

Action of the second

	RESIDENTIAL	PROPERTY ADDRESS ⁶														
	OCCUPIED RESIDENTIAL		1													·
	NUMBER OF RESIDENTIAL	UNITS														72
	RESIDENTIAL															
	TAX DELINQUENT ²			VEC	21				YES				VEC	27		
	2000 EAV	CVELLOT	CAEMPI	8.429			EXEMPT	0 400	6,429		EVENDT	LALINIT	8.745		\$3.440.742	-: :(3::13:
	PIN NUMBER	20-08-101-051-8001	1000-101-00-00	ZU-U8-101-051-8002	20-08-101-052	20 00 00 000	1008-250-101-02-02	20-08-101-052 pno2	2000-700-000	20-08-101-053	20-08-101-053-8001	1000 000 000 000	20-02-101-023-8002		TOTAL	
AREA	COUNT IDENTIFICATION NUMBER	12	40			12		12			12	4.5		-		
	COUNT	78	20			08		<u>~</u>			82	83				

¹ Area Identification Number keyed to Exhibit H-1, Land Acquisition Overview Map.

² Indicates parcels delinquent in the payment of 1999 real estate taxes.


³ Indicates the type of residential unit that might be removed if the Plan is implemented according to Exhibit H-1, Land Acquisition Overview Map.

⁴ Indicates the total number of residential units that might be removed if the Plan is implemented according to Exhibit H-1, Land Acquisition Overview Map.

⁵ Indicates the total number of occupied residential units that might be removed if the Pian is implemented according to Exhibit H-1, Land Acquisition Overview

⁶ Indicates the property addresses associated with the residential units that might be removed if the Plan is implemented according to Exhibit H-1, Land Acquisition

Adjacent Redevelopment Areas Map 47th / Ashland Redevelopment Area City of Chicago, Illinois



Attachment Three Legal Description

47TH / ASHLAND REDEVELOPMENT AREA

ALL THAT PART OF SECTIONS 5, 6, 7 AND 8 IN TOWNSHIP 38 NORTH, RANGE 14 EAST OF THE THIRD PRINCIPAL MERIDIAN BOUNDED AND DESCRIBED AS FOLLOWS:

BEGINNING AT THE POINT OF INTERSECTION OF THE EAST LINE OF SOUTH JUSTINE STREET WITH THE NORTH LINE OF WEST 49TH STREET;

THENCE EAST ALONG SAID NORTH LINE OF WEST 49TH STREET TO THE EAST LINE OF SOUTH LOOMIS STREET;

THENCE SOUTH ALONG SAID EAST LINE OF SOUTH LOOMIS STREET TO THE EASTERLY EXTENSION OF THE NORTH LINE OF LOT 47 IN BLOCK 1 OF P. S. BARBER'S SUBDIVISION OF THE EAST HALF OF THE NORTHWEST QUARTER OF THE SOUTHWEST QUARTER OF SECTION 8, TOWNSHIP 38 NORTH, RANGE 14 EAST OF THE THIRD PRINCIPAL MERIDIAN, SAID NORTH LINE OF LOT 47 BEING ALSO THE SOUTH LINE OF THE ALLEY SOUTH OF WEST 51ST STREET;

THENCE WEST ALONG SAID EASTERLY EXTENSION AND THE SOUTH LINE OF THE ALLEY SOUTH OF WEST 51ST STREET TO THE WEST LINE OF LOT 55 IN BALLIN'S SUBDIVISION OF THE NORTH HALF OF THE WEST HALF OF THE NORTHWEST QUARTER OF THE SOUTHWEST QUARTER OF SECTION 8, TOWNSHIP 38 NORTH, RANGE 14 EAST OF THE THIRD PRINCIPAL MERIDIAN, SAID WEST LINE OF LOT 55 BEING ALSO THE EAST LINE OF THE ALLEY EAST OF SOUTH ASHLAND AVENUE;

THENCE SOUTH ALONG SAID EAST LINE OF THE ALLEY EAST OF SOUTH ASHLAND AVENUE TO THE SOUTH LINE OF WEST 53RD ST;

THENCE WEST ALONG SAID SOUTH LINE OF WEST 53RD ST TO THE SOUTHERLY EXTENSION OF THE EAST LINE OF LOT 25 IN BLOCK 8 OF THE SUBDIVISION OF BLOCKS 3, 7 AND 8 OF THE ORVIS SUBDIVISION OF THE NORTHEAST QUARTER OF THE SOUTHEAST QUARTER OF SECTION 7, TOWNSHIP 38 NORTH, RANGE 14 EAST OF THE THIRD PRINCIPAL MERIDIAN, SAID EAST LINE OF LOT 25 BEING ALSO THE WEST LINE OF THE ALLEY WEST OF SOUTH ASHLAND AVENUE;

THENCE NORTH ALONG SAID SOUTHERLY EXTENSION AND THE WEST LINE OF THE ALLEY WEST OF SOUTH ASHLAND AVENUE TO THE NORTH LINE OF LOT 43 IN BLOCK 1 OF SAID ORVIS SUBDIVISION OF THE NORTHEAST QUARTER OF THE SOUTHEAST QUARTER OF SECTION 7, TOWNSHIP 38 NORTH, RANGE 14 EAST OF THE THIRD PRINCIPAL MERIDIAN;

Chicago Guarantee Survey Co. 601 S. LaSalle St., Suite 400, Chicago, Il., 60605 Ordered by: Peckham Guyton Albers & Viets, Inc

THENCE WEST ALONG SAID NORTH LINE OF LOT 43 IN BLOCK 1 OF THE ORVIS SUBDIVISION AND ALONG THE WESTERLY EXTENSION THEREOF AND ALONG THE NORTH LINE OF LOT 6 IN BLOCK 2 OF AFORESAID ORVIS SUBDIVISION TO THE WEST LINE OF SAID LOT 6 IN BLOCK 2 OF THE ORVIS SUBDIVISION, SAID WEST LINE OF LOT 6 BEING ALSO THE EAST LINE OF THE ALLEY EAST OF SOUTH PAULINA STREET;

THENCE SOUTH ALONG SAID WEST LINE OF LOT 6 IN BLOCK 2 OF THE ORVIS SUBDIVISION TO THE EASTERLY EXTENSION OF THE NORTH LINE OF LOT 43 IN SAID BLOCK 2 OF THE ORVIS SUBDIVISION;

THENCE WEST ALONG SAID EASTERLY EXTENSION AND THE NORTH LINE OF LOT 43 IN SAID BLOCK 2 OF THE ORVIS SUBDIVISION AND ALONG THE WESTERLY EXTENSION THEREOF TO THE WEST LINE OF SOUTH PAULINA STREET;

THENCE NORTH ALONG SAID WEST LINE OF SOUTH PAULINA STREET TO THE NORTH LINE OF LOT 5 IN THE PLAT OF BLOCK 3 OF ORVIS SUBDIVISION OF THE NORTHEAST QUARTER OF THE SOUTHEAST QUARTER OF SECTION 7, TOWNSHIP 38 NORTH, RANGE 14 EAST OF THE THIRD PRINCIPAL MERIDIAN;

THENCE WEST ALONG SAID NORTH LINE OF LOT 5 IN THE PLAT OF BLOCK 3 OF ORVIS SUBDIVISION AND ALONG THE WESTERLY EXTENSION THEREOF AND ALONG THE NORTH LINE OF LOT 44 IN SAID PLAT OF BLOCK 3 OF ORVIS SUBDIVISION TO THE EAST LINE OF SOUTH HERMITAGE AVENUE;

THENCE SOUTH ALONG SAID EAST LINE OF SOUTH HERMITAGE AVENUE TO THE EASTERLY EXTENSION OF THE NORTH LINE OF LOT 47 IN THE RESUBDIVISION OF BLOCK 4 OF THE ORVIS SUBDIVISION OF THE NORTHEAST QUARTER OF THE SOUTHEAST QUARTER OF SECTION 7, TOWNSHIP 38 NORTH, RANGE 14 EAST OF THE THIRD PRINCIPAL MERIDIAN, SAID NORTH LINE OF LOT 47 BEING ALSO THE SOUTH LINE OF THE ALLEY SOUTH OF WEST 51ST STREET:

THENCE WEST ALONG SAID EASTERLY EXTENSION AND SOUTH LINE OF THE ALLEY SOUTH OF WEST 51ST STREET TO THE SOUTHERLY EXTENSION OF THE WEST LINE OF LOT 5 IN THE SUBDIVISION OF THE EAST HALF OF LOTS 1 AND 4 IN IGLEHART'S SUBDIVISION OF THE SOUTHWEST QUARTER OF SECTION 7, TOWNSHIP 38 NORTH, RANGE 14 EAST OF THE THIRD PRINCIPAL MERIDIAN;

THENCE NORTH ALONG SAID SOUTHERLY EXTENSION AND THE WEST LINE OF LOT 5 IN THE SUBDIVISION OF THE EAST HALF OF LOTS 1 AND 4 IN IGLEHART'S SUBDIVISION AND ALONG THE NORTHERLY EXTENSION OF THE WEST LINE OF SAID LOT 5 TO THE NORTH LINE OF WEST 51ST STREET;

Chicago Guarantee Survey Co. 601 S. LaSalle St., Suite 400, Chicago, Il., 60605 Ordered by: Peckham Guyton Albers & Viets, Inc

THENCE EAST ALONG SAID NORTH LINE OF WEST 51ST STREET TO THE EAST LINE OF LOT 3 IN TOLMAN'S SUBDIVISION OF LOTS 26 TO 33, BOTH INCLUSIVE, IN BLOCK 57 OF THE CHICAGO UNIVERSITY SUBDIVISION OF THE SOUTH HALF OF THE NORTHEAST QUARTER AND THE WEST THREE QUARTERS OF THE SOUTH HALF OF THE NORTH HALF OF THE NORTHEAST QUARTER AND THE NORTHWEST QUARTER AND THE SOUTHEAST QUARTER OF THE NORTHWEST QUARTER, ALL IN SECTION 7, TOWNSHIP 38 NORTH, RANGE 14 EAST OF THE THIRD PRINCIPAL MERIDIAN, SAID EAST LINE OF LOT 3 BEING ALSO THE WEST LINE OF THE ALLEY WEST OF SOUTH DAMEN AVENUE:

THENCE NORTH ALONG SAID WEST LINE OF THE ALLEY WEST OF SOUTH DAMEN AVENUE TO THE WESTERLY EXTENSION OF THE NORTH LINE OF LOT 21 IN SAID BLOCK 57 OF THE CHICAGO UNIVERSITY SUBDIVISION;

THENCE EAST ALONG SAID WESTERLY EXTENSION AND THE NORTH LINE OF LOT 21 IN SAID BLOCK 57 OF THE CHICAGO UNIVERSITY SUBDIVISION TO THE WEST LINE OF SOUTH DAMEN AVENUE;

THENCE NORTH ALONG SAID WEST LINE OF SOUTH DAMEN AVENUE TO THE WESTERLY EXTENSION OF THE SOUTH LINE OF LOT 19 IN HULBERT'S RESUBDIVISION OF BLOCK 56 IN AFORESAID CHICAGO UNIVERSITY SUBDIVISION, SAID SOUTH LINE OF LOT 19 BEING ALSO THE NORTH LINE OF THE ALLEY NORTH OF WEST 51ST STREET;

THENCE EAST ALONG SAID WESTERLY EXTENSION AND THE NORTH LINE OF THE ALLEY NORTH OF WEST 51ST STREET AND ALONG THE EASTERLY EXTENSION THEREOF TO THE EAST LINE OF SOUTH WINCHESTER AVENUE;

THENCE SOUTH ALONG SAID EAST LINE OF SOUTH WINCHESTER AVENUE TO THE SOUTH LINE OF LOT 31 IN BLOCK 55 OF AFORESAID CHICAGO UNIVERSITY SUBDIVISION;

THENCE EAST ALONG SAID SOUTH LINE OF LOT 31 IN BLOCK 55 OF CHICAGO UNIVERSITY SUBDIVISION AND ALONG THE EASTERLY EXTENSION THEREOF, AND ALONG THE NORTH LINE OF THE SOUTH 8.00 FEET OF LOT 20 IN SAID BLOCK 55 OF CHICAGO UNIVERSITY SUBDIVISION AND ALONG THE EASTERLY EXTENSION THEREOF TO THE EAST LINE OF SOUTH WOLCOTT STREET;

THENCE SOUTH ALONG SAID EAST LINE OF SOUTH WOLCOTT STREET TO THE NORTH LINE OF WEST 51ST STREET;

Chicago Guarantee Survey Co. 601 S. LaSalle St., Suite 400, Chicago, Il., 60605 Ordered by: Peckham Guyton Albers & Viets, Inc

THENCE EAST ALONG SAID NORTH LINE OF WEST 51ST STREET TO THE WEST LINE OF SOUTH WOOD STREET;

THENCE NORTH ALONG SAID WEST LINE OF SOUTH WOOD STREET TO THE WESTERLY EXTENSION OF THE SOUTH LINE OF LOT 31 IN BLOCK 52 OF AFORESAID CHICAGO UNIVERSITY SUBDIVISION, SAID SOUTH LINE OF LOT 31 BEING ALSO THE NORTH LINE OF THE ALLEY NORTH OF WEST 51ST STREET;

THENCE EAST ALONG SAID NORTH LINE OF THE ALLEY NORTH OF WEST 51ST STREET AND ALONG THE EASTERLY EXTENSION THEREOF TO THE SOUTHWEST CORNER OF LOT 31 IN BLOCK 50 OF SAID CHICAGO UNIVERSITY SUBDIVISION, SAID SOUTHWEST CORNER OF LOT 31 BEING ALSO A POINT ON THE EAST LINE OF SOUTH PAULINA STREET;

THENCE CONTINUING EAST ALONG THE SOUTH LINE OF SAID LOT 31 IN BLOCK 50 OF CHICAGO UNIVERSITY SUBDIVISION AND ALONG THE EASTERLY EXTENSION THEREOF AND ALONG THE SOUTH LINE OF LOT 20 IN SAID BLOCK 50 OF CHICAGO UNIVERSITY SUBDIVISION TO THE WEST LINE OF SOUTH MARSHFIELD AVENUE;

THENCE NORTH ALONG SAID WEST LINE OF SOUTH MARSHFIELD AVENUE TO THE WESTERLY EXTENSION OF THE SOUTH LINE OF LOT 32 IN BLOCK 49 OF AFORESAID CHICAGO UNIVERSITY SUBDIVISION, SAID SOUTH LINE OF LOT 32 BEING ALSO THE NORTH LINE OF THE ALLEY NORTH OF WEST 51ST STREET;

THENCE EAST ALONG SAID WESTERLY EXTENSION AND THE SOUTH LINE OF LOT 32 IN BLOCK 49 OF CHICAGO UNIVERSITY SUBDIVISION TO THE EAST LINE OF SAID LOT 32, SAID EAST LINE OF LOT 32 BEING ALSO THE WEST LINE OF THE ALLEY WEST OF SOUTH ASHLAND AVENUE;

THENCE NORTH ALONG SAID WEST LINE OF THE ALLEY WEST OF SOUTH ASHLAND AVENUE TO THE SOUTH LINE OF LOT 43 IN BLOCK 1 IN RILEY'S SUBDIVISION OF BLOCK 24 IN STONE AND WHITNEY'S SUBDIVISION OF THE WEST HALF OF THE SOUTHEAST QUARTER OF SECTION 6 AND THE NORTH HALF AND THE WEST HALF OF THE SOUTHEAST QUARTER OF SECTION 7, ALL IN TOWNSHIP 38 NORTH, RANGE 14 EAST OF THE THIRD PRINCIPAL MERIDIAN;

THENCE WEST ALONG SAID SOUTH LINE OF LOT 43 IN BLOCK 1 IN RILEY'S SUBDIVISION AND ALONG THE WESTERLY EXTENSION THEREOF TO THE WEST LINE OF SOUTH MARSHFIELD AVENUE;

THENCE NORTH ALONG SAID WEST LINE OF SOUTH MARSHFIELD AVENUE TO THE SOUTH LINE OF LOT 13 IN BLOCK 2 OF BERGER AND JACOB'S SUBDIVISION OF

Chicago Guarantee Survey Co. 601 S. LaSalle St., Suite 400, Chicago, Il., 60605 Ordered by: Peckham Guyton Albers & Viets, Inc

BLOCK 9 IN STONE AND WHITNEY'S SUBDIVISION OF THE WEST HALF OF THE SOUTHEAST QUARTER OF SECTION 6 AND THE NORTH HALF AND THE WEST HALF OF THE SOUTHEAST QUARTER OF SECTION 7, ALL IN TOWNSHIP 38 NORTH, RANGE 14 EAST OF THE THIRD PRINCIPAL MERIDIAN;

THENCE WEST ALONG SAID SOUTH LINE OF LOT 13 IN BLOCK 2 OF BERGER AND JACOB'S SUBDIVISION AND ALONG THE WESTERLY EXTENSION THEREOF TO THE EAST LINE OF LOT 46 IN SAID BLOCK 2 OF BERGER AND JACOB'S SUBDIVISION, SAID EAST LINE OF LOT 46 BEING ALSO THE WEST LINE OF THE ALLEY WEST OF SOUTH MARSHFIELD AVENUE;

THENCE NORTH ALONG SAID WEST LINE OF THE ALLEY WEST OF SOUTH MARSHFIELD AVENUE TO THE NORTH LINE OF LOT 47 IN SAID BLOCK 2 OF BERGER AND JACOB'S SUBDIVISION, SAID NORTH LINE OF LOT 47 BEING ALSO THE SOUTH LINE OF THE ALLEY SOUTH OF WEST 47TH STREET;

THENCE WEST ALONG SAID SOUTH LINE OF THE ALLEY SOUTH OF WEST 47TH STREET TO THE EAST LINE OF SOUTH WOOD STREET;

THENCE SOUTH ALONG SAID EAST LINE OF SOUTH WOOD STREET TO THE EASTERLY EXTENSION OF THE NORTH LINE OF LOT 47 IN RILEY'S SUBDIVISION OF THE EAST HALF OF BLOCK 11 IN AFORESAID STONE AND WHITNEY'S SUBDIVISION IN THE WEST HALF OF THE NORTHEAST QUARTER OF SECTION 7, TOWNSHIP 38 NORTH, RANGE 14 EAST OF THE THIRD PRINCIPAL MERIDIAN, SAID NORTH LINE OF LOT 47 BEING ALSO THE SOUTH LINE OF THE ALLEY SOUTH OF WEST 47TH STREET;

THENCE WEST ALONG SAID EASTERLY EXTENSION AND THE SOUTH LINE OF THE ALLEY SOUTH OF WEST 47TH STREET AND ALONG THE WESTERLY EXTENSION THEREOF TO THE WEST LINE OF SOUTH HONORE STREET;

THENCE NORTH ALONG SAID WEST LINE OF SOUTH HONORE STREET TO THE NORTH LINE OF LOT 6 IN JOHN PECHA'S SUBDIVISION OF THE EAST HALF OF THE WEST HALF OF BLOCK 11 IN AFORESAID STONE AND WHITNEY'S SUBDIVISION IN THE WEST HALF OF THE NORTHEAST QUARTER OF SECTION 7, TOWNSHIP 38 NORTH, RANGE 14 EAST OF THE THIRD PRINCIPAL MERIDIAN;

THENCE WEST ALONG SAID NORTH LINE OF LOT 6 IN JOHN PECHA'S SUBDIVISION TO THE WESTERLY MOST NORTHWEST CORNER OF SAID LOT 6;

THENCE WEST ALONG A LINE PERPENDICULAR TO THE WEST LINE OF SAID LOT 6 IN JOHN PECHA'S SUBDIVISION LOT TO THE EAST LINE OF LOT 6 IN B. F. JACOB'S SUBDIVISION OF THE WEST HALF OF THE WEST HALF OF BLOCK 11 IN AFORESAID STONE AND WHITNEY'S SUBDIVISION IN THE WEST HALF OF THE

Chicago Guarantee Survey Co. 601 S. LaSalle St., Suite 400, Chicago, Il., 60605 Ordered by: Peckham Guyton Albers & Viets, Inc

NORTHEAST QUARTER OF SECTION 7, TOWNSHIP 38 NORTH, RANGE 14 EAST OF THE THIRD PRINCIPAL MERIDIAN, SAID EAST LINE OF LOT 6 BEING ALSO THE WEST LINE OF THE ALLEY WEST OF SOUTH HONORE STREET;

THENCE NORTH ALONG SAID WEST LINE OF THE ALLEY WEST OF SOUTH HONORE STREET TO THE SOUTH LINE OF LOT 2 IN SAID B. F. JACOB'S SUBDIVISION;

THENCE WEST ALONG SAID SOUTH LINE OF LOT 2 IN B. F. JACOB'S SUBDIVISION TO THE EAST LINE OF SOUTH WOLCOTT STREET;

THENCE SOUTH ALONG SAID EAST LINE OF SOUTH WOLCOTT STREET TO THE EASTERLY EXTENSION OF THE NORTH LINE OF LOT 6 IN THE STONE ESTATE SUBDIVISION OF THE EAST HALF OF BLOCK 10 OF AFORESAID CHICAGO UNIVERSITY SUBDIVISION IN THE WEST HALF OF THE NORTHEAST QUARTER OF SECTION 7, TOWNSHIP 38 NORTH, RANGE 14 EAST OF THE THIRD PRINCIPAL MERIDIAN, SAID NORTH LINE OF LOT 6 BEING ALSO THE SOUTH LINE OF THE ALLEY SOUTH OF WEST 47TH STREET;

THENCE WEST ALONG SAID EASTERLY EXTENSION AND THE NORTH LINE OF LOT 6 IN THE STONE ESTATE SUBDIVISION TO THE WEST LINE OF SAID LOT 6, SAID WEST LINE OF LOT 6 BEING ALSO THE EAST LINE OF THE ALLEY EAST OF SOUTH WINCHESTER AVENUE;

THENCE SOUTH ALONG SAID EAST LINE OF THE ALLEY EAST OF SOUTH WINCHESTER AVENUE TO THE EASTERLY EXTENSION OF THE NORTH LINE OF LOT 6 IN WINTER'S RESUBDIVISION OF THE WEST HALF OF BLOCK 10 OF AFORESAID CHICAGO UNIVERSITY SUBDIVISION, SAID NORTH LINE OF LOT 6 BEING ALSO THE SOUTH LINE OF THE ALLEY SOUTH OF WEST 47TH STREET;

THENCE WEST ALONG SAID EASTERLY EXTENSION AND THE NORTH LINE OF LOT 6 IN SAID WINTER'S RESUBDIVISION AND ALONG THE WESTERLY EXTENSION THEREOF TO THE WEST LINE OF SOUTH WINCHESTER AVENUE;

THENCE NORTH ALONG SAID WEST LINE OF SOUTH WINCHESTER AVENUE TO THE NORTH LINE OF LOT 6 IN BENTLEY'S SUBDIVISION OF THE EAST HALF OF BLOCK 9 IN AFORESAID CHICAGO UNIVERSITY SUBDIVISION IN THE WEST HALF OF THE NORTHEAST QUARTER OF SECTION 7, TOWNSHIP 38 NORTH, RANGE 14 EAST OF THE THIRD PRINCIPAL MERIDIAN, SAID NORTH LINE OF LOT 6 BEING ALSO THE SOUTH LINE OF THE ALLEY SOUTH OF WEST 47TH STREET:

THENCE WEST ALONG SAID NORTH LINE OF LOT 6 IN BENTLEY'S SUBDIVISION AND ALONG THE WESTERLY EXTENSION THEREOF TO THE EAST LINE OF LOT 45 IN BLOCK 9 IN AFORESAID CHICAGO UNIVERSITY SUBDIVISION, SAID

Chicago Guarantee Survey Co. 601 S. LaSalle St., Suite 400, Chicago, Il., 60605 Ordered by: Peckham Guyton Albers & Viets, Inc

EAST LINE OF LOT 45 BEING ALSO THE WEST LINE OF THE ALLEY EAST OF SOUTH DAMEN AVENUE;

THENCE NORTH ALONG SAID EAST LINE OF LOT 45 IN BLOCK 9 IN CHICAGO UNIVERSITY SUBDIVISION TO THE NORTH LINE THEREOF;

THENCE WEST ALONG SAID NORTH LINE OF LOT 45 IN BLOCK 9 IN CHICAGO UNIVERSITY SUBDIVISION TO THE WEST LINE THEREOF, SAID WEST LINE OF LOT 45 BEING ALSO THE EAST LINE OF SOUTH DAMEN AVENUE;

THENCE SOUTH ALONG SAID EAST LINE OF SOUTH DAMEN AVENUE TO THE EASTERLY EXTENSION OF THE NORTH LINE OF LOT 12 IN BLOCK 1 IN HULING AND JOHNSON'S SUBDIVISION OF BLOCK 13 IN AFORESAID STONE AND WHITNEY'S SUBDIVISION IN THE EAST HALF OF THE NORTHWEST QUARTER OF SECTION 7, TOWNSHIP 38 NORTH, RANGE 14 EAST OF THE THIRD PRINCIPAL MERIDIAN, SAID NORTH LINE OF LOT 12 BEING ALSO THE SOUTH LINE OF THE ALLEY SOUTH OF WEST 47TH STREET;

THENCE WEST ALONG SAID EASTERLY EXTENSION AND THE SOUTH LINE OF THE ALLEY SOUTH OF WEST 47TH STREET TO THE EAST LINE OF SOUTH HOYNE AVENUE;

THENCE SOUTH ALONG SAID EAST LINE OF SOUTH HOYNE AVENUE TO THE SOUTH LINE OF LOT 33 IN BLOCK 2 IN AFORESAID HULING AND JOHNSON'S SUBDIVISION;

THENCE EAST ALONG SAID SOUTH LINE OF LOT 33 IN BLOCK 2 IN HULING AND JOHNSON'S SUBDIVISION AND ALONG THE EASTERLY EXTENSION THEREOF AND ALONG THE SOUTH LINE OF LOT 28 IN SAID BLOCK 2 IN HULING AND JOHNSON'S SUBDIVISION AND ALONG THE EASTERLY EXTENSION THEREOF TO THE EAST LINE OF SOUTH SEELEY AVENUE;

THENCE SOUTH ALONG SAID EAST LINE OF SOUTH SEELEY AVENUE TO THE SOUTH LINE OF WEST 48TH STREET:

THENCE WEST ALONG SAID SOUTH LINE OF WEST 48TH STREET TO THE EAST LINE OF SOUTH HOYNE AVENUE;

THENCE SOUTH ALONG SAID EAST LINE OF SOUTH HOYNE AVENUE TO THE SOUTH LINE OF WEST 49TH STREET;

THENCE WEST ALONG SAID SOUTH LINE OF WEST 49TH STREET TO THE SOUTHERLY EXTENSION OF THE EAST LINE OF LOT 48 IN BLOCK 2 IN E. C. HULING'S

Chicago Guarantee Survey Co. 601 S. LaSalle St., Suite 400, Chicago, Il., 60605 Ordered by: Peckham Guyton Albers & Viets, Inc

SUBDIVISION OF BLOCK 19 (EXCEPT THE WEST 17 FEET) OF AFORESAID STONE AND WHITNEY'S SUBDIVISION, SAID SOUTHERLY EXTENSION BEING ALSO THE EAST LINE OF VACATED 49TH STREET;

THENCE NORTH ALONG SAID EAST LINE OF VACATED 49TH STREET TO THE SOUTHWEST CORNER OF THE PARCEL OF PROPERTY BEARING PIN 20-07-107-030;

THENCE NORTH ALONG THE WEST LINE OF SAID PARCEL OF PROPERTY BEARING PIN 20-07-107-030 AND ALONG THE WEST LINE OF THE PARCEL OF PROPERTY BEARING PIN 20-07-107-031 AND ALONG THE WEST LINE OF THE PARCEL OF PROPERTY BEARING PIN 20-07-107-025 AND ALONG THE WEST LINE OF THE PARCEL OF PROPERTY BEARING PIN 20-07-107-035 AND ALONG THE WEST LINE OF THE PARCEL OF PROPERTY BEARING PIN 20-07-107-034 AND ALONG THE WEST LINE OF THE PARCEL OF PROPERTY BEARING PIN 20-07-107-029 AND ALONG THE WEST LINE OF THE PARCEL OF PROPERTY BEARING PIN 20-07-107-036 AND ALONG THE WEST LINE OF THE PARCEL OF PROPERTY BEARING PIN 20-07-107-037 AND ALONG THE WEST LINE OF THE PARCEL OF PROPERTY BEARING PIN 20-07-107-016 AND ALONG THE WEST LINE OF THE PARCEL OF PROPERTY BEARING PIN 20-07-107-002 AND ALONG THE NORTHERLY EXTENSION THEREOF TO THE CENTER LINE OF WEST 47TH STREET;

THENCE EAST ALONG SAID CENTER LINE OF WEST 47TH STREET TO THE SOUTHERLY EXTENSION OF THE WEST LINE OF LOT 79 IN F. B. CLARKE'S SUBDIVISION OF BLOCK 7 OF STONE AND WHITNEY'S SUBDIVISION OF THE WEST HALF OF THE SOUTHEAST QUARTER OF SECTION 6 AND THE NORTH HALF AND THE WEST HALF OF THE SOUTHEAST QUARTER OF SECTION 7, ALL IN TOWNSHIP 38 NORTH, RANGE 14 EAST OF THE THIRD PRINCIPAL MERIDIAN, SAID WEST LINE OF LOT 79 BEING ALSO THE EAST LINE OF SOUTH DAMEN AVENUE;

THENCE NORTH ALONG SAID SOUTHERLY EXTENSION AND THE EAST LINE OF SOUTH DAMEN AVENUE TO THE SOUTH LINE OF LOT 80 IN F. B. CLARKE'S SUBDIVISION OF BLOCK 7 OF STONE AND WHITNEY'S SUBDIVISION OF THE WEST HALF OF THE SOUTHEAST QUARTER OF SECTION 6 AND THE NORTH HALF AND THE WEST HALF OF THE SOUTHEAST QUARTER OF SECTION 7, ALL IN TOWNSHIP 38 NORTH, RANGE 14 EAST OF THE THIRD PRINCIPAL MERIDIAN, SAID SOUTH LINE OF LOT 80 BEING ALSO THE NORTH LINE OF THE ALLEY NORTH OF WEST 47TH STREET;

THENCE EAST ALONG SAID NORTH LINE OF THE ALLEY NORTH OF WEST 47TH STREET TO WEST LINE OF SOUTH WOOD STREET;

THENCE NORTH ALONG SAID WEST LINE OF SOUTH WOOD STREET TO THE NORTH LINE OF WEST 46TH STREET;

Chicago Guarantee Survey Co. 601 S. LaSalle St., Suite 400, Chicago, Il., 60605 Ordered by: Peckham Guyton Albers & Viets, Inc

THENCE EAST ALONG SAID NORTH LINE OF WEST 46TH STREET TO THE EAST LINE OF SOUTH HERMITAGE AVENUE;

THENCE SOUTH ALONG SAID EAST LINE OF SOUTH HERMITAGE AVENUE TO THE SOUTH LINE OF LOT 31 IN BLOCK 1 OF SCHLESINGER'S SUBDIVISION OF THE SOUTHWEST QUARTER OF THE SOUTHEAST QUARTER OF THE SOUTHEAST QUARTER OF SECTION 6, TOWNSHIP 38 NORTH, RANGE 14 EAST OF THE THIRD PRINCIPAL MERIDIAN, SAID SOUTH LINE OF LOT 31 BEING ALSO THE NORTH LINE OF THE ALLEY NORTH OF WEST 47TH STREET;

THENCE EAST ALONG SAID NORTH LINE OF THE ALLEY NORTH OF WEST 47TH STREET TO THE WEST LINE OF SOUTH MARSHFIELD AVENUE;

THENCE NORTH ALONG SAID WEST LINE OF SOUTH MARSHFIELD AVENUE TO THE WESTERLY EXTENSION OF THE SOUTH LINE OF LOT 6 IN COUNSELMAN'S SUBDIVISION OF THE EAST HALF OF THE SOUTHEAST QUARTER OF THE SOUTHEAST QUARTER OF THE SOUTHEAST QUARTER OF SECTION 6, TOWNSHIP 38 NORTH, RANGE 14 EAST OF THE THIRD PRINCIPAL MERIDIAN;

THENCE EAST ALONG SAID WESTERLY EXTENSION AND THE SOUTH LINE OF LOT 6 IN COUNSELMAN'S SUBDIVISION TO THE EAST LINE OF SAID LOT 6, SAID EAST LINE OF LOT 6 BEING ALSO THE WEST LINE OF THE ALLEY WEST OF SOUTH ASHLAND AVENUE;

THENCE NORTH ALONG SAID WEST LINE OF THE ALLEY WEST OF SOUTH ASHLAND AVENUE TO THE SOUTH LINE OF WEST 46TH STREET;

THENCE WEST ALONG SAID SOUTH LINE OF WEST 46TH STREET TO THE EAST LINE OF SOUTH MARSHFIELD AVENUE;

THENCE SOUTH ALONG SAID EAST LINE OF SOUTH MARSHFIELD AVENUE TO THE EASTERLY EXTENSION OF THE SOUTH LINE OF LOT 31 IN EMERY'S SUBDIVISION OF THE WEST HALF OF THE SOUTHEAST QUARTER OF THE SOUTHEAST QUARTER OF THE SOUTHEAST QUARTER OF SECTION 6, TOWNSHIP 38 NORTH, RANGE 14 EAST OF THE THIRD PRINCIPAL MERIDIAN;

THENCE WEST ALONG SAID EASTERLY EXTENSION AND THE SOUTH LINE OF LOT 31 IN EMERY'S SUBDIVISION AND ALONG THE WESTERLY EXTENSION THEREOF TO THE EAST LINE OF LOT 30 IN SAID EMERY'S SUBDIVISION, SAID EAST LINE OF LOT 30 BEING ALSO THE WEST LINE OF THE ALLEY WEST OF SOUTH MARSHFIELD AVENUE;

Chicago Guarantee Survey Co. 601 S. LaSalle St., Suite 400, Chicago, Il., 60605 Ordered by: Peckham Guyton Albers & Viets, Inc

THENCE NORTH ALONG SAID WEST LINE OF THE ALLEY WEST OF SOUTH MARSHFIELD AVENUE TO THE WESTERLY EXTENSION OF THE SOUTH LINE OF LOT 24 IN BLOCK 1 OF JASSOY'S RESUBDIVISION OF THAT PART OF THE EAST 10 ACRES OF THE NORTH 15 ACRES OF THE SOUTHEAST QUARTER OF THE SOUTHEAST QUARTER OF SECTION 6, TOWNSHIP 38 NORTH, RANGE 14 EAST OF THE THIRD PRINCIPAL MERIDIAN, LYING EAST OF THE CENTER LINE OF PAULINA STREET;

THENCE EAST ALONG SAID WESTERLY EXTENSION AND THE SOUTH LINE OF LOT 24 IN BLOCK 1 OF JASSOY'S RESUBDIVISION AND ALONG THE EASTERLY EXTENSION THEREOF TO THE EAST LINE OF SOUTH MARSHFIELD AVENUE;

THENCE SOUTH ALONG SAID EAST LINE OF SOUTH MARSHFIELD AVENUE TO THE SOUTH LINE OF LOT 2 IN BLOCK 1 OF DEARLOVE'S SUBDIVISION OF THE SOUTH 5 ACRES OF THE NORTH HALF OF THE SOUTHEAST QUARTER OF THE SOUTHEAST QUARTER OF SECTION 6, TOWNSHIP 38 NORTH, RANGE 14 EAST OF THE THIRD PRINCIPAL MERIDIAN;

THENCE EAST ALONG SAID SOUTH LINE OF LOT 2 IN BLOCK 1 OF DEARLOVE'S SUBDIVISION TO THE EAST LINE OF SAID LOT 2, SAID EAST LINE OF LOT 2-BEING ALSO THE WEST LINE OF THE ALLEY WEST OF SOUTH ASHLAND AVENUE;

THENCE NORTH ALONG SAID WEST LINE OF THE ALLEY WEST OF SOUTH ASHLAND AVENUE TO THE NORTH LINE OF LOT 42 IN W. L. SAMPSON'S SUBDIVISION OF THE EAST HALF OF BLOCK 1 IN W. L. SAMPSON'S SUBDIVISION OF THE NORTHEAST QUARTER OF THE SOUTHEAST QUARTER OF SECTION 6, TOWNSHIP 38 NORTH, RANGE 14 EAST OF THE THIRD PRINCIPAL MERIDIAN, SAID NORTH LINE OF LOT 42 BEING ALSO THE SOUTH LINE OF THE ALLEY SOUTH OF WEST 43RD STREET;

THENCE WEST ALONG SAID NORTH LINE OF LOT 42 IN W. L. SAMPSON'S SUBDIVISION AND ALONG THE WESTERLY EXTENSION THEREOF TO THE WEST LINE OF SOUTH MARSHFIELD AVENUE;

THENCE NORTH ALONG SAID WEST LINE OF SOUTH MARSHFIELD AVENUE TO THE NORTH LINE OF LOT 77 IN DAVIS SQUARE ADDITION TO CHICAGO IN W. L. SAMPSON'S SUBDIVISION OF THE NORTHEAST QUARTER OF THE SOUTHEAST QUARTER OF SECTION 6, TOWNSHIP 38 NORTH, RANGE 14 EAST OF THE THIRD PRINCIPAL MERIDIAN, SAID NORTH LINE OF LOT 77 BEING ALSO THE SOUTH LINE OF THE ALLEY SOUTH OF WEST 43RD STREET;

Chicago Guarantee Survey Co. 601 S. LaSalle St., Suite 400, Chicago, II., 60605 Ordered by: Peckham Guyton Albers & Viets, Inc

THENCE WEST ALONG SAID NORTH LINE OF LOT 77 IN DAVIS SQUARE ADDITION TO CHICAGO TO THE WEST LINE THEREOF, SAID WEST LINE OF LOT 77 BEING ALSO THE EAST LINE OF THE ALLEY EAST OF SOUTH PAULINA STREET;

THENCE SOUTH ALONG SAID EAST LINE OF THE ALLEY EAST OF SOUTH PAULINA STREET TO THE WESTERLY EXTENSION OF THE SOUTH LINE OF LOT 79 IN SAID DAVIS SQUARE ADDITION TO CHICAGO;

THENCE WEST ALONG SAID WESTERLY EXTENSION AND THE SOUTH LINE OF LOT 79 IN DAVIS SQUARE ADDITION TO CHICAGO TO THE EAST LINE OF SOUTH PAULINA STREET;

THENCE SOUTH ALONG SAID EAST LINE OF SOUTH PAULINA STREET TO THE EASTERLY EXTENSION OF THE SOUTH LINE OF LOT 101 IN AFORESAID DAVIS SQUARE ADDITION TO CHICAGO;

THENCE WEST ALONG SAID EASTERLY EXTENSION AND THE SOUTH LINE OF LOT 101 IN DAVIS SQUARE ADDITION TO CHICAGO AND ALONG THE WESTERLY EXTENSION THEREOF TO THE EAST LINE OF LOT 106 IN SAID DAVIS SQUARE ADDITION TO CHICAGO, SAID EAST LINE OF LOT 106 BEING ALSO THE WEST LINE OF THE ALLEY WEST OF SOUTH PAULINA STREET;

THENCE NORTH ALONG SAID WEST LINE OF THE ALLEY WEST OF SOUTH PAULINA STREET AND ALONG THE NORTHERLY EXTENSION THEREOF TO THE SOUTH LINE OF LOT 16 IN SAID DAVIS SQUARE ADDITION TO CHICAGO, SAID SOUTH LINE OF LOT 16 BEING ALSO THE NORTH LINE OF THE ALLEY SOUTH OF WEST 43RD STREET;

THENCE EAST ALONG SAID NORTH LINE OF THE ALLEY SOUTH OF WEST 43RD STREET TO THE WEST LINE OF SOUTH PAULINA STREET;

THENCE NORTH ALONG SAID WEST LINE OF SOUTH PAULINA STREET TO THE SOUTH LINE OF WEST 43RD STREET;

THENCE WEST ALONG SAID SOUTH LINE OF WEST 43RD STREET TO THE SOUTHERLY EXTENSION OF THE EAST LINE OF THE PARCEL OF PROPERTY BEARING PIN 20-06-200-046;

THENCE NORTH ALONG SAID SOUTHERLY EXTENSION AND THE EAST LINE OF THE PARCEL OF PROPERTY BEARING PIN 20-06-200-046 TO THE SOUTH LINE OF THE PARCEL OF PROPERTY BEARING PIN 20-06-200-072;

Chicago Guarantee Survey Co. 601 S. LaSalle St., Suite 400, Chicago, Il., 60605 Ordered by: Peckham Guyton Albers & Viets, Inc

THENCE EAST ALONG SAID SOUTH LINE OF THE PARCEL OF PROPERTY BEARING PIN 20-06-200-072 TO THE EAST LINE THEREOF;

THENCE NORTH ALONG SAID EAST LINE OF THE PARCEL OF PROPERTY BEARING PIN 20-06-200-072 TO THE NORTH LINE THEREOF;

THENCE EAST ALONG THE NORTH LINE OF THE PARCEL OF PROPERTY BEARING PIN 20-06-200-016 AND ALONG THE NORTH LINE OF THE PARCEL OF PROPERTY BEARING PIN 20-06-200-067 TO THE WEST LINE OF SOUTH ASHLAND AVENUE;

THENCE SOUTH ALONG SAID WEST LINE OF SOUTH ASHLAND AVENUE TO THE SOUTH LINE OF WEST 43RD STREET;

THENCE EAST ALONG SAID SOUTH LINE OF WEST 43RD STREET TO A LINE 17 FEET EAST OF AND PARALLEL WITH THE EAST LINE OF LOT 7 IN PACKERS SUBDIVISION OF THE NORTHEAST OF THE SOUTHWEST QUARTER OF SECTION 5, TOWNSHIP 38 NORTH, RANGE 14 EAST OF THE THIRD PRINCIPAL MERIDIAN, SAID LINE 17 FEET EAST OF AND PARALLEL WITH THE EAST LINE OF LOT 7 IN PACKERS SUBDIVISION BEING ALSO THE NORTHERLY EXTENSION OF THE WEST LINE OF PACKERS AVENUE AS OPENED 150.10 FEET, MORE OR LESS, SOUTH OF THE NORTH LINE OF THE EAST HALF OF THE SOUTHWEST QUARTER OF SECTION 5, TOWNSHIP 38 NORTH, RANGE 14 EAST OF THE THIRD PRINCIPAL MERIDIAN, AND SAID LINE 17 FEET EAST OF AND PARALLEL WITH THE EAST LINE OF LOT 7 IN PACKERS SUBDIVISION BEING ALSO A BOUNDARY LINE OF "THE STOCKYARDS SOUTHEAST QUADRANT INDUSTRIAL REDEVELOPMENT PROJECT"

THENCE SOUTH ALONG SAID LINE 17 FEET EAST OF AND PARALLEL WITH THE EAST LINE OF LOT 7 IN PACKERS SUBDIVISION AND ALONG THE WEST LINE OF SOUTH PACKERS AVENUE TO THE NORTH LINE OF THE SOUTH HALF OF SAID EAST HALF OF THE SOUTHWEST QUARTER OF SECTION 5, SAID NORTH LINE BEING ALSO THE SOUTH LINE OF THE PARCEL OF PROPERTY BEARING PIN 20-05-301-004;

THENCE EAST ALONG SAID NORTH LINE OF THE SOUTH HALF OF THE EAST HALF OF THE SOUTHWEST QUARTER OF SECTION 5, TOWNSHIP 38 NORTH, RANGE 14 EAST OF THE THIRD PRINCIPAL MERIDIAN TO THE EAST LINE OF SAID EAST HALF OF THE SOUTHWEST QUARTER OF SECTION 5;

THENCE SOUTH ALONG SAID EAST LINE OF THE EAST HALF OF THE SOUTHWEST QUARTER OF SECTION 5, TOWNSHIP 38 NORTH, RANGE 14 EAST OF THE THIRD PRINCIPAL MERIDIAN TO THE SOUTH LINE OF SAID EAST HALF OF THE SOUTHWEST QUARTER OF SECTION 5, SAID SOUTH LINE BEING ALSO THE CENTER LINE OF WEST 47TH STREET;

Chicago Guarantee Survey Co. 601 S. LaSalle St., Suite 400, Chicago, Il., 60605 Ordered by: Peckham Guyton Albers & Viets, Inc

THENCE WEST ALONG SAID SOUTH LINE OF SAID EAST HALF OF THE SOUTHWEST QUARTER OF SECTION 5 TO THE EAST LINE OF THE WEST HALF OF THE NORTHWEST QUARTER OF SECTION 8, TOWNSHIP 38 NORTH, RANGE 14 EAST OF THE THIRD PRINCIPAL MERIDIAN, SAID EAST LINE BEING ALSO THE CENTER LINE OF SOUTH LOOMIS STREET:

THENCE SOUTH ALONG SAID CENTER LINE OF SOUTH LOOMIS STREET TO THE EASTERLY EXTENSION OF THE NORTH LINE OF LOT 1 IN KAY'S SUBDIVISION OF THE EAST HALF OF THE NORTHEAST QUARTER OF THE NORTHWEST QUARTER OF THE NORTHWEST QUARTER OF SECTION 8, TOWNSHIP 38 NORTH, RANGE 14 EAST OF THE THIRD PRINCIPAL MERIDIAN, SAID NORTH LINE OF LOT 1 BEING ALSO THE SOUTH LINE OF THE ALLEY SOUTH OF WEST 47TH STREET;

THENCE WEST ALONG SAID NORTH LINE OF LOT 1 IN KAY'S SUBDIVISION TO THE WEST LINE THEREOF, SAID WEST LINE OF LOT 1 IN KAY'S SUBDIVISION BEING ALSO THE EAST LINE OF THE ALLEY WEST OF SOUTH LOOMIS STREET;

THENCE SOUTH ALONG SAID EAST LINE OF THE ALLEY WEST OF SOUTH LOOMIS STREET TO THE SOUTH LINE OF WEST 48TH STREET:

THENCE WEST ALONG SAID SOUTH LINE OF WEST 48TH STREET TO THE WEST LINE OF SOUTH BISHOP STREET;

THENCE NORTH ALONG SAID WEST LINE OF SOUTH BISHOP STREET TO THE NORTH LINE OF LOT 1 IN COUNSELMAN'S SUBDIVISION OF THE WEST HALF OF THE NORTHEAST QUARTER OF THE NORTHWEST QUARTER OF THE NORTHWEST QUARTER OF SECTION 8, TOWNSHIP 38 NORTH, RANGE 14 EAST OF THE THIRD PRINCIPAL MERIDIAN, SAID NORTH LINE OF LOT 1 BEING ALSO THE SOUTH LINE OF THE ALLEY SOUTH OF WEST 47TH STREET;

THENCE WEST ALONG SAID SOUTH LINE OF THE ALLEY SOUTH OF WEST 47TH STREET TO THE WEST LINE OF LOT 44 IN BLOCK 5 OF KAY'S ADDITION TO CHICAGO, BEING A SUBDIVISION OF THE NORTHWEST QUARTER OF THE NORTHWEST QUARTER AND THE SOUTH HALF OF THE NORTHWEST QUARTER ALL IN SECTION 8, TOWNSHIP 38 NORTH, RANGE 14 EAST OF THE THIRD PRINCIPAL MERIDIAN, SAID WEST LINE OF LOT 44 IN BLOCK 5 OF KAY'S SUBDIVISION BEING ALSO THE EAST LINE OF THE ALLEY EAST OF SOUTH ASHLAND AVENUE;

THENCE SOUTH ALONG SAID EAST LINE OF THE ALLEY EAST OF SOUTH ASHLAND AVENUE TO THE NORTH LINE OF WEST 48TH STREET;

Chicago Guarantee Survey Co. 601 S. LaSalle St., Suite 400, Chicago, Il., 60605 Ordered by: Peckham Guyton Albers & Viets, Inc

THENCE EAST ALONG SAID NORTH LINE OF WEST 48TH STREET TO THE EAST LINE OF SOUTH JUSTINE STREET;

THENCE SOUTH ALONG SAID EAST LINE OF SOUTH JUSTINE STREET TO THE EASTERLY EXTENSION OF THE NORTH LINE OF LOT 41 IN BLOCK 4 OF THE RESUBDIVISION OF BLOCKS 3 AND 4 IN AFORESAID KAY'S ADDITION TO CHICAGO;

THENCE WEST ALONG SAID EASTERLY EXTENSION AND THE NORTH LINE OF LOT 41 IN BLOCK 4 OF THE RESUBDIVISION OF BLOCKS 3 AND 4 IN KAY'S ADDITION TO CHICAGO TO THE WEST LINE OF SAID LOT 41, SAID WEST LINE OF LOT 41 BEING ALSO THE EAST LINE OF THE ALLEY EAST OF SOUTH ASHLAND AVENUE;

THENCE SOUTH ALONG SAID EAST LINE OF THE ALLEY EAST OF SOUTH ASHLAND AVENUE TO THE NORTH LINE OF WEST 49TH STREET;

THENCE EAST ALONG SAID NORTH LINE OF WEST 49TH STREET TO THE POINT OF BEGINNING AT THE EAST LINE OF SOUTH JUSTINE STREET;

EXCEPTING FROM THE FOREGOING THE FOLLOWING TWO PARCELS OF LAND:

EXCEPTION PARCEL 1.

BEING ALL THAT PART OF THE WEST HALF OF THE SOUTHWEST QUARTER OF SECTION 5, TOWNSHIP 38 NORTH, RANGE 14 EAST OF THE THIRD PRINCIPAL MERIDIAN BOUNDED AND DESCRIBED AS FOLLOWS:

BEGINNING AT THE POINT OF INTERSECTION OF THE NORTH LINE OF WEST 46TH STREET WITH THE WEST LINE OF SOUTH JUSTINE STREET;

THENCE WEST ALONG SAID NORTH LINE OF WEST 46TH STREET TO THE WEST LINE OF LOT 24 IN BLOCK 4 OF S. E. GROSS' SUBDIVISION OF THE SOUTHWEST QUARTER OF THE SOUTHWEST QUARTER OF SECTION 5, TOWNSHIP 38 NORTH, RANGE 14 EAST OF THE THIRD PRINCIPAL MERIDIAN, SAID WEST LINE OF LOT 24 BEING ALSO THE EAST LINE OF THE ALLEY WEST OF SOUTH JUSTINE STREET;

THENCE NORTH ALONG SAID EAST LINE OF THE ALLEY WEST OF SOUTH JUSTINE STREET TO THE SOUTH LINE OF WEST 45TH STREET;

THENCE EAST ALONG SAID SOUTH LINE OF WEST 45TH STREET TO THE EAST LINE OF LOT 44 IN BLOCK 2 OF AFORESAID S. E. GROSS' SUBDIVISION, SAID EAST LINE OF LOT 44 BEING ALSO THE WEST LINE OF THE ALLEY EAST SOUTH LAFLIN STREET;

Chicago Guarantee Survey Co. 601 S. LaSalle St., Suite 400, Chicago, Il., 60605 Ordered by: Peckham Guyton Albers & Viets, Inc

THENCE SOUTH ALONG SAID WEST LINE OF THE ALLEY EAST OF SOUTH LAFLIN STREET TO THE NORTHEASTERLY LINE OF LOT 30 IN SAID BLOCK 2 OF S. E. GROSS' SUBDIVISION, SAID NORTHEASTERLY LINE OF LOT 30 BEING ALSO THE SOUTHWESTERLY LINE OF THE ALLEY EAST OF SOUTH LAFLIN STREET;

THENCE SOUTHEAST ALONG SAID NORTHEASTERLY LINE OF LOT 30 IN BLOCK 2 OF S. E. GROSS' SUBDIVISION AND ALONG THE NORTHEASTERLY LINE OF LOT 22 IN SAID BLOCK 2 OF S. E. GROSS' SUBDIVISION TO THE NORTHWESTERLY LINE OF MC DOWELL STREET;

THENCE SOUTHWEST ALONG SAID NORTHWESTERLY LINE OF MC DOWELL STREET TO THE EAST LINE OF SOUTH LAFLIN STREET;

THENCE NORTH ALONG SAID EAST OF SOUTH LAFLIN STREET TO THE EASTERLY EXTENSION OF THE SOUTH LINE OF LOT 21 IN BLOCK 3 IN SAID S. E. GROSS' SUBDIVISION;

THENCE WEST ALONG SAID EASTERLY EXTENSION AND THE SOUTH LINE OF LOT 21 IN BLOCK 3 IN S. E. GROSS' SUBDIVISION AND ALONG THE WESTERLY EXTENSION THEREOF TO THE EAST LINE OF LOT 27 IN SAID BLOCK 3 IN S. E. GROSS' SUBDIVISION, SAID EAST LINE OF LOT 27 BEING ALSO THE WEST LINE OF THE ALLEY WEST OF SOUTH LAFLIN STREET;

THENCE SOUTH ALONG SAID WEST LINE OF THE ALLEY WEST OF SOUTH LAFLIN STREET TO THE NORTH LINE OF WEST 46TH STREET;

THENCE WEST ALONG SAID NORTH LINE OF WEST 46TH STREET TO THE POINT OF BEGINNING AT THE WEST LINE OF SOUTH JUSTINE STREET;

EXCEPTION PARCEL 2,

BEING ALL THAT PART OF THE WEST HALF OF THE SOUTHWEST QUARTER OF SECTION 5, TOWNSHIP 38 NORTH, RANGE 14 EAST OF THE THIRD PRINCIPAL MERIDIAN BOUNDED AND DESCRIBED AS FOLLOWS:

BEGINNING AT THE POINT OF INTERSECTION OF THE SOUTH LINE OF WEST 46TH STREET WITH THE EAST LINE OF SOUTH LAFLIN STREET;

THENCE EAST ALONG SAID SOUTH LINE OF WEST 46TH STREET TO THE WEST LINE OF SOUTH BISHOP STREET;

Chicago Guarantee Survey Co. 601 S. LaSalle St., Suite 400, Chicago, Il., 60605 Ordered by: Peckham Guyton Albers & Viets, Inc

THENCE SOUTH ALONG SAID WEST LINE OF SOUTH BISHOP STREET TO THE SOUTH LINE OF LOT 46 IN BLOCK 2 OF S. E. GROSS' SUBDIVISION OF THE SOUTHEAST QUARTER OF THE SOUTHWEST QUARTER OF THE SOUTHWEST QUARTER OF SECTION 8, TOWNSHIP 38 NORTH, RANGE 14 EAST OF THE THIRD PRINCIPAL MERIDIAN;

THENCE WEST ALONG SAID SOUTH LINE OF LOT 46 IN BLOCK 2 OF S. E. GROSS' SUBDIVISION AND ALONG THE WESTERLY EXTENSION THEREOF TO THE EAST LINE OF LOT 5 IN SAID BLOCK 2 OF S. E. GROSS' SUBDIVISION, SAID EAST LINE OF LOT 5 BEING ALSO THE WEST LINE OF THE ALLEY EAST OF SOUTH LAFLIN STREET;

THENCE SOUTH ALONG SAID WEST LINE OF THE ALLEY EAST OF SOUTH LAFLIN STREET TO THE NORTH LINE OF LOT 15 IN SAID BLOCK 2 OF S. E. GROSS' SUBDIVISION;

THENCE WEST ALONG SAID NORTH LINE OF LOT 15 IN SAID BLOCK 2 OF S. E. GROSS' SUBDIVISION TO THE EAST LINE OF SOUTH LAFLIN STREET;

THENCE NORTH ALONG SAID EAST LINE OF SOUTH LAFLIN STREET TO THE POINT OF BEGINNING AT THE SOUTH LINE OF WEST 46TH STREET;

ALL IN THE CITY OF CHICAGO, COOK COUNTY, ILLINOIS.

Attachment Four 2000 Estimated EAV by Tax Parcel

59	58	57	56	55	ድ	53	52	51	æ	49	48	47	46	45	2	3	42	41	40	39	38	37	36	35	2	3,3	3 5	: 5	29	28	27	26	25	24	23	22	21	3 4	6 6		16	15	1.4	13	12	11	10	8	•	7	6	Jn 4			3 -	COUNT
20-05-305-036	20-05-305-035	20-05-305-034	20-05-305-033	20-05-305-032	20-05-305-031	20-05-305-030	20-05-305-029	20-05-305-028	20-05-305-027	20-05-305-026	20-05-305-025	20-05-305-024	20-05-305-023	20-05-305-022	20-05-305-021	20-05-304-046	20-05-304-045	20-05-303-044	20-05-303-019	20-05-303-018	20-05-303-017	20-05-303-016	20-05-303-015	20-05-303-014	20-05-303-013	20-05-303-013	20-05-303-010	20-05-303-009	20-05-303-008	20-05-303-007	20-05-303-004	20-05-303-003	20-05-303-002	20-05-303-001	20-05-301-004	20-05-301-003	20-05-301-001	20-05-300-032	20-05-200-031	20-05-300-030	20-05-300-029	20-05-300-028	20-05-300-026	20-05-300-025	20-05-300-024	20-05-300-021	20-05-300-020	20-05-300-019	20-05-300-018	20-05-300-017	20-05-300-014	210-000-30-02	200-000-00-009	20-05-300-006	200 000 200 001	PIN NUMBER
2 995	2,831	20,298	1,078	1,221	8,525	8 978	1.223	1,247	1,501	13,646	1,663	13,012	13,661	2,010	10,468	20,788	8,376	EXEMPT	50.364	100,860	16,016	10.510	EXEMPT	11 565	26 726	37,159	45,290	44,946	48,097	EXEMPT	EXEMPT	EXEMPT	EXEMPT	EXEMPT	982,552	987.923	959,509	884,504	8,745	324,564	42,825	33,008	16,418	2,449,403	2,672,869	667,208	93,102	74,670	230.486	136.179	EXEMPT	279,203	129,312	EXEMPT	20,030	2000 EAV
					YES	VES	YES	YES			YES		YES		YES																										YES															DELINQUENT
										MULTI-FAMILY		MULTI-FAMILY				MULTI-FAMILY				VED OOF	MIXED ISE	WINED ONE	LIVEDIO																																	RESIDENTIAL UNIT
										2	,	١				3				-	-	1																																		RESIDENTIAL UNITS ²
									-	3	J	3				3						-																																		RESIDENTIAL UNITS ³
									1431 W. 451H ST.		143/ W. 451H ST.				TOTO O. DOI CHA GI.					4553 S. ASHLAND AVE		4543 S. ASHLAND AVE.																																		RESIDENTIAL PROPERTY ADDRESS'
																																																								CHANGE IN
																																																								DILAPIDATED STRUCTURE*
YES		YES		YES	YES	YES																																										ON EXHIBIT H-1								

09/26/2001
Revised January 30, 2002
47th - Ashland Year 2000 EAV EXHIBIT for Redevelopment Plan xis

† PGAV Urban Consulting

177	176	175		1/2	171	170	169	68	167	166	165	Ī	163	162	62	8	159		2 2	80		2	2	5	2	86	148	147	146	145	144	143	142	1	5	3	13/	136	135	ĭ	133	132	131	130	128	127	126	125	124	123	122	121	120	119
20-05-311-015	20-05-311-014	20-03-311-013	20-05-311-010	20-05-311-008	20-05-311-007	20-05-311-006	20-05-310-003	20-05-310-002	20-05-309-043	20-05-309-042	20-05-309-041	20-05-309-039	20-05-309-037	20-05-309-036	20-05-309-035	70.05.30.0C	2005-20-033	20-00-000	20 05 300 034	670-60C-C0-07	020-000-000	20-000-000	20-05-309-026	50-06-005-025 620-806-60-02	20-05-309-024	20-05-309-019	20-05-309-018	20-05-309-017	20-05-309-016	20-05-309-015	20-05-308-057	20-05-308-056	20-05-308-055	Parage Surue	040-000-000	CBO-000-000	20-05-308-044	20-05-308-040	20-05-308-020	20-05-308-004	20-05-308-003	20-05-307-031	20-05-307-030	20-05-007-020	20-05-307-026	20-05-307-025	20-05-307-024	20-05-307-023	20-05-307-022	20-05-307-021	20-05-307-020	20-05-207-010	20-05-307-017	20-05-307-017
148.763	1																											20,269	20,269	33,615	26,658	EXEMPT	EVEWIL	AEMP	33,690	42,322	33,590	4,280	EXEMP	EXEMPT	EXEMPT	670 870	30,237	ALLEY	EXEMPT	EXEMPT	10,117	4,890	10,180	2952	317.070	4,082	22,000	322 66
																																																	YES	VFO				
																																													MULTI-FAMILY	MOLITICAMIL I	MINITIFAMILY	MIXED USE	1070107		MIXED USE		MULTI-FAMILY	
																																													2	2	<u> </u>						3	CAHO
																																												-	3	2	_	1			1		3	UNITS
																																												4614 S. MCDOWELL ST.		1519 W 46TH ST	1521 W 46TH ST	1525 W 46 H ST		1333 W. 461 H St.	1533 W ARTH CT	ISH W 46IH ST		AUUMESS
-																																																		İ			Carp can	LAND USE
											-																																										SIKUCTURE"	OTDI STILLE
					163	YES	TES	VE 0		YES									***************************************	***************************************																																		CH CAMBI H-1

09/26/2001
Revised January 30, 2002
Revised January 30, 2002
47th - Ashland Year 2000 EAV EXHIBIT for Redevelopment Plan.xls

PGAV Urban Consulting

	20-06-411-035	20-06-411-034	20-06-411-033 14,817	20-06-411-032	20-06-411-031	20.00 44 27-030	20.06.41.020	20-06-411-029	20-06-411-028	20-06-411-027	20-06-411-026	20-06-411-025	20-06-406-047	20-06-406-046		20-06-406-044		20-06-406-042	20-06-406-041	20-06-406-040		20-06-406-038	273 20-06-406-037 5,156	20-06-406-036	20-06-406-035	20-06-406-034	-	20-06-406-032	20-06-406-031	1	20-06-406-029	\dagger	20-05-405-027	20-06-406-026	20-06-406-024	20-06-406-005	258 20-06-406-004 9,719	20-06-406-003	20-06-406-002		20-06-405-006	20-06-405-005	20-06-405-004	20 06 406 002	250 20-06-405-001 EXEMPT	20-05-404-024	+	20-06-201-038		+	20-06-201-035		20-06-201-033	20-06-201-032	239 20-06-201-031 5,023	20-06-201-030	1000
	4			2							3	9		5	3	7	8	8	5		6											318	4		75	3.6	9	28	75	3	7	7				5	93	3	8	32	52	61	63	23	23	33	
																MIXED LISE	MILITILE AMILY	MIXED LICE	MOC 11-F AMIL I	MOLITERMILY	MIII TI EARIN		MIXED USE	SINGLE FAMILY												MIXED USF	SINGLE FAMILY	Mixed right									MIXED USE			The state of the s	SINGLE FAMILY						_
_															٥		١	,	2	2			2													- -	. 2									ļ	3			-							
															u	2	3		2	2			2														2										3										
															4350 S. ASHLAND AVE.	4348 S. ASHLAND AVE.	4346 S. ASHLAND AVE		4340 S. ASHLAND AVE.	4338 S. ASHLAND AVE			4330 S. ASHLAND AVE	4326 S. ASHLAND AVE											1615 W. 43RD ST.	1617 W. 43RD ST.	1621 W. 43RD ST.									4258 S. ASHLAND AVE				4244 S. ASHLAND AVE.							
																																																									1
																																																								O NOC LONE	
_											****																																														

			1848 W. 471H ST.					15,131	20-06-420-025	334
					1	MIXED USE		16,330	20-06-420-024	2
			1854 W. 47TH ST	2		THE COURT		22,055	20-06-420-023	352
					,	MIXED LIGE		23,064	20-06-420-022	351
								21.461	20-06-420-021	350
								4 754	20-06-420-020	349
			1902 W. 47TH ST	2	2	MIXED USE		36,043	20-06-419-048	36
								22,030	20.06.410.047	347
								4,563	20-06-410-045	4
								8,834	20-06-419-044	34.5
								8,834	20-00-419-043	2 3
			1910 11. 47 117 01.					8,985	20-06-419-042	3 3
			1918 W 47 IH ST	*	-3	MIXED USE		19,618	20-06-419-041	3 3
			1920 W. 47 H ST	3	2	MIXED USE		32,008	20-06-419-040	2 2
			1922 W. 47 TH ST.	1	_	MIXED USE		26,945	20-06-419-039	1 2
			100A W 1774 CT	3	2	MIXED USE		32,893	000-419-030	330
			(301 84, 4) (1) 31,					142,718	000-014-00-02	
			1034 W 47TH ST	4	*	MIXED USE		34,009	Se0-01 1-00-07	197
			1936 W 47TH ST	-	-	MIXED USE		29,517	20-00-410-048	3 2
								24,334	20 00 410 047	777
								610.67	20 06 418 047	
								20,413	20-06-418-046	333
								36 445	20-06-418-045	332
								40.660	20-06-418-044	331
		+						30 405	20-06-417-044	330
								788 30	20-06-417-043	329
								24 776	20-06-417-042	328
								2,171	20-06-417-041	327
		+						0.741	20-06-417-040	326
			4550 S. ASHLAND AVE	3	з	MIXED USE		9741	20-06-417-039	325
			4544 S. ASHLAND AVE	-	2	MIXED USE		23 311	20-06-417-03B	324
			4542 S. ASHLAND AVE		_	MIXED USE		22 258	20-06-417-037	323
								15 520	20-06-417-036	322
								10,000	20-06-417-035	321
								0,000	20-06-417-034	320
	***************************************							0,000	20-06-417-033	319
								0.660	20-06-417-032	318
								50,002	20-06-417-031	317
								20,020	20-06-417-025	318
								920,00	20 06 417 020	215
								56 63 8 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6	20-06-417-029	314
			4514 S. ASHLAND AVE	_		MIXED USE		19 030	20-06-417-027	313
								5 107	20.06.417.026	312
			4508 S ASHI AND AVE	-	_	MIXED USE		25,007	20.06.417.025	311
								24,007	20-06-417-024	310
			4558 S. MARSHFIELD AVE	6	6	MULTI-FAMILY		100,702	20-06-417-022	309
			4556 S. MARSHEIFI D AVE	2	2	MULTI-FAMILY		26,200	20-06-416-047	308
			4550 S. MARSHFIELD AVE	2	2	MIXED USE		23 040	20-06-416-046	307
			4548 S. MARSHFIELD AVE	3	3	MULTI-FAMILY		31 841	20-06-416-045	306
			4546 S. MARSHFIELD AVE	2	2	MULTI-FAMILY		13 210	20-06-416-044	305
YES			4544 S. MARSHFIELD AVE	3	3	MULTI-FAMILY		15 191	20-06-416-043	30.
YES								18 388	20-06-416-042	363
								5 106	20-06-416-041	302
								12.600	20-06-416-040	301
			- FE					03,302	20-06-411-041	38
			4450 S ASHI AND AVE	2	2	MIXED USE		83.087	20-06-411-040	299
								33 477	20-06-411-039	298
								20,012	20-06-411-038	297
ON EXHIBIT H-17	STRUCTURE	LAND USE ⁵	ADDRESS*	UNITS		- 1776		10.052	20-06-411-037	296
	DILAPIDATED	CHANGE IN	RESIDENTIAL PROPERTY	RESIDENTIAL		RESIDENTIAL UNIT	DELINQUENT	2000 EAV	PIN NUMBER	COUNT
				ZYZI IZEZ	NUMBER OF		4			!

413	412	111	95.6	404	3 3	200	407	406	405	404	403	402	2	į	100	8	200	207	ğ	9	3 2	202	3 2	200	300	300	10/	386	Jas	104	200	302	381	380	3/9	3/8	377	376	375	374	373	372	371	370	88	368	367	366	365	ž	363	362	361	360	359	358	357	356	355
20-06-425-027	20-06-425-025	20-06-425-024	20-06-425-021	20-06-425-020	610-674-00-07	20 00 425 010	20-06-435-018	20-06-425-016	20-06-424-043	20-06-424-042	20-06-424-041	20-06-424-040	20-06-424-038	20-00-424-03/	RI0-474-00-07	20-00-423-040	C#0-03#-00-03	20.00 22 044	20-00-423-043	20-05-423-042	20-06-423-041	20-00-423-040	20-05-423-039	20-06-422-028	20-06-422-02/	20-06-422-026	20-06-422-025	20-06-422-024	20-06-422-023	20-06-422-022	20-06-422-021	20-06-422-020	20-06-422-019	20-06-422-018	20-06-422-017	20-06-422-016	20-06-422-015	20-06-422-014	20-06-422-013	20-06-422-012	20-06-422-011	20-06-422-010	20-06-422-009	20-06-422-008	20-06-422-007	20-06-422-006	20-06-122-005	20-06-422-002	20-06-422-001	20-06-421-048	20-06-421-042	20-06-421-041	20-06-421-040	20-06-421-030	570-024-00-07	20-06-420-029	20-06-420-029	20-06-420-025	30.0c.k.30.0c
53.991	36,127	61,186	17,683	13,866	22,934	30,504	300,307	306 507	27 582	62,389	48,690	26,320	43,976	214,612	3,424	28,8/4	35,160	35,594	30,829	30,435	2,853	11,804	50,287	EXEMPT	24,149	EXEMPT	EXEMPT	35,563	EXEMPT	27,834	34,009	31,385	25,551	35,140	24,178	38,429	35,358	22,437	16,663	9,552	18,797	14.997	16,550	EXEMPT	23,858	14.362	2035	7 150	EXEMPT	137 610	375 305	9 163	57,300	75,179	42,311	40,407	23,093	4,363	1550
																																																											OCT.
			MIXED LISE	MIXED LISE	MIXED USE	MIXED USE													MIXED USE			MIXED USE		SINGLE FAMILY	MULTI-FAMILY			MIXED USE	MIXED USE	MIXEDUSE	MIXED USE	MIXED USE	MIXED USE			MIXED USE	MIXED LISE	MULITIEANILY	MULTI FAMILY	MULTICAMILY	MULTI-FAMILY	MINGLE PAMILY	CINCLE TANK	MULTITAMILY	SINGLE FAMILY	CHIOLOGIC	MULTIFAMILY			MIXED USE					MIXED USE	MIXED USE	MIXED USE		TIPE
			\ \ \ \	\ \ \ \		1													4		,	2		.,	,		,	3	-	1	4	3	-		-	٠ ا	3	2	2	2	2	1		6	-		u			-					3		-		UNITS2
		_			-	1													4		,	3			3) -		,		, -			1		4	2	2	2	2			6			3								3 -	-	-	OTHER	I WITC
		4612 S. ASHLAND AVE.	4610 S. ASHLAND AVE.	4608 S. ASHLAND AVE	4606 S. ASHLAND AVE.													1700 W. 4/ 1H SI			1/12 W. 4/TH ST.			4635 S. WOOD ST			1734 W. 47TH ST	1736 W. 47TH ST	1738 W, 47TH ST	1740 W. 47TH ST.	1742 W, 47TH ST	1746 W, 47TH ST			1756 W. 47TH ST	1758 W. 47TH ST	4644 S. HERMITAGE	4642 S. HERMITAGE	4638 S. HERMITAGE	4636 S. HERMITAGE	4634 S. HERMITAGE	4632 S. HERMITAGE		4645 S. WOOD ST	4643 S. WOOD ST		4633 S WOOD ST			1812 W 47TH CT				1836 W. 4/TH ST.	1836 W. 4/TH ST	1839 W 47TH ST	IRAD W ATTUST		ADDRESS*
																																																										Control Control	I AND USES
		***************************************																																																								SIKUCTURE"	STRUCTURED
YES						YES																																																				_	ON EXHIBIT H-1

471	470	469	468	467	466	465	464	63	462	61	460	459	158	457	486	455	ž	ź	451	450	449	448	447	446	45	1	442	411	440	439	438	437	435	134	433	432	431	430	429	428	127	425	424	423	422	421	420	419	418	417	415	114	00011
20-07-109-007	20-07-109-006	20-07-109-005	20-07-109-004	20-07-109-003	20-07-109-002	20-07-109-001	20-07-108-048	20-07-108-030	20-07-108-029	20-07-108-011	20-07-108-010	20-07-108-009	20-07-108-008	20-07-108-007	20-07-108-006	20-07-108-005	20-07-108-003	20-07-108-002	20-07-108-001	20-07-107-037	20-07-107-036	20-07-107-035	20-07-107-034	20-07-107-032	20-07-107-030	90.07.107.029	20-07-107-025	20-07-107-016	20-07-107-015	20-07-107-014	20-07-107-013	20-07-107-017	20-07-107-010	20-07-107-009	20-07-107-008	20-07-107-007	20-07-107-006	20-07-107-005	20-07-107-004	20-07-107-002	20-06-425-051	20-06-425-050	20-06-425-049	20-06-425-048	20-06-425-036	20-06-425-035	20-06-425-034	20-06-425-033	20-06-425-031	20-06-425-030	20-06-425-029	20-06-425-028	TA ACMORA
15,836	17.379	15,476	8,081	8,402	15,547	25,310	121.454	24 345	22 384	15,520	4,278	12,265	12,983	4,278	11 183	20,050	18,593	11,469	4,964	132,111	2.183	80,706	293,017	95 537	540,166	264,281	417,335	93,460	68,362	5,063	4 785	5,063	5,063	5,063	5,063	5,063	20 272	5,063	5,063	2,003	9,861	11,413	84,964	79,853	36,323	24,314	219,684	140 606	61,464	61.464	28,223	50,718	413 0002
							ΥΕς			-																																											DELINQUENT
MIN TLEAMILY	SINGLE EVANILY	MULTI-FAMILY	SINGLE FAMILY	MUI TI-FAMII Y	MILITI-FAMILY		MIXED USE		MULTI-FAMILY	SINGLE FAMILY		SINGLE FAMILY	MULTI-FAMILY	SINGLE FAMILY	MULTI-FAMILY		MULTI-FAMILY		MIXED USE																										mixed Ode	MIXEDUSE							TYPE1
,		3	,	3	3		-1		2	-1		1	3	1	2		3	-	-																											•						CNIC	KESIDENIIAL
-	2	3		,,					2		-				2		3	-	*																										-							UNITS	RESIDENTIAL
2013 W. 47TH ST.	2015 W. 47TH ST.	2017 W. 47TH ST.	2021 W. 47TH ST.	2023 W. 47TH ST.			4759 S. HOYNE		2035 W 47TH ST	2035 W. 47TH ST - Rear	2041 W 47TH ST	2043 W. 47TH ST		2047 W. 47TH ST	2049 W. 47TH ST	2000 11. Tr 111 01.		2059 W 47TH ST																											4604 S. ASHLAND AVE.							2007530	ADDRESS!
																																															1	+				LAND USE	CHANGE IN
																																																				STRUCTURE	DILAPIDATED
		,			153	Vr.o													YES	VEC					YES		YES	VES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	VE S	VEC	YES	YES	YES	YES			YES	YES		165	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	ON EXAMINIT H-1	

	510	509	508	2	9		Š	501	503	502	501	500	499	498	497	\$	495	494	28.0	781		2 2	8 8	100		487	267	485	2	483	482	481	480	479	478	477	476	475	474	473	472	COUNT
20-07-204-006	20-07-204-005	20-07-204-004	20-07-204-003	20-07-204-002	20-07-204-001	000-002-10-02	20 07 202 008	20-07-203-007	20-07-203-006	20-07-203-005	20-07-203-004	20-07-203-003	20-07-203-002	20-07-203-001	20-07-202-028	20-07-202-027	20-07-202-026	20-07-202-025	20-07-202-024	20-07-202-001	20-07-201-011	20-07-201-010	600-107-70-07	800-107-10-02	100-102-10-02	20-07-201-003	20.07.201.004	20.07.201.004	20-07-201-003	20-07-201-002	20-07-201-001	20-07-200-017	20-07-200-004	20-07-200-003	20-07-200-002	20-07-200-001	20-07-126-043	20-07-109-011	20-07-109-010	20-07-109-009	20-07-109-008	PIN NUMBER
11,803	9,646	135,140	135,140	49,695	8,347	/6,32/	41,742	41 743	27 994	34,391	26,953	19,525	16,514	143,796	25,719	24,932	30,555	EXEMPT	29,266	50,871	37,161	21,728	15,678	16,145	22,982	32,897	0,9/6	8075	10,501	16 001	40 150	147 625	9.437	27 098	27,098	41,030	61,251	25,312	20,941	16,850	16,872	2000 EAV
																																										TAX DELINQUENT
MIXED USE						MIXED USE		MIXED USE	MIXED OSE	MIXED USE	MIXED LIGHT	MIXED LICE	OH COLL I CIMILLI	SINGLEFAMILY		MIXEDIA	MIXED USF		MIXED USE	MIXEDUSE	MIXED USE	MULTI-FAMILY	MULTI-FAMILY	MULTI-FAMILY	MIXED USE	MIXED USE	MULTI-FAMILY	MULTI-FAMILY	MULTI-FAMILY								MIXED OSE	MIXED HOT		.morris Contra	MI II TILEAMII V	RESIDENTIAL UNIT
_						8			2	-					-		-		3		8	3	2	2	3	ω	2	2	2								G				UNIT	RESIDENTIAL
1						1		-	2	1	1				-				3 -		,	3 -	٠,	3 (3	3	3	2	2								5			2	UNITS	RESIDENTIAL
4747 11 4791					1801W. 4/1H ST.			1811 W 47TH ST	1813 W. 47TH ST	1817 W. 47TH ST	1819 W 47TH ST		4709 S. HONORE ST.		1837 W. 47TH ST.	1839 W. 47TH ST.		1845 W. 47TH ST.	4/03 S. WOLCOTT	1901 W. 47TH ST.	1903 W. 47TH ST.	1905 W. 4/TH ST.	1909 W. 4/ IH ST	1911 W. 4/1H ST.	1915 W. 47 IH ST	1917 W. 47 H ST	1017 W 47 10 31	1001 W 47TH 6T	1923 W 47TH ST								2005 W. 47TH ST.			2009 W. 47TH ST	ADORESS	RESIDENTIAL PROPERTY
																																									LAND USE	CHANGE IN
																																									STRUCTURE	DILAPIDATED
										***************************************																															ON EXHIBIT H-1	

_							1111111		-
512	20-07-204-007	46,782		MIXED USE	2	2	1743 W. 47TH ST		
513	20-07-204-008	25,081		MIXED USE	2	2	1741 W. 47TH ST.		
515	20-07-204-019	8,825			-		1737 W. 47TH ST	1 1	
516	20-07-204-010	30,733		MIXED USE	2	2	4722 W 4771 CT		
517	20-07-205-002	30 30 5		MIXED USE	4	3	1735 W. 47 IH ST.		
Ц	20-07-205-005	194,805		MIXED USE	_		1723 W. 47 TH ST		
Ц	20-07-205-006	62,854						- 1	
520	20-07-205-007	62,854		MIXED USE	-3	-	1707 W. 47TH ST	$\neg \tau$	
1	900-502-10-02 800-502-10-02	77,284		MIXED USE	1	1	4704141 4741	1	
1	20-07-205-042	194 805					1/01 W. 4/1H ST.	╁	
Ц	20-07-206-001	42 236						+	
4	20-07-206-002	89 047		MIXED USE	4	•	4711 S DAIH INIA ST	+	
526	20-07-206-003	7,40,60		MIXED USE	-	0	1657 W 47TH ST	╁	
4	20-07-206-004	11 836					1001 14. 1/ 1/1 01.	+	
	20-07-206-005	36.223						+	
4	20-07-206-006	29 128						+	
4	20-07-206-007	32 906		MIXED USE		-	1647 W 47TH ST	+	
	20-07-206-008	8.961		MIXED USE	_	0	1645 W 47TH ST	╁	
-	20-07-206-009	28 257					1010 11 11 11 01	+	
-	20-07-206-010	45 653		MIXED USE	3	3	1641 W 47TH CT	+	
4	20-07-206-029	16.950					S41 W. 4/17 S1.	+	
-	20-07-206-030	3.057		SINGLE FAMILY	-	-	4714 S MARSHEIEI D AVE	+	
Н	20-07-207-001	258,075						+	
Н	20-07-207-002	342 584						+	
\dashv	20-07-207-020	24.483						+	
-	20-07-207-021	62 247						+	
Н	20-07-207-022	44.666						+	
	20-07-207-023	34 693						+	
-	20-07-207-024	96.313						+	
+	20-07-207-025	30,313						十	
+	20.07.007.000	31,//8						t	
+	20-07-207-026	33,839						Н	
+	20-07-207-027	66,349						\dashv	1
L	20-07-207-028	36,517						+	
L	20-07-207-029	47.661						+	+
Ц	20-07-207-030	28 676						\dagger	
+	20-07-207-031	61 277		MIXED USE	_	_	4740 S ASHI AND AVE	$^{+}$	
+	20-07-207-032	AE 133					11 TO S. ASHIDAND AVE.	t	
+	20-707-207-032	40,122						\dagger	
+	20-07-707-0-02	43,983						T	
+	20-07-207-034	57,562						T	
+	20-07-207-035	102,285							
200	20-07-207-054	EXEMPT							
-	20-07-207-055	903						T	
_	20-07-207-056	TAMEXE						T	
+	20-07-215-001	CYCLIOT							
+	20.07.215.002	CAEMP							
+	200-215-002	6,806	YES						
\vdash	20-07-215-021	53,144							
L	20-07-215-022	112 104						T	
+	20-07-215-023	104 779						T	
+	20 07 24 6 02	104,238							
+	20-07-215-024	9,279							
\vdash	20-07-215-025	9,279							
_	20-07-215-026	50 242						ł	
565	20-07-215-027	42 860						1	
+	20-07-215-026	42,009						1	
+	20-07-215-020	42,869		MIXED USE	2	3		1	
+	20-07-215-029	26,651					4828 S. ASHLAND		
\vdash	20-07-215-030	48,548						- 1	
								ı	

			1722 W. 51ST ST	-	-	SINGLE FAMILY	-	22,444	20-07-228-034	Н
			1/56 W. 51ST ST.	-				23,685	20-07-228-032	627
			1/58 W. 51ST ST.	\\ - -	-	SINGLE FAMILY		4,362	20-07-227-052	+
				,	3	MIXED USE		12,897	20-07-227-051	+
			1736 W. 51ST ST	_		יייווייירט ספר	YES	3,847	20-07-227-050	623
						MIXED LIGE	YES	11,380	20-07-227-049	L
								33,550	20-07-227-048	H
			- 1-					9992	20-07-227-047	620
			1748 W 515T ST	3	3	MIXED USE		8,0 %	20-07-227-046	+
							YES	17 046	20-07-227-045	+
							YES	3,856	20-07-227-043	617
								EXEMPT	20-07-225-051	+
								16,652	20-07-225-050	+
								EXEMPT	20-07-225-047	200
								EXEMPT	20-07-225-046	+
			5053 S. WINCHESTER	2		MOCITY AMILY		EXEMPT	20-07-225-045	+
			5051 S. WINCHESTER	4	1	MINITI-FAMILY		13,659	20-07-225-022	610
***************************************			5049 S. WINCHESTER	2	2	MULTI-FAMILY		20,147	20-07-225-021	
								13.152	20-07-225-020	
								37 139	20-07-224-050	\dashv
			- 14					60 077	20-07-224-049	
			1938 W 51ST ST	_	_	MIXED USE		107 906	20-07-224-048	605
			1942 W. 5151. ST.					25,200	20-07-224-047	+
			1		_	MIXED USE		31,638	20-07-224-043	+
			1946 W. 51ST ST					3, 109	20-07-224-044	8
			1950 W. 51ST ST.	-	<u> </u>	MIXED USE		22,744	20-07-224-043	2 2
					*	MIXED USE		7,893	20-07-224-042	e go
								10,350	20-07-224-041	588
								14,141	20-07-223-042	597
			4958 S. ASHLAND AVE	_		WINED OSE		RAILROAD	20-07-223-041	596
						MIXED LICE		16,189	20-07-223-040	595
							165	5 590	20-07-223-039	594
								A 834	20-07-223-036	593
							YES	271	20-07-223-037	592
							YES	305,00	20-07-223-036	591
								0/6/91	20-07-223-035	596
								36,342	20-07-223-034	589
			4930 S. ASHLAND AVE.	-				38,956	20-07-223-032	28/
***************************************			4928 S. ASHLAND AVE	-	\ \ \ \	MIXED USE		17,028	20-07-223-031	586
			4926 S. ASHLAND AVE	0	2	MIXED USE		12,400	20-07-223-030	585
								6.526	20-07-223-029	584
							res	4 371	20-07-223-028	583
			TO S. ASPIDAND AVE.					047,01	20-07-223-027	582
			AOIB S ASSESSED AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AN	-		MIXED USE		6,188	20-07-223-025	S 8
			4912 S. ASHLAND AVE		-			69,409	20-07-223-024	5 8
					1	MIXED LISE		18,304	20-07-223-023	8/0
			4852 S. ASHLAND AVE.	-		WINED OVE		32,926	20-07-215-039	577
			4850 S. ASHLAND AVE	1		MIXED USE		27,556	20-07-215-038	576
			4848 S. ASHLAND AVE			MIXEDUSE		19,889	20-07-215-037	575
			4846 S. ASHI AND AVE		-	MIXED USE		29,891	20-07-215-036	574
			4844 S ASHI AND AVE		-	MIXED USE		24 185	20-07-215-035	573
								4,334	20-07-215-034	572
	STRUCTURE.	-						4,004	20-07-215-033	571
ON EXHIBIT H.1	DILAPIDATED	CHANGE IN	ADDRESS*	RESIDENTIAL	UNITS ²	TYPE'	DELINQUENT	1 881	20-07-215-032	570
		-	DECIDENTIAL COCKEDTY	OCCUPIED	NUMBER OF	RESIDENTIAL UNIT	TAX	2000 EAV	PIN NUMBER	COUNT
								_		_

L	745 20-07-407-034	+	743 20-07-407-032	+	+	+	+	739 20-07-407-028		L	ł	736 20.07.407.025	+	734 20-07-407-023	-	20-104-10-05	+	ł	700-104-10-02	730 20-07-407-001	720-00-10-00-02-0	+	+	+	724 20-07-406-025	+	-	-	720 20-07- 4 06-002	\vdash	/18 20-07-405-025	+	\vdash	715 20-07-405-022	714 20-07-405-002	H	H	L	710 20-07-404-008	\vdash	708 20-07-404-006			+	704 20-07-404-002	703 20-07-404-001	+	701 20-07-103-000	700 20.07 403.007	699 20.07 403 007	+	697 20.07.403.005	696 20-07-403-004	+	+	693 20-07-402-003	ł		H
EXEMPT	EXEMPT	EXEMPT	EXEMPT	EXEMPT	EXEMPT	C>CMT-	CVE1407	3.268	9.570	17,597	EXEMPT	CALMIT	EYEMOT	56.072	8,814	4,892	18,070	EXEMPT	EXEMPT	4,243	12,641	- 100	1,01	7647	27.777	EXEMPT	10 704	2,139	2,139	6,595	15,442	11,006	4,280	15,275	15,685	19,845	19,015	23,849	14,271	4,109	4,109	17.381	4,109	2 988	4 100	727,07	7,054	3,36/	12,732	10,996	13,830	0,423	17,112	6,8/1	4,280	EXEMPT	4,280		4.280
								-	YF C							YES	YES															ΥES	YES				YES			YES	YES	YES			YES													YES	
							MULTI-FAMILY		1111/1ED 00E	MIXEDUSE										SINGLE FAMILY	MULTI-FAMILY	MULTI-FAMILY	MULTI-FAMILY			MIXED USE	MICE			MUI TI-FAMII Y	MULTI-FAMILY			MIXED USE	MI TI-FAMILY	MI II TI-FAMII Y		MINTLEAMIN	MIXED LISE		WOL I I-FAMILY	MIN TI PARMY				MULTI-FAMILY	SINGLE FAMILY	SINGLE FAMILY	SINGLE FAMILY	MULTI-FAMILY	MULTI-FAMILY	MULTI-FAMILY	SINGLE FAMILY	SINGLE FAMILY					MIXED USE
							ပ													_	2	2	2			-			٠	٦	3			→ C	3	3		3 -			3					з		-	-	3	2	2		_					
							ω		C											<u> </u>	3	2	2			_			3	,											3					ω	-	-	_	3	2	2	0	-					
							5124 S ASHI AND AVE		5118 S. ASHLAND AVE										1020 44 0201	1505 WARONFIELD	CIOCO MONOTIFICE	S108 C MADSUFFIT D	STOR S MADEUEIE			1651 W. 51ST ST			1659 W. 51ST ST.	5108 S. PAULINA			1/09 W. 51ST ST.	5103 S. HERMITAGE	1715 W. 51ST ST.		1737 W. 51ST ST.	1741 W. 51ST ST.			1747 W. 51ST ST				0.00	1801 W 515T ST	1805 W. SIGT OT	1807 W 516T CT	1800 W 515T ST	1813 W 516T ST	1815 W 5101 OT	1817 W 510T CT	1821 W 51ST ST	1871 W 545T CT					1843 W 51ST ST
						YES		, 50	VEC																		-																																

794	793	78.	101	2 2	700	789	788	787	786	785	784	783	782	781	780	779	778	777	776	775	774	773	772	771	770	769	768	767	766	765	764	763	762	761	760	750	/5/	/36	755	754	753	752	751	750	749	748	747	COUNT
20-08-101-011	20-08-101-010	20-08-101-009	800-101-00-02	70-01-01-00/	20 00 10 1000	20-08-101-006	20-08-100-030	20-08-100-029	20-08-100-015	20-08-100-014	20-08-100-013	20-08-100-012	20-08-100-010	20-08-100-009	20-08-100-008	20-08-100-007	20-08-100-006	20-08-100-005	20-08-100-004	20-08-100-003	20-08-100-002	20-08-100-001	20-07-415-044	20-07-415-043	20-07-415-042	20-07-415-041	20-07-415-040	20-07-415-039	20-07-415-038	20-07-415-037	20-07-415-036	20-07-415-035	20-07-415-034	20.07.415.033	20-07-415-031	20-07-415-030	20-07-415-029	20-07-415-028	20-07-415-027	20-07-415-026	20-07-415-025	20-07-415-024	20-07-407-040	20-07-407-039	20-07-407-038	20-07-407-037	20-07-407-036	PIN NUMBER
24,168	10,566	13,835	19,160	2,968	4,082	EXEMP!	EXEMPT	383 605	152,087	5,250	50,100	25,339	54,458	136,047	46,066	44.572	20.481	118.032	159.916	386,004	157,257	221,945	7.022	15,220	17 530	EXEMPT	7 451	7.198	14 417	3,028	3.028	3028	5,470	11,/10	EXEMPT	3,856	13,515	73,438	41,257	25,657	31,963	33,110	18,095	EXEMPT	TAMEXE	EXEMPT	EXEMPT	2000 EAV
																													150	VEC	VEG					YES												TAX DELINQUENT
		MIXEDUSE	MIXED USF					WINED OPE	MINED HOL														WINED OPE	MIXED USE			MULITHAMILY	MUL I I FAMILY				MIXED USE	MIXED USE				MIXED USE					MINED GOE	MIYED LICE					RESIDENTIAL UNIT
	_	3 -	-					70															1	2			2	2				3	-				4					2					UNITS	RESIDENTIAL
	7							0															_	2			2	2				ω	_			-						2					UNITS	OCCUPIED RESIDENTIAL
	1507 W. 47TH ST.	1509 W. 47TH ST.						1535 W. 47TH ST															5256 S. ASHLAND AVE	5254 S. ASHLAND AVE		STATE OF THE PARTY OF THE	5244 S ASHI AND AVE	5242 S. ASHLAND AVE				5230 S ASHI AND AVE	5228 S ASHI AND AVE			5218 S. ASHLAND AVE						5158 S. ASHLAND AVE					ADDRESS"	RESIDENTIAL PROPERTY
																																															LAND USE	CHANGE IN
																							TES			YES	YES				YES	YES				YES									+		STRUCTURE	DILAPIDATED
	***************************************	15.0	VEC	YES			YES	YES	YES	YES																																					ON EXHIBIT H-1"	\dashv

			_		-					949
								4,494	20-08-116-002	4
								RAILROAD	20-08-116-001	846
								103,079	20-08-108-043	2
								154,740	20-08-108-042	44
								EXEMPT	20-08-108-040	3
							YES	EXEMPT	20-08-108-039	750
								133,4/2	170-001-00-07	3
			STATE OF THE PARTY					4,014	20 08 108 021	2
	***************************************		4855 S ASHI AND AVE	_	-	MIXED USE		1311	20-08-108-020	840
			4853 S. ASHLAND AVE	2	4	MIXED USE		15 200	20-08-108-019	839
			4851 S. ASHLAND AVE	2	-	WINE OUR		17.059	20-08-108-018	838
						MIXED LICE		22,786	20-08-108-017	837
					_			13,357	20-08-108-010	836
			TOUL OF MOTILAND AVE.					49,202	600-001-00-02	2
			AB31 S ACUI AND A FEBA	*	_	MIXED USE		707,6#	20 00 100 000	200
								5,120	20-08-108-008	2
								0 128	20-08-108-007	833
								47 160	20-08-108-006	832
								36.903	20-08-108-005	831
								29,648	20-08-108-004	930
								45,417	20-08-108-003	670
								139,140	200-00-100-002	3 6
								130 140	20.08.108.002	828
								159 240	20-08-108-001	827
			4717 S. BISHOP ST					EXEMPT	20-08-103-013	826
			1401 W. 47TH ST		ار	MUI TI-FAMII Y		6,494	20-08-103-012	070
			15 H 14 AA CO41		4	MULTI-FAMILY		14,093	20-00-103-011	1
			1400 14. 47 11. 01	3	3	MULTI-FAMILY		12,050	20 00 103 010	3
			1405 W 47TH ST	2	2	MULTI-HAMILY		13.606	20-08-103-010	823
						24111		10 700	20-08-103-009	822
								3,993	20-08-103-008	821
								33,095	20-00-103-00/	040
								3,071	100-000	
								4,050	20.08.103.006	210
								1053	20-08-103-005	818
								64 650	20-08-103-004	817
								58.838	20-08-103-003	816
								89.567	20-08-103-002	815
								84,231	20-08-103-001	410
								16,945	20-00-102-049	
			110 M. 4/ [110].					44,003	2000-000	
				0	_	SINGLE FAMILY		10,719	20.08-102-008	810
							i es	10.710	20-08-102-007	æ 1
							(F)	3 003	20-08-102-006	810
			1451 W. 47TH ST	3	u	MOCIFICAMICI		3,993	20-08-102-005	809
			1455 W. 47TH ST.	(L		MI II FAMILY		15,476	20-08-102-004	808
			1457 W. 47TH ST	1	3 -	MI II TI FAMILY		10,357	20-08-102-003	807
			1459 W. 47TH ST			MIII TI-FAMII V		16,065	20-08-102-002	808
ΥES				,	۵	MIXED USE		16,935	100-701-80-07	9
YES							YES	8,745	20-06-101-053-8002	ŝ
								EXEMPT	20-00-101-003-8001	1
YES									20-00-101-033	4
YES							YES	0,429	30 08 101 052	4
								C C TWIT	20-08-101-052-8002	3
YES								EVENDT	20-08-101-052-8001	801
YES							iea	3,12,0	20-08-101-052	
							YES	8.429	20-08-101-051-8002	88
YES								EXEMPT	20-08-101-051-8001	799
153									20-08-101-051	
							VES	1,376	20-08-101-050-8002	798
YES								EXEMPT	20-08-101-050-8001	797
163									20-08-101-050	
							YES	8,745	20-08-101-049-8002	796
								EXEMPT	20-08-101-049-8001	795
URE ON EXPLOIT HE	STRUCTURE	LAND USE	20071000	UNITS	61160				20-08-101-049	
	DILAPIDATED	0.50.00		ALMOST INC.	HUTEZ	TYPE'	DECIMACOENT			
	7.	DEANOR IN	RESIDENTIAL PROPERTY	RESIDENTIAL	RESIDENTIAL	ACORDEN DATE ONLY	OF INCHES	2000 EAV	TIN NOMBEX	000

2 4925 S. JUSTINE ST. 2 4927 S. JUSTINE ST. 2 4933 S. JUSTINE ST. 1 4933 S. JUSTINE ST. 2 4937 S. JUSTINE ST. 3 4941 S. JUSTINE ST. 1 4945 S. JUSTINE ST. 2 4949 S. JUSTINE ST. 2 4949 S. JUSTINE ST. 1 4953 S. JUSTINE ST. 2 4953 S. JUSTINE ST. 1 4953 S. JUSTINE ST. 1 4953 S. JUSTINE ST. 2 4953 S. JUSTINE ST. 2 4953 S. JUSTINE ST.	2			9 376	20-08-117-020	907
		MULTI-FAMILY		10 150	20-08-117-019	8
		SINGLE FAMILY		1,220	20-08-117-018	85
	2	MULTI-FAMILY		12,870	20-08-117-016	2 3
	2	MULTI-FAMILY		12,160	20-00-111-01-01	5 5
		SINGLE FAMILY		1,933	20.08.117.015	9
	3	MULTI-FAMILY		0,404	20-08-117-014	2
	3	MULTI-FAMILY		804.7	20-08-117-013	98
	2	MULTI-FAMILY		7 400	20-08-117-012	899
	1	SINGLE FAMILY		0.470	20-08-117-011	898
			YES	7 172	20-08-117-010	897
	2	MULTI-FAMILY		1 773	20-08-117-009	968
49ZI S. JUSTINE ST	2	MULTI-FAMILY		12 360	20-08-117-008	895
TS TIME SOL OF 176#				6006	20-08-117-007	894
1004 0 1001		SHAGE LYWILL		1 223	20-08-117-006	893
1 4919 S. JUSTINE ST		SINCI E EVILLA		6,682	20-08-117-005	892
4915 S. JUSTINE ST		SINGLE FAMILY		10,137	20-08-117-004	9
4913 S. JUSTINE ST.		MIJI TI-FAMII Y		8,056	20-011-80-03	000
	2	MULTI-FAMILY		9,548	200-11-002	8
COC C. GOOT INE GT.			YES	0540	20-08-117-002	889
4958 S III STINE CT		SINGLE FAMILY		1,000	20-08-117-001	888
				4 049	20-08-116-042	887
2 4952 S. JUSTINE ST.	^			4,083	20-08-116-041	886
		MI II TI EAMILY		5,146	20-08-116-040	GBS
			YES	1,194	660-911-90-07	3
			YES	1,194	20 00-110-000	900
				1 404	30-08-116-00c	883
				EXEMPT	20-08-116-037	882
			YES	1,194	20-08-116-036	881
4938 S. JUSTINE ST	-			867	450-911-80-02	000
		MULTI-FAMILY		4,125	2000-11000+	9
2 Appending of	2	MULTI-FAMILY		0,727	20.08 116 024	B79
1 A032 C HISTING OT	_	SINGLE FAMILY		8 477	20-08-116-033	878
1 4930 S. JUSTINE ST	-	OH OLL I DWILL		9 766	20-08-116-032	877
1 4928 S. JUSTINE ST.		SINCI E FAMILY		5,986	20-08-116-031	8/6
4926 S. JUSTINE ST.		SINGLE FAMILY		5,532	20-08-116-030	0/0
	١.	MUI TI-FAMII Y		10,032	670-911-00-02	1
	1	SINGLE FAMILY		6,359	20 00 110 020	874
				2007	20 00 116 020	871
				967	20-08-116-027	872
				TOMBYE	20-08-116-026	871
				EXEMPT	20-08-116-025	870
				EXEMPT	20-08-116-024	908
			YES	1,194	20-00-110-023	900
TOO S. ASTILAND AVE.				KAILKOAD	20 00 116 022	R
4 AOSO S ACUI AND AND	5	MIXEDUSE		DAII BOAD	20-0A-116-022	867
1 4957 S ASHI AND AVE		WINED OSE		34 680	20-08-116-021	866
4955 S. ASHLAND AVE		ANY DIGI		11.936	20-08-116-020	885
4953 S. ASHLAND AVE.	.,	MIXED LISE		17,257	610-911-80-07	9
	3	MIXEDUSE		18,224	910-911-00-02	2
				1,341	20 08 446 046	200
				7047	20-08-116-017	862
				7 047	20-08-116-016	861
				4.207	20-08-116-015	860
1 4939 S. ASHLAND AVE		100 OOF	ΥES	4 494	20-08-116-014	859
		MIXED LISE		18,184	20-08-116-013	900
				EXEMPT	710-011	
				20,500	20-08-116-012	25.7
				28 068	20-08-116-011	856
				17.599	20-08-116-010	855
				8,823	20-08-116-009	9
				8,823	800-911-80-02	000
				10,775	700-011-00-02	200
				21,418	10.000	200
				4,494	20.08.116.005	25.
				10,70	20-08-116-005	850
UNITS ³ ADDRESS ⁴	UNITS			16 467	20-08-116-004	849
XESIDE	_		DELINQUEN			
OCCUPIED BESIDENTIAL BEOGRAPH	NUMBER OF OC	RESID	TAX	2000 EAV	PIN NUMBER	COUNT

	1421 W 491H PL	2	2	MULTI-FAMILY		8,910	20-08-121-017	965 1
	142/ W 49TH PL	2	2	MULTI-FAMILY		9,935	20-08-121-015	R a
	1427 W 40TH PL		-	SINGLE FAMILY		4,378	20-00-121-014	P. S.
	1430 W. 491 II FL.	1	-	SINGLE FAMILY		9,663	20-08-121-013	963
	1434 14/ 40711 75	3	2	MULTI-FAMILY		3,485	710-121-00-02	3 3
	1433 W JOTH DI	2	2	MULTI-FAMILY		5,866	20-00-121-01-	8 3
	1100 11 4011 11					3,591	010-121-00-02	950
	1430 W 451 H PL	2	2	MULTI-FAMILY		NO TAXES DUE	800-121-80-02	930
	1443 W 491H PL	2	2	MULTI-FAMILY		NO TAXES DUE	800-121-80-02	906
	1442 W. ACTION	3-	2	MULTI-FAMILY		19,836	20-08-121-007	955
	1445 W. 49TH PL.		1	SINGLE FAMILY		I TO LACES DUE		
		,	3	MULTI-FAMILY		NO TAYES DUE	20-08-121-006	954
	4943 S. LAFLIN			CHACLE COMPL	YES	1,214	20-08-121-005	953
				SINDIE CAMILY		6,181	20-08-121-004	952
	4939 S. LAFLIN ST.	٥			YES	1,214	20-08-121-003	951
	4937 S. LAFLIN ST.	- اد	- ا	MULTI-FAMILY		14,564	20-08-121-002	950
			1	SINGLE FAMILY		8,467	20-08-121-001	949
					ΥES	92,084	20-08-118-021	948
	1410 W 491H PL	ļ,			YES	1,223	20-08-118-020	84/
	1410 W. 491H PL	3	2	MULTI-FAMILY		5,081	20-08-118-019	946
	140 W 451 U.L.	3	2	MULTI-FAMILY		9,790	810-911-90-02	3
	1420 W 49TH BI	2	2	MULTI-FAMILY		5,/88	70-011-07/	9
	1110 11 TO 111 E.					1,223	010-011-00-02	2
	1426 W 49TH PI	2	2	MULTI-FAMILY		0,/0/	20-08-118-016	2
	1428 W 49TH PI	-	_	SINGLE FAMILY		9 707	20-08-118-015	942
						S 101	20-08-118-014	2
						TOMPE	20-08-118-013	940
	1436 W 49TH PL	2		MOCIFICAMILY		EXEMPT	20-08-118-012	939
	1438 W. 49TH PL	-	-	MIII TI CAMILY		8.734	20-08-118-011	938
	1440 W. 49TH PL	2		SINCLE EVALLA		3,876	20-08-118-010	937
	1442 W. 49TH PL	2	,,	MIST TLEAMS V		8,810	20-08-118-009	936
	1444 W 49TH PL) -	١-	MI II TI-FAMII Y		2,813	20-08-118-008	935
	4925 S. LAFLIN ST.		1	SINGLE FAMILY		5,810	20-08-118-007	934
			1	SINGLE FAMILY		24,870	20-08-118-006	933
						1,701	20-08-118-005	932
	4919 S. LAFLIN ST.				YES	1,214	20-08-118-004	831
	ADIA O LATERNOT	3	2	MULTI-FAMILY		9,634	20-00-110-003	200
	AG17 S LASI IN ST	2	2	MULTI-FAMILY		8,789	200-011-00-02	020
	יים מיים באי בוא מו.					KAILKUAU	100-01 1-00-02	970
	AGIS C ACT IN CT	2	2	MULTI-FAMILY		228,61	240-111-042	000
	2					1,1/4	20 00 117 042	037
	4956 S AEI IN ST	Δ.	4	MULTI-FAMILY		12,000	20.08-117-041	926
	4952 S. LAFI IN ST	2	2	MULTI-FAMILY		12 808	20-08-117-040	925
	4950 S AFI IN ST	2	2	MULTI-FAMILY		10,316	20-08-117-030	924
	4948 S I AFI IN ST	2	2	MULTI-FAMILY		10 340	20-08-117-039	923
						11 086	20-08-117-037	922
	4942 S LAFI IN ST	2	2	MULTI-FAMILY		3000	20-08-117-036	921
	4940 S AFI IN ST	2	2	MULTI-FAMILY		12,330	20-08-117-035	920
					TES	12 628	20-08-117-034	919
	4934 S. LAFLIN ST	2	2	MULTITAMILY	VES	1 222	20-08-117-033	918
					IES	5 537	20-08-117-032	917
	4930 S. LAFLIN ST	2	2	MULTI-HAMILY		1 222	20-08-117-031	916
					res	13.870	20-08-117-030	915
					153	1 223	20-08-117-029	914
					VE 2	1 223	20-08-117-028	913
YES	4914 S. LAFLIN ST	0	2	MULTIFAMILY		4 700	20-08-117-027	912
	4912 S. LAFLIN ST		_	SINGLE FAMILY		2 0.78	20-08-117-024	911
					163	2375	20-08-117-023	910
+						1 223	20-08-117-022	909
LAND USE STRUCTURE	ADDRESS*	UNITS	UNITS			RAII ROAD	20-08-117-021	908
CHANGE IN	RESIDENTIAL PROPERTY	RESIDENTIAL	RESIDENTIAL	TYPE'	DELINQUENT	2000 EAV		

1024	1023	1024	1033	1021	1020	1010	1018	1017	1016	1015	1014	1013	1012	1011	1010	1009	1008	1007	1006	1005	1004	1003	1002	1001	1000	999	998	997	996	995	994	993	997	990	989	886	987	986	985	984	983	982	981	980	979	079	979	9/3	874	973	972	971	970	969	968	967	
20-08-124-032	20-08-124-031	20-08-124-030	670-471-00-07	970-471-00-07	70-00-124-02/	20.00-124-024	20 021 221 023	20-02-124-022	20-08-124-022	20-08-124-021	20-08-124-020	20-08-124-019	20-08-124-018	20-08-124-017	20-08-124-016	20-08-124-015	20-08-124-014	20-08-124-013	20-08-124-012	20-08-124-011	20-08-124-010	20-08-124-009	20-08-124-008	20-08-124-007	20-08-124-004	20-08-124-003	20-08-124-002	20-08-124-001	20-08-121-049	20-08-121-040	20-08-121-045	20-08-121-044	20-08-121-043	20-08-121-042	20-08-121-041	20-08-121-040	20-08-121-039	20-08-121-038	20-08-121-037	20-08-121-036	20-08-121-035	20-08-121-034	20-08-121-032	20-08-121-031	20-08-121-030	20-08-121-029	20-08-121-028	20-08-121-027	20-08-121-026	20-08-121-025	20-08-121-024	20-08-121-023	20-08-121-022	20-08-121-021	20-08-121-020	20-08-121-018	
10,195	1,145	4.283	1,145	7,246	1,145	8,449	4,225	6,509	700,61	10.053	14 655	33 001	4.314	17.399	4.314	16,025	12,305	19,907	4.314	8.389	7 104	12.881	26.718	12.941	14.460	11 300	EXEMPT FXEMOS	12,110	13 110	1,214	7,380	1,223	1,223	1,223	10,764	8,945	7.240	1 223	12 478	5,777	7,033	7,033	9,790	1,223	9,761	1,214	1,214	1,214	1,214	13,061	EXEMPT	1 214	1 344	10 666	6,610	17,528	2000 EAV
YES			YES		YES		YES	YES	YES						VEO.	YES											YES		YES	YES		YES	YES					YES						YES		YES	YES	YES	YES		TES	YES		YES			DELINQUENT
MULTI-FAMII Y	Cart Civil	SINGLEFAMILY		SINGLE FAMILY				MIXED USE			MIXED USE			MIXED USE	MIXED OSE	TO LOS	WINED USE	MINTER	MIXED USE		MIXED USE			MIXED USE	MIXED USE			MIXED USE	MULTI-FAMILY		MULTI-FAMILY			Out-OFF COMIC	SINGLEFAMILY	SINGLE FAMILY			SINGLE FAMILY	SINGLE FAMILY	MULTI-FAMILY	MULTI-FAMILY	MULTI-FAMILY		MULTI-FAMILY				MOCIFICAMILY	MI II TLEAMIN			MULTI-FAMILY		SINGLE FAMILY	MULTI-FAMII Y	TYPE1
3				*				4			-1				1									_	-			_	2	,	2			1	2	-			1		2	2	2	,	3				4				ω		-	UNITS	RESIDENTIAL
										ľ	0			0	٥		0		0		-				-			4	3		3			1	2	-			4	-	2	J ^	٥						4				3		. .	UNITS	RESIDENTIAL
	5012 S. JUSTINE ST.		5008 S. JUSTINE ST.				504 / S. ASHLAND AVE			SUSSIS ASHLAND AVE.	5030 2 0003		STATE OF THE PROPERTY OF THE P	5033 S ASHI AND AVE	5029 S ASHI AND AVE	STATE OF THE STATE	5025 S. ASHI AND AVE	STORE AVE.	5021 S ASHI AND AVE	SOLI OLASI IDANO AVE	5017 C ASUL AND AVE		SOUS OF MORE AND AVE.	SOOS A SHI AND AVE	5005 C ACUI AND ANT		4956 S. LOOMIS ST.	4954 S. LOOMIS ST.		4950 S. LOOMIS ST				1418 W. 50TH ST	1420 W. 50TH ST	1422 W 50TH ST		1430 W. 501H ST.	1432 W. 501H ST	1436 W 501H ST	1438 W. 50TH ST.	1440 W. 50TH ST.		1444 W. 50TH ST.				- 1	4947 S. LAFLIN ST			4936 S. LOOMIS ST.		1413 W.49TH PL	1417 W. 49TH PL	ADDRESS*	RESIDE
																																																								LAND USE ⁵	CHANGE IN
																																																								STRUCTURE"	DILAPIDATED
																											-																													ON EXHIBIT H.1	

1083	1082	1081	1000	10,0	1070	1078	1077	1076	1075	1074	1073	1072	1071	1070	1069	8901	106/	1066	1065	1064	1063	1062	1061	1060	1059	1058	1057	1056	1055	1054	1053	1052	1051	1050	1048	1047	1046	1045	1044	1043		3	1038	1038	1037	1036	1035	1034	1033	1032	1031	0.01	1020	1027	1026	1025	COONT
20-08-125-041	20-08-125-040	20-08-125-039	20-05-125-038	20-08-123-03/	20 00 125 027	20-08-125-036	300 3C1-80-0C	20-08-125-034	20-08-125-033	20-08-125-032	20-08-125-031	20-08-125-030	20-08-125-029	20-08-125-028	20-08-125-027	20-08-125-026	20-08-125-025	20-08-125-024	20-08-125-023	20-08-125-022	20-08-125-021	20-08-125-020	20-08-125-019	20-08-125-018	20-08-125-017	20-08-125-016	20-08-125-015	20-08-125-014	20-08-125-013	20-08-125-012	20-08-125-014	20-08-125-010	20-08-125-009	20-08-125-00/	20-08-125-006	20-08-125-005	20-08-125-004	20-08-125-003	20-08-125-002	20-08-125-001	640-671-00-07	20-08-124-048	20-08-124-047	20-08-124-046	20-08-124-045	20-08-124-044	20-08-124-043	20-08-124-042	20-08-124-041	20-08-124-040	20-08-124-039	20-08-124-037	20-08-124-036	20-08-124-035	20-08-124-034	20-08-124-033	TIN NOMBER
2 348	2.348	18,557	4,807	8,200	9,946	10, 104	EXEMPT	#C#,E	0.664	901	1 174	1.174	11,289	4,601	9,450	8,202	10,639	4,768	EXEMPT	6,211	9,299	6,651	9,912	5.359	1,174	NO TAXES DUE	1,174	20.645	5757	1 174	NO INVESTIGE	NO TAYES ONE	1,043	8,767	1,174	1,174	1,174	9.056	8.865	1 174	256	59,/32	EXEMPT	EXEMPT	72,666	1,145	1,145	1.145	EXEMPT	9,521	5,145	9,12/	9,568	1,145	4,670	6,617	2000 EAV
VES.	YES		YES																						YES					YES					YES	YES	YES		Ē	VES		YES															DELINQUENT
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	MIXED USE		SINGLE FAMILY	MULTI-FAMILY	MULTI-FAMILY		MULTI-FAMILY				WOLLIN AMILI	MIN TIEAMNY	SINGLE FAMILY	SINGLE FAMILY	MULTI-FAMILY	MULTI-FAMILY	MULTI-FAMILY			SINGLEFAMILY	MI II TILEAMII V	SINGLE FAMILY	CINIC FARMS	GINGLE FAMILY	SING E CAMILY	MULTI-FAMILY	MOL IT-FAMILY				SINGLE FAMILY		SINGLE FAMILY			MOLIFFAMILY	MULTI-FAMILY	MI TI TA A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A	MULTI-FAMILY					MULTI-FAMILY				SINGLE FAMILY	MIXED USE			MULTI-FAMILY		MULTI-FAMILY	SINGLE FAMILY	TYPE1
		٥		-	2	2		3				٢	- اد	1	1	3,	3	3			٠, ١	,			1		2	2				_					2	2		2				9	8				1	2			2	,	3 -	UNITS	RESIDENTIAL
	0	>		- -	3	2		ω				3				,	,	3			·		_		_		2	2						1			2	2		2				a	8					2		,	3	~	,	UNITS	RESIDENTIAL
. !	1520 W. 51ST ST.		3044 S. LAFLIN ST.	SOLA S CATEN ST.	ENAT C LAFT BY OT	SORR S LAFT IN ST	COOK O. PAI LIN O.	SORD S LAFLIN ST				5024 S. LAFLIN ST.	5022 S. LAFLIN ST.	5018 S. LAFLIN ST.	5016 S. LAFLIN ST.	5014 S. LAFLIN ST	5012 S. LAFLIN ST			5006 S. LAFLIN ST	5000 S. LAFLIN ST.		5043 S. JUSTINE ST.		5039 S. JUSTINE ST		5031 S. JUSTINE ST.	5029 S. JUSTINE ST			CONTRACTOR	5021 S JUSTINE ST	COTO OLUCO INE OL				5005 S. JUSTINE ST.	5003 S. JUSTINE ST.		5002 S. JUSTINE ST				1544 W. 51ST ST					5036 S. JUSTINE ST	5034 S. JUSTINE ST.		SOZE S. JUSTINE ST.		5022 S. JUSTINE ST.	5020 S. JUSTINE ST	ADORESO	RESIDENTIAL PROPERTY
																																																								LAND USE	CHANGE IN
																																																								STRUCTURE*	DILAPIDATED
																																																								ON EXMINIT H-1	

09/26/2001
Revised January 30, 2002
Revised January 30, 2002
47th - Ashland Year 2000 EAV EXHIBIT for Redevelopment Plan xts

1142 20-08-300-003		20-08-300-00	1139 20-08-127-049	1138 20.08-12/-04/		-	H	+	1132 20-08-127-042	-	-	1129 20-08-127-03	Н	1127 20-08-127-03	+	20-08-127-03	╀		Н	+	20-08-127-02	+	1116 20-08-127-024	+	1114 20-08-127-0	╀	H	+	1109 20-08-127-017	+	1106 20-08-127-014	H	1104 20-08-127-017	+	╁	Н	1099 20-08-127-007	20-08-127-0	1097 20-08-127-004	╁	H	20-08-127-001	\vdash	+	1090 20-08-126-002	1089 20-08-125-046	\dagger		CAC-177-040
	2 EXEMPT		1	\parallel	6 2,430						0 2,430			7 1 957	1	1,223			29 3.934		26 1,223			+			19 11,531		1	-			+			1							+	+	DOZ EXEMPT	-		044 2,348	_
									YES	YES		YES	YES	555	YES	YES	YES			YES									YES	YES	YES		YES	YES	YES	YES											YES	YES	VIII.
MIXED USE			MULTI-FAMILY											SINGLE FAMILY			MOL I FRAMILY	SINGLE FAMILY		SINGLE FAMILY		MUI TI-FAMII Y	MULTI-FAMILY		SINGLE FAMILY	MULTI-FAMILY	WIII TLEAMIN	SINGLE FAMILY			OHVOLE FAMILY	SINGLE FAMILY									MOLGERAMILI	MULTI-FAMILY	MULTI-FAMILY	SINGLE FAMILY	SINGLE FAMILY	MULTI-FAMILY			
			2											1			2	_		1		3	2			3 (4						_									3	3	2	_	1	20			
			2											-			0			<u> </u>	0		2		+	3		*			-	-									3	0	0	1	10	D			
5111 S. ASHI AND AVE		3040 S. LOOMIS	-1										SSS G. LOOMIS	SOUR S LOOMIS			5028 S. LOOMIS ST	5024 S. LOOMIS ST	SOZO 3. EOOMIS ST.		5016 S. LOOMIS ST.		5012 S. LOOMIS ST	SUM S. LOOMIS ST.	5002 S. LOOMIS ST.	5000 S. LOOMIS ST	SOTO OF BISHOP ST	,			5033 S. BISHOP ST	5029 S. BISHOP ST									5001 S. BISHOP ST	5013 S. BISHOP ST	5009 S RISHOP ST	5003 S. BISHOP ST	1502 W. 51ST ST				
																TEO					YES																				YES	YES							

1201	1200	1199	1198	1197	1196	1195	1194	1193	1192	1191	1190	1189	1188	1187	1186	1185	1184	1183	1182	1181		11/0	1177	1176	1175	1174	1173	1172	1171	1769	1168	1167	1166	1165	1164	1163	1161	38	1159	1158	1157	1156	1155	1154	1153	1152	1151	1156	1149	1148	11.6	1145	111	1143	
20-08-308-010	20-08-308-009	20-08-308-008	20-08-308-007	20-08-308-006	20-08-308-005	20-08-308-004	20-08-308-003	20-08-308-002	20-08-308-001	20-08-303-010	20-08-303-009	20-08-303-008	20-08-303-007	20-08-303-006	20-08-303-005	20-08-303-004	20-08-303-003	20-08-303-002	20-08-303-001	20-06-302-003	20-00-00-004	20-08-302-003	20-08-302-002	20-08-302-001	20-08-301-011	20-08-301-010	20-08-301-009	20-08-301-007	20-106-301-005	20-08-301-005	20-08-301-004	20-08-301-003	20-08-301-002	20-08-301-001	20-08-300-025	20-08-300-023	20-08-300-022	20-08-300-021	20-08-300-020	20-08-300-019	20-08-300-018	20-08-300-017	20-08-300-016	20-08-300-015	20-08-300-014	20-08-300-013	20-08-300-012	20-08-300-011	000-000-00	20-08-300-008	20-08-300-007	20-08-300-006	20-08-300-005	20-08-300-004	T
5,101	3,618	3,357	3,357	7.440	2 808	2811	EXEMPT	9.712	15,980	2,742	1,519	16,610	1,519	1,519	10,484	12.872	EXEMPT	EXEMPT	EYEMPT	11,536	16,040	1,768	1,768	EXEMPT	15,674	EXEMPT	3 773	1,470	1,470	EXEMPT	12,447	16,616	1.470	16.836	11 451	3,531	3,531	3,680	6,473	3,235	3.235	23.700	3775	3.235	3 235	14 658	16 433	2,302	0,50g	3,235	15,389	3,235	15,669	3,235	3
				163	YES	VEC.			YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES													YES	YES			YES				YES	YES	YES	YES			YES	TES	VES		iea i	YES	(T)				YES	YES	YES	YES	DELINQUENT
MIXED USE	MIXEDITIES		MOLTI-FAMILY	MIN TI FAMILY			MUL11-FAMILY	MIN TO FAMILY							MULTI-FAMILY				MULTI-FAMILY	MIXED USE	MIXED USE			MOCI ILI CIMIC I	MI II TLEAMII V	MIXED USE					MOCH TAL AMILL	MI II TILEAMII V	MULTI-FAMILY	MULTI-FAMILY													SINGLE FAMILY		MIXED USE	SINGLE FAMILY					TYPE*
اند			2				3								7				3	_	*			4								2	3	3													-		-	-				UNITS	KESIDENITAL
30			2				ω								7				3					4		0					2		3	3													1			1				UNITS	RESIDENTIAL
5221 S. ASHLAND AVE.			5211 S. ASHLAND AVE.				5203 S. ASHLAND AVE								1419 W. 51ST ST				1437 W KIST ST	1430 W. 51ST ST				1501 W. 51ST ST.		1507 W. 51ST ST					1523 W. 51ST ST		1525 W 51ST ST	1533 W 515T ST												STOOL STREET,	5135 S ASHI AND AVE	SIZES ASHLAND AVE	STATE ASHLAND AVE	E407 0 4011				ADURESS	ACCIDENTIAL PROPERTY
																																																						LAND USE	CHANGE IN
YES																																														YES			YES					STRUCTURE*	DILAPIDATED
	***************************************																					***************************************																																ON EXHIBIT H-1'	}

-	٢	_		T		Τ		_	7	_	Т		Τ	-		_	-
			1200		1207	1800	306	5071	3	1204		1203	1	1202		COUNT	, i
		TOTAL	20-08-308-043	000000000000000000000000000000000000000	20-08-308-016	010-000-0040	310 000 000	20-00-300-014	20 00 200	20-08-308-013	20000	20-08-308-012	20-00-00-01	20.08.308.011		PIN NUMBER	
	55,000,000	51 868 860	66,852	1,000	2 808	808/7	2000	16,238		16.189	9,000	0 550	20,442	10.43		2000 EAV	
	140			153	V-0	YES		YES							DECINQUENT	2	***
									WINED ONE	NAME OF THE PARTY	MIXED USE		MIXTO LIGHT		TYPE'	RESIDENTIAL UNIT	
	828												1	UNITS:	VEOLOGIA LIME	DESCRIPTION	
	716								•		1	_		UNITS	MESIDENIIAL		
								SEST OF MOREMON AVE.	EDDA C ACE HAD A PECS	SZZ/ S. ASHLAND AVE.	5275	5225 S ASHI AND AVE		AUUKESS	ADDOC 1000	RESIDENTIAL PROPERTY	
OUNIS														LAND USE	COMMODIA	2	
U UNITS 23 UNITS							1	SH.	163	VEC	YES		011001011	LAND USE STRICTION	CAPIDATED		
2 UNITS .													_	ON EXHIBIT H-1)		

¹ Indicates P.I.N.'s containing residential units and residential unit by type.

Indicates the total number of residential units for each P.I.N.

indicates the total number of occupied residential units for each P.I.N.

^{*}Property addresses only shown for residential uses.

sindicates the P.I.N.'s associated with residential units that would be removed if the Plan is implemented according to Exhibit C, Generalized Land Use Plan, included in Attachment Two of the Plan Appendix.

e Indicates the P.I.N.'s associated with dilapidated residential units that were counted as possibly being removed over the iffe of the Plan.

⁷ P.J.N.'s appearing on Exhibit H-1, Land Acquisition Overview Map, included in Attachment Two of the Plan Appendix.

^{*} Total Indicates Total Number of Occupied Residential Units.

Attachment Five Housing Impact Study

The 47th/Ashland Tax Increment Financing Redevelopment Plan and Project Housing Impact Study Revision #1



City of Chicago • Richard M. Daley, Mayor

September 26, 2001 Revised January 30, 2002

PGA URBAN CONSULTING

with assistance from R. M. CHIN & ASSOCIATES & GOODMAN WILLIAMS GROUP

TABLE OF CONTENTS

SECTION

I.	Intro	oduction1-1
II.	Hous	sing Impact Study – Part 12-1
	A. B. C. D.	Number and Type of Residential Units2-1Number and Type of Rooms Within Units2-1Number of Inhabited Units2-3Race and Ethnicity of Residents2-3
III.	Hous	ing Impact Study – Part 23-1
	A. B. C. D.	Number and Location of Units That Could Potentially Be Removed
TABI	LES	
	H-1	Number of Housing Units by Type and Occupancy2-1
	H-2	Rooms Per Housing Unit2-2
	H-3	Selected Demographics2-4
	H-3A	Demographic & Housing Trends 1990-20002-5
	H-4	Supply of Rental Housing on the South Side of Chicago3-3
	H-5	Selected Apartments Advertised in Chicago Sun Times (July 5, 2000)
	H-6	New Community Area Home Sales
	H-7	Housing Units For Sale In New City Community Area3-7
APPE	NDIX	
	Map H	1 - Community Area and Census Tract Map
	Мар Н	2 - Replacement Rental Housing Map
	Мар Н	3 - Replacement For-Sale Housing Map

I. Introduction

The purpose of this study is to conduct a housing impact study (Study) for the 47th/Ashland Redevelopment Project Area (Area) pursuant to the Illinois Tax Increment Allocation Redevelopment Act, 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-1 et seq., as amended ("the Act"). All of the approximately 100-block Area is located in the New City Community Area of the City of Chicago (City) and is located six miles southwest of downtown Chicago and approximately two miles west of the Dan Ryan Expressway. The Area contains approximately 325 acres.

As set forth in the Act, if a redevelopment plan for the Area results in the displacement of residents from 10 or more inhabited residential units, or if the Area contains 75 or more inhabited residential units and the City is unable to certify that no displacement of residents will occur, the municipality shall prepare a housing impact study and incorporate the study in the feasibility report required by subsection (a) of Section 11-74.4-5 (sic) [Section 11.74-4-4.1], which for the purposes herein shall also be the "47th/Ashland Tax Increment Financing Redevelopment Plan and Project" ("the Plan).

Because the Area includes more than 75 residential units whose occupants may be displaced as a result of the Plan, information regarding the potential impact on such residents and residential units is being provided in this Housing Impact Study. Included in the Plan as Appendix, Attachment Two, are Exhibit C, Generalized Land Use Plan, Exhibit H-1, Land Acquisition Overview Map, Exhibit H-2, Land Acquisition By Block And Parcel Identification Number and Attachment Four - 2000 Estimated EAV By Tax Parcel. These exhibits and attachment indicate the parcels of real property on which there are buildings containing residential units that may be removed and that, to the extent those units are inhabited, the residents thereof may be displaced. The number and type of residential buildings in the Area potentially affected by the Plan were identified during the building condition and land use survey conducted as part of the Eligibility Study included in the Plan as Appendix, Attachment One, for the Area. A good faith estimate and determination of the number of residential units and rooms within each such building and whether such residential units were inhabited were based on a number of research and analytical tools including, where appropriate, physical building surveys, data received from building owners and managers, Cook County tax assessment records and census data.

As of August 2001, the Area contained 716 inhabited residential units. The residential units are a combination of single-family and multi-family units. The majority of single-family units are located in the southern portion of the Area and are not subject to acquisition or subject to displacement as a result of the Plan. Because the focus of the Area is principally on commercial and industrial uses, many of the multi-family units are located on the upper floors of buildings with commercial uses at the ground level. Because the Plan is intended to foster economic development activities which may result in the acquisition, renovation, and or demolition of property, which may include residential units, the housing impact study is being prepared under the assumption that more than 10 occupied

residential units may be displaced. However, it should be noted that at this time no proposals for the removal of such units has been presented.

As set forth in the Act:

Part I of the housing impact study shall include:

- (i) data as to whether the residential units are single-family or multifamily units;
- (ii) the number and type of rooms within the units, if that information is available;
- (iii) whether the units are inhabited or uninhabited, as determined not less than 45 days before the date that the ordinance or resolution required by subsection (a) of Section 11-74.4-5 of the Act is passed; and
- (iv) data as to the racial and ethnic composition of the residents in the inhabited residential units, which data requirement shall be deemed to be fully satisfied if based on data from the most recent federal census.

Part II of the housing impact study identifies the inhabited residential units in the proposed redevelopment project are that are to be or may be removed. If inhabited residential units are to be removed, then the housing impact study shall identify:

- (i) the number and location of those units that will or may be removed;
- (ii) the municipality's plans for relocation assistance for those residents in the proposed redevelopment project area whose residences are to be removed;
- (iii) the availability of replacement housing for those residents whose residences are to be removed, and identify the type, location, and cost of the replacement housing; and
- (iv) the type and extent of relocation assistance to be provided.

II. Housing Impact Study - Part I

A. Number and Type of Residential Units

The Area contains 79 single-family residential buildings, 171 multi-family buildings (buildings with 2 or more units) containing a total of 422 residential units, and 159 mixed-use buildings (buildings that are primarily used for commercial purposes but also contain residential units) containing a total of 327 residential units. **Table H-1** below indicates the number and type of residential units and a breakdown by type of the number of units that were inhabited as of August 31, 2001.

Table H-1
47th/Ashland Redevelopment Plan and Project
Housing Impact Study
Number of Housing Units By Type and Occupancy

Unit Type	Vacant	Occupied	Total
Single-Family	3	76	79
Multi-Family	13	409	422
Mixed-Use	96	231	327
Total	112	716	828

B. Number and Type of Rooms Within Units

Detailed information on housing characteristics is not yet available from the 2000 Census. However, the 1990 Census is still a good source for estimating the number of rooms and bedrooms contained within residential units located in the Area since the majority of the Area is developed and limited new construction has occurred. **Table H-2** on the following page provides room distribution information for the following geographic entities:

- Census Tract 6112 is one of 22 Census Tracts in the New City Community
 Area. This Census Tract is bounded by 47th Street on the north, Ashland on
 the west, Loomis on the east, and 51st Street on the south. As shown on
 Plan, Appendix, Attachment Two, Exhibit B Generalized Existing
 Land Use Assessment Map, most of the residential units in the Area fall
 within this Census Tract.
- The New City Community Area is generally bound by Pershing Road on the north, Western on the West, Stewart on the east, and Garfield Boulevard (55th Street) on the south. The 47th/Ashland Area is located within the New City Community Area.

The boundaries of the New City Community Area and Census Tract 6112 are shown on Map H1, Community Area and Census Tract Boundary Map located in the Appendix of this Study.

Census Tract 6112 had 1,055 housing units in 1990. 51% of these units contained four or five rooms. Another 23% of the units contained six rooms, and 10% had seven or more rooms.

An analysis of the number of bedrooms per unit in Census Tract 6112 confirms that there is a sizable inventory of larger units. Overall, 35% of the units had three bedrooms, and an additional 11% had four or more bedrooms, as shown on **Table H-2** below.

Table H-2
47th/Ashland Redevelopment Plan and Project
Housing Impact Study
Rooms Per Housing Unit

	Trac	t 6112	<u>New</u>	City
Housing Units	1,055	100%	16,800	100%
Rooms Per Unit	0	0%	70	0%
2 rooms	64	6%	426	3%
3 rooms	86	8%	931	6%
4 rooms	289	27%	5,327	32%
5 rooms	256	24%	4,170	25%
6 rooms	243	23%	3,744	22%
7 rooms	30	3%	907	5%
8 rooms	41	4%	479	3%
9 or more rooms	<u>46</u>	<u>4%</u>	746	<u>4%</u>
Total	1,055	100%	16,800	100%
Bedrooms				
No bedroom	18	2%	112	1%
1 bedroom	150	14%	1,473	9%
2 bedrooms	397	38%	7,364	44%
3 bedrooms	370	35%	6,142	37%
4 bedrooms	88	8%	1,159	7%
5 or more bedrooms	<u>32</u>	<u>3%</u>	<u>550</u>	<u>3%</u>
$Total^{1}$	1,055	100%	16,800	100%

¹ The boundaries of Census Tract 6112 and the New City Community Area extend beyond the boundaries of the Area. Area residential unit counts identified in this Study will differ from these totals.

Source: 1990 Census

C. Number of Inhabited Units

As of August 31, 2001, the Area contained 76 occupied single-family units, 409 occupied multi-family units, and 231occupied mixed-use units. There are a total of 716 inhabited residential units in the Area. The distribution of inhabited residential units is indicated in **Table H-1** above. The survey of residential units was concluded on August 31, 2001 and is a date not less than 45 days prior to the date that the resolution required by subsection (a) of Section 11-74.4-5 of the Act was or will be passed (the resolution setting the public hearing and Joint Review Board meeting dates).

D. Race and Ethnicity of Residents

Table H-3 presented on the following page presents selected 1990 Census data on population and housing characteristics. For comparison purposes, data for the New City Community Area and the City of Chicago are included along with information for Census Tract 6112

As of the 1990 Census, the population of the New City Community Area was 32% White and 42% Black. Hispanics comprised 38% of the population in the Area. Census Tract 6112 has a lower percentage of Blacks (19%) and more Hispanics (63%) than that of the New City Community Area. The retail uses along Ashland Avenue near 47th Street appear to cater to a predominantly Hispanic clientele.

Select Demographic Data from the 2000 Census is now available. As shown in **Table H-3A** (page 2-5), the population of the New City Community Area dropped by 2.8% during the past decade, while the number of Hispanic residents increased by 5,552 persons. Despite the slight population loss, the number of renter households in the Community Area remained virtually unchanged. Within Census Tract 6112, the population increased by 4.0% despite a loss of housing units during the decade.

Table H-3
47th/Ashland Redevelopment Plan and Project
Housing Impact Study
Selected Demographics

	Tract 6112		New	City	City of Chicago	
Population	3,239)	53,226		2,783,726	
Households	902	2 100%	14,272	100%	1,020,911	100%
Families	724	80%	11,301	79%	636,423	62%
Non-family Households	178	20%	2,971	21%	384,488	38%
Race						
White	1,140	35%	16,937	32%	1,265,953	45%
Black	626	19%	22,245	42%	1,086,389	39%
American Indian, Eskimo, or Aleut	0	0%	114	0.2%	6,761	0.2%
Asian or Pacific Islander	0	0%	186	0.3%	104,141	4%
Other	1,473	45%	13,744	26%	320,482	12%
Hispanic Origin	2,051	63%	20,396	38%	20,396	1%
Housing Units	1,055		16,800		1,133,039	
Occupied	898	85%	14,498	86%	1,025,174	90%
Owner occupied	352	39%	5,457	38%	425,411	41%
Renter occupied	546	61%	9,041	62%	599,763	59%
Vacant	157	15%	2,302	14%	107,865	10%
Units per Structure						
Single-family Detached	110	12%	3,080	21%	264,216	26%
Single-family Attached	18	2%	303	2%	31,291	3%
2-units	518	58%	7,278	50%	212,696	21%
3- or 4-units	341	38%	4,759	33%	159,136	16%
5 to 9	45	5%	1,042	7%	124,704	12%
10 to 19	10	1%	162	1%	75,694	7%
20 to 49	0	0%	0	0%	80,681	8%
50 or more	0	0%	0	0%	171,497	17%
5or more units	10	1%	1,204	8%	327,872	32%
Mobile home or trailer	0	0%	13	0.1%	1,090	0.1%
Other	13	1%	163	1%	12,034	1%
Other	13	1%	176	1%	13,124	1%

Source: 1990 Census

Table H-3A 47th/Ashland Redevelopment Plan and Project Housing Impact Study Demographic and Housing Trends 1990 – 2000

	Tract 6112		New City			City of Chicago			
	<u>2000</u>	<u>1990</u>	Change	<u>2000</u>	<u>1990</u>	Change	<u>2000</u>	<u>1990</u>	Change
Persons	3,368	3,239	4.0%	51,721	53,226	-2.8%	2,896,016	2,783,726	4.0%
Hispanic / Latino	2,448	2,051	19.4%	25,948	20,396	27.2%	753,644	535,315	40.8%
Housing Units	892	1,055	-15.5%	15,931	16,800	-5.2%	1,152,868	1,133,039	1.8%
Occupied	792	898	-11.8%	13,990	14,498	-3.5%	1,061,928	1,025,174	3.6%
Owner occupied	248	352	-29.5%	4,966	5,457	-9.0%	464,865	425,411	9.3%
Renter occupied	544	546	-0.4%	9,024	9,041	-0.2%	597,063	599,763	-0.5%
Vacant	100	157	-36.3%	1,941	2,302	-15.7%	90,940	107,865	-15.7%

Source: 1990 and 2000 Census.

III. Housing Impact Study - Part 2

A. Number and Location of Units That Could Potentially be Removed

One of the primary goals of the Plan is to encourage maintenance, restoration and reuse of existing structures, to the maximum extent feasible. The establishment of the Area is intended to foster growth in existing communities. Although the Plan does not anticipate the removal of large numbers of residential units, the Area does contain a number of dilapidated buildings that contain residential uses. Dilapidation can gravely affect a buildings safety and desirability and is the most sever of blighting factors. Accordingly, it is reasonable to conclude that dilapidated buildings may be targeted for redevelopment by developers, which may result in the removal of inhabited residential units.

Since no development proposals for the Area have been submitted to the City, it is impossible to determine whether the redevelopment or demolition of these buildings and the removal of any of their inhabited residential units would stem from projects that receive tax increment assistance (or other public projects that are implemented in furtherance of this Plan).

Hence, there is a possibility that over the 23-year life of the Area, some inhabited residential units may be removed as a result of implementing the Plan. In order to meet the statutory requirement of defining the number and location of inhabited residential units that may be removed, a methodology was derived that would provide a reasonable estimate. The methodology used to fulfill the statutory requirements of defining the number and location of inhabited residential units that may be removed involved three steps.

- i. Step one counted all inhabited residential units previously identified on any underlying acquisition maps and Exhibit H-1, Land Acquisition Overview Map and Exhibit H-2, Land Acquisition By Block And Parcel Identification Number of the Plan. No previous underlying acquisition map exists. The majority of the parcels identified for acquisition were vacant lots or vacant buildings. Therefore, the number of inhabited residential units that may be removed in this step is 2.
- ii. Step two counted the number of inhabited residential units contained on parcels that are dilapidated as defined by the Act. From field surveys conducted in preparation of the Plan, the parcels identified which: 1) dilapidation is present so that, within 23 years, existing structures may be demolished or rehabilitated, and therefore may result in the removal of inhabited residential units: and 2) there also exists six or more eligibility factors in addition to age and dilapidation (representing the highest number of eligibility factors on blocks in the Area). Therefore, the number of inhabited residential units that may be removed in this step is 23.

iii. Step three counted the number of inhabited residential units that exist where the future land use indicated by the Plan will not include residential uses. Therefore, the number of inhabited residential units that may be removed from this step is zero (0).

In summary, a total of 25 occupied residential units were identified as units that may be removed in the Area. Attachment Four, 2000 Estimated EAV By Tax Parcel, located in the Appendix of the Plan, contains references to identify the units discussed above.

B. Relocation Plan

The City's plans for relocation assistance for those qualified residents in the Area whose residences are to be removed shall be consistent with the requirements set forth in Section 11-74.4-3(n)(7) of the Act. The terms and conditions of such assistance are described in D. Relocation Assistance below. No specific relocation plan has been prepared by the City as of the date of this report because no redevelopment project has been approved by the City. Until such a redevelopment project is approved, there is no certainty that any removal of residences will actually occur.

C. Replacement Housing

In accordance with Section 11-74.4-3(n)(7) of the Act, the City shall make a good faith effort to ensure that affordable replacement housing for any qualified displaced residents whose residence is removed is located in or near the Area.

Redevelopment of commercial buildings with upper floor residential units may result in new mixed-use buildings being constructed that would include residential units that may offset the displacements resulting from redevelopment activity. In addition, the development of affordable housing is provided for in the Plan. Developers who receive tax increment financing assistance for market-rate housing are to set aside 20-percent of the units to meet affordability criteria established by the City's Department of Housing. Generally, this means that affordable for-sale units should be priced at a level that is affordable to households earning no more than 120-percent of the area median income (adjusted for family size), and affordable rental units should be affordable to households earning no more than 80 percent of the area median income (adjusted for family size).

Although three types of residential buildings are represented in The Area (singlefamily, multifamily, and mixed-use), residents can be categorized as either renters or owners. It is logical to use tenure (rental vs. ownership housing), rather than building type, as the basis for discussing replacement housing, since a displaced renter would presumably seek a suitable replacement apartment without particular concern for the type of structure. Overall, renters make up 61% of the households residing in Census Tract 6112.

Replacement Rental Housing. A recent comprehensive study of the rental housing market in the Chicago metropolitan area concluded that, overall, there is a limited supply of quality affordable housing in the city and suburbs. For Rent: Housing Options in the Chicago Region, published by the Metropolitan Planning Council (MPC), includes a current estimate of the number and types of rental units on the South Side of the City, which they define to include the area south and east of the Chicago Sanitary and Ship Canal. According to the study, the South Side of Chicago has a total of 239,500 rental units, 93.7% of which were occupied. Table H-4 provided below provides information on this South Side inventory by unit type. The data are also broken out for small buildings, those that contain between two and nine units. Most of the rental inventory in the 47th/Ashland Redevelopment Area would fall in the category of small buildings.

The following conclusions can be drawn from the MPC study:

- The average monthly rent for all South Side apartments is \$619 per month. The rent is lower (\$558) for units in small buildings.
- The market is extremely tight for one- and two-bedroom units in small buildings, with vacancy rates of 1.6% and 4.4%, respectively.
- The vacancy rates for all buildings are slightly higher, although the rates for one- and two-bedroom units are both below 6%, the threshold set by the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) for a tight market.

Table H-4 47th/Ashland Redevelopment Plan and Project **Housing Impact Study** Supply of Rental Housing On The South Side of Chicago

	All Build	lings	Small Bui	ldings*
Unit Type	Vacancy	Avg. Rent	Vacancy	Avg. Rent
Studios	8.3%	\$511	NA	NA
One bedrooms	4.6%	\$558	1.6%	\$464
Two bedrooms	5.4%	\$609	4.4%	\$518
Three or more bedrooms	8.2%	\$690	9.0%	\$627
All units	6.3%	\$619	5.8%	\$558

^{*}Small buildings have 2-9 units.

Source: For Rent: Housing Options in the Chicago Region, published by Metropolitan

Planning

Council, November 1999.

Within the 47th/Ashland Redevelopment Area, the rental market is extremely tight, fueled by strong demand from new immigrants and comparatively low rents. Frequently, rental units in a building are leased to relatives or friends of the building owner and are not advertised.

To get a snapshot picture of the available apartments within this general area, Goodman Williams Group examined advertisements that appeared in the Thursday, July 5, 2001 edition of the *Chicago Sun-Times*. On that day, 205 apartments were advertised in the South and Southwest sectors of the city. Most of those were located in communities further south and east of 47th/Ashland.

Of that total, eleven available apartments were either in, or close to, the New City Community Area (all are located south of 51st Street). The locations of these apartments are listed on the following page as Table H-5 and identified by number on Map H2, Replacement Rental Housing Map contained in the Appendix of this Study.

Rents for the advertised apartments ranged from \$400 for a one-bedroom apartment to \$1,400 for a six-bedroom house. The average rent for the five one-bedroom apartments included in this group was \$516. The average rent for the five two-bedroom apartments is \$575, with most, but not all, of the units including heat in the rent.

As mentioned previously, the current market for replacement apartments within the 47th/Ashland Area is tight. A projection as to the number of apartments that will ultimately be required cannot accurately be made until such time that a developer comes forward with a proposal to redevelop a specific portion of the Area. Because specific proposals will likely be presented to the City throughout the life of the 47th/Ashland Redevelopment Area the changing nature of the housing market will likely result in different conditions than presented herein with respect to the availability of replacement housing. In addition, redevelopment projects within the Area may result in new housing units that are not currently counted in the housing inventory of the Area. At the time when specific redevelopment projects for the Area are presented, all provisions regarding relocation, availability, and affordable housing should be considered and detailed. The City should also encourage, where appropriate, redevelopment projects that include residential units within the Area.

Replacement For-Sale Housing. As is the case with rental housing, for-sale housing in the New City Community Area is relatively affordable. Table H-6 provided on the following page compares prices for homes sold by Realtors in the New City Community Area with comparable citywide figures. The median price of a single-family detached house in New City was \$39,000 in 2000 as compared to \$139,000 for the city as a whole. Multifamily units (condos and townhouses) are more expensive because they tend to be newer structures. The median price in New City in 2000 was \$71,500 as compared with \$182,000 citywide.

Table H-5
47th/Ashland Redevelopment Plan and Project
Housing Impact Study
Selected Apartments Advertised in Chicago Sun Times (July 5, 2000)

Map				
Number ¹	Address	Bedrooms	Rent	Heat Included
1	5118 S Hermitage	2BR	\$550	No
2	5101 S Damen	2BR	\$525	Yes
3	5501 S Halsted	1BR	\$400	No
4	6429 S Stewart	1BR	\$400	Yes
5	5506 S May	2BR	\$550	No
6	5928 S Wolcott	6BR	\$1,400	No
7	6001 S Talman	1BR	\$575	Yes
8	5501 S Ashland	2BR	\$550	Yes
9	5502 S Ashland	3BR	\$625	Yes
10	2320 W Garfield	1BR	\$750	Yes
11	1838 W 57th Street	1BR	\$455	Yes

Source: Chicago Sun-Times, July 5, 2001

Table H-6
47th/Ashland Redevelopment Plan and Project
Housing Impact Study
New Community Area Home Sales

Type	1997 Median Price	<u>Şold</u>	<u>1998</u> Median Price	Sold	<u>1999</u> Median Price	Sold	2000 Median Price	Sold
Single Family Detached New City Com. Area City of Chicago	\$49,900 \$123,000	38 8,981	\$55,000 \$130,000	40 9.811	\$45,000 \$136,000	56 1,259	\$39,000 \$139,900	74 10,499
Multifamily New City Com. Area City of Chicago	\$58,000 \$155,000	83 4,505	\$50,000 \$168,000	94 4.488	\$59,900 \$179,000	412 5,140	\$71,500 \$182,000	153 4,885

Source: Chicago Association of REALTORS

These data from the Chicago Association of Realtors also suggest that the New City Community Area has a reasonably active housing market, with 74 homes being sold by Realtors during 2000.

¹ Map Number indicates location on Map H2, Replacement Rental Housing Map

Another measure of the availability of replacement for-sale housing is the number of current listings. Table H-7 provided on the following page presents information from the *Chicago Tribune* and Realtor.com on 37 homes that were listed as available for purchase during the month of July 2001. Prices ranged from \$19,900 to \$159,900 with a median price of \$75,000. Most of the units had three or four bedrooms. The locations of these homes are further identified by number on Map H3, Replacement For-Sale Housing Map contained in the Appendix of this Study.

D. Relocation Assistance

In the event that the implementation of the Plan results in the removal of residential housing units in the Area occupied by low-income households or very low-income households, or the permanent displacement of low-income households or very low-income households from such residential housing units, such households shall be provided affordable housing and relocation assistance not less than that which would be provided under the federal Uniform Relocation Assistance and Real Property Acquisition Policies Act of 1970 and the regulations thereunder, including the eligibility criteria. The City shall make a good faith effort to ensure that affordable replacement housing for the aforementioned households is located in or near the Area.

As used in the above paragraph, "low-income households," "very low-income households" and "affordable housing" have the meanings set forth in Section 3 of the Illinois Affordable Housing Act, 310 ILCS 65/3. As of the date of this report, these statutory terms have the following meaning: (i) "low-income households" means a single person, family or unrelated persons living together whose adjusted income is more than 50 percent but less than 80 percent of the median income of the area of residence, adjusted for family size, as such adjusted income and median income are determined from time to time by the United States Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) for purposes of Section 8 of the United States Housing Act of 1937; (ii) "very low-income household" means a single person, family or unrelated persons living together whose adjusted income is not more than 50 percent of the median income of the area of residence, adjusted for family size, as so determined by HUD; and (iii) "affordable housing" means residential housing that, so long as the same is occupied by low-income households or very low-income households, requires payment of monthly housing costs, including utilities other than telephone, of no more than 30 percent of the maximum allowable income for such households, as applicable.


Table H-7
47th/Ashland Redevelopment Plan and Project
Housing Impact Study
Housing Units For Sale In New City Community Area

Map					
Number	Address	Price	Bed	Bath	Rooms
1	2049 W 52" St.	\$99,900	3	1	6
2	2116 W 52" St.	\$109,900	4	1	7
3	2135 W 52*** PL	\$46,980	2	1	4
4	2230 W 50"PL	\$99,900	4	2	10
5	448 W 45" St.	\$154,900	4	2	7
6	4734 S Bishop St.	\$87,500	7	2	11
7	4810 S Marshfield	\$58,900	6	2	12
8	4811 S Laflin	\$87,000	4	2	7
9	4820 S May Street	\$106,500	6	3	12
10	4857 S Damen Ave	\$119,000	4	2	8
11	4936 S Racine	\$63,000	4	1	8
12	5001 S Paulina St.	\$85,000	5	2	9
13	5012 S Winchester	\$65,000	3	2	7
14	5023 S Hoyne	\$104,500	3	1	6
15	5043 S Wood	\$89,900	6	2.1	11
16	5116 S Ada	\$104,900	3	1	6
17	5117 S Honore	\$35,000	4	1	7
18	5119 S Lowe Ave.	\$54,000	4	1.1	7
19	5127 S Ashland	\$79,900	4	2	6
20	5130 S Emerald	\$59,876	3	1	6
21	5147 S Laflin	\$74,500	3	1	5
22	5207 S Emerald	\$69,500	3	1	6
23	5208 S Laflin	\$94,000	5	1.1	8
24	5208 S Morgan	\$49,999	2	1	5
25	5217 S Morgan	\$49,900	4	1	6
26	5232 S Wolcott	\$89,900	4	1.1	8
27	5325 S Paulina	\$19,900	3	1	6
28	5337 S Justine	\$55,000	5	2	8
29	5339 S Hermitage	\$66,500	4	2	8
30	5342 S Wallace	\$75,000	5	1.1	7
31	5354 S Damen	\$99,900	3	1	5
32	5433 S Carpenter	\$34,900	4	1.1	7
33	5439 S Marshfield	\$54,900	4	1	7
34	623 W 46" PI.	\$159,900	3	1	6
35	849 W 49" PL	\$32,900	2	1	5
36	856 W 51° PL	\$55,000	3	2	6
37	943 W 51" St.	\$79,900	3	1	6

Source: Multiple Listing Service of Northern Illinois; July 6, 2001

 $^{^{\}rm 1}$ Map Number indicates location on Map H3, Replacement For-Sale Housing Map

Appendix



43RD

47TH

51ST

55TH


Replacement Rental Housing Map

MAP H2

(\$§

1

City of Chicago, Illinois



LEGEND

MAP NUMBER 37

NEW CITY COMMUNITY AREA BOUNDARY A Maria

47TH / ASHLAND TIF AREA


(\$§

T V

Replacement For-Sale Housing Map Sity of Chicago, Illinois

MAP H3

SEPTEMBER 6, 2001



Community Area and Census Tract Boundary Map City of Chicago, Illinois

MAP H1

47TH / ASHLAND TIF AREA