



Addendum 3 to the Request for Proposal for Pharmacy Benefits Manager

	Question	Answer
1	Addendum 1 advised that the agency exhibits may be provided in word format, will these word versions be released with another addendum?	Addendum 1 advised that the Agencies would consider the possibility of Word versions. The results of that consideration were provided in Addendum 2.
2	Addendum 1 says that we are to redline/provide exceptions to the 6 individual contracts, but are we expected to complete and execute the other forms contained in this attachment (i.e. WBE/MBE, DBE, or other forms)? Addendum 1 also advises that word versions may be supplied, is there an ETA on receiving these word documents? More specifically, page 12 of the "Agency Exhibits" pdf (footer: City of Chicago page 11) gives instructions to pull 2 MBE/WBE forms from the website and complete them, but we are also seeing MBE/WBE as exhibits to each of the individual contracts. Are we to complete the 2 MBE/WBE documents from the website only, and all other MBE/WBE forms should be deemed as advisory information?	Some Agency exhibits provide a PDF of the documents while other Agency exhibits provide a link to an online version of the forms. Complete the form as applicable and include the forms in your proposal. There is no need to duplicate effort and provide the edits to the copy of MBE/WBE forms that are attached as exhibits to the individual contracts. With respect to Word versions, see Q 1 above. No portion of any proposal is "advisory".
3	We are seeking clarification on reporting frequency. Question 54 requests periodic billing no less frequently than monthly while Question H 5 requests billing no more frequently than monthly. Please clarify the type of reporting frequency you are seeking	At least some agencies (e.g. the City and Cook County) are billed semi-monthly. Your proposal should not propose a requirement of more frequent billing such as weekly billing. However if you wish to propose optional additional cost savings resulting from more frequent billing such proposals will be considered. The Agencies withdraw the H5 requirement for billing no more frequently than monthly.
4	We are seeking confirmation that pricing will not be subject to FOIA.	The Freedom of Information Act is an Illinois statute, subject to change from time to time by the Illinois Legislature, and subject to interpretation by courts of law. If a third party is dissatisfied with an Agency's provision of a redacted version of an RFP response, instead of a full version, there exists the possibility that the third party may challenge the Agency in court. The Agencies will not provide legal advice to Proposers. We suggest potential proposers consult with their own law firm for legal

		advice regarding the likely outcome of such a challenge.
5	Will there be an extension of the April 6 response submittal deadline?	No. The Agencies are not considering an extension at this time.
6	It appears the City of Chicago, Chicago Park District, and City Colleges of Chicago all have prescription benefits for retirees and at the pre-submittal conference it was stated that the agencies would like an EGWP quote for these populations. However, the claims data for these agencies does not have an indicator for active employees versus EGWP-eligible retirees. Will you provide new data that breaks out actives from retirees to show how many EGWP-eligible lives there are for each agency? This information will not only help us provide a competitive pricing offer, but it is also essential to helping us provide accurate formulary and network access analyses for each agency's population.	A) Chicago Park District and City Colleges of Chicago: An EGWP is not requested. B) City of Chicago: The data provided does not have an indicator regarding retirees who might be EGWP eligible. If you wish to provide a non-custom assessment based on standard (not custom) pricing, please do so. The drug plans are presented in the materials and you can propose based on a standard Part D plan with a wrap to cover the prescription benefits not covered in a standard Part D plan. Any "quote" or "range of quotes" based on group size assumptions provided in answer to this RFP will not be binding on the proposer and could be amended upon receipt of individual City data if the City determines to provide such data update. The City will consider adding such a data update, so that a proposal may be supplemented after the April 6 response deadline. No decision has yet been made on a data update for an EGWP. The possibility of additional City data allowing for a supplementing a proposal for an EGWP does not extend the April 6 response deadline.
7	Will you accept electronic proposal submissions on 30 CD-ROMs instead of USB drives?	No, the RFP specifies USB drives.
8	The deadline for submitting questions has passed. Will additional questions be considered?	The Agencies have determined to extend the deadline for additional questions through the morning of March 27. They are answered in this addendum. No further questions will be accepted.
Two Updates to Addendum 2 Specific to City Colleges of Chicago		
Ad2 Q2	Is City Colleges' Medicare plan self insured?	Yes
Ad2 Q24	For the City colleges of Chicago, please explain the population of active workers that are expected to move into the retiree pharmacy benefits in the next 5 years.	City Colleges currently continues to offer retiree benefits to employees in Local 1600 and Local 1708 who have 30+ years of service or are age 55 with 10+ years of service. The retiree medical plans for Non-Bargained for employees are only open to employees hired prior to October 2017 that meet the above guidelines.