APPOROVAL OF TAX INCREMENT FINANCING REDEVELOPMENT PLAN FOR WEED/FREMONT REDEVELOPMENT PROJECT AREA.

The Committee on Finance submitted the following report:

CHICAGO, January 9, 2008.

To the President and Members of the City Council:

Your Committee on Finance, having had under consideration an ordinance approving a redevelopment plan for the Weed/Fremont Tax Increment Financing Redevelopment Projecting Area, having had the same under advisement, begs leave to report and recommend that Your Honorable Body Pass the proposed ordinance transmitted herewith.

This recommendation was concurred in by a viva voce vote of the members of the Committee.

Respectfully submitted,

(Signed) EDWARD M. BURKE, Chairman.

On motion of Alderman Burke, the said proposed ordinance transmitted with the foregoing committee report was Passed by yeas and nays as follows:


Nays -- None.

Alderman Carothers moved to reconsider the foregoing vote. The motion was lost.

The following is said ordinance as passed:

WHEREAS, It is desirable and in the best interest of the citizens of the City of Chicago, Illinois (the "City") for the City to implement tax increment allocation financing ("Tax Increment Allocation Financing") pursuant to the Illinois Tax Increment Allocation Redevelopment Act,
65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-1, et seq., as amended (the "Act"), for a proposed redevelopment project area to be known as the Weed/Fremont Redevelopment Project Area (the "Area") described in Section 2 of this ordinance, to be redeveloped pursuant to a revised proposed redevelopment plan and project attached hereto as Exhibit A (the "Plan"); and

WHEREAS, By authority of the Mayor and the City Council of the City of Chicago (the "City Council", referred to herein collectively with the Mayor as the "Corporate Authorities") and pursuant to Section 5/11-74.4-5(a) of the Act, the City's Department of Planning and Development established an interested parties registry and, on June 22, 2007 published in a newspaper of general circulation within the City a notice that interested persons may register in order to receive information on the proposed designation of the Area or the approval of the Plan; and

WHEREAS, The original draft of the proposed Plan has been made available for public inspection and review pursuant to Section 5/11-74.4-5(a) of the Act since August 3, 2007, being a date not less than ten (10) days before the meeting of the Community Development Commission of the City ("Commission") at which the Commission adopted Resolution 07-CDC-78 on August 14, 2007 fixing the time and place for a public hearing ("Hearing"), at the offices of the City Clerk and the City's Department of Planning and Development; and

WHEREAS, Pursuant to Section 5/11-74.4-5(a) of the Act, notice of the availability of the Plan (including the related eligibility report attached thereto as an exhibit and, if applicable, the feasibility study and the housing impact study) was sent by mail on September 21, 2007, which is within a reasonable time after the adoption by the Commission of Resolution 06-CDC-78 to: (a) all residential addresses that, after a good faith effort, were determined to be (i) located within the Area and (ii) located within seven hundred fifty (750) feet of the boundaries of the Area (or, if applicable, were determined to be the seven hundred fifty (750) residential addresses that were closest to the boundaries of the Area); and (b) organizations and residents that were registered interested parties for such Area; and

WHEREAS, Due notice of the Hearing was given pursuant to Section 5/11-74.4-6 of the Act, said notice being given to all taxing districts having property within the Area and to the Department of Commerce and Economic Opportunity of the State of Illinois by certified mail on August 17, 2007, by publication in the Chicago Sun-Times on September 17 and 24, 2007, and by certified mail to taxpayers within the Area on September 17, 2007; and

WHEREAS, A meeting of the joint review board established pursuant to Section 5/11-74.4-5(b) of the Act (the "Board") was convened upon the provision of due notice on September 7, 2007 at 10:00 A.M. to review the matters properly coming before the Board and to allow it to provide its advisory recommendation regarding the approval of the Plan, designation of the Area as a redevelopment project area pursuant to the Act and adoption of Tax Increment Allocation Financing within the Area, and other matters, if any, properly before it; and
WHEREAS, Pursuant to Sections 5/11-74.4-4 and 5/11-74.4-5 of the Act, the Commission held the Hearing concerning approval of the Plan, designation of the Area as a redevelopment project area pursuant to the Act and adoption of Tax Increment Allocation Financing within the Area pursuant to the Act on October 9, 2007; and

WHEREAS, The Commission has forwarded to the City Council a copy of its Resolution 07-CDC-82 attached hereto as Exhibit B, adopted on October 9, 2007 recommending to the City Council approval of the Plan, among other related matters; and

WHEREAS, The revised Plan attached hereto as Exhibit A was filed with the City Clerk and made available for public inspection and review on December 7, 2007; and

WHEREAS, The Corporate Authorities have reviewed the revised Plan (including the related eligibility report attached thereto as an exhibit and, if applicable, the feasibility study and the housing impact study), testimony from the Public Meeting and the Hearing, if any, the recommendation of the Board, if any, the recommendation of the Commission and such other matters or studies as the Corporate Authorities have deemed necessary or appropriate to make the findings set forth herein, and are generally informed of the conditions existing in the Area; now, therefore,

Be It Ordained by the City Council of the City of Chicago:

SECTION 1. Recitals. The above recitals are incorporated herein and made a part hereof.

SECTION 2. The Area. The Area is legally described in Exhibit C attached hereto and incorporated herein. The street location (as near as practicable) for the Area is described in Exhibit D attached hereto and incorporated herein. The map of the Area is depicted on Exhibit E attached hereto and incorporated herein.

SECTION 3. Findings. The Corporate Authorities hereby make the following findings as required pursuant to Section 5/11-74.4-3(n) of the Act:

a. the Area on the whole has not been subject to growth and development through investment by private enterprise and would not reasonably be expected to be developed without the adoption of the Plan;

b. the Plan:

(i) conforms to the comprehensive plan for the development of the City as a whole; or

(ii) either (A) conforms to the strategic economic development or redevelopment plan issued by the Chicago Plan Commission or (B) includes land uses that have been approved by the Chicago Plan Commission.
c. the Plan meets all of the requirements of a redevelopment plan as defined in the Act and, as set forth in the Plan, the estimated date of completion of the projects described therein and retirement of all obligations issued to finance redevelopment project costs is not later than December 31 of the year in which the payment to the municipal treasurer as provided in subsection (b) of Section 11-74.4-8 of the Act is to be made with respect to valorem taxes levied in the twenty-third (23rd) calendar year after the year in which the ordinance approving the redevelopment project area is adopted, and, as required pursuant to Section 5/11-74.4-7 of the Act, no such obligation shall have a maturity date greater than twenty (20) years;

d. the Plan will not result in displacement of residents from inhabited units.

SECTION 4. Approval Of The Plan. The City hereby approves the Plan attached hereto as Exhibit A pursuant to Section 5/11-74.4-4 of the Act.

SECTION 5. Powers Of Eminent Domain. In compliance with Section 5/11-74.4-4(c) of the Act and with the Plan, the Corporation Counsel is authorized to negotiate for the acquisition by the City of parcels contained within the Area. In the event the Corporation Counsel is unable to acquire any of said parcels through negotiation, the Corporation Counsel is authorized to institute eminent domain proceedings to acquire such parcels. Nothing herein shall be in derogation of any proper authority.

SECTION 6. Invalidity Of Any Section. If any provision of this ordinance shall be held to be invalid or unenforceable for any reason, the invalidity or unenforceability of such provision shall not affect any of the remaining provisions of this ordinance.

SECTION 7. Superseder. All ordinances, resolutions, motions or orders in conflict with this ordinance are hereby repealed to the extent of such conflict.

SECTION 8. Effective Date. This ordinance shall be in full force and effect immediately upon its passage.

[Exhibit "E" referred to in this ordinance printed on page 18386 of this Journal.]

Exhibits "A", "B", "C" and "D" referred to in this ordinance read as follows:
Exhibit "A".  
(To Ordinance)

City Of Chicago

Weed/Fremont Redevelopment Project Area

Tax Increment Financing Program

Redevelopment Plan And Project

August, 2007


I.

Introduction.

This document represents the Weed/Fremont Redevelopment Project Area Tax Increment Financing Program Redevelopment Plan and Project (the "Plan") for the proposed redevelopment area known as Weed/Fremont Redevelopment Project Area (the "Redevelopment Project Area") in Chicago, Illinois. The Redevelopment Project Area is located on the north side of the City of Chicago (the "City"), three (3) miles north of the City's central business district. It is bounded by the alley south of North Avenue on the north, just south of vacated West Weed Street on the south, North Fremont Street on the east and the North Dayton Street on the west (see the appendix, (Sub)Exhibit 1 "Legal Description" and (Sub)Exhibit 3, Map 1 -- Project Boundary). The Redevelopment Project Area comprises approximately two and six hundred forty-six thousandths (2.646) acres.

This Plan summarizes the analyses and findings of the consultant's work, which, unless otherwise noted, is the responsibility of Louik/Schneider & Associates, Inc. (the "Consultant"). The City is entitled to rely on the findings and conclusions of this Plan in designating the Redevelopment Project Area as a redevelopment project area under the Illinois Tax Increment Allocation Redevelopment Act, 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-1, et seq. (2002 State Bar Edition), as amended (the "Act"). The Consultant has prepared this Plan and the related Eligibility Study and Housing Impact Study with the understanding that the City would rely on: (1) the findings and conclusions of the Plan and the related Eligibility Study in proceeding with the designation of the Redevelopment Project Area and the adoption and implementation of the Plan, and (2) the fact that the Consultant has obtained the information necessary for the Plan, the related Eligibility Study and the Housing Impact Study to comply with the Act.
As set forth in the Act, if the redevelopment plan for a redevelopment project area would result in the displacement of residents from ten (10) or more inhabited residential units, or if the redevelopment project area contains seventy-five (75) or more inhabited residential units and a municipality is unable to certify that no displacement will occur, the municipality must prepare a housing impact study and incorporate the study in the redevelopment project plan. The Redevelopment Project Area contains one (1) vacant commercial building. The Area contains less than seventy-five (75) inhabited residential units and the City is willing to certify that no residential displacement will occur. Therefore, a housing impact study was not required and not completed.

II.

Redevelopment Project Area
And Legal Description.

A. Existing Conditions.

The Redevelopment Project Area current land-use commercial. The current uses surrounding the Redevelopment Project Area are industrial and commercial with the exception of some residential to the east of the Redevelopment Project Area (see (Sub) Exhibit 3, Map 2 -- Existing Land-Use). The Redevelopment Project Area comprises approximately two and six hundred forty-six thousandths (2.646) acres covering approximately one-third (⅓) of two (2) combined blocks. The Redevelopment Project Area contains one (1) building originally constructed for industrial use and was then converted to commercial use.

The Redevelopment Project Area is located within the Near North Side Community Area. The major north/south arterial street serving the Redevelopment Project Area is North Halsted Street on the east. The main east/west arterial street is North Avenue. The major local surface transportation access routes serving the Redevelopment Project Area and its surrounding communities include North Avenue and Division Street (east/west); and Clybourn and Elston Avenues (northwest/southeast). The Redevelopment Project Area is also well served by public transportation making the site easily accessible to the local work force. The 41 Elston/Clybourn, 70 Division, 72 North and 73 Armitage C.T.A. bus lines serve the Redevelopment Project Area. The major north/south C.T.A. bus line (8 Halsted) is one (1) block east of to the Redevelopment Project Area. Directly west (approximately one quarter (¼) -- one (1) mile) of the Redevelopment Project Area is the C.T.A. Blue Line (O'Hare-Congress-Douglass) with stops at Chicago Avenue, Division Street, Damen Avenue and Western Avenue. The Chicago & North Western/North Line's Clybourn Station is located northwest of the Redevelopment Project Area at Armitage and Ashland Avenues.

Based on the 2007 Title 17 Municipal Code of Chicago Zoning Ordinance (Index Publishing Corporation), the Redevelopment Project Area includes zoning classifications for commercial and business districts. The Redevelopment Project Area is currently zoned C3-5.
B. Tax Increment Allocation Redevelopment Act.

The Redevelopment Project Area is characterized by conditions that qualify it to be designated as an improved "Conservation Area" within the definitions set forth in the Act.

The Act provides a means for municipalities, after the approval of a redevelopment plan, designation of an area as a redevelopment project area, and adoption of tax increment allocation financing for such redevelopment project area, to redevelop blighted and conservation areas by pledging the incremental tax revenues generated by redevelopment in the redevelopment project area to projects in such redevelopment project area. These incremental tax revenues are used to pay for costs of public improvements that are required to stimulate private investment in new redevelopment and rehabilitation, or to reimburse private developers for eligible costs incurred in connection with an approved development. Municipalities may issue obligations to be repaid from the stream of real property tax increment revenues generated within the redevelopment project area.

The property tax increment revenue is calculated by determining the difference between the initial equalized assessed valuations (E.A.V.), as certified by the County Clerk, for all taxable real estate located within the redevelopment project area, and the current year E.A.V. The E.A.V. is the current assessed value of the property multiplied by the state multiplier. Any increase in E.A.V. is then multiplied by the current tax rate, which determines the incremental real property tax.

III.

Redevelopment Goals And Objectives.

Comprehensive goals and objectives are included in this Plan to guide the decisions and activities that will facilitate the revitalization of the Redevelopment Project Area. Many of them can be achieved through the effective use of local, state, and federal mechanisms. These goals and objectives generally reflect existing City policies affecting all or portions of the Redevelopment Project Area. They are meant to guide the development and review of all future projects undertaken in the Redevelopment Project Area.

A. General Goals.

--- Reduce or eliminate those conditions that qualify the Redevelopment Project Area as a Conservation Area.

--- Create an environment within the Redevelopment Project Area that will contribute to the health, safety, and general welfare of the City.
-- Strengthen the economic well-being of the Redevelopment Project Area and the City by enhancing the properties and the local tax base to their fullest potential.

-- Improve the quality of life for City residents by creating viable commercial businesses.

-- Create new jobs within the Redevelopment Project Area.

-- Encourage the participation of minorities and women in the redevelopment process of the Redevelopment Project Area.

-- Act as a buffer between the commercial and residential communities.

B. Redevelopment Objectives.

To achieve the general goals of this Plan, the following redevelopment objectives have been established:

-- Revitalize and restore the physical and economic conditions of underutilized and vacant building.

-- Use City programs, where appropriate, to create a unified identity that would enhance the marketability of the Redevelopment Project Area.

-- Encourage private investment in rehabilitation of buildings in the Redevelopment Project Area.

-- Provide public infrastructure improvements in the Redevelopment Project Area. Replace and repair adjacent streets, alleys, sidewalks and curbs, where necessary.

-- Establish job training and job-readiness programs to provide residents near the Redevelopment Project Area with skills necessary to secure jobs.

-- Attract new sales tax and real estate tax dollars to the City of Chicago.

C. Design Guidelines.

Although overall goals and redevelopment objectives are important in the process of redeveloping such an area, design guidelines are necessary to ensure that redevelopment activities result in an attractive and functional environment. The following design guidelines give a general, but directed, approach to the development of specific projects within the Redevelopment Project Area.
Integrate new development which is functionally and aesthetically compatible with adjacent development.

Ensure safe and functional circulation patterns for pedestrians and vehicles.

IV.

Conservation Area Conditions In The Redevelopment Project Area.


The Act authorizes Illinois municipalities to redevelop locally designated deteriorated areas through tax increment financing. In order for an area to qualify as a tax increment financing district, it must first be designated as a Blighted Area, a Conservation Area (or a combination of the two (2)), or an Industrial Park Conservation Area.

As set forth in the Act, a “Conservation Area” is any improved area within the boundaries of a redevelopment project area located within the territorial limits of the municipality in which fifty percent (50%) or more of the structures in the area have an age of thirty-five (35) years or more. Such an area is not yet a blighted area, but because of a combination of three (3) or more of the following factors is detrimental to public safety, health, morals, or welfare, and such an area may become a blighted area:

1. dilapidation;
2. obsolescence;
3. deterioration;
4. presence of structures below minimum code standards;
5. illegal use of individual structures;
6. excessive vacancies;
7. lack of ventilation, light or sanitary facilities;
8. inadequate utilities;
9. excessive land coverage and overcrowding of structures and community facilities;
10. deleterious land-use or layout;
11. necessity of environmental clean-up;

12. lack of community planning; and

13. equalized assessed values are declining or lower than the balance of the municipality.

Act states that no redevelopment plan shall be adopted unless a municipality complies with all of the following requirements: (1) the municipality finds that the redevelopment project area on the whole has not been subject to growth and development through investment by private enterprise and would not reasonably be anticipated to be developed without the adoption of the redevelopment plan and (2) the municipality finds that the redevelopment plan and project conforms to the comprehensive plan for the development of the municipality as a whole, or, for municipalities with a population of one hundred thousand (100,000) or more, regardless of when the redevelopment plan and project was adopted, the redevelopment plan and project either: (i) conforms to the strategic economic development or redevelopment plan issued by the designated planning authority of the municipality, or (ii) includes land uses that have been approved by the planning commission of the municipality.

The Consultant conducted comprehensive exterior surveys of all the parcels in the Redevelopment Project Area to identify the eligibility factors and their degree of presence. The exterior surveys examined not only the condition and use of buildings, but also streets, sidewalks, curbs, gutters, lighting, underutilized land, landscaping, fences and walls, and general maintenance. In addition, an analysis was conducted of existing site coverage, land uses, zoning and its relationship to the surrounding area.

Based upon surveys, site inspections, research and analysis by the Consultant, the Redevelopment Project Area qualifies as a Conservation Area as defined by the Act. A separate report, entitled City of Chicago Weed/Fremont Tax Increment Financing Program Eligibility Study dated August 2007 (the “Eligibility Study”), is attached as (Sub)Exhibit 4 to this Plan. It describes in detail the surveys and analyses undertaken, and the basis for qualifying the Redevelopment Project Area as a Conservation Area.

B. Conservation Area Eligibility Factors.

The Redevelopment Project Area consists of one (1) Permanent Index Number and one (1) building in the Redevelopment Project Area. In addition to age, the Redevelopment Project Area is characterized by the presence of five (5) Conservation Area eligibility factors defined below:

1. Obsolescence.

Obsolescence is defined in the Act as “the condition or process of falling into disuse”. Obsolescent structures have become ill-suited for their original use.
2. Deterioration.

Deterioration refers to any physical deficiencies or disrepair in buildings or site improvements requiring major treatment or repair. The Act defines deterioration with respect to buildings as, "defects including, but not limited to, major defects in the secondary building components such as doors, windows, porches, gutters and downs-pouts, and fascia".

3. Excessive Vacancies.

This factor refers to buildings that are unoccupied or underutilized and exert an adverse influence on the area because of the frequency, duration, or extent of vacancy.

4. Excessive Land Coverage And Overcrowding Of Structures And Community Facilities.

Excessive land coverage and overcrowding of structures and community facilities is defined by the Act as "the over-intensive use of property and the crowding of buildings and accessory facilities onto a site".

5. Deleterious Land-Use Or Layout.

Deleterious layout includes evidence of improper or obsolete platting of the land, inadequate street layout, and parcels of inadequate size or shape to meet contemporary development standards. It also includes evidence of poor layout of buildings on parcels and in relation to other buildings.


Lack of community planning may be a factor if the proposed Redevelopment Project Area was developed prior to or without the benefit or guidance of a community plan.

C. Eligibility Findings Conclusion.

The eligibility findings indicate that the Redevelopment Project Area qualifies as a Conservation Area as set forth in the Act. The number, degree and distribution of factors as documented in this report warrant the designation as a Redevelopment Project Area. Specifically:

-- The building in the Redevelopment Project Area meets the statutory criteria for age.
Of the thirteen (13) eligibility factors for a Conservation Area set forth in the Act, six (6) factors are present five (5) to a major extent and one (1) to a minor extent. In addition to age, only three (3) are necessary for designation as a Conservation Area.

The Conservation Area eligibility factors that are present are reasonably distributed throughout the Redevelopment Project Area.

The eligibility findings indicate that the Redevelopment Project Area contains factors that qualify it as a Conservation Area in need of revitalization and that designation as a redevelopment project area will contribute to the long-term enhancement of the City.

The Redevelopment Project Area has not benefited from growth and development as a result of investments by private enterprise, and will not be developed without action by the City. Despite significant efforts to find a tenant, the building has remained vacant for over two (2) years due to conditions that will be described in the Eligibility Study. From this data, together with the other eligibility factors, it can be reasonably concluded that the Redevelopment Project Area (i) has not been subject to growth and development through private investment, and (ii) would not reasonably be anticipated to be developed without adoption of a redevelopment plan by the City. Adoption of the Redevelopment Plan and Project is necessary to halt deterioration of the Redevelopment Project Area.

The analysis above was based upon data assembled by the Consultant. The surveys research and analysis conducted include the following:

-- exterior surveys of the conditions and use of the Redevelopment Project Area;
-- field surveys of environmental conditions, including streets, sidewalks, curbs and gutters, lighting, traffic, landscaping, fences and walls and general property maintenance;
-- comparison of current land-uses to the current zoning ordinance and current zoning maps;
-- historical analysis of site uses and users;
-- analysis of original and current platting and building size layout; and
-- review of previously prepared plans, studies and data; and
-- evaluation of the E.A.V.'s in the Redevelopment Project Area from tax years 2001 to 2006.

The Redevelopment Project Area qualifies as an improved Conservation Area and is therefore eligible for Tax Increment Financing under the Act.
V. Weed/Fremont Redevelopment Project.

This section defines the Redevelopment Project to be undertaken by both the City through its various departments and through private developers and/or individuals. The Redevelopment Project is outlined in the following sections: “General Land-Use Plan”, “Redevelopment Plan”, “Redevelopment Project” and “Estimated Redevelopment Activities and Cost”.

A. General Land-Use Plan.

The proposed land-uses for the Redevelopment Project Area reflect the goals and objectives previously identified. Map 3 -- Proposed Land-Use identifies the uses that will be supported by the plan. The land-use category for the Redevelopment Project Area is commercial. The Proposed Land-Use Plan is intended to guide future land-use improvements and developments for the Redevelopment Project Area is commercial.

The Chicago Plan Commission must approve this Plan and the proposed land-use described herein prior to its adoption by the City Council.

B. Redevelopment Plan.

The proposed land-use is key to the comprehensive and cohesive development of the Redevelopment Project Area as a successful complement to its surrounding community. The primary intent of this Plan is to build upon the work that has already taken place near the adjacent area. The overall strategy is to develop a viable commercial business in the currently vacant structure. Additionally, the Plan will help to eliminate existing deteriorating conditions within the Redevelopment Project Area that make the area eligible as a conservation area under the Act.

This Redevelopment Plan incorporates the use of tax increment revenues to stimulate or stabilize the Redevelopment Project Area through the planning and programming of improvements. The Redevelopment Plan’s strategy is to develop a public improvement program using tax increment financing, as well as other funding sources available to the City, which will improve the Redevelopment Project Area and which will reinforce and further private investment. This public improvement program can basically be categorized as follows:

-- renovate and rehabilitate existing the commercial structure; and

-- undertake public improvements on adjacent streets, alleys and sidewalks.
To meet the goals and objectives of this Plan, the City may acquire and assemble property throughout the Redevelopment Project Area. Land assemblage by the City may be by purchase, exchange, donation, lease, eminent domain, through the Tax Reactivation Program or other programs and may be for the purpose of (a) sale, lease or conveyance to private developers, or (b) sale, lease, conveyance or dedication for the construction of public improvements or facilities. Furthermore, the City may require written redevelopment agreements with developers before acquiring any properties. As appropriate, the City may devote acquired property to temporary uses until such property is scheduled for disposition and development.

In connection with the City exercising its power to acquire real property, including the exercise of the power of eminent domain, under the Act in implementing the Plan, the City will follow its customary procedures of having each such acquisition recommended by the Community Development Commission (or any successor commission) and authorized by the City Council of the City. Acquisition of such real property as may be authorized by the City Council does not constitute a change in the nature of this Plan.

In the event that the implementation of the Plan results in the removal of residential housing units in the Redevelopment Project Area occupied by low-income households or very low-income households, or the displacement of low-income households or very low-income households from such residential housing units, such households shall be provided affordable housing and relocation assistance not less than that which would be provided under the federal Uniform Relocation Assistance and Real Property Acquisition Policies Act of 1970 and the regulations thereunder, including the eligibility criteria. Affordable housing may be either existing or newly constructed housing. The City shall make a good faith effort to ensure that this affordable housing is located in or near the Redevelopment Project Area.

As used in the above paragraph "low-income households", "very low-income households" and "affordable housing" shall have the meanings set forth in Section 3 of the Illinois Affordable Housing Act, 310 ILCS 65/3. As of the date of this Plan, these statutory terms are defined as follows: (i) "low-income household" means a single person, family or unrelated persons living together whose adjusted income is more than fifty percent (50%) but less than eighty percent (80%) of the median income of the area of residence, adjusted for family size, as such adjusted income and median income are determined from time to time by the United States Department of Housing and Urban Development ("H.U.D.") for purposes of Section 8 of the United States Housing Act of 1937; (ii) "very low-income household" means a single person, family or unrelated persons living together whose adjusted income is not more than fifty percent (50%) of the median income of the area of residence, adjusted for family size, as so determined by H.U.D.; and (iii) "affordable housing" means residential housing that, so long as the same is occupied by low-income households or very low-income households, requires payment of monthly housing costs, including utilities other than telephone, of no more than thirty percent (30%) of the maximum allowable income for such households, as applicable.

The City requires that developers who receive tax increment funds for market rate housing set aside twenty percent (20%) of the units to meet affordability criteria established by the
City's Department of Housing or any successor agency. Generally, this means the affordable for-sale units should be priced at a level that is affordable to persons earning no more than one hundred percent (100%) of the area median income, and affordable rental units should be affordable to persons earning no more than sixty percent (60%) of the area median income.

C. Redevelopment Project.

The purpose of this Plan is to create a planning and programming mechanism that guides financial investment of tax increment funds and private sources of funds for the redevelopment of properties within the Redevelopment Project Area. The Plan contains specific redevelopment objectives addressing both private actions and public improvements that will assist the overall redevelopment of the Redevelopment Project Area. The Plan will be implemented in phases and will help to eliminate those existing conditions that make the Redevelopment Project Area susceptible to blight.

The Plan for the Redevelopment Project Area incorporates the use of tax increment funds to stimulate and stabilize the Redevelopment Project Area, which will have a positive effect for the residents and property owners in the surrounding area. The Plan's underlying strategy is to use tax increment financing, as well as other funding sources, to reinforce and encourage further private investment. The City may enter into redevelopment agreements, which will generally provide for the City to grant funding for activities permitted by the Act. The funds for these improvements will come from the incremental increase in tax revenues generated from the Redevelopment Project Area, or the City's possible issuance of bonds to be repaid from the incremental taxes. A developer may be responsible for site improvements and may further be required to build any agreed upon improvements needed for the project. Under a redevelopment agreement, the developer may also be reimbursed from incremental tax revenues (to the extent permitted by the Act) for all or a portion of eligible costs.

D. Estimated Redevelopment Project Activities And Costs.

The City may enter into redevelopment agreements or intergovernmental agreements with private entities or public entities, respectively, to construct, rehabilitate, renovate or restore private or public improvements on the parcel (collectively referred to as "Redevelopment Project"). The various redevelopment expenditures that are eligible for payment or reimbursement under the Act are reviewed below. Following this review is a list of estimated redevelopment project costs that are deemed necessary to implement this Plan ("Redevelopment Project Costs", see Table 1 -- Estimated Redevelopment Project Costs).

In the event the Act is amended after the date of the approval of this Plan by the City Council of Chicago to (a) include new eligible redevelopment project costs, or (b) expand the scope or increase the amount of existing eligible redevelopment project Costs (such as, for example, by increasing the amount of incurred interest costs that may be paid under 65 ILCS
5-11-74.4-3(q)(11)), this Plan shall be deemed to incorporate such additional, expanded or increased eligible costs as Redevelopment Project Costs under the Plan, to the extent permitted by the Act. In the event of such amendment(s) to the Act, the City may add any new eligible Redevelopment Project Costs as a line item in Table 1 or otherwise adjust the line item in Table 1 without amendment to this Plan, to the extent permitted by the Act. In no instance, however, shall such additions or adjustments result in any increase in the total Redevelopment Project Costs without a further amendment to this Plan.

Eligible Redevelopment Costs.

Redevelopment Project Costs include the sum total of all reasonable or necessary costs incurred, estimated to be incurred, or incidental to this Plan pursuant to the Act. Such costs may include, without limitation, the following:

1. costs of studies, surveys, development of plans and specifications, implementation and administration of the Plan, including but not limited to staff and professional service costs for architectural, engineering, legal, financial, planning, or other services (excluding lobbying expenses), provided that no charges for professional services are based on a percentage of the tax increment collected;

2. the costs of marketing sites within the Redevelopment Project Area to prospective businesses, developers and investors;

3. property assembly costs, including, but not limited to acquisition of land and other property, real or personal, or rights or interests therein, demolition of buildings, site preparation, site improvements that serve as an engineered barrier addressing ground-level or below-ground environmental contamination, including, but not limited to parking lots and other concrete or asphalt barriers, and the clearing and grading of land;

4. costs of rehabilitation, reconstruction or repair or remodeling of existing public or private buildings, fixtures, and leasehold improvements; and the costs of replacing an existing public building if pursuant to the implementation of a redevelopment project the existing public building is to be demolished to use the site for private investment or devoted to a different use requiring private investment;

5. costs of the construction of public works or improvements subject to the limitations in Section 11-74.4-3(q)(4) of the Act;

6. costs of job training and retraining projects including the cost of "welfare to work" programs implemented by businesses located within the Redevelopment Project Area as long as such projects feature a community-based training program that ensures maximum reasonable opportunities for residents of the community area with particular attention to the needs of those residents who have previously experienced inadequate employment opportunities and development of job-related skills including residents of public and other subsidized housing and people with
disabilities;

7. financing costs including, but not limited to, all necessary and incidental expenses related to the issuance of obligations and which may include payment of interest on any obligations issued thereunder, including interest accruing during the estimated period of construction of any redevelopment project for which such obligations are issued and for a period not exceeding thirty-six (36) months following completion and including reasonable reserves thereto;

8. to the extent the City by written agreement accepts and approves the same, all or a portion of a taxing district's capital costs resulting from the redevelopment project necessarily incurred or to be incurred within a taxing district in furtherance of the objectives of the Plan;

9. relocation costs to the extent that the City determines that relocation costs shall be paid or is required to make payment of relocation costs by federal or state law or by Section 74 A-3(n)(7) of the Act;

10. payment in lieu of taxes, as defined in the Act;

11. costs of job training, retraining, advanced vocational education or career education, including, but not limited to courses in occupational, semi-technical, or technical fields leading directly to employment, incurred by one or more taxing districts, provided that such costs (1) are related to the establishment and maintenance of additional job training, advanced vocational education or career education programs for persons employed or to be employed by employers located in the Redevelopment Project Area, and (2) when incurred by a taxing district or taxing districts other than the City, are set forth in a written agreement by or among the City and the taxing district or taxing districts, which agreement describes the program to be undertaken including, but not limited to the number of employees to be trained, a description of the training and services to be provided, the number and type of positions available or to be available, itemized costs of the program and sources of funds to pay for the same, and the term of the agreement. Such costs include, specifically, the payment by community college districts of costs pursuant to Sections 3-37, 3-38, 3-40 and 3-40.1 of the Public Community College Act, 110 ILCS 805/3-37, 805/3-38, 805/3-40 and 805/3-40.1, and by school districts of costs pursuant to Sections 10-22.20a and 10-23.3a of the School Code, 105 ILCS 5/10-22.20a and 5/10-23.3a;

12. interest costs incurred by a redeveloper related to the construction, renovation, or rehabilitation of a redevelopment project provided that: (1) such costs are to be paid directly from the special tax allocation fund established pursuant to the Act; (2) such payments in any one year may not exceed thirty percent (30%) of the annual interest costs incurred by the redeveloper with regard to the redevelopment project during that year; (3) if there are not sufficient funds available in the special tax allocation fund to make the payment pursuant to this provision, then the
amounts so due shall accrue and be payable when sufficient funds are available in the special tax allocation fund; (4) the total of such interest payments paid pursuant to the Act may not exceed thirty percent (30%) of the total (i) cost paid or incurred by the redeveloper for such redevelopment project, or (ii) redevelopment project costs excluding any property assembly costs and any relocation costs incurred by the City pursuant to the Act; and (5) up to seventy-five percent (75%) of the interest cost incurred by a redeveloper for the financing of rehabilitated or new housing for low- and very low-income households, as defined in Section 3 of the Illinois Affordable Housing Act, shall be substituted for thirty percent (30%) in (2) and (4) above;

13. unless explicitly provided in the Act, the cost of construction of new privately owned buildings shall not be an eligible redevelopment project cost;

14. an elementary, secondary, or unit school district's increased costs attributable to assisted housing units will be reimbursed as provided in the Act;

15. instead of the eligible costs provided for in (12) 2, 4 and 5 above, the City may pay up to fifty percent (50%) of the cost of construction, renovation and/or rehabilitation of all low- and very low-income housing units (for ownership or rental) as defined in Section 3 of the Illinois Affordable Housing Act. If the units are part of a residential redevelopment project that includes units not affordable to low- and very low-income households, only the low- and very low-income units shall be eligible for benefits under the Act; and

16. the costs of day care services for children of employees from low-income families working for businesses located within the Redevelopment Project Area and all or a portion of the cost of operation of day care centers established by Redevelopment Project Area businesses to serve employees from low-income families working in businesses located in the Redevelopment Project Area. For the purposes of this paragraph, "low-income families" means families whose annual income does not exceed eighty percent (80%) of the City, county or regional median income as determined from time to time by H.U.D.

If a special service area has been established pursuant to the Special Service Area Tax Act, 35 ILCS 235/0.01, et seq., as amended, then any tax increment revenues derived from the tax imposed pursuant to the Special Service Area Tax Act may be used within the redevelopment project area for the purposes permitted by the Special Service Area Tax Act as well as the purposes permitted by the Act.

Table 1 -- Estimated Redevelopment Project Costs represents those eligible project costs pursuant to the Act. The total Redevelopment Project Costs provide an upper limit on expenditures (exclusive of capitalized interest, issuance costs, interest and other financing costs). Within this limit, adjustments may be made in line items without amendment to this Plan. These upper limit expenditures are potential costs to be expended over the life of the
Redevelopment Project Area. These funds are subject to the amount of projects and incremental tax revenues generated and the City's willingness to fund proposed projects on a project-by-project basis. The Redevelopment Project Costs represent estimated amounts and do not represent actual City commitments or expenditures.

Table 1.
Estimated Redevelopment Project Costs.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Program/Action/Improvements</th>
<th>Estimated Costs ($)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Property assembly: acquisition</td>
<td>$2,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Site preparation demolition and environmental remediation</td>
<td>2,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Public works and improvements: streets and utilities, parks and open space, public facilities (school and other public facilities)(^{(1)})</td>
<td>2,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Relocation</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Rehabilitation of existing structures, fixtures and leasehold improvements, affordable housing construction and rehabilitation</td>
<td>12,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Job training, retaining, welfare-to-work</td>
<td>500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Interest subsidies</td>
<td>900,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Professional services: studies, surveys, plans and specifications, administrative costs relating to redevelopment plan, architectural, engineering, legal, marketing, financial, planning, or other services</td>
<td>640,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\* Exclusive of capitalized interest, issuance costs, and other financing costs.

\(^{(1)}\) This category may also include paying for or reimbursing (i) an elementary, secondary, or unit school district's increased costs attributed to assisted housing units, and (ii) capital costs of taxing districts affected by the redevelopment of the Redevelopment Project Area. As permitted by the Act, to the extent the City by written agreement accepts and approves the same, the City may pay, or reimburse all or a portion of a taxing district's capital costs resulting from a redevelopment project necessarily incurred or to be incurred within a taxing district in furtherance of the objectives of the Plan.
9. Day care services

TOTAL REDEVELOPMENT COSTS: $20,440,000

E. Sources Of Funds To Pay Redevelopment Project Costs.

Funds necessary to pay for Redevelopment Project Costs and secure municipal obligations issued for such costs are to be derived primarily from incremental property taxes. Other

* Exclusive of capitalized interest, issuance costs, and other financing costs.

(2) Total Redevelopment Project Costs exclude any additional financing costs, including any interest expense, capitalized interest, and costs associated with optional redemptions. These costs are subject to prevailing market conditions and are in addition to Total Redevelopment Project Costs.

(3) The amount of the Total Redevelopment Costs that can be incurred in the Redevelopment Project Area will be reduced by the amount of redevelopment project costs incurred in contiguous redevelopment project areas, or those separated from the Redevelopment Project Area only by a public right-of-way, that are permitted under the Act to be paid and are paid, from incremental property taxes generated in the Redevelopment Project Area, but will not be reduced by the amount of redevelopment project costs incurred in the Redevelopment Project Area that are paid from incremental property taxes generated in contiguous redevelopment project areas or those separated from the Redevelopment Project Area only by a public right-of-way.

(4) Increases in estimated Total Redevelopment Project Costs of more than five percent (5%), after adjustment for inflation from the date of the Plan adoption, are subject to the Plan amendment procedures as provided under the Act.

Additional funding from other sources such as federal, state, county, or local grant funds may be used to supplement the City's ability to finance Redevelopment Project Costs identified above.

(5) In 2007 dollars.

Changes may be made in line items (but not in total) without amendment of the Plan.
sources of funds which may be used to pay for Redevelopment Project Costs or secure municipal obligations are land disposition proceeds, state and federal grants, investment income, private financing and other legally permissible funds the City may deem appropriate. The City may incur Redevelopment Project Costs which are paid for from funds of the City other than incremental taxes, and the City may then be reimbursed for such costs from incremental taxes. Also, the City may permit the use of guarantees, deposits and other forms of security made available by private sector developers. Additionally, the City may utilize revenues, other than State sales tax increment revenues, received under the Act from one redevelopment project area for eligible costs in another redevelopment project area that is either contiguous to, or is separated only by a public right-of-way from, the redevelopment project area from which the revenues are received.

The Redevelopment Project Area may be contiguous to or separated by only a public right-of-way from other redevelopment project areas created under the Act. The City may utilize net incremental property taxes received from the Redevelopment Project Area to pay eligible redevelopment project costs, or obligations issued to pay such costs, in other contiguous redevelopment project areas or project areas separated only by a public right-of-way, and vice versa. The amount of revenue from the Redevelopment Project Area, made available to support such contiguous redevelopment project areas, or those separated only by a public right-of-way, when added to all amounts used to pay eligible Redevelopment Project Costs within the Redevelopment Project Area, shall not at any time exceed the total Redevelopment Project Costs described in this Plan.

The Redevelopment Project Area may become contiguous to, or separated only by a public right-of-way from, redevelopment project areas created under the Industrial Jobs Recovery Law, 65 ILCS 5/11-74.6-1, et seq. If the City finds the goals, objectives and financial success of such contiguous redevelopment project areas or those separated only by a public right-of-way are interdependent with those of the Redevelopment Project Area, the City may determine that it is in the best interests of the City and in furtherance of the purposes of the Plan that net revenues from the Redevelopment Project Area be made available to support any such Redevelopment Project Areas, and vice versa. The City therefore proposes to use net incremental revenues received from the Redevelopment Project Area to pay eligible Redevelopment Project Costs (which are eligible under the Industrial Jobs Recovery Law referred to above) in any such areas, and vice versa. Such revenues may be transferred or loaned between the Redevelopment Project Area, and such areas. The amount of revenue from the Redevelopment Project Area so made available, when added to all amounts used to pay eligible Redevelopment Project Costs within the Redevelopment Project Area or other areas as described in the preceding paragraph, shall not at any time exceed the total Redevelopment Project Costs described in Table 1 of this Plan.

F. Issuance Of Obligations.

The City may issue obligations secured by incremental property taxes pursuant to Section 11-74.4-7 of the Act. To enhance the security of a municipal obligation, the City may pledge its full faith and credit through the issuance of general obligations bonds. Additionally,
the City may provide other legally permissible credit enhancements to any obligations issued pursuant to the Act.

The Redevelopment Project shall be completed, and all obligations issued to finance Redevelopment Project Costs shall be retired, no later than December 31 of the year in which the payment to the City treasurer as provided in the Act is to be made with respect to ad valorem taxes levied in the twenty-third (23rd) calendar year following the year in which the ordinance approving this Redevelopment Project Area is adopted (assuming City Council approval of the Redevelopment Project Area and Plan in 2008, by December 31, 2032). Also, the final maturity date of any such obligations issued may not be later than twenty (20) years from their respective dates of issue. One or more series of obligations may be sold at one or more times in order to implement this Plan. Obligations may be issued on a parity or subordinated basis.

In addition to paying Redevelopment Project Costs, incremental property taxes may be used for the scheduled and/or early retirement of obligations, mandatory or optional redemptions, establishment of debt service reserves and bond sinking funds. To the extent that incremental property taxes are not needed for such purposes, and are not otherwise required, pledged, earmarked or otherwise designated for the payment of Redevelopment Project Costs, any excess incremental property taxes shall then become available for distribution annually to taxing districts having jurisdiction over the Redevelopment Project Area in the manner provided by the Act.

G. Most Recent Equalized Assessed Valuation at Properties.

The purpose of identifying the most recent equalized assessed valuation ("E.A.V.") of the Redevelopment Project Area is to provide an estimate of the initial E.A.V. which the Cook County Clerk will certify for the purpose of annually calculating the incremental E.A.V. and incremental property taxes of the Redevelopment Project Area. The 2006 E.A.V. of the taxable parcel in the Redevelopment Project Area is Six Million Four Hundred Thirty Thousand Three Hundred Sixty Dollars ($6,430,360). This total E.A.V. amount, by Permanent Index Number, is listed in (Sub)Exhibit 2. The E.A.V. is subject to verification by the Cook County Clerk. After verification, the final figure shall be certified by the Cook County Clerk, and shall become the Certified Initial E.A.V. from which all incremental property taxes in the Redevelopment Project Area will be calculated by Cook County. If more current E.A.V. shall become available prior to the date of the adoption of the Plan by the City Council, the City may update the Plan by replacing (Sub)Exhibit 2 with the most recent E.A.V.

H. Anticipated Equalized Assessed Valuation.

The estimated E.A.V. of real property within the Redevelopment Project Area, by the year 2012 (when it is estimated that the Redevelopment Projects, based on current information, will be constructed and fully assessed), is anticipated to be between Twenty-five Million Dollars ($25,000,000) and Thirty-five Million Dollars ($35,000,000). These estimates are based on several key assumptions including the following: (1) all currently projected development will be constructed and occupied by 2012; (2) the market value of the
anticipated developments will increase following completion of the redevelopment activities described in the Plan; (3) the most recent State Multiplier of 2.7076 as applied to 2006 assessed values will remain unchanged; (4) for the duration of the Redevelopment Project Area, the tax rate for the entire area is assumed to be the same and will remain unchanged from the 2006 level; and (5) growth from reassessments of existing properties in the Redevelopment Project Area will be at a rate of two and five-tenths percent (2.5%) per year with a reassessment every three (3) years. Although development in the Redevelopment Project Area could occur after 2012, it is not possible to estimate with accuracy the effect of such future development on the E.A.V., for the Redevelopment Project Area. In addition, as described in Section M of the Plan, Phasing and Scheduling, public improvements and the expenditure of Redevelopment Project Costs may be necessary in furtherance of the Plan throughout the period that the Plan is in effect.

I. Financial Impact Of The Redevelopment Project.

The Act requires an assessment of any financial impact of the Redevelopment Project Area on, or any increased demand for services from, any taxing district affected by the Plan and a description of any program to address such financial impacts or increased demand. The City intends to monitor development in the Redevelopment Project Area and, with the cooperation of the other affected taxing districts, will attempt to ensure that any increased needs are addressed in connection with any particular development.

The following major taxing districts presently levy taxes on properties located within the Redevelopment Project Area: City of Chicago, Chicago Board of Education District, Chicago School Finance Authority, Chicago Park District, Chicago Community College District, Metropolitan Water Reclamation District of Greater Chicago, County of Cook, and Cook County Forest Preserve District.

The proposed Redevelopment Plan and Project involves the rehabilitation of an existing building and the construction of new development. The development will not likely cause an increased demand for capital improvements to be provided by the taxing districts. However, the increase in the amount of visitors to the area may increase the need for some capital improvement. Therefore, as discussed below, the financial burden of the Redevelopment Plan and Project on taxing districts is expected to be minimal.

In addition to the major taxing districts summarized above, the City of Chicago Library Fund has taxing jurisdiction over part or all of the Redevelopment Project Area. The City of Chicago Library Fund (formerly a separate taxing district from the City) no longer extends taxing levies, but it continues to exist for receiving delinquent taxes.

Impact Of The Redevelopment Project.

The renovation and construction of vacant and underutilized property in the Redevelopment Project Area should not increase the demand for services and/or capital improvements to be provided by the City of Chicago, Chicago Board of Education District, Chicago School Finance Authority, Chicago Park District, Chicago Community College District, Metropolitan
Water Reclamation District of Greater Chicago, County of Cook, and Cook County Forest Preserve District. The nature of these potential demands for services on these taxing districts is described below.

City Of Chicago. The renovation and improvement of vacant and underutilized properties should not increase the demand for services and programs provided by the City, including police and fire protection, sanitary collection, recycling, et cetera. Appropriate City departments can adequately address any increase in demand for City services and programs. Therefore, the Redevelopment Plan is not anticipated to require expansion of City service.

Chicago Board Of Education. No children are expected to move in to the Redevelopment Project Area and therefore, the Redevelopment Plan will not require an increase in educational services.

Chicago Park District. The renovation of vacant and underutilized commercial property will not increase the number of residents to the Redevelopment Project Area. The City intends to monitor development with the cooperation of the Chicago Park District to ensure that any increase in the demand for services will be adequately addressed.

Chicago Community College 508. The renovation and improvement of vacant and underutilized commercial property should neither increase the need for college educational services, nor increase the number of schools provided by the Chicago Community Colleges.

Metropolitan Water Reclamation District Of Greater Chicago. It is expected that any increase in demand for treatment of sanitary and storm sewage associated with the renovation and improvement of vacant and underutilized commercial property can be handled adequately by existing treatment facilities maintained and operated by the Metropolitan Water Reclamation District.

County Of Cook. It is expected that any increase in demand from the renovation and improvement of vacant and underutilized property can be handled adequately by existing services and programs maintained and operated by the County of Cook.

Cook County Forest Preserve District. It is expected that any increase in demand from the renovation and improvement of vacant and underutilized commercial property can be handled adequately by existing services and programs maintained and operated by the Cook County Forest Preserve District.

J. Program To Address Financial And Service Impacts.

The complete scale and amount of development in the Redevelopment Project Area cannot be predicted with complete certainty, and the demand for services provided by the affected taxing districts cannot be quantified. The City intends to monitor development in the
Redevelopment Project Area and, with the cooperation of the other affected taxing districts, will attempt to ensure that any increased needs are addressed.

As indicated in Section V, Subsection D and Table 1 of the Appendix, Estimated Redevelopment Project Costs, the City may provide public improvements and facilities to service the Redevelopment Project Area. Potential public improvements and facilities provided by the City may mitigate any additional service and capital demands placed on taxing districts as a result of the implementation of this Redevelopment Project.

K. Provision for Amending the Redevelopment Plan.

The Redevelopment Plan may be amended pursuant to the provisions of the Act.


The City is committed to and will affirmatively implement the following principles with respect to the Redevelopment Project Area and this Plan.

1. The assurance of equal opportunity in all personnel and employment actions with respect to the Redevelopment Project, including but not limited to hiring, training, transfer promotion discipline, fringe benefits, salary, employment working conditions, termination, et cetera, without regard to race, color, sex; age, religion, disability, national origin, ancestry, sexual orientation, marital status, parental status, military discharge status, source of income, or housing status.

2. Redevelopers must meet the City's standards for participation of twenty-four percent (24%) Minority Business Enterprises and four percent (4%) Woman Business Enterprises and the City Resident Construction Worker Employment Requirement as required in redevelopment agreements.

3. This commitment to affirmative action and nondiscrimination will ensure that all members of the protected groups are sought out to compete for all job openings and promotional opportunities.

4. Redevelopers must meet City standards for any applicable prevailing wage rate as ascertained by the Illinois Department of Labor to all project employees.

The City shall have the right in its sole discretion to exempt certain small businesses, residential property owners and developers from the above.

M. Phasing And Scheduling.

A phased implementation strategy will be used to achieve a timely and orderly redevelopment of the Redevelopment Project Area. It is expected that while this Plan is in
effect for the Redevelopment Project Area, numerous public/private improvements and developments can be expected to take place. The specific time frame and financial investment will be staged in a timely manner. Development within the Redevelopment Project Area will be staged consistently with the funding and construction of infrastructure improvements, and private sector interest. City expenditures for Redevelopment Project Costs will be carefully staged on a reasonable and proportional basis to coincide with expenditures in redevelopment by private developers. The Redevelopment Plan shall be completed, and all obligations issued to finance Redevelopment Project Costs shall be retired, no later than December 31st of the year in which the payment to the City Treasurer as provided in the Act is to be made with respect to ad valorem taxes levied in the 23rd calendar year following the year in which the ordinance approving this Redevelopment Project Area was adopted (assuming adoption by the City Council in 2008, by December 31, 2032).

(Sub)Exhibit 1 referred to in this Weed/Fremont Redevelopment Project Area Tax Increment Financing Program Redevelopment Plan and Project constitutes Exhibit “C” to ordinance and printed on page 18385 of this Journal.

(Sub)Exhibit 3 -- Maps 1, 2 and 3 referred to in this Weed/Fremont Redevelopment Project Area Tax Increment Financing Program Redevelopment Plan and Project printed on pages 18377 through 18379 of this Journal.

(Sub)Exhibits 2 and 4 referred to in this Weed/Fremont Redevelopment Project Area Tax Increment Financing Program Redevelopment Plan and Project read as follows:

(Sub)Exhibit 2.
(To Weed/Fremont Redevelopment Project Area Tax Increment Financing Program Redevelopment Plan And Project)

2006 Equalized Assessed Value.

Permanent Index Number 2006 Equalized Assessed Value

17-05-209-013-0000 $6,430,360
(Sub)Exhibit 4.
(To Weed/Fremont Redevelopment Project Area Tax Increment Financing Program Redevelopment Plan And Project)

City Of Chicago
Weed/Fremont Tax Increment Financing Program
Eligibility Study
August, 2007

I.
Introduction.

Louik/Schneider & Associates, Inc. (the “Consultant”) has conducted a study and survey of the proposed redevelopment area known as the Weed/Fremont Redevelopment Project Area (the “Redevelopment Project Area”) in Chicago, Illinois. The purpose of this study is to determine whether the one (1) parcel of the Redevelopment Project Area qualifies for designation as a “Conservation Area” for the purpose of establishing a tax increment financing district pursuant to the Illinois Tax Increment Allocation Redevelopment Act, 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-1, et seq., as amended (the “Act”).

This report summarizes the analyses and findings of the Consultant’s work, which is the responsibility of the Consultant. The Consultant’s subconsultants have provided assistance in preparing the maps, survey and legal description.

The Consultant has prepared this report with the understanding that the City of Chicago (the “City”) would rely on: (1) the findings and conclusions of this report in proceeding with the designation of the Redevelopment Project Area as a redevelopment project area under the Act, and (2) on the fact that the Consultant has obtained the information necessary to conclude that the Redevelopment Project Area can be designated as a redevelopment project area in compliance with the Act.

Following this introduction, Section II presents background information on the Redevelopment Project Area including the area location, description of current conditions, and site history. Section III explains the Building Condition Assessment and documents the qualifications of the Redevelopment Project Area as a Conservation Area under the Act. Section IV, Summary and Conclusion, presents the findings.
The following analysis was based upon data assembled by the Consultant. The surveys, research, and analysis conducted include the following:

-- exterior surveys of the conditions and use of the Redevelopment Project Area;

-- field surveys of environmental conditions covering streets, sidewalks, curbs and gutters, lighting, traffic, landscaping, fences and walls, and general property maintenance;

-- comparison of current land-use to the current Chicago Zoning Ordinance (the “Zoning Ordinance”) and the current zoning maps;

-- historical analysis of site uses and users;

-- analysis of original and current platting and building size layout;

-- review of previously prepared plans studies and data; and

-- evaluation of the E.A.V.s in the Redevelopment Project Area from 2001 to 2006.

This report was jointly prepared by Myron D. Louik, John P. Schneider and Tricia Marino Ruffolo of Louik/Schneider & Associates, Inc. and its subconsultants.

II.

Background Information.

A. Location.

The Redevelopment Project Area is located on the north side of the City, three (3) miles north of the City’s central business district. It is bounded by the alley south of West North Avenue on the north, just south of vacated West Weed Street on the south, North Fremont Street on the east and North Dayton Street on the west (see Map 1 -- Project Boundary).

B. History.

The only building in the Redevelopment Project Area was originally constructed in the 1960s as a manufacturing facility for J.P. Seeburg Corporation, a manufacturer of automatic games and jukeboxes. Operations occurring in association with those activities reportedly included metal plating, wood and metal coating, and other manufacturing. The building was
purchased by Master Machine and Tool Co. in 1969, and then bought by the Seeburg Corp. in 1976. In 1980, the property was purchased by John M. Smyth's Homemakers from National Boulevard Bank under Trust Agreement Number 3188. In 1994, the building was occupied by John M. Smyth, Electric Avenue and Warehouse Club. At that time, the third (3rd) floor parking garage was constructed with an entrance ramp located on the North Dayton Street side. From 1997 until 2002, the property remained vacant. The property was occupied by Home Depot's Expo Design Center from 2003 to 2005.

C. Existing Land-Use.

The Redevelopment Project Area comprises approximately two and six hundred forty-six thousandths (2.646) acres covering a portion of two (2) combined city blocks. The Redevelopment Project Area contains one (1) building. The building was originally constructed for industrial use and was then converted to commercial use. The current land-use of the Redevelopment Project Area is commercial (see Map 2 -- Existing Land-Use).

D. Description Of Current Conditions.

The Redevelopment Project Area is characterized by a vacant building which is in need of major revitalization. The building, constructed in the 1960's, is a four (4) story structure made of reinforced concrete. The building's loading docks are located on the North Fremont Street side of the building. The third (3rd) and fourth (4th) floors of the building are used for parking which is accessed via a ramp off the North Dayton Street side. The third (3rd) floor has interior parking and the fourth (4th) floor has a parking deck. The building is constructed to the lot line on all sides. It shares common property lines with an alley to the north, two (2) sides of a building to the west (with no space in between them), and an additional property to the south. The Redevelopment Project Area is bounded on the west by a vacant building formerly occupied by Schuessler Mills, Inc., a knitting mill, on the north by an alley, and on the south by a vacant lot which was formerly the site of Consolidated Royal Toiletries.

From this data, together with the other eligibility factors, it can be reasonably concluded that the Redevelopment Project Area (i) has not been subject to growth through private investment, and (ii) will not be developed without municipal leadership. Adoption of the Redevelopment Plan and Project is necessary to halt deterioration of the Redevelopment Project Area.

E. Zoning Characteristics.

Based on the 2007 Title 17 Municipal Code of Chicago, Chicago Zoning Ordinance (Index Publishing Corporation), the Redevelopment Project Area includes zoning classifications for commercial and business districts. The Redevelopment Project Area is currently zoned C3-5.
III.

Qualification As Conservation Area.


The Act authorizes Illinois municipalities to redevelop locally designated areas through tax increment financing. In order for an area to qualify as a tax increment financing district, it must first be designated as a Blighted Area, a Conservation Area (or a combination of the two (2)), or an Industrial Park Conservation Area.

As set forth in the Act, a "Conservation Area" is any improved area within the boundaries of a redevelopment project area located within the territorial limits of the municipality in which fifty percent (50%) or more of the structures in the area have an age of thirty-five (35) years or more. Such an area is not yet a blighted area, but because of a combination of three (3) or more of the following factors is detrimental to public safety, health, morals or welfare and such an area may become a blighted area:

1. dilapidation;
2. obsolescence;
3. deterioration;
4. presence of structures below minimum code standards;
5. illegal use of individual structures;
6. excessive vacancies;
7. lack of ventilation, light, or sanitary facilities;
8. inadequate utilities;
9. excessive land coverage and overcrowding of structures and community facilities;
10. deleterious land-use or layout;
11. environmental clean-up;
12. lack of community planning; and
13. equalized assessed values are declining or lower than the balance of the municipality.
On the basis of this approach, the Redevelopment Project Area is eligible for designation as a Conservation Area within the requirements of the Act. The following section defines each of the eligibility factors according to the Act and presents our findings relative to each.

B. Survey, Analysis And Distribution Of Eligibility Factors.

The consultant team conducted a comprehensive exterior survey of the parcel in the Redevelopment Project Area and an analysis of each of the Conservation Area eligibility factors contained in the Act to determine their presence. The exterior surveys examined not only the condition and use of buildings but also included conditions of streets, sidewalks, curbs, gutters, lighting, underutilized land, landscaping, fences and walls, and general maintenance. In addition, an analysis was conducted of existing site coverage, land uses, zoning and its relationships to the surrounding area.

Analysis of the Redevelopment Project Area was conducted to identify the eligibility factors. Each of the factors is present to a varying degree. The following four (4) levels are identified:

-- Not present indicates that either the condition does not exist or that no evidence could be found or documented during the surveyor analysis.

-- Limited extent indicates that the condition does exist, but its distribution was found in only a small percentage of parcels and/or blocks.

-- Present to a minor extent indicates that the condition does exist, and the condition is substantial in distribution or impact.

-- Present to a major extent indicates that the condition does exist and is present throughout the area and is at a level sufficient to influence the Redevelopment Project Area as well as adjacent and nearby parcels of property.

C. Building Evaluation Procedure.

During the field survey, all building components and improvements to the subject building were examined to determine whether the building had an age of thirty-five (35) years or more. Once it was established that the age criteria was present, the building was examined to determine if it was in sound condition or had minor, major or critical defects. These examinations were completed to determine whether conditions existed to evidence the presence of dilapidation, deterioration or depreciation of physical maintenance.

Building components and improvements examined were of two (2) types:

Primary Structural Components.

These include the basic elements of any building component or improvements, including foundation walls, load-bearing walls and columns, roof, and roof structure.
Secondary Components.

These building components are generally added to the primary structural components and are necessary parts of the building and improvements, including porches and steps, windows and window units, doors and door units, facades, chimneys, and gutters and downspouts.

Each primary structural component and secondary component was evaluated separately as a basis for determining the overall condition of the building and surrounding area. This evaluation considered the relative importance of specific components and the effect that deficiencies in building components and improvements have on the remainder of the building components and improvements.

Subsequent to the building being evaluated, the building components were classified, as described in the following section.

Building Components And Improvement Classifications.

Four (4) major categories were used in classifying the structural condition of the building components and improvements. The criteria used are described below.

1. Sound.

Building components and improvements contain no defects, are adequately maintained, and require no treatment outside of normal ongoing maintenance.


Building components and improvements contain defects (loose or missing material or holes and cracks over a limited area), which often may be corrected through the course of normal maintenance. Minor defects have no real effect on either primary or secondary components and improvements, and the correction of such defects may be accomplished by the owner or occupants, such as pointing masonry joints over a limited area or replacement of less complicated building components and improvements. Minor defects are not considered in rating a building as structurally substandard.


Building components and improvements contain major defects over a widespread area and would be difficult to correct through normal maintenance. Buildings and improvements in this category would require replacement or rebuilding of components and improvements by people skilled in the building trades.

Building components and improvements contain major defects (bowing, sagging or settling of any or all exterior components, for example) causing the structure to be out-of-plumb or broken. Loose or missing materials and severe deterioration over a widespread area so extensive that the cost of repair would be excessive also qualify for dilapidated classifications.

D. Conservation Area Eligibility Factors.

A finding may be made that the Redevelopment Project Area is a Conservation Area based on the fact that the one structure in the Redevelopment Project Area is thirty-five (35) years of age or older, and the area exhibits the presence of three (3) or more of the Conservation Area eligibility factors described above in Section III, Paragraph A, and that the area may become a blighted area because of these factors. Based on our survey and analyses, the Redevelopment Project Area meets the Act's requirement as a Conservation Area in that in addition to age, five (5) of the eligibility factors were found to be present.

This section examines each of the Conservation Area eligibility factors.

Age.

Age presumes the existence of problems or limiting conditions resulting from normal and continuous use of structures over a period of years. Since building deterioration and related structural problems are a function of time, temperature and moisture, structures that are thirty-five (35) years or older typically exhibit more problems than more recently constructed buildings.

Conclusion: Age is present in the only existing building in the Redevelopment Project Area.

1. Dilapidation.

Dilapidation is referred to in the Act as "an advanced state of disrepair or neglect of necessary repairs to the primary structural components of buildings or improvements in such a combination that a documented building condition analysis determines that major repair is required or the defects are so serious and so extensive that the buildings must be removed".

An exterior survey was conducted of the structure in the Redevelopment Project Area. The analysis of building dilapidation is based on the survey methodology and criteria described in the preceding section, "Building Evaluation Procedure".
Conclusion: Based on exterior surveys and analyses undertaken, dilapidation is not present in the Redevelopment Project Area.

2. Obsolescence.

Obsolescence is defined in the Act as "the condition or process of falling into disuse". Obsolescent structures have become ill-suited for the original use.

*Webster’s New Collegiate Dictionary* defines "obsolescence" as "being out of use; obsolete". "Obsolete" is further defined as "no longer in use; disused" or "of a type or fashion no longer current". These definitions are helpful in describing the general obsolescence of the building or site improvements in the Redevelopment Project Area. In making findings with respect to buildings and improvements, it is important to distinguish between functional obsolescence, which relates to the physical utility of a structure, and economic obsolescence, which relates to a property’s ability to compete in the marketplace.

Functional Obsolescence.

Structures historically have been built for specific uses or purposes. The design, location, height, and spatial arrangements are intended for a specific occupancy at a given time. Buildings and improvements become obsolete when they contain characteristics or deficiencies that limit their use and marketability after the original use ceases. The characteristics may include loss in value to a property resulting from poor design or layout, or the improper orientation of the building on its site, which detracts from the overall usefulness or desirability of a property.

Economic Obsolescence.

Economic obsolescence is normally a result of adverse conditions that may cause some degree of market rejection and, hence, depreciation in market values. Typically, buildings classified as dilapidated and buildings that contain vacant space are characterized by problem conditions that may not be economically curable, resulting in net rental losses and/or depreciation in market value.

Site improvements, including sewer and water lines, public utility lines (gas, electric and telephone), roadways, parking areas, parking structures, sidewalks, curbs and gutters, lighting, et cetera, may also be obsolete in relation to contemporary development standards for such improvements. Factors of obsolescence may include inadequate utility capacities, outdated designs and the absence of any site improvements to a parcel.

Obsolescence, as a factor, should be based upon the documented presence and reasonable distribution of buildings and site improvements evidencing such obsolescence.
Obsolete Building Types.

Obsolete buildings contain characteristics or deficiencies that limit their long-term sound use or reuse for the purpose for which they were built. Obsolescence in such buildings is typically difficult and expensive to correct.Obsolete building types have an adverse effect on nearby and surrounding developments and detract from the physical, functional and economic vitality of the area. These structures are characterized by conditions indicating that they are incapable of efficient or economic use according to contemporary standards.

Evidence of an obsolete building type can be found in the Redevelopment Project Area. Factors contributing to its obsolescence include the size of building, multistory configuration, loading and unloading provisions, and inadequate ingress and egress onto the public right-of-way. The building was originally designed specifically for a manufacturing use. It contains over four hundred thousand (400,000) square feet of which approximately two hundred thousand (200,000) square feet is usable (first (1st) and second (2nd) floors) and the balance is used for parking. The third (3rd) floor is enclosed parking and the fourth (4th) floor is a parking deck. The building does not have the current configuration and support elements needed to adequately allow for the operation of businesses at today’s standards.

Obsolete Platting.

Obsolete platting includes parcels of irregular shape, narrow or small size, and parcels improperly platted within the Redevelopment Project Area block.

Obsolete Site Improvements.

Site improvements, including sewer and water lines, public utility lines (gas, electric, and telephone), roadways, parking areas, parking structures, sidewalks, curbs and gutters, lighting, et cetera, may also be obsolete in relation to contemporary development standards for such improvements. Factors of obsolescence include inadequate utility capacities, outdated designs of water mains and replacement of existing sewers. Evidence of obsolete site improvements exists throughout the entire Redevelopment Project Area.

In conclusion, economic and functional obsolescence can be found in the Redevelopment Project Area. Despite the building having been used for retail and warehousing use after the manufacturing uses, it has experienced long periods of vacancy throughout the past decades. It was vacant from 1997 until 2003. Most recently, the building has remained vacant for the past two (2) years.

The building lacks the necessary retail showroom exposure on the Dayton Street side. The Dayton Street side offers the closest exposure to North Avenue. It is cost prohibitive to add windows to create street level exposure because the building’s main mechanical systems including electrical, heating, and plumbing run across the first floor of the Dayton Street side of the building. Although the north side of the building is parallel to the alley
south of North Avenue, from Dayton to Weed Streets, the building’s loading docks are located on the west side of the building not in the alley. Loading and unloading is done on the Fremont Street side of the building.

The building’s vast size and multistory configuration also contribute to both the economic and functional obsolescence. There is limited demand for a multi-story four hundred thousand (400,000) square foot building.

Conclusion: Obsolescence is present to a major extent in the Redevelopment Project Area.

3. Deterioration.

Deterioration refers to any physical deficiencies or disrepair in buildings or surface improvements requiring major treatment or repair. Deterioration is defined in the Act separately for building and surface improvements. The Act defines deterioration with respect to buildings as “defects including, but not limited to, major defects in the secondary building components such as doors, windows, porches, gutters and down-spouts, and fascia”. The Act defines the deterioration of surface improvements as such “that the condition of roadways, alleys, curbs, gutters, sidewalks, off-street parking, and surface storage areas evidence deterioration, including, but not limited to, surface cracking, crumbling, potholes, depressions, loose paving material, and weeds protruding through paved surfaces”.

---

Deterioration that is not easily correctable and cannot be repaired through the course of normal maintenance may be evident in buildings. Such buildings and improvements may be classified as requiring major or many minor repairs, depending upon the degree or extent of defects. This would include buildings with defects in the secondary building components (for example, doors, windows, porches, gutters and downspouts, fascia materials, etcetera) and defects in primary building components (for example, foundations, frames, roofs, etcetera).

---

All buildings and surface improvements classified as dilapidated are also deteriorated.

Deterioration Of Buildings.

The analysis of building deterioration is based on the survey methodology and criteria described in the preceding section, “Building Evaluation Procedure”.

The deteriorated building in the Redevelopment Project Area exhibits defects in both its primary and secondary components. For example, the primary components exhibiting defects include walls, roofs, and foundations with loose or missing materials (mortar, shingles), and holes and/or cracks in these components. The defects of secondary components include damage to windows, doors, stairs and/or porches; missing or cracked tuck-pointing and/or masonry on the facade, chimneys, and surfaces; missing parapets,
The building contains various defects in its facade. Also the building contains other factors of deterioration including loose/missing building materials, cracked/damaged facade, rusting, loose/missing gutters/downspouts, damaged and missing parapets, and boarded windows. The roof of the building has severely deteriorated and needs to be replaced. Leaks from the fourth (4th) floor parking deck have caused damage to the interior of the building on both the second (2nd) and third (3rd) floors. Additional water damage has caused deterioration of the first (1st) floor slab.

Deterioration Of Parking And Surface Areas.

Field surveys were also conducted to identify the condition of parking and surface area. These areas are characterized by uneven surfaces and overgrown vegetation protruding through the sidewalks. Deterioration was found in sections of the surface areas.

In conclusion, deterioration of the building and surface areas are evidenced by some of the following conditions: loose/missing building materials, cracked/damaged facade, rusting, loose/missing gutters/downspouts, damaged and missing parapets and boarded windows.

Conclusion: Deterioration is present to a major extent in the Redevelopment Project Area.


Structures below minimum code standards as stated in the Act include "all structures that do not meet the standards of zoning, subdivision, building, fire and other governmental codes applicable to property, but not including housing and property maintenance codes". The principal purposes of such codes are to (1) require buildings to be constructed in such a way as to sustain safety of loads expected from the type of occupancy; (2) make buildings safe for occupancy against fire and similar hazards; and (3) establish minimum standards essential for safe and sanitary habitation.

Conclusion: Based on exterior surveys and analyses undertaken, structures below minimum code standards have not been identified in the Redevelopment Project Area.

5. Illegal Use Of Individual Structures.

Illegal use of individual structures is defined in the Act as "the use of structures in violation of applicable federal, State, or local laws, exclusive of those applicable to the presence of structures below minimum code standards".
Conclusion: Based on exterior surveys and analyses undertaken, no illegal uses of the structure or improvements have been observed in the Redevelopment Project Area.

6. Excessive Vacancies.

Excessive vacancies according to the Act is referred to as “the presence of buildings that are unoccupied or underutilized and that represent an adverse influence on the area because of the frequency, extent, or duration of the vacancies”. Excessive vacancies include improved properties that evidence no redundant effort directed toward their occupancy or underutilization.

Excessive vacancies is present in the Redevelopment Project Area. The building is one hundred percent (100%) vacant and has been vacant for over two (2) years. The building has a history of excessive vacancies. It was originally developed as an industrial building in 1968. The building has been vacant since 2005.

Conclusion: Excessive vacancies are present to a major extent in the Redevelopment Project Area.

7. Lack Of Ventilation, Light Or Sanitary Facilities.

The Act refers to the lack of ventilation, light, or sanitary facilities as “the absence of adequate ventilation for light or air circulation in spaces or rooms without windows, or that require the removal of dust, odor, gas, smoke, or other noxious airborne materials”. Inadequate natural light and ventilation is defined as the absence or inadequacy of skylights or windows for interior spaces or rooms and improper window sizes and amounts by room area to window area ratios. Inadequate sanitary facilities are referred to in the Act as “the absence or inadequacy of garbage storage and enclosure, bathroom facilities, hot water and kitchens, and structural inadequacies preventing ingress and egress to and from all rooms and units within a building”.

Conclusion: Based on exterior surveys and analyses undertaken, lack of ventilation, light, and/or sanitary facilities were not found in the Redevelopment Project Area.

8. Inadequate Utilities.

The Act refers to inadequate utilities as the deficiencies in the underground and overhead utilities, such as storm sewers and storm drainage, sanitary sewers, water lines, and gas, telephone, and electrical services that are shown to be inadequate. The Act defines inadequate utilities as “those that are (i) of insufficient capacity to serve the uses in the redevelopment project area, (ii) deteriorated, antiquated, obsolete, or in disrepair, or (iii) lacking within the redevelopment project area”.

Conclusion: Based on the exterior surveys and analyses undertaken, inadequate utilities were not found in the Redevelopment Project Area.

Excessive land coverage and overcrowding of structures and community facilities is defined by the Act as "the over-intensive use of property and the crowding of buildings and accessory facilities onto a site". Examples of problem conditions warranting the designation of an area as exhibiting excessive land coverage are: (i) the presence of buildings either improperly situated on parcels or located on parcels of inadequate size and shape in relation to present-day standards of development for health and safety and (ii) the presence of multiple buildings on a single parcel. For there to be a finding of excessive land coverage, these parcels must exhibit one or more of the following conditions: insufficient provision for light and air within or around buildings, increased threat of spread of fire due to the close proximity of buildings, lack of adequate or proper access to a public right-of-way, lack of reasonably required off-street parking, or inadequate provision for loading and service.

Overcrowding of structures and community facilities refers to utilization of public or private buildings, facilities, or properties beyond their reasonable or legally permitted capacity. Overcrowding is frequently found in buildings and improvements originally designed for a specific use and later converted to accommodate a more intensive use of activities inadequately providing minimum floor area requirements, privacy, ingress and egress, loading and services, and capacity of building systems.

Excessive land coverage is present as a result of the building being improperly situated on the parcel. The building was constructed lot-line to lot-line with no setbacks on any of the sides. The building is located on parcels of inadequate size in relation to present day standards of development for health and safety. The building's fire exits are located on the south side of the building and exit on the adjacent property. The building has an increased threat of spread of fire due to the close proximity of the adjacent building.

Conclusion: Excessive land coverage is present in the Redevelopment Project Area.

10. Deleterious Land-Use Or Layout.

According to the Act, deleterious land uses or layout include the existence of incompatible land-use relationships, buildings occupied by inappropriate mixed-uses, or uses considered to be noxious, offensive or unsuitable for the surrounding area.

Deleterious layout includes evidence of improper or obsolete platting of the land, inadequate street layout, and parcels of inadequate size or shape to meet contemporary development standards. It also includes evidence of poor layout of buildings on parcels and in relation to other buildings.

The building exhibits deleterious or layout with clear evidence of poor layout on its parcel in relation to adjacent buildings as well as inadequate size or shape to meet contemporary development standards. The complete absence of setbacks on the site causes the four (4) story building to have an imposing presence on the adjacent building. Coupled with the building's lack of windows, it has limited potential for contemporary development. The expansive size of the building industrial footprint limits the potential reuse.
Conclusion: Deleterious layout is present in the Redevelopment Project Area.


As defined by the Act, a finding of Environmental Clean-up can be found if "the proposed Redevelopment Project Area has incurred Illinois Environmental Protection Agency or United States Environmental Protection Agency remediation costs for, or a study conducted by an independent consultant recognized as having expertise in environmental remediation has determined a need for, the clean-up of hazardous waste, hazardous substances or underground storage tanks required by state or federal law, provided that the remediation costs constitute a material impediment to the development or redevelopment of the redevelopment project area".

Conclusion: Based on review and analysis, environmental clean-up is not present in the Redevelopment Project Area.

12. Lack Of Community Planning.

Lack of community planning may be a factor if the proposed Redevelopment Project Area was developed prior to or without the benefit or guidance of a community plan. According to the Act, "the development occurred prior to the adoption by the municipality of a comprehensive or other community plan or that the plan was not followed at the time of the area's development". Furthermore, the Act states that this factor must be documented by evidence of adverse or incompatible land-use relationships, inadequate street layout, improper subdivision, parcels of inadequate shape and size to meet contemporary development standards, or other evidence demonstrating an absence of effective community planning.

Evidence of lack of community planning can be found throughout the Redevelopment Project Area as demonstrated through adverse or incompatible land-use relationships, inadequate street layout, and parcels of inadequate shape and size to meet contemporary development standards. The development of the Redevelopment Project Area occurred prior to the adoption by the municipality of a community plan.

Conclusion: Lack of community planning is present in the Redevelopment Project Area.

13. Lack Of Growth In Equalized Assessed Value.

Lack of growth in equalized assessed value ("Equalized Assessed Value") may be considered a factor if the Equalized Assessed Value total of the proposed Redevelopment Project Area has declined for three (3) of the last five (5) calendar years prior to the year in which the Redevelopment Project Area was designated or is increasing at an annual rate that is less than the balance of the municipality for three (3) of the last five (5) calendar years for which information is available or is increasing at an annual rate that is less than the Consumer Price Index for All Urban Consumers published by the United States Department of Labor or successor agency for three (3) of the last five (5) calendar years prior to the year in which the redevelopment project area is designated.
The total Equalized Assessed Value for the Redevelopment Project Area has not increased at an annual rate that is less than the balance of the municipality. Therefore, lack of growth in Equalized Assessed Value is not a factor.

Conclusion: Based on review and analysis, lack of growth in Equalized Assessed Value is not present in the Redevelopment Project Area.

E. Conservation Area Eligibility Factors Summary.

The Conservation Area eligibility criteria are present in varying degrees throughout the Redevelopment Project Area (see (Sub)Exhibit _ -- Distribution of Criteria). In addition to age, six (6) of the thirteen (13) eligibility factors have been identified as present in the Redevelopment Project Area, including:

Major Extent:
1. obsolescence;
2. deterioration;
3. excessive vacancy;
4. excessive land coverage; and
5. deleterious land-use or layout.

Minor Extent:
1. lack of community planning

IV.

Summary And Conclusion.

The conclusion of the Consultant is that the number, degree and distribution of Conservation Area eligibility factors, as documented in this report, warrant the designation of the Redevelopment Project Area as a Conservation Area as set forth in the Act, Specifically:

-- The building in the Redevelopment Project Area meets the statutory criteria for age; at least thirty-five (35) years old.

-- Of the thirteen (13) eligibility factors for a Conservation Area set forth in the Act in addition to age, six (6) are present, five (5) to a major extent and one (1) to a minor
extent. In addition to age, only three (3) are necessary for designation as a Conservation Area to qualify for a T.I.F. District.

--- The Conservation Area eligibility factors that are present are reasonably distributed throughout the Redevelopment Project Area.

The eligibility findings indicate that the Redevelopment Project Area contains factors that qualify it as a Conservation Area in need of revitalization and that designation as a redevelopment project area will contribute to the long-term enhancement of the City.

The Redevelopment Project Area has not benefited from growth and development as a result of investments by private enterprise and will not be developed without action by the City. Despite significant efforts to find a tenant, the building has remained vacant for over two (2) years. Specifically, the completely vacant and obsolete multi-story building would not be improved by private investment alone. It can be reasonably concluded that the Redevelopment project Area (i) has not been subject to growth and development through private investment, and (ii) would not reasonably be anticipated to be developed without adoption of a redevelopment plan by the City.

The conclusions presented in this report are those of the Consultant. The local governing body should review this report and, if satisfied with the summary of findings contained herein, adopt a resolution that the Redevelopment Project Area qualifies as a Conservation Area and make this report a part of the public record.

The Redevelopment Project Area qualifies as an improved Conservation Area and is therefore eligible for Tax Increment Financing under the Act.

[Map 1 referred to in this Weed/Fremont Tax Increment Financing Program Eligibility Study constitutes (Sub)Exhibit 3 -- Map 1 to Weed/Fremont Redevelopment Project Area Tax Increment Financing Program Redevelopment Plan and Project and printed page 18377 of this Journal.]

[Map 2 referred to in this Weed/Fremont Tax Increment Financing Program Eligibility Study constitutes (Sub)Exhibit 3 -- Map 2 to Weed/Fremont Redevelopment Project Area Tax Increment Financing Program Redevelopment Plan and Project and printed page 18378 of this Journal.]

Distribution of Criteria referred to in this Weed/Fremont Tax Increment Financing Program Eligibility Study reads as follows:
Distribution Of Criteria.*
(To Weed/Fremont Tax Increment Financing Program Eligibility Study)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parcel</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
<th>10</th>
<th>11</th>
<th>12</th>
<th>13</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>17-05-209-013</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>P</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Key

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>X</th>
<th>Present in the parcel to a major extent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>Present in the parcel to a minor extent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Not Present</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Criteria

1. Dilapidation.
2. Obsolescence.
3. Deterioration.
4. Presence of structures below minimum code standards.
5. Illegal use of individual structures.
7. Lack of ventilation, light, or sanitary facilities.
8. Inadequate utilities.
9. Excessive land coverage and overcrowding of structures and community facilities.
10. Deleterious land-use or layout.
11. Environmental clean-up.
12. Lack of community planning.
13. E.A.V. Growth (calculated for the Redevelopment Project Area as a whole).
(Sub)Exhibit 3 -- Map 1.
(To Weed/Fremont Redevelopment Project Area Tax Increment Financing Program Redevelopment Plan And Project)

Boundary Area.
(Sub)Exhibit 3 – Map 2.  
(To Weed/Fremont Redevelopment Project Area Tax Increment Financing Program Redevelopment Plan And Project)

Existing Land-Use.
(Sub)Exhibit 3 -- Map 3.
(To Weed/Fremont Redevelopment Project Area Tax Increment Financing Program Redevelopment Plan And Project)

Proposed Land-Use.
Exhibit “B”.
(To Ordinance)

Certificate.

I, Jennifer Rampke, the duly authorized, qualified and executive secretary of the Community Development Commission of the City of Chicago, and the custodian of the records thereof, do hereby certify that I have compared the attached copy of a resolution adopted by the Community Development Commission of the City of Chicago at a regular meeting held on the ninth (9th) day of October, 2007, with the original resolution adopted at said meeting and recorded in the minutes of the Commission, and do hereby certify that said copy is a true correct and complete transcript of said resolution.

Dated this ninth (9th) day of October, 2007,

(Signed) ____________________________ Jennifer Rampke
Executive Secretary

Resolution 07-CDC-82 referred to in this Certificate reads as follows:

Community Development Commission
Of the
City of Chicago

Resolution 07-CDC-82

Recommending to the City Council of
The City of Chicago
For the Proposed
Weed/Fremont Redevelopment Project Area:

Approval of the Redevelopment Plan,

Designation as a Redevelopment Project Area and

Adoption of Tax Increment Allocation Financing.

Whereas, The Community Development Commission (the “Commission”) of the City of
Chicago (the "City") has heretofore been appointed by the Mayor of the City with the approval of its City Council ("City Council" referred to herein collectively with the Mayor as the "Corporate Authorities") (as codified in Section 2-124 of the City's Municipal Code) pursuant to Section 5/11-74.4-4(k) of the Illinois Tax Increment Allocation Redevelopment Act, as amended (65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-1, et seq.) (the "Act"); and

Whereas, The Commission is empowered by the Corporate Authorities to exercise certain powers set forth in Section 5/11-74.4-4(k) of the Act, including the holding of certain public hearings required by the Act; and

Whereas, Staff of the City's Department of Planning and Development has conducted or caused to be conducted certain investigations, studies and surveys of the Weed/Fremont Redevelopment Project Area, the street boundaries of which are described on (Sub)Exhibit A hereto (the "Area"), to determine the eligibility of the Area as a redevelopment project area as defined in the Act (a "Redevelopment Project Area") and for tax increment allocation financing pursuant to the Act ("Tax Increment Allocation Financing"), and previously has presented the following documents to the Commission for its review:

Weed/Fremont Redevelopment T.I.F. Program Eligibility Study (the "Report"); and

Weed/Fremont Redevelopment Plan and Project (the "Plan").

; and

Whereas, Prior to the adoption by the Corporate Authorities of ordinances approving a redevelopment plan designating an area as a Redevelopment Project Area or adopting Tax Increment Allocation Financing for an area, it is necessary that the Commission hold a public hearing (the "Hearing") pursuant to Section 5/11-74.4-5(a) of the Act, convene a meeting of a joint review board (the "Board") pursuant to Section 5/11-74.4-5(b) of the Act, set the dates of such Hearing and Board meeting and give notice thereof pursuant to Section 5/11-74.4-6 of the Act; and

Whereas, The Report and Plan were made available for public inspection and review since August 3, 2007, being a date not less than ten (10) days before the Commission meeting at which the Commission adopted Resolution 07-CDC-78 on August 14, 2007 fixing the time and place for the Hearing, at City Hall, 121 North LaSalle Street, Chicago, Illinois, in the following offices: City Clerk, Room 107 and Department of Planning and Development, Room 703; and

Whereas, Notice of the availability of the Report and Plan, including how to obtain this information, were sent by mail on September 21, 2007 which is within a reasonable time after the adoption by the Commission of Resolution 07-CDC-78 to: (a) all residential addresses that, after good faith effort, were determined to be (i) located within the Area and (ii) located outside the proposed Area and within seven hundred fifty (750) feet of the boundaries of the
Area (or, if applicable, were determined to be the seven hundred fifty (750) residential addresses that were outside the proposed Area and closest to the boundaries of the Area; and (b) organizations and residents that were registered interested parties for such Area; and

Whereas, Notice of the Hearing by publication was given at least twice, the first publication being on September 17, 2007, a date which is not more than thirty (30) nor less than ten (10) days prior to the Hearing, and the second publication being on September 24, 2007, both in the Chicago Sun-Times or the Chicago Tribune, being newspapers of general circulation within the taxing districts having property in the Area; and

Whereas, Notice of the Hearing was given by mail to taxpayers by depositing such notice in the United States mail by certified mail addressed to the persons in whose names the general taxes for the last preceding year were paid on each lot, block, tract or parcel of land lying within the Area, on September 17, 2007, being a date not less than ten (10) days prior to the date set for the Hearing; and where taxes for the last preceding year were not paid, notice was also mailed to the persons last listed on the tax rolls as the owners of such property within the preceding three (3) years; and

Whereas, Notice of the Hearing was given by mail to the Illinois Department of Commerce and Economic Opportunity ("D.C.E.O.") and members of the Board (including notice of the convening of the Board), by depositing such notice in the United States mail by certified mail addressed to D.C.E.O. and all Board members, on August 17, 2007, being a date not less than forty-five (45) days prior to the date set for the Hearing; and

Whereas, Notice of the Hearing and copies of the Report and Plan were sent by mail to taxing districts having taxable property in the Area, by depositing such notice and documents in the United States mail by certified mail addressed to all taxing districts having taxable property within the Area, on August 17, 2007, being a date not less than forty-five (45) days prior to the date set for the Hearing; and

Whereas, The Hearing was held on October 9, 2007 at 1:00 P.M. at City Hall, Room 200, 121 North LaSalle Street, Chicago, Illinois, as the official public hearing and testimony was heard from all interested persons or representatives of any affected taxing district present at the Hearing and wishing to testify, concerning the Commission's recommendation to City Council regarding approval of the Plan, designation of the Area as a Redevelopment Project Area and adoption of Tax Increment Allocation Financing within the Area; and

Whereas, The Board meeting was convened on September 7, 2007 at 10:00 A.M. (being a date at least fourteen (14) days but not more than twenty-eight (28) days after the date of the mailing of the notice to the taxing districts on August 17, 2007, in Room 703, City Hall, 121 North LaSalle Street, Chicago, Illinois, to review the matters properly coming before the Board to allow it to provide its advisory recommendation regarding the approval of the Plan, designation of the Area as a Redevelopment Project Area, adoption of Tax Increment Allocation Financing within the Area and other matters, if any, properly before it, all in accordance with Section 5/11-74.4-5(b) of the Act; and
Whereas, The Commission has reviewed the Report and Plan, considered testimony from the Hearing, if any, the recommendation of the Board, if any, and such other matters or studies as the Commission deemed necessary or appropriate in making the findings set forth herein and formulating its decision whether to recommend to City Council approval of the Plan, designation of the Area as a Redevelopment Project Area and adoption of Tax Increment Allocation Financing within the Area; now, therefore,

Be It Resolved by the Community Development Commission of the City of Chicago:

Section 1. The above recitals are incorporated herein and made a part hereof.

Section 2. The Commission hereby makes the following findings pursuant to Section 5/11-7 4.4-3(n) of the Act or such other section as is referenced herein:

a. the Area on the whole has not been subject to growth and development through investment by private enterprise and would not reasonably be expected to be developed without the adoption of the Plan;

b. the Plan:

   (i) conforms to the comprehensive plan for the development of the City as a whole; or

   (ii) the Plan either (A) conforms to the strategic economic development or redevelopment plan issued by the Chicago Plan Commission or (B) includes land issues that have been approved by the Chicago Plan Commission;

c. the Plan meets all of the requirements of a redevelopment plan as defined in the Act and, as set forth in the Plan, the estimated date of completion of the projects described therein and retirement of all obligations issued to finance redevelopment project costs is not later than December 31 of the year in which the payment to the municipal treasurer as provided in subsection (b) of Section 5/11-74.4-8 of the Act is to be made with respect to ad valorem taxes levied in the twenty-third (23rd) calendar year following the year of the adoption of the ordinance approving the designation of the Area as a redevelopment project area and, as required pursuant to Section 5/11-74.4-7 of the Act, no such obligation shall have a maturity date greater than twenty (20) years;

d. to the extent required by Section 5/11-74.4-3(n)(6) of the Act, the Plan incorporates the housing impact study, if such study is required by Section 5/11-74.4-3(n)(5) of the Act;

e. the Plan will not result in displacement of residents from inhabited units.

f. the Area includes only those contiguous parcels of real property and improvements thereon that are to be substantially benefited by proposed Plan improvements, as required pursuant to Section 5/11-74.4-4(a) of the Act;
g. as required pursuant to Section 5/11-74.4-3(p) of the Act:

(i) the Area is not less, in the aggregate, than one and one-half (1½) acres in size; and

(ii) conditions exist in the Area that cause the Area to qualify for designation as a redevelopment project area and a conservation area as defined in the Act;

h. if the Area is qualified as a "blighted area", whether improved or vacant, each of the factors necessary to quality the Area as a Redevelopment Project Area on that basis is (i) present, with that presence documented to a meaningful extent so that it may be reasonably found that the factor is clearly present within the intent of the Act and (ii) reasonably distributed throughout the improved part or vacant part, as applicable, of the Area as required pursuant to Section 5/11-74.4-3(a) of the Act;

i. if the Area is qualified as a "conservation area", the combination of the factors necessary to qualify the Area as a redevelopment project area on that basis is detrimental to the public health, safety, morals or welfare and the Area may become a blighted area;

Section 3. The Commission recommends that the City Council approve the Plan pursuant to Section 5/11-74.4-4 of the Act.

Section 4. The Commission recommends that the City Council designate the Area as a Redevelopment Project Area pursuant to Section 5/11-74.4-4 of the Act.

Section 5. The Commission recommends that the City Council adopt Tax Increment Allocation Financing within the Area.

Section 6. If any provision of this resolution shall be held to be invalid or unenforceable for any reason, the invalidity or unenforceability of such provision shall not affect any of the remaining provisions of this resolution.

Section 7. All resolutions, motions or orders in conflict with this resolution are hereby repealed to the extent of such conflict.

Section 8. This resolution shall be effective as of the date of its adoption.

Section 9. A certified copy of this resolution shall be transmitted to the City Council.

Adopted October 9, 2007.

[(Sub)Exhibit "A" referred to in this Resolution 07-CDC-82 unavailable at time of printing.]
Exhibit "C."
(To Ordinance)

Legal Description.

That part of John Yale's Resubdivision of Blocks 38, 39, 40, 42, 43, 44, 45, 57, 58, 59, 60, 61 and 72 in Elston's Addition to Chicago in Section 5, Township 39 North, Range 14 East of the Third Principal Meridian, described as follows:

beginning at the northwest corner of Lot 16 in said Block 40; thence north 89 degrees, 52 minutes, 39 seconds east along the north line of Lots 16 through 30 in said Block 40 and the easterly projection thereof, 436.57 feet, inclusive, to the east line of Dayton Street; thence south 00 degrees, 00 minutes, 00 seconds west along said east line, 356.18 feet to the easterly projection of the north line of Lot 26 in said Block 44; thence south 89 degrees, 53 minutes, 18 seconds west along said easterly projection and said north line and the westerly projection of said north line and the north line of Lot 16 in said Block 44, a distance of 291.30 feet to the southerly projection of the west line of Lot 9 in said Block 44; thence north 00 degrees, 00 minutes, 24 seconds west along said southerly projection and along said west line and along a line drawn between the northwest corner of said Lot 9 and the southeast corner of Lot 21 in said Block 40, a distance of 187.14 feet to a line parallel with and 19.00 feet south of, as measured at right angles to, the south line of Lots 16 through 21, both inclusive, in said Block 40; thence south 89 degrees, 52 minutes, 39 seconds west along said parallel line, 211.21 feet to the west line of Fremont Street; thence north 00 degrees, 00 minutes, 45 seconds west along said west line, 168.99 feet to the westerly projection of the north line of Lot 16 in Block 40 of said John Yale's Resubdivision; thence north 89 degrees, 52 minutes, 39 seconds east along said westerly projection, 66.00 feet to the point of beginning, in Cook County, Illinois.

Exhibit "D."
(To Ordinance)

Street Location Of The Weed/Fremont Tax Increment Financing Redevelopment Project Area.

The Project Area generally is bounded by the alley south of West North Avenue on the north; just south of vacated West Weed Street on the south; North Fremont Street on the east; and North Dayton Street on the west.
Exhibit "E".
(To Ordinance)

Map 1 -- Boundary Area.
DESIGNATION OF WEED/FREMONT REDEVELOPMENT PROJECT AREA AS TAX INCREMENT FINANCING DISTRICT.

The Committee on Finance submitted the following report:

CHICAGO, January 9, 2008.

To the President and Members of the City Council:

Your Committee on Finance, having had under consideration an ordinance designating the Weed/Fremont Tax Increment Financing Redevelopment Project Area as a redevelopment project area, having had the same under advisement, begs leave to report and recommend that Your Honorable Body Pass the proposed ordinance transmitted herewith.

This recommendation was concurred in by a viva voce vote of the members of the Committee.

Respectfully submitted,

(Signed) EDWARD M. BURKE,
Chairman.

On motion of Alderman Burke, the said proposed ordinance transmitted with the foregoing committee report was Passed by yeas and nays as follows:

Yeas -- Aldermen Flores, Fioretti, Dowell, Preckwinkle, Hairston, Lyle, Harris, Pope, Balcer, Cárdenas, Olivo, Burke, Foulkes, Thompson, Thomas, Lane, Rugai, Cochran, Brookins, Muñoz, Zalewski, Dixon, Solis, Burnett, E. Smith, Carothers, Reboyras, Suarez, Waguespack, Mell, Austin, Colón, Banks, Mitts, Allen, Laurino, O'Connor, Doherty, Reilly, Daley, Tunney, Schulter, M. Smith, Moore, Stone -- 45.

Nays -- None.

Alderman Carothers moved to reconsider the foregoing vote. The motion was lost.

The following is said ordinance as passed:

WHEREAS, It is desirable and in the best interest of the citizens of the City of Chicago, Illinois (the "City") for the City to implement tax increment allocation financing ("Tax Increment Allocation Financing") pursuant to the Illinois Tax Increment Allocation Redevelopment Act,
WHEREAS, The Plan (including the related eligibility report attached thereto as an exhibit and, if applicable, the feasibility study and the housing impact study) was made available for public inspection and review pursuant to Section 5/11-74.4-5(a) of the Act since August 3, 2007, being a date not less than ten (10) days before the meeting of the Community Development Commission of the City ("Commission") at which the Commission adopted Resolution 07-CDC-78 on August 14, 2007 fixing the time and place for a public hearing ("Hearing"), at the offices of the City Clerk and the City’s Department of Planning and Development; and

WHEREAS, Pursuant to Section 5/11-74.4-5(a) of the Act, notice of the availability of the Plan (including the related eligibility report attached thereto as an exhibit and, if applicable, the feasibility study and the housing impact study) was sent by mail on September 21, 2007, which is within a reasonable time after the adoption by the Commission of Resolution 06-CDC-78 to: (a) all residential addresses that, after a good faith effort, were determined to be (i) located within the Area and (ii) located within seven hundred fifty (750) feet of the boundaries of the Area (or, if applicable, were determined to be the seven hundred fifty (750) residential addresses that were closest to the boundaries of the Area); and (b) organizations and residents that were registered interested parties for such Area; and

WHEREAS, A meeting of the joint review board established pursuant to Section 5/11-74.4-5(b) of the Act (the "Board") was convened upon the provision of due notice on September 7, 2007 at 10:00 A.M., to review the matters properly coming before the Board and to allow it to provide its advisory recommendation regarding the approval of the Plan, designation of the Area as a redevelopment project area pursuant to the Act and adoption of Tax Increment Allocation Financing within the Area, and other matters, if any, properly before it; and

WHEREAS, Pursuant to Sections 5/11-74.4-4 and 5/11-74.4-5 of the Act, the Commission held the Hearing concerning approval of the Plan, designation of the Area as a redevelopment project area pursuant to the Act and adoption of Tax Increment Allocation Financing within the Area pursuant to the Act on October 9, 2007; and

WHEREAS, The Commission as forwarded to the City Council a copy of its Resolution 07-CDC-82, recommending to the City Council approval of the Plan, among other related matters; and

WHEREAS, A revised Plan was filed with the City Clerk and made available for public inspection and review on December 7, 2007; and

WHEREAS, The City Council has heretofore approved the revised Plan, which was identified in An Ordinance Of The City of Chicago, Illinois, Approving A Redevelopment Plan For The Weed/Fremont Redevelopment Project Area; now, therefore,
Be It Ordained by the City Council of the City of Chicago:

SECTION 1. Recitals. The above recitals are incorporated herein and made a part hereof.

SECTION 2. The Area. The Area is legally described in Exhibit A attached hereto and incorporated herein. The street location (as near as practicable) for the Area is described in Exhibit B attached hereto and incorporated herein. The map of the Area is depicted on Exhibit C attached hereto and incorporated herein.

SECTION 3. Findings. The Corporate Authorities hereby make the following findings:

a. the Area includes only those contiguous parcels of real property and improvements thereon that are to be substantially benefitted by proposed Plan improvements, as required pursuant to Section 5/11-74.4-4(a) of the Act;

b. as required pursuant to Section 5/11-74.4-3(p) of the Act:

   (i) the Area is not less, in the aggregate, than one and one-half (1½) acres in size; and

   (ii) conditions exist in the Area that cause the Area to qualify for designation as a redevelopment project area and a conservation area as defined in the Act;

c. if the Area is qualified as a “blighted area”, whether improved or vacant, each of the factors necessary to qualify the Area as a redevelopment project area on that basis is (i) clearly present within the intent of the Act and with that presence documented to a meaningful extent, and (ii) reasonably distributed throughout the improved part or vacant part, as applicable, of the Area as required pursuant to Section 5/11-74.4-3(a) of the Act;

d. if the Area is qualified as a “conservation area”, the combination of the factors necessary to qualify the Area as a redevelopment project area on that basis is detrimental to the public health, safety, morals or welfare, and the Area may become a blighted area.

SECTION 4. Area Designated. The Area is hereby designated as a redevelopment project area pursuant to Section 5/11-74.4-4 of the Act.

SECTION 5. Invalidity Of Any Section. If any provision of this ordinance shall be held to be invalid or unenforceable for any reason, the invalidity or unenforceability of such provision shall not affect any of the remaining provisions of this ordinance.

SECTION 6. Superseder. All ordinances, resolutions, motions or orders in conflict with this ordinance are hereby repealed to the extent of such conflict.

SECTION 7. Effective Date. This ordinance shall be in full force and effect immediately upon its passage.

[Exhibit "C" referred to in this ordinance printed on page 18391 of this Journal.]
Exhibits "A" and "B" referred to in this ordinance read as follows:


Exhibit "A."

Legal Description.

That part of John Yale’s Resubdivision of Blocks 38, 39, 40, 42, 43, 44, 45, 57, 58, 59, 60, 61 and 72 in Elston’s Addition to Chicago in Section 5, Township 39 North, Range 14 East of the Third Principal Meridian, described as follows:

beginning at the northwest corner of Lot 16 in said Block 40; thence north 89 degrees, 52 minutes, 39 seconds east along the north line of Lots 16 through 30 in said Block 40 and the easterly projection thereof, 436.57 feet, inclusive, to the east line of Dayton Street; thence south 00 degrees, 00 minutes, 00 seconds west along said east line, 356.18 feet to the easterly projection of the north line of Lot 26 in said Block 44; thence south 89 degrees, 53 minutes, 18 seconds west along said easterly projection and said north line and the westerly projection of said north line and the north line of Lot 16 in said Block 44, a distance of 291.30 feet to the southerly projection of the west line of Lot 9 in said Block 44; thence north 00 degrees, 00 minutes, 24 seconds west along said southerly projection and along said west line and along a line drawn between the northwest corner of said Lot 9 and the southeast corner of Lot 21 in said Block 40, a distance of 187.14 feet to a line parallel with and 19.00 feet south of, as measured at right angles to, the south line of Lots 16 through 21, both inclusive, in said Block 40; thence south 89 degrees, 52 minutes, 39 seconds west along said parallel line, 211.21 feet to the west line of Fremont Street; thence north 00 degrees, 00 minutes, 45 seconds west along said west line, 168.99 feet to the westerly projection of the north line of Lot 16 in Block 40 of said John Yale’s Resubdivision; thence north 89 degrees, 52 minutes, 39 seconds east along said westerly projection, 66.00 feet to the point of beginning, in Cook County, Illinois.

Exhibit "B."

Street Location Of The Weed/Fremont Tax Increment Financing Redevelopment Project Area.

The Project Area generally is bounded by the alley south of West North Avenue on the north; just south of vacated West Weed Street on the south; North Fremont Street on the east; and North Dayton Street on the west.
Exhibit "C".

Map 1 -- Boundary Area.
ADOPTION OF TAX INCREMENT ALLOCATION FINANCING FOR WEED/FREMONT REDEVELOPMENT PROJECT AREA.

The Committee on Finance submitted the following report:

CHICAGO, January 9, 2008.

To the President and Members of the City Council:

Your Committee on Finance, having had under consideration an ordinance adopting tax increment financing for the Weed/Fremont Redevelopment Project Area, having had the same under advisement, begs leave to report and recommend that Your Honorable Body Pass the proposed ordinance transmitted herewith.

This recommendation was concurred in by a viva voce vote of the members of the Committee.

Respectfully submitted,
(Signed) EDWARD M. BURKE, Chairman.

On motion of Alderman Burke, the said proposed ordinance transmitted with the foregoing committee report was Passed by yeas and nays as follows:

Yeas -- Aldermen Flores, Fioretti, Dowell, Preckwinkle, Hairston, Lyle, Harris, Pope, Balcer, Cárdenas, Olivo, Burke, Foulkes, Thompson, Thomas, Lane, Rugai, Cochran, Brookins, Muñoz, Zalewski, Dixon, Solis, Burnett, E. Smith, Carothers, Reboyras, Suarez, Waguespack, Mell, Austin, Colón, Banks, Mits, Allen, Laurino, O’Connor, Doherty, Reilly, Daley, Tunney, Schulter, M. Smith, Moore, Stone -- 45.

Nays -- None.

Alderman Carothers moved to reconsider the foregoing vote. The motion was lost.

The following is said ordinance as passed:

WHEREAS, It is desirable and in the best interest of the citizens of the City of Chicago, Illinois (the "City") for the City to implement tax increment allocation financing ("Tax Increment Allocation Financing") pursuant to the Illinois Tax Increment Allocation Redevelopment Act, 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-1, et seq., as amended (the "Act"), for a proposed redevelopment project area to be known as the Weed/Fremont Redevelopment Project Area (the "Area") described in Section 2 of this ordinance, to be redeveloped pursuant to a proposed redevelopment plan and project (the "Plan"); and

WHEREAS, The Community Development Commission of the City has forwarded to the City Council of the City ("City Council") a copy of its Resolution 07-CDC-82, recommending
to the City Council the adoption of Tax Increment Allocation Financing for the Area, among other things; and

WHEREAS, As required by the Act, the City has heretofore approved the Plan, which was identified in An Ordinance Of The City Of Chicago, Illinois, Approving A Redevelopment Plan For The Weed/Fremont Redevelopment Project Area and has heretofore designated the Area as a Redevelopment Project Area by passage of An Ordinance Of The City Of Chicago, Illinois Designating The Weed/Fremont Redevelopment Project Area A Redevelopment Area Pursuant To The Tax Increment Allocation Redevelopment Act and has otherwise complied with all other conditions precedent required by the Act; now, therefore,

Be It Ordained by the City Council of the City of Chicago:

SECTION 1. Recitals. The above recitals are incorporated herein and made a part hereof.

SECTION 2. Tax Increment Allocation Financing Adopted. Tax Increment Allocation Financing is hereby adopted pursuant to Section 5/11-74.4-8 of the Act to finance redevelopment project costs as defined in the Act and as set forth in the Plan within the Area legally described in Exhibit A attached hereto and incorporated herein. The street location (as near as practicable) for the Area is described in Exhibit B attached hereto and incorporated herein. The map of the Area is depicted in Exhibit C attached hereto and incorporated herein.

SECTION 3. Allocation Of Ad Valorem Taxes. Pursuant to the Act, the ad valorem taxes, if any, arising from the levies upon taxable real property in the Area by taxing districts and tax rates determined in the manner provided in Section 5/11-74.4-9(c) of the Act each year after the effective date of this ordinance until redevelopment project costs and all municipal obligations financing redevelopment project costs incurred under the Act have been paid, shall be divided as follows:

a. that portion of taxes levied upon each taxable lot, block, tract or parcel of real property which is attributable to the lower of the current equalized assessed value or the initial equalized assessed value of each such taxable lot, block, tract or parcel of real property in the Area shall be allocated to, and when collected, shall be paid by the county collector to the respective affected taxing districts in the manner required by law in the absence of the adoption of Tax Increment Allocation Financing; and

b. that portion, if any, of such taxes which is attributable to the increase in the current equalized assessed valuation of each taxable lot, block, tract or parcel of real property in the Area over and above the initial equalized assessed value of each property in the Area shall be allocated to, and when collected, shall be paid to the City treasurer who shall deposit said taxes into a special fund, hereby created, and designated the "Weed/Fremont Redevelopment Project Area Special Tax Allocation Fund" of the City for the purpose of paying redevelopment project costs and obligations incurred in the payment thereof.

SECTION 4. Invalidity Of Any Section. If any provision of this ordinance shall be held to be invalid or unenforceable for any reason, the invalidity or unenforceability of such provision shall not affect any of the remaining provisions of this ordinance.

SECTION 5. Supersede. All ordinances, resolutions, motions or orders in conflict with this ordinance are hereby repealed to the extent of such conflict.
SECTION 6. Effective Date. This ordinance shall be in full force and effect immediately upon its passage.

[Exhibit "C" referred to in this ordinance printed on page 18395 of this Journal.] 

Exhibits “A” and “B” referred to in this ordinance read as follows:

Exhibit “A.”

Legal Description.

That part of John Yale’s Resubdivision of Blocks 38, 39, 40, 42, 43, 44, 45, 57, 58, 59, 60, 61 and 72 in Elston’s Addition to Chicago in Section 5, Township 39 North, Range 14 East of the Third Principal Meridian, described as follows:

beginning at the northwest corner of Lot 16 in said Block 40; thence north 89 degrees, 52 minutes, 39 seconds east along the north line of Lots 16 through 30 in said Block 40 and the easterly projection thereof, 436.57 feet, inclusive, to the east line of Dayton Street; thence south 00 degrees, 00 minutes, 00 seconds west along said east line, 356.18 feet to the easterly projection of the north line of Lot 26 in said Block 44; thence south 89 degrees, 53 minutes, 18 seconds west along said easterly projection and said north line and the westerly projection of said north line and the north line of Lot 16 in said Block 44, a distance of 291.30 feet to the southerly projection of the west line of Lot 9 in said Block 44; thence north 00 degrees, 00 minutes, 24 seconds west along said southerly projection and along said west line and along a line drawn between the northwest corner of said Lot 9 and the southeast corner of Lot 21 in said Block 40, a distance of 187.14 feet to a line parallel with and 19.00 feet south of, as measured at right angles to, the south line of Lots 16 through 21, both inclusive, in said Block 40; thence south 89 degrees, 52 minutes, 39 seconds west along said parallel line, 211.21 feet to the west line of Fremont Street; thence north 00 degrees, 00 minutes, 45 seconds west along said west line, 168.99 feet to the westerly projection of the north line of Lot 16 in Block 40 of said John Yale’s Resubdivision; thence north 89 degrees, 52 minutes, 39 seconds east along said westerly projection, 66.00 feet to the point of beginning, in Cook County, Illinois.

Exhibit “B”.

Street Location Of The Weed/Fremont Tax Increment Financing Redevelopment Project Area.

The Project Area generally is bounded by the alley south of West North Avenue on the north; just south of vacated West Weed Street on the south; North Fremont Street on the east; and North Dayton Street on the west.
Exhibit "C".

Map 1 -- Boundary Area.