In connection with the 2014 proposed budget, Alderman Cochran submitted a menu of requests to the Office of Budget and Management (OBM) as well other City departments. Below is a global response to certain requests submitted to OBM and the other departments. Additional information will be provided as it is available.

**Questions Regarding Staffing, Organizational Charts, Interns, New Hires, and the Roles and Responsibilities of department bureaus.**

For all departments, information regarding (a) organizational charts, (b) gender and race breakdown of staff by division and positions, (c) 2013 interns by race, gender, and school, or (d) 2013 new hires by race and gender is provided by departments on the day of their budget hearing. This information has been or will be posted on the City Council’s Share Point site at: [http://chicitycouncil.cityofchicago.local/budgethearings/SitePages/Home.aspx](http://chicitycouncil.cityofchicago.local/budgethearings/SitePages/Home.aspx).
In addition, questions regarding the roles and responsibilities of department bureaus can be found in the department descriptions in the 2014 Budget Overview Book, which also is available on the City Council’s Share Point site.

This response addresses the following questions for each of the departments listed below.

- 05 – Office of Budget and Management (Questions 2, 4, and 5)
- 06 – Department of Innovation and Technology (Questions 2, 5, and 6)
- 23 – Department of Cultural Affairs and Special Events (Questions 2, 4, and 5)
- 27 – Department of Finance (Questions 2, 4, 5, and 6)
- 30 – Administrative Hearings (Questions 2, 4, and 7)
- 31 – Department of Law (Questions 2, 4, 5, and 6)
- 33 – Department of Human Resources (Questions 2, 4, and 5)
- 35 – Department of Procurement Services (Questions 2, 4, 5, and 6)
- 38 – Department of Fleet and Facility Management (Questions 2, 4, and 5)
- 48 – Mayor’s Office for People with Disabilities (Questions 2, 4, and 5)
- 50 – Department of Family and Support Services (Questions 2, 4, and 5)
- 54 – Department of Planning and Development (Questions 2, 4, and 5)
- 57 – Chicago Police Department (Questions 7 and 18)
- 58 – Office of Emergency Management and Communications (Questions 2, 4, 5, and 6)
- 59 – Chicago Fire Department (Questions 2 and 4)
- 76 – Department of Business Affairs and Consumer Protection (Questions 2, 4, and 6)
- 78 – Board of Ethics (Questions 2, 4, and 5)
- 81 – Department of Streets and Sanitation (Questions 2, 4, and 5)
- 84 – Department of Transportation (Questions 3 and 4)
- 85 – Department of Aviation (Questions 2, 4, and 5)
- 88 – Department of Water Management (Questions 2, 4, 5, and 6)
- 91 – Chicago Public Library (Questions 2, 4, and 6)
Questions Regarding 2013 and Anticipated 2014 Grants

For all departments, information regarding (a) all requests regarding breakdown of grants for 2013, can be found in the “2013 Budget Grant Detail” and the “2013 Grant Detail Ordinance” and (b) all requests regarding anticipated 2014 grants can be found in the “2014 Budget Grant Detail”. Given the size of these documents, all three of these documents have been posted to the City Council’s Share Point site under OBM.

This response addresses the following question for each of the departments listed below.

- 05 – Office of Budget and Management (Question 9)
- 23 – Department of Cultural Affairs and Special Events (Question 9)
- 48 – Mayor’s Office for People with Disabilities (Question 6)
- 50 – Department of Family and Support Services (Question 6)
- 54 – Department of Planning and Development (Question 12)
- 57 – Chicago Police Department (Question 22)
- 58 – Office of Emergency Management and Communications (Question 11)
- 59 – Chicago Fire Department (Question 18)
- 81 – Department of Streets and Sanitation (Question 16)
- 84 – Department of Transportation (Question 8)
- 85 – Department of Aviation (Question 15)
- 91 – Chicago Public Library (Question 13)

Question 6 – Breakdown of the 2013 GO Bond. What is proposed for the 2014 GO bond?

With regard to the 2013 GO Bond attached please find a copy of Appendix B of the 2013 Budget Overview. With regard to the proposed 2014 GO bond, attached please find a copy of Appendix B of the 2014 Budget Overview, also available on the City Council’s Share Point site.

Question 15 – List of 2013 interns by race, gender, and schools for each city department.

Please see our response to questions regarding staffing, organizational charts, interns, new hires, and the roles and responsibilities of department bureaus, above.
Question 18 – New turnover figures for 2013 resulting from hiring freezes and other factors.

The hiring freeze is for 2014 not 2013. The total turnover figure for 2014 is $74,942,705.

Question 22 – Analysis and studies that might be necessary breakdown of efficiency studies done by the Budget Office.

Please see our response to question 24, below, with respect to 2014 cost reductions and efficiencies. Similar lists for 2012 and 2013 are attached. We are happy to provide additional details on any prior year initiatives or efficiencies; please let us know if there is specific information we can provide.

Question 24 – What “efficiencies” specifically were responsible for the $200 million in savings in the proposed 2014 budget?

The 2014 budget gap was closed in part through $66 million in spending cuts and reform, as set forth in the attached.
Attachments in response to Question 6
Following is a list of capital projects planned for 2013, together with the projected amount and source of funding for each. Water, sewer, and aviation capital projects are not listed here, however, additional details on these capital projects can be found in the departmental summaries for the Department of Water Management and the Department of Aviation. Details regarding the allocation, funding source, timing, and scope of each capital improvement project are available on the City’s website, and the Project IDs provided below will allow you to reference the details for each project. Capital improvement plans are adjusted throughout the year to reflect the changing needs of the City; accordingly, the projects listed below form an outline of planned expenditures given available resources and are not intended to be a final or all-inclusive inventory of the City’s capital needs and projects.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project ID</th>
<th>2013 Proposed Capital Improvement Program</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>2013</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>34208</td>
<td>Neighborspace</td>
<td>General Obligation Bond</td>
<td>$100,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36215</td>
<td>Decorative Fountain - 2013</td>
<td>General Obligation Bond</td>
<td>$500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total Neighborspace</td>
<td></td>
<td>$600,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34149</td>
<td>Addison Underbridge Connector - North Branch Riverfront Trail</td>
<td>Federal Funding</td>
<td>$2,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36213</td>
<td>Landscape Median &amp; Boulevard Maintenance Program - 2013</td>
<td>General Obligation Bond</td>
<td>$4,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34638</td>
<td>Fullerton Ave., Ashland to Racine</td>
<td>State Funding</td>
<td>$500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34638</td>
<td>Fullerton Ave., Ashland to Racine</td>
<td>Federal Funding</td>
<td>$2,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34638</td>
<td>Fullerton Ave., Ashland to Racine</td>
<td>Federal Funding</td>
<td>$1,412,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35465</td>
<td>Pulaski Road, Wilson Ave. to Elston Ave., 39th Ward - Streetscape</td>
<td>TIF</td>
<td>$3,712,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35859</td>
<td>Cottage Grove Ave., 77th St. to 87th St. - Streetscape</td>
<td>TIF</td>
<td>$2,220,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36221</td>
<td>Lawrence Avenue Streetscape - Phase I, Lawrence, Western to Clark</td>
<td>TIF</td>
<td>$700,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36221</td>
<td>Lawrence Avenue Streetscape - Phase I, Lawrence, Western to Clark</td>
<td>TIF</td>
<td>$7,600,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36321</td>
<td>Argyle Street - Broadway to Sheridan - Streetscaping</td>
<td>TIF</td>
<td>$2,600,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36343</td>
<td>Western Avenue Streetscape - Monroe to Lake (Design only) Phase II</td>
<td>TIF</td>
<td>$120,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37294</td>
<td>Western Avenue Streetscape - Monroe to Lake (Construction) Phase II</td>
<td>TIF</td>
<td>$1,290,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37294</td>
<td>Western Avenue Streetscape - Monroe to Lake (Construction) Phase II</td>
<td>TIF</td>
<td>$2,838,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36562</td>
<td>Devon Streetscape - Ph I Devon, Sacramento to California (const) &amp; Master Plan Devon, Kedzie-Leavitt</td>
<td>TIF</td>
<td>$2,600,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37293</td>
<td>Cermak/Blue Island Streetscape Phase II: Blue Island, Western to Wolcott (Construction)</td>
<td>TIF</td>
<td>$8,975,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total Streetscaping</td>
<td></td>
<td>$36,567,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1059</td>
<td>1869 Pershing Road</td>
<td>General Obligation Bond</td>
<td>$2,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35134</td>
<td>Municipal Facility - 2013 Citywide Roofing</td>
<td>General Obligation Bond</td>
<td>$1,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35138</td>
<td>Municipal Facility - 2013 Citywide Flooring</td>
<td>General Obligation Bond</td>
<td>$75,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35142</td>
<td>Municipal Facility - 2013 Citywide Tuckpointing</td>
<td>General Obligation Bond</td>
<td>$300,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35146</td>
<td>Municipal Facility - 2013 Citywide Fencing</td>
<td>General Obligation Bond</td>
<td>$500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35150</td>
<td>Municipal Facility - 2013 Maintenance of Property</td>
<td>General Obligation Bond</td>
<td>$800,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35154</td>
<td>Municipal Facility - 2013 Maintenance of Equipment</td>
<td>General Obligation Bond</td>
<td>$800,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35158</td>
<td>Municipal Facility - 2013 Maintenance Supplies</td>
<td>General Obligation Bond</td>
<td>$1,800,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35162</td>
<td>Municipal Facility - 2013 Trades</td>
<td>General Obligation Bond</td>
<td>$1,500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35167</td>
<td>MUNICIPAL FACILITY-2013 HVAC</td>
<td>General Obligation Bond</td>
<td>$800,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total Municipal Facilities - City Buildings</td>
<td></td>
<td>$9,125,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33683</td>
<td>Whitney Young Branch Library</td>
<td>General Obligation Bond</td>
<td>$6,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36183</td>
<td>Albany Park Library</td>
<td>TIF</td>
<td>$5,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36192</td>
<td>Humboldt Park Branch Library Expansion</td>
<td>TIF</td>
<td>$1,500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total Municipal Facilities - Library</td>
<td></td>
<td>$12,500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1885</td>
<td>130th St., Torrence Ave., Brainard Ave. - Intersection &amp; Grade Separation (main intersection work)</td>
<td>State Funding</td>
<td>$4,210,570</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1885</td>
<td>130th St., Torrence Ave., Brainard Ave. - Intersection &amp; Grade Separation (main intersection work)</td>
<td>Federal</td>
<td>$8,711,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1885</td>
<td>130th St., Torrence Ave., Brainard Ave. - Intersection &amp; Grade Separation (main intersection work)</td>
<td>State Funding</td>
<td>$17,078,430</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34715</td>
<td>Besly Ct., Wabansia to North Ave. &amp; Wabansia, Besly Ct. to Elston Ave. - Ind. St. Improvement</td>
<td>TIF</td>
<td>$1,800,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total Economic Development - Industrial Streets</td>
<td></td>
<td>$31,800,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36039</td>
<td>Lake Park Ave. at 47th St. &amp; 56th Viaducts/47th to 51st St. Embankments</td>
<td>Federal Funding</td>
<td>$4,751,200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36039</td>
<td>Lake Park Ave. at 47th St. &amp; 56th Viaducts/47th to 51st St. Embankments</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>$1,187,800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total Economic Development - Viaducts</td>
<td></td>
<td>$5,939,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34345</td>
<td>Group Relamping - 2013</td>
<td>General Obligation Bond</td>
<td>$500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34385</td>
<td>Union Station Lighting &amp; Sidewalks - Canal/Congress TIF</td>
<td>TIF</td>
<td>$2,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total Neighborhood Infrastructure - Lighting</td>
<td></td>
<td>$2,500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35701</td>
<td>Site Improvements - Housing</td>
<td>General Obligation Bond</td>
<td>$436,735.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## 2013 Budget Overview

### Appendix B (continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project ID</th>
<th>2013 Proposed Capital Improvement Program</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>2013</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>35978</td>
<td>Arterial Street ADA Ramps (#59 thru #62) - Design Only</td>
<td>State Funding</td>
<td>$560,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35978</td>
<td>Arterial Street ADA Ramps (#59 thru #62) - Design Only</td>
<td>Federal Funding</td>
<td>$2,240,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35981</td>
<td>Arterial Street ADA Ramps (#55 thru #58)</td>
<td>State Funding</td>
<td>$2,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35981</td>
<td>Arterial Street ADA Ramps (#55 thru #58)</td>
<td>Federal Funding</td>
<td>$15,200,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Total Neighborhood Infrastructure - Other</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>$12,800,000</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34351</td>
<td>Reconstruct &amp; Repair Vaulted Sidewalks - 2013</td>
<td>General Obligation Bond</td>
<td>$2,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34353</td>
<td>Shared Sidewalk Program - 2013</td>
<td>Private Funding</td>
<td>$2,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35196</td>
<td>Hazardous Right of Way Repair - 2013</td>
<td>General Obligation Bond</td>
<td>$4,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Total Neighborhood Infrastructure - Sidewalks</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>$10,000,000</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4067</td>
<td>Bridge Annual Inspection Program</td>
<td>State Funding</td>
<td>$900,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4067</td>
<td>Bridge Annual Inspection Program</td>
<td>Federal Funding</td>
<td>$3,600,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4267</td>
<td>Lake Shore Drive over LaSalle Dr.</td>
<td>State Funding</td>
<td>$1,520,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4267</td>
<td>Lake Shore Drive over Lawrence Ave. &amp; over Wilson Ave.</td>
<td>State Funding</td>
<td>$380,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4271</td>
<td>Chicago Ave (650 W TO 850 W) &amp; Halsted St. (725 N TO 850 N) - Design Only</td>
<td>State Funding</td>
<td>$486,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4271</td>
<td>Chicago Ave (650 W TO 850 W) &amp; Halsted St. (725 N TO 850 N) - Design Only</td>
<td>Federal Funding</td>
<td>$1,744,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32369</td>
<td>Wells Street Bridge over the Chicago River</td>
<td>State Funding</td>
<td>$5,460,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32369</td>
<td>Wells Street Bridge over the Chicago River</td>
<td>Federal Funding</td>
<td>$30,840,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32370</td>
<td>Ashland Ave. Viaduct over Pershing Rd.</td>
<td>State Funding</td>
<td>$10,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32370</td>
<td>Ashland Ave. Viaduct over Pershing Rd.</td>
<td>Federal Funding</td>
<td>$2,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34202</td>
<td>Bridge &amp; Viaduct Painting Program - Project # 3</td>
<td>State Funding</td>
<td>$1,200,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34202</td>
<td>Bridge &amp; Viaduct Painting Program - Project # 3</td>
<td>Federal Funding</td>
<td>$1,200,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34331</td>
<td>Freight/Trolley System - 2013</td>
<td>General Obligation Bond</td>
<td>$300,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34933</td>
<td>Archer at Kenton Ave. - create grade separation (eng. Only)</td>
<td>State Funding</td>
<td>$200,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34933</td>
<td>Archer at Kenton Ave. - create grade separation (eng. Only)</td>
<td>Federal Funding</td>
<td>$800,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34934</td>
<td>Columbus Av. At Maplewood Ave. - create grade separation (eng. only)</td>
<td>State Funding</td>
<td>$200,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34934</td>
<td>Columbus Av. At Maplewood Ave. - create grade separation (eng. only)</td>
<td>Federal Funding</td>
<td>$800,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Total Transportation - Bridge Improvements</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>$62,680,000</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33328</td>
<td>Lake St., Ashland to Racine (Engineering Only)</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>$200,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33328</td>
<td>Lake St., Ashland to Racine (Engineering Only)</td>
<td>Federal Funding</td>
<td>$800,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36560</td>
<td>Grand Ave., Chicago Ave. to Damen Ave. (design)</td>
<td>State Funding</td>
<td>$800,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36560</td>
<td>Grand Ave., Chicago Ave. to Damen Ave. (design)</td>
<td>Federal Funding</td>
<td>$200,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36685</td>
<td>Balmoral Extension over Manheim Rd. into Airport</td>
<td>State Funding</td>
<td>$17,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Total Transportation - Major Streets</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>$19,000,000</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4372</td>
<td>Peterson Ave., Cicero - Ridge Interconnect</td>
<td>State Funding</td>
<td>$530,200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4372</td>
<td>Peterson Ave., Cicero - Ridge Interconnect</td>
<td>Federal Funding</td>
<td>$2,120,800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4375</td>
<td>Near West Side Interconnect</td>
<td>State Funding</td>
<td>$423,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4426</td>
<td>Cermak Rd., Ashland - Martin Luther King Dr. - traffic signal interconnect</td>
<td>State Funding</td>
<td>$752,200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4441</td>
<td>87th St., Western Ave. to Dan Ryan Expressway</td>
<td>Federal Funding</td>
<td>$400,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4441</td>
<td>87th St., Western Ave. to Dan Ryan Expressway</td>
<td>Federal Funding</td>
<td>$3,008,800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4442</td>
<td>95th St., Western Ave. to Ewing Ave. (US 41)</td>
<td>Federal Funding</td>
<td>$7,820,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4443</td>
<td>Cicero Ave., Peterson Ave. to Lexington Ave.</td>
<td>State Funding</td>
<td>$2,027,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4443</td>
<td>Cicero Ave., Peterson Ave. to Lexington Ave.</td>
<td>Federal Funding</td>
<td>$8,108,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4467</td>
<td>Broadway &amp; Sheridan Rd. - Devon to Hollywood. Traffic signal interconnect</td>
<td>State Funding</td>
<td>$230,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4467</td>
<td>Broadway &amp; Sheridan Rd. - Devon to Hollywood. Traffic signal interconnect</td>
<td>Federal Funding</td>
<td>$920,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4468</td>
<td>Roosevelt Rd., Western to Lake Shore Drive, traffic signal interconnect</td>
<td>State Funding</td>
<td>$1,042,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4468</td>
<td>Roosevelt Rd., Western to Lake Shore Drive, traffic signal interconnect</td>
<td>Federal Funding</td>
<td>$4,168,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4494</td>
<td>Stony Island, Midway Plaisance to 95th St. (signal interconnect)</td>
<td>State Funding</td>
<td>$1,008,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4494</td>
<td>Stony Island, Midway Plaisance to 95th St. (signal interconnect)</td>
<td>Federal Funding</td>
<td>$4,032,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33806</td>
<td>79th St., Cicero to to Ashland Ave.</td>
<td>State Funding</td>
<td>$1,255,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34361</td>
<td>Traffic Signal Program - 2013</td>
<td>General Obligation Bond</td>
<td>$800,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36037</td>
<td>TMC - Integrated Corridor Management</td>
<td>State Funding</td>
<td>$380,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36037</td>
<td>TMC - Integrated Corridor Management</td>
<td>Federal Funding</td>
<td>$1,520,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36184</td>
<td>13th &amp; Wabash/14th &amp; Wabash- TSMs - 2nd Ward-TIF Funded</td>
<td>TIF</td>
<td>$650,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4568</td>
<td>Fullerton/Damen/Eston Intersection (Design, ROW &amp; Construction)</td>
<td>TIF</td>
<td>$1,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35209</td>
<td>Guardrail Installation/Maintenance - 2013</td>
<td>General Obligation Bond</td>
<td>$400,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35832</td>
<td>CREATE Stockyards Area Dynamic Message Signage (Design Only)</td>
<td>TIF</td>
<td>$25,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35832</td>
<td>CREATE Stockyards Area Dynamic Message Signage (Design Only)</td>
<td>TIF</td>
<td>$125,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Total Transportation - Traffic Signals</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>$46,865,000</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Project ID 2013 Proposed Capital Improvement Program Funding Source 2013

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project ID</th>
<th>2013 Proposed Capital Improvement Program</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>2013</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3882</td>
<td>Clark/Division State Street Subway Station Rehabilitation</td>
<td>Federal Funding</td>
<td>$22,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4628</td>
<td>35th St. Bicycle &amp; Pedestrian Bridge</td>
<td>Federal Funding</td>
<td>$6,440,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4628</td>
<td>35th St. Bicycle &amp; Pedestrian Bridge</td>
<td>Federal Funding</td>
<td>$10,560,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4628</td>
<td>35th St. Bicycle &amp; Pedestrian Bridge</td>
<td>State Funding</td>
<td>$4,250,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32172</td>
<td>CREATE Right of Way Acquisition</td>
<td>State Funding</td>
<td>$643,200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32172</td>
<td>CREATE Right of Way Acquisition</td>
<td>Federal Funding</td>
<td>$2,572,800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33022</td>
<td>Bloomingdale Bike Path</td>
<td>Private</td>
<td>$4,004,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33022</td>
<td>Bloomingdale Bike Path</td>
<td>Federal Funding</td>
<td>$22,020,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34320</td>
<td>Central Loop Bus Rapid Transit (BRT) and Union Station Transportation Center</td>
<td>Federal Funding</td>
<td>$16,540,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34320</td>
<td>Central Loop Bus Rapid Transit (BRT) and Union Station Transportation Center</td>
<td>Federal Funding</td>
<td>$4,660,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34320</td>
<td>Central Loop Bus Rapid Transit (BRT) and Union Station Transportation Center</td>
<td>Federal Funding</td>
<td>$1,440,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34678</td>
<td>Weber Spur (Eng. Only)</td>
<td>State Funding</td>
<td>$4,250,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34979</td>
<td>57th St. at Lake ShoreDr. - parking facility</td>
<td>Federal Funding</td>
<td>$2,400,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34979</td>
<td>57th St. at Lake ShoreDr. - parking facility</td>
<td>State Funding</td>
<td>$325,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35768</td>
<td>Walk to Transit - Series I &amp; II</td>
<td>Federal Funding</td>
<td>$1,300,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35768</td>
<td>Walk to Transit - Series I &amp; II</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>$1,200,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35771</td>
<td>Street for Cycling/Bike - 2015</td>
<td>Federal Funding</td>
<td>$6,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36020</td>
<td>Lakefront Trail #1 - Ogden slip to Jane Addams Park</td>
<td>Federal Funding</td>
<td>$11,144,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36026</td>
<td>Lakefront Bicycle Trail #2 - Ogden Slip &amp; Dusable Park</td>
<td>Federal Funding</td>
<td>$5,760,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36026</td>
<td>Lakefront Bicycle Trail #2 - Ogden Slip &amp; Dusable Park</td>
<td>State Funding</td>
<td>$1,440,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36027</td>
<td>Lakefront Trail #3 - LSD Bridge over the Chicago River</td>
<td>State Funding</td>
<td>$1,800,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36027</td>
<td>Lakefront Trail #3 - LSD Bridge over the Chicago River</td>
<td>Federal Funding</td>
<td>$7,200,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36038</td>
<td>Stony Island Cycle Track - 69th St. to 77th St.</td>
<td>Federal Funding</td>
<td>$693,150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36038</td>
<td>Stony Island Cycle Track - 69th St. to 77th St.</td>
<td>TIF</td>
<td>$4,324,950</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36552</td>
<td>Bicycle Sharing Program</td>
<td>Federal Funding</td>
<td>$18,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36552</td>
<td>Bicycle Sharing Program</td>
<td>TIF</td>
<td>$17,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36580</td>
<td>Cermak Road EL CTA Green Line Station (Phase I and Phase II)</td>
<td>TIF</td>
<td>$19,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36580</td>
<td>Cermak Road EL CTA Green Line Station (Phase I and Phase II)</td>
<td>State Funding</td>
<td>$57,500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36683</td>
<td>Washington/Wabash Loop Elevated Station</td>
<td>Federal Funding</td>
<td>$368,800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3873</td>
<td>Safe Routes to School &amp; High School</td>
<td>Federal Funding</td>
<td>$1,235,200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3873</td>
<td>Safe Routes to School &amp; High School</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>$1,200,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3873</td>
<td>Safe Routes to School &amp; High School</td>
<td></td>
<td>$240,833,700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2150</td>
<td>Illinois Shoreline Protection - Fullerton Theater on the Lake</td>
<td>Chicago Park District</td>
<td>$6,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2224</td>
<td>Illinois Shoreline Montrose South to Irving Park</td>
<td>Chicago Park District</td>
<td>$3,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2224</td>
<td>Illinois Shoreline Montrose South to Irving Park</td>
<td>Federal Funding</td>
<td>$6,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2262</td>
<td>Illinois Shoreline Protection - 45th - 51st Street</td>
<td>General Obligation Bond</td>
<td>$7,900,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2262</td>
<td>Illinois Shoreline Protection - 45th - 51st Street</td>
<td>Chicago Park District</td>
<td>$7,900,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2297</td>
<td>Shoreline Protection Project - 54th - 58th Street (design)</td>
<td>Chicago Park District</td>
<td>$450,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34152</td>
<td>CHA - Robert Taylor - Ph II</td>
<td>General Obligation Bond</td>
<td>$1,500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34333</td>
<td>2013 CHA Program</td>
<td>General Obligation Bond</td>
<td>$3,500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36577</td>
<td>LeClair Courts (street/alcley engineering)</td>
<td>General Obligation Bond</td>
<td>$650,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36578</td>
<td>Lathrop Homes (street/alcley engineering)</td>
<td>General Obligation Bond</td>
<td>$650,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34360</td>
<td>Hazardous Building Clearance - 2013</td>
<td>General Obligation Bond</td>
<td>$10,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36049</td>
<td>Aldermanic Menu Program - 2013</td>
<td>General Obligation Bond</td>
<td>$66,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36102</td>
<td>2013 ADA Ramp Program</td>
<td>General Obligation Bond</td>
<td>$15,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36537</td>
<td>Aldermanic Menu 2013 - Engineering and Construction Management</td>
<td>General Obligation Bond</td>
<td>$87,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36537</td>
<td>Aldermanic Menu 2013 - Engineering and Construction Management</td>
<td>Total Aldermanic Menu</td>
<td>$632,196,935</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*The City anticipates funding these projects in 2013, however, the specific funding source has not yet been finalized.*
## Appendix B – 2014 Capital Improvement Program

Following is a list of capital projects planned for 2014, with the projected amount and source of funding allocated in 2014. Water, sewer, and aviation capital projects are not listed here, however, additional details on these capital projects can be found in the departmental summaries for the Department of Water Management and the Department of Aviation. Details regarding the allocation, funding source, timing, and scope of each capital improvement project are available on the City’s website, and the Project IDs provided below will allow you to reference the details for each project. Projects that were programmed from prior year funding sources may be active projects in 2014; however, such projects are not included in the following detail. Capital improvement plans are adjusted throughout the year to reflect the changing needs of the City; accordingly, the projects listed below form an outline of planned expenditures given available resources and are not intended to be a final or all-inclusive inventory of the City’s capital needs and projects. For additional details of the City’s capital improvement program visit: [http://www.cityofchicago.org/city/en/depts/obm/provdrs/cap_improve.html](http://www.cityofchicago.org/city/en/depts/obm/provdrs/cap_improve.html).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project ID</th>
<th>2014 Proposed Capital Improvement Program</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>2014</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Greening Neighborspace</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35005</td>
<td>Neighborspace 2014</td>
<td>General Obligation Bond</td>
<td>$100,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36216</td>
<td>Decorative Fountain - 2014</td>
<td>General Obligation Bond</td>
<td>$500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Total Neighborspace</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>$600,000</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Neighborhood Parks</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34149</td>
<td>Addison Underbridge Connector - North Branch Riverfront Trail</td>
<td>Federal Funding</td>
<td><strong>$6,800,000</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36214</td>
<td>Landscape Median &amp; Boulevard Maintenance Program - 2014</td>
<td>General Obligation Bond</td>
<td><strong>$4,000,000</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Greenways</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33686</td>
<td>71st Street Streetscape/South Shore Drive To Jeffrey Blvd. (Eng. + Phase 1 (Parkway) Const.)</td>
<td>TIF</td>
<td><strong>$3,430,000</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36039</td>
<td>Lake Park Avenue 47th St To 57th St - Streetscape</td>
<td>Federal Funding</td>
<td><strong>$1,187,600</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36039</td>
<td>Lake Park Avenue 47th St To 57th St - Streetscape</td>
<td>Federal Funding</td>
<td><strong>$4,751,200</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36565</td>
<td>Devon Streetscape - Ph Il - Devon California To Rockwell</td>
<td>TIF</td>
<td><strong>$159,600</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38265</td>
<td>Blue Island St. To 21st St - Streetscape - Construction</td>
<td>Federal Funding</td>
<td><strong>$2,560,000</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38520</td>
<td>Fulton Flex Street And Identifiers Streetscape</td>
<td>TIF</td>
<td><strong>$3,544,000</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Total Streetscaping</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>$15,032,600</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Facilities</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35135</td>
<td>Municipal Facility-2014 Citywide Roofing</td>
<td>General Obligation Bond</td>
<td>$1,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35139</td>
<td>Municipal Facility-2014 Citywide Flooring</td>
<td>General Obligation Bond</td>
<td>$75,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35143</td>
<td>Municipal Facility-2014 Citywide Tuckpointing</td>
<td>General Obligation Bond</td>
<td><strong>$300,000</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35147</td>
<td>Municipal Facility-2014 Citywide Fencing</td>
<td>General Obligation Bond</td>
<td>$50,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35151</td>
<td>Municipal Facility-2014 Maintenance Of Property</td>
<td>General Obligation Bond</td>
<td><strong>$800,000</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35155</td>
<td>Municipal Facility-2014 Maintenance Of Equipment</td>
<td>General Obligation Bond</td>
<td><strong>$800,000</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35159</td>
<td>Municipal Facility-2014 Maintenance Supplies</td>
<td>General Obligation Bond</td>
<td><strong>$750,000</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35168</td>
<td>Municipal Facility-2014 HVAC</td>
<td>General Obligation Bond</td>
<td><strong>$800,000</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38586</td>
<td>Chicago Children’s Advocacy Center Addition</td>
<td>TIF</td>
<td><strong>$3,000,000</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Total Municipal Facilities - City Buildings</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>$7,575,000</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38183</td>
<td>Albany Park Library</td>
<td>General Obligation Bond</td>
<td>$200,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38183</td>
<td>Albany Park Library</td>
<td>TIF</td>
<td>$5,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38565</td>
<td>Chinatown Library</td>
<td>TIF</td>
<td><strong>$5,000,000</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Total Municipal Facilities - Library</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>$10,200,000</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Infrastructure</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1885</td>
<td>130th St Torrence Ave /Brainard Ave / Intersection &amp; Grade Separation (Main Intersection Work)</td>
<td>Federal Funding</td>
<td><strong>$22,800,000</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1885</td>
<td>130th St Torrence Ave /Brainard Ave / Intersection &amp; Grade Separation (Main Intersection Work)</td>
<td>State</td>
<td><strong>$7,200,000</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Total Industrial Streets</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>$30,000,000</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Neighborhood Infrastructure - Lighting</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34826</td>
<td>Arterial Street Group Relamping - 2014</td>
<td>General Obligation Bond</td>
<td>$250,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38523</td>
<td>Racine 55th To 63rd Street - Arterial Street Lighting</td>
<td>TIF</td>
<td><strong>$1,298,550</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Total Lighting</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>$1,548,550</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Neighborhood Infrastructure - Other (ADA Ramps)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35979</td>
<td>Arterial Street ADA Ramps (# 63 Thru # 66) - Design Only</td>
<td>State Funding</td>
<td><strong>$800,000</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35979</td>
<td>Arterial Street ADA Ramps (# 63 Thru # 66) - Design Only</td>
<td>Federal Funding</td>
<td><strong>$3,200,000</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35862</td>
<td>Arterial Street ADA Ramps #58 (North Area)</td>
<td>State Funding</td>
<td><strong>$800,000</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35862</td>
<td>Arterial Street ADA Ramps #59 (North Area)</td>
<td>Federal Funding</td>
<td><strong>$3,600,000</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Total Neighborhood Infrastructure - Other</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>$6,500,000</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Neighborhood Infrastructure - Sidewalks</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35012</td>
<td>Shared Sidewalk Program - 2014</td>
<td>Private Funding</td>
<td><strong>$2,000,000</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35012</td>
<td>Shared Sidewalk Program - 2014</td>
<td>General Obligation Bond</td>
<td><strong>$2,000,000</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35014</td>
<td>Reconstruct &amp; Repair Vaulted Sidewalks - 2014</td>
<td>General Obligation Bond</td>
<td><strong>$2,000,000</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35197</td>
<td>Hazardous Right Of Way Repair - 2014</td>
<td>General Obligation Bond</td>
<td><strong>$4,000,000</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Total Neighborhood Infrastructure - Sidewalks</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>$10,000,000</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## 2014 Budget Overview

### Appendix B (continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project ID</th>
<th>2014 Proposed Capital Improvement Program</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>2014</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4067</td>
<td>Bridge Annual Inspection Program</td>
<td>State Funding</td>
<td>$800,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4226</td>
<td>31ST Street Viaduct Over Metra</td>
<td>Federal Funding</td>
<td>$2,960,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4226</td>
<td>31ST Street Viaduct Over Metra</td>
<td>Federal Funding</td>
<td>$9,600,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4665</td>
<td>Oakwood Blvd Viaduct Over Metra / Icgr - Rehab</td>
<td>State Funding</td>
<td>$580,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4665</td>
<td>Oakwood Blvd Viaduct Over Metra / Icgr - Rehab</td>
<td>Federal Funding</td>
<td>$2,240,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32370</td>
<td>Ashland Avenue Viaduct Over Pershing Road</td>
<td>State Funding</td>
<td>$80,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32667</td>
<td>Irving Park Rd Bridge Over N. Branch Chicago River</td>
<td>State Funding</td>
<td>$1,902,400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32667</td>
<td>Irving Park Rd Bridge Over N. Branch Chicago River</td>
<td>Federal Funding</td>
<td>$3,200,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32667</td>
<td>Irving Park Rd Bridge Over N. Branch Chicago River</td>
<td>Federal Funding</td>
<td>$4,409,600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4226</td>
<td>31ST Street Viaduct Over Metra</td>
<td>State Funding</td>
<td>$100,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4226</td>
<td>31ST Street Viaduct Over Metra</td>
<td>Federal Funding</td>
<td>$400,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38193</td>
<td>Green Bay Ave. (63Rd St. To 87Th St.) &amp; 84Th St. (Green Bay Ave. To S. LSD)</td>
<td>State Funding</td>
<td>$5,222,650</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37691</td>
<td>Addison Cicero To Milwaukee -LightingSidewalkResurf. - Ward 30 - TIF And Menu (2010 2011)</td>
<td>General Obligation Bond</td>
<td>$495,856</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37691</td>
<td>Addison Cicero To Milwaukee -LightingSidewalkResurf. - Ward 30 - TIF And Menu (2010 2011)</td>
<td>TIF</td>
<td>$1,444,871</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4568</td>
<td>Fullerton/Damen/Elion Intersection (Design Row &amp; Construction)</td>
<td>Federal Funding</td>
<td>$12,720,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4568</td>
<td>Fullerton/Damen/Elion Intersection (Design Row &amp; Construction)</td>
<td>State Funding</td>
<td>$3,180,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39458</td>
<td>Guardrail Installation/Maintenance - 2014</td>
<td>General Obligation Bond</td>
<td>$400,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3984</td>
<td>Grand Av Fullerton Av. To Desplaines St. (Engr Only)</td>
<td>State Funding</td>
<td>$2,280,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3984</td>
<td>Grand Av Fullerton Av. To Desplaines St. (Engr Only)</td>
<td>Federal Funding</td>
<td>$800,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3956</td>
<td>Division St -Cleveland To Kennedy Expressway</td>
<td>State Funding</td>
<td>$1,885,400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3956</td>
<td>Division St -Cleveland To Kennedy Expressway</td>
<td>Federal Funding</td>
<td>$7,541,600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4005</td>
<td>Lake St Damen Ave To Ashland Ave</td>
<td>State Funding</td>
<td>$9,600,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4005</td>
<td>Lake St Damen Ave To Ashland Ave</td>
<td>Federal Funding</td>
<td>$2,400,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33331</td>
<td>Milwaukee Ave Diversey Ave To Fullerton Ave</td>
<td>State Funding</td>
<td>$2,400,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33331</td>
<td>Milwaukee Ave Diversey Ave To Fullerton Ave</td>
<td>Federal Funding</td>
<td>$6,400,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33872</td>
<td>Grand Ave. Damen Ave. To Ogden Ave.</td>
<td>TIF</td>
<td>$400,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36106</td>
<td>Wells - Wentworth Improvement</td>
<td>State Funding</td>
<td>$15,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36685</td>
<td>Balmorea Extension Over Manheim Rd. Into Airport</td>
<td>State Funding</td>
<td>$2,114,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38334</td>
<td>Arterial Street Resurfacing #63 - #66 (Construction)</td>
<td>State Funding</td>
<td>$8,455,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38467</td>
<td>Milwaukee Ave. Logan Blvd. To Belmont Ave.</td>
<td>State Funding</td>
<td>$980,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38467</td>
<td>Milwaukee Ave. Logan Blvd. To Belmont Ave.</td>
<td>Federal Funding</td>
<td>$130,459,277</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Transportation - Major Streets

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project ID</th>
<th>2014 Proposed Capital Improvement Program</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>2014</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>33331</td>
<td>Milwaukee Ave Diversey Ave To Fullerton Ave</td>
<td>TIF</td>
<td>$100,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33331</td>
<td>Milwaukee Ave Diversey Ave To Fullerton Ave</td>
<td>Federal Funding</td>
<td>$400,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34647</td>
<td>35TH Ward-Kimball-Diversey-Milwaukee-Lta-TIF</td>
<td>State Funding</td>
<td>$50,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34708</td>
<td>79TH And Coffax Tsm-77 Ward TIF</td>
<td>State Funding</td>
<td>$100,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34708</td>
<td>79TH And Coffax Tsm-77 Ward TIF</td>
<td>TIF</td>
<td>$225,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35329</td>
<td>Commercial &amp; South Chicago-Lef Turn Arrow-10TH Ward TIF</td>
<td>General Obligation Bond</td>
<td>$81,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35386</td>
<td>Western &amp; Montrose-Lta Ward 47-Western Ave North TIF</td>
<td>TIF</td>
<td>$105,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35394</td>
<td>111TH &amp; Campbell - Traffic Signals</td>
<td>TIF</td>
<td>$325,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35395</td>
<td>118TH St. &amp; Western Ave - Traffic Signals</td>
<td>TIF</td>
<td>$325,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35996</td>
<td>Kolmar Ave. And Augusta Blvd. (4545 W. Augusta) - Traffic Signals</td>
<td>TIF</td>
<td>$400,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38145</td>
<td>Ward 13 -67TH/Cicero TIF - New Signal -方案</td>
<td>TIF</td>
<td>$350,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38207</td>
<td>Arterial Detection Systems</td>
<td>State Funding</td>
<td>$35,250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38207</td>
<td>Arterial Detection Systems</td>
<td>Federal Funding</td>
<td>$141,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Transportation - Traffic Signals

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project ID</th>
<th>2014 Proposed Capital Improvement Program</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>2014</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>33331</td>
<td>Milwaukee Ave Diversey Ave To Fullerton Ave</td>
<td>TIF</td>
<td>$100,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33331</td>
<td>Milwaukee Ave Diversey Ave To Fullerton Ave</td>
<td>Federal Funding</td>
<td>$400,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34647</td>
<td>35TH Ward-Kimball-Diversey-Milwaukee-Lta-TIF</td>
<td>State Funding</td>
<td>$50,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34708</td>
<td>79TH And Coffax Tsm-77 Ward TIF</td>
<td>State Funding</td>
<td>$100,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34708</td>
<td>79TH And Coffax Tsm-77 Ward TIF</td>
<td>TIF</td>
<td>$225,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35329</td>
<td>Commercial &amp; South Chicago-Lef Turn Arrow-10TH Ward TIF</td>
<td>General Obligation Bond</td>
<td>$81,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35386</td>
<td>Western &amp; Montrose-Lta Ward 47-Western Ave North TIF</td>
<td>TIF</td>
<td>$105,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35394</td>
<td>111TH &amp; Campbell - Traffic Signals</td>
<td>TIF</td>
<td>$325,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35395</td>
<td>118TH St. &amp; Western Ave - Traffic Signals</td>
<td>TIF</td>
<td>$325,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35996</td>
<td>Kolmar Ave. And Augusta Blvd. (4545 W. Augusta) - Traffic Signals</td>
<td>TIF</td>
<td>$400,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38145</td>
<td>Ward 13 -67TH/Cicero TIF - New Signal -方案</td>
<td>TIF</td>
<td>$350,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38207</td>
<td>Arterial Detection Systems</td>
<td>State Funding</td>
<td>$35,250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38207</td>
<td>Arterial Detection Systems</td>
<td>Federal Funding</td>
<td>$141,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Total Transportation - Bridge Improvements

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project ID</th>
<th>2014 Proposed Capital Improvement Program</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>2014</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>33331</td>
<td>Milwaukee Ave Diversey Ave To Fullerton Ave</td>
<td>TIF</td>
<td>$100,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33331</td>
<td>Milwaukee Ave Diversey Ave To Fullerton Ave</td>
<td>Federal Funding</td>
<td>$400,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34647</td>
<td>35TH Ward-Kimball-Diversey-Milwaukee-Lta-TIF</td>
<td>State Funding</td>
<td>$50,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34708</td>
<td>79TH And Coffax Tsm-77 Ward TIF</td>
<td>State Funding</td>
<td>$100,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34708</td>
<td>79TH And Coffax Tsm-77 Ward TIF</td>
<td>TIF</td>
<td>$225,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35329</td>
<td>Commercial &amp; South Chicago-Lef Turn Arrow-10TH Ward TIF</td>
<td>General Obligation Bond</td>
<td>$81,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35386</td>
<td>Western &amp; Montrose-Lta Ward 47-Western Ave North TIF</td>
<td>TIF</td>
<td>$105,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35394</td>
<td>111TH &amp; Campbell - Traffic Signals</td>
<td>TIF</td>
<td>$325,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35395</td>
<td>118TH St. &amp; Western Ave - Traffic Signals</td>
<td>TIF</td>
<td>$325,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35996</td>
<td>Kolmar Ave. And Augusta Blvd. (4545 W. Augusta) - Traffic Signals</td>
<td>TIF</td>
<td>$400,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38145</td>
<td>Ward 13 -67TH/Cicero TIF - New Signal -方案</td>
<td>TIF</td>
<td>$350,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38207</td>
<td>Arterial Detection Systems</td>
<td>State Funding</td>
<td>$35,250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38207</td>
<td>Arterial Detection Systems</td>
<td>Federal Funding</td>
<td>$141,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Total Transportation - Bridge Improvements

- Transportation - Major Streets
  - Total: $130,459,277
- Transportation - Traffic Signals
  - Total: $3,437,250
- Transportation - Bridge Improvements
  - Total: $52,883,000

Total: $216,883,533
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project ID</th>
<th>2014 Proposed Capital Improvement Program</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>2014</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>38547</td>
<td>Chicago Riverwalk A (Construction) State To LaSalle</td>
<td>TIFIA</td>
<td>$23,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38548</td>
<td>Chicago Riverwalk B (Construction) LaSalle To Lake</td>
<td>TIFIA</td>
<td>$25,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32172</td>
<td>Create Right Of Way Acquisition</td>
<td>Federal Funding</td>
<td>$28,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32172</td>
<td>Create Right Of Way Acquisition</td>
<td>State Funding</td>
<td>$643,200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32551</td>
<td>43rd St Bicycle &amp; Pedestrian Bridge</td>
<td>Federal Funding</td>
<td>$884,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32551</td>
<td>43rd St Bicycle &amp; Pedestrian Bridge</td>
<td>Federal Funding</td>
<td>$6,400,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34320</td>
<td>Central Loop Bus Rapid Transit (Brt) And Union Station Transportation Center</td>
<td>TIF</td>
<td>$8,170,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34320</td>
<td>Central Loop Bus Rapid Transit (Brt) And Union Station Transportation Center</td>
<td>Federal Funding</td>
<td>$18,810,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34320</td>
<td>Central Loop Bus Rapid Transit (Brt) And Union Station Transportation Center</td>
<td>Federal Funding</td>
<td>$4,660,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35768</td>
<td>Walk To Transit - Series I &amp; II</td>
<td>Federal Funding</td>
<td>$900,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35771</td>
<td>Streets For Cycling/Bike 2015</td>
<td>Federal Funding</td>
<td>$6,800,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35771</td>
<td>Streets For Cycling/Bike 2015</td>
<td>State Funding</td>
<td>$10,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36021</td>
<td>Lakefront Trail # 1 - Ogden Slip To Jane Addams Park</td>
<td>State Funding</td>
<td>$10,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36021</td>
<td>Lakefront Trail # 1 - Ogden Slip To Jane Addams Park</td>
<td>Federal Funding</td>
<td>$16,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36038</td>
<td>Stony Island Cycle Track - 69th St To 77th St</td>
<td>State Funding</td>
<td>$693,150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36038</td>
<td>Stony Island Cycle Track - 69th St To 77th St</td>
<td>Federal Funding</td>
<td>$2,772,600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36580</td>
<td>Cermak Road El Cta Green Line Station (Phase I And Phase II)</td>
<td>TIF</td>
<td>$19,500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36663</td>
<td>Washington/Wabash Loop Elevated Station</td>
<td>Federal Funding</td>
<td>$37,500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38276</td>
<td>Arterial Vms Traveler Information - Citywide</td>
<td>State Funding</td>
<td>$285,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38276</td>
<td>Arterial Vms Traveler Information - Citywide</td>
<td>Federal Funding</td>
<td>$1,141,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38466</td>
<td>49th Street (49th Cw/Cn 49th Row) Hamilton St To Wallace St - Bike Trail Improvement</td>
<td>State Funding</td>
<td>$100,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38466</td>
<td>49th Street (49th Cw/Cn 49th Row) Hamilton St To Wallace St - Bike Trail Improvement</td>
<td>Federal Funding</td>
<td>$400,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Total - Transportation - Transit/Bicycles/Pedestrian**: $222,231,750

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project ID</th>
<th>2014 Proposed Capital Improvement Program</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>2014</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2150</td>
<td>Illinois Shoreline Protection - Fullerton Theater On The Lake</td>
<td>Chicago Park District</td>
<td>$10,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2150</td>
<td>Illinois Shoreline Protection - Fullerton Theater On The Lake</td>
<td>General Obligation Bond</td>
<td>$10,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2297</td>
<td>Illinois Shoreline Protection - 54th - 58th Street (Design)</td>
<td>Chicago Park District</td>
<td>$450,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Total - Shoreline**: $20,450,000

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project ID</th>
<th>2014 Proposed Capital Improvement Program</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>2014</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2189</td>
<td>CHA-Stateway Gardens Redevelopment - Streets, Traffic Signal Street Lighting</td>
<td>General Obligation Bond</td>
<td>$2,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36578</td>
<td>CHA - Lathrop (Design)</td>
<td>General Obligation Bond</td>
<td>$700,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Total CHA**: $2,700,000

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project ID</th>
<th>2014 Proposed Capital Improvement Program</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>2014</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>35015</td>
<td>Hazardous Building Clearance - 2014</td>
<td>General Obligation Bond</td>
<td>$10,000,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Menu</th>
<th>2014 Proposed Capital Improvement Program</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>2014</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>36050</td>
<td>Aldermanic Menu Program 2014</td>
<td>General Obligation Bond</td>
<td>$66,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36103</td>
<td>2014 ADA Ramp Program</td>
<td>General Obligation Bond</td>
<td>$12,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36538</td>
<td>Aldermanic Menu 2014 - Engineering And Construction Management</td>
<td>General Obligation Bond</td>
<td>$84,900,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Total Aldermanic Menu**: $621,917,427
Attachments in response to questions 22 and 24
CUTTING SPENDING AND REFORMING GOVERNMENT $417.4 MILLION
- 2011-2012 reforms and department spending reductions
- 517 layoffs and 2,159 vacancy reductions
- Collecting debt and protecting taxpayer resources
- City employee wellness initiative
- TIF reform
- Reforming fee waivers and refuse rebates

REIMBURSEMENT FOR CITY COSTS $32.5 MILLION
- CPS reimbursement for pension costs paid by the City

REVENUE ENHANCEMENTS TO INVEST IN INFRASTRUCTURE AND NEIGHBORHOODS $78.8 MILLION
- Congestion premium for CTA improvements
- Heavy vehicle sticker increase for street repair
- Hotel tax increase
- Fines for criminal activity and neighborhood safety violations
- Valet and loading zone fee adjustments

FINANCING AND INNOVATION $88 MILLION
- Refinancing existing debt and bond reimbursements
- Municipal marketing and sponsorship

MODEST GROWTH IN 2011-2012 REVENUE $39 MILLION

SUBTOTAL $655.7 MILLION

DEPOSIT INTO RESERVE FUND ($20 MILLION)

TOTAL $635.7 MILLION
## 2013 Budget Overview

### Summary of Proposed Budget (continued)

#### Closing the 2013 Budget Gap

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Original Gap</strong></th>
<th><strong>$369,000,000</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2012-2013 Cost Savings</td>
<td>$26,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012-2013 Revenue Growth</td>
<td>$45,000,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Revised Gap</strong></th>
<th><strong>$298,000,000</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cutting Spending and Reforming Government</td>
<td>$67,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Personnel Savings</td>
<td>$45,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Healthcare Savings</td>
<td>$70,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TIF Reform</td>
<td>$10,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improved Debt Collection</td>
<td>$24,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Revenue Growth</td>
<td>$42,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Refinancing Existing Debt and Bond Reimbursements</td>
<td>$40,000,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Balanced Budget</strong></th>
<th><strong>$0</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
## 2014 Budget Overview

### Summary of Proposed Budget (continued)

#### Closing the $338.7 Million Corporate Fund Gap

**Cutting Spending and Reforming Government**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Non-personnel Savings and Reforms</th>
<th>$40.0 Million</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Telecom, IT, equipment, hardware, contract savings, and other non-personnel reductions</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Lease savings</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Waste disposal savings due to recycling</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Personnel Savings and Reforms**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Personnel Savings and Reforms</th>
<th>$26.0 Million</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Rolling hiring freeze and vacancy eliminations</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Healthcare savings</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Improved Fiscal Management**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sweeping Aging Revenue Accounts and Grant Funds</th>
<th>$35.0 Million</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Proper Allocation of Costs to Non-Corporate Funds</th>
<th>$18.7 Million</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Enterprise funds</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Grant funds</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Utilizing Surplus Generated by Fiscal Discipline in 2012 and 2013</th>
<th>$53.4 Million</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TIF Reform – Surplus and Value Capture</th>
<th>$30.3 Million</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Economic Growth & Revenue Enhancements to Invest in Children and Improve City Services**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Economically sensitive and other revenue growth</th>
<th>$101.1 Million</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Growth in economically sensitive revenues</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Children’s Safety Zone revenue to expand and maintain programs for children</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Other Revenue Enhancements</th>
<th>$34.2 Million</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Reduced amusement tax exemption to fund additional cultural events</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Increased cigarette tax to provide vision screening and eyewear to over 45,000 children</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Zoning fee reform to support the shift toward paperless licensing and permitting</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Updated towed vehicle storage fees and select street violation fines</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Total**

$338.7 Million
In connection with the 2014 proposed budget, Alderman Cochran submitted a number of questions and requested additional information regarding the Office of Budget and Management (OBM) as well other City departments. Citywide responses to certain questions were provided in our letter of November 5, 2013. Below are additional Citywide responses, as well as responses to the remainder of the questions posed in Alderman Cochran’s letter to OBM. Questions posed to other departments that are not addressed below or in our letter of November 5, 2013 will be forthcoming.

Citywide Responses

Alderman Cochran submitted the following questions to all or most City departments. In order to provide comprehensive answers as quickly as possible, OBM is providing Citywide answers to these questions. The answers below address all departments, and departments will not be providing individual responses to these questions. Except where otherwise noted, the numbers below reference questions as numbered in Alderman Cochran’s letter to OBM.

Question (#1): Using the original budget ordinance effective on January 1, 2013, for your department, please identify by Fund and Grant (where applicable):

a. list of positions that have become and remain vacant in 2013 year to date;
b. list of positions where there were layoffs in 2013 year to date;
c. list of any of the above positions that are being retained in the proposed 2014 budget;
d. list of additional positions that are being eliminated in proposed 2014 budget;
e. list and explain any other changes in personnel in 2014 proposed budget.

Response:

a. Any count of the number of vacancies is as of a point in time, as exact numbers change as individuals leave City employment and as positions are filled. We are working on developing a report that will provide a comprehensive view of 2013 vacant positions and can provide this once available.

b. Please see attached. This list represents all employees laid off YTD in 2013. While other positions were eliminated, no additional layoffs resulted, as employees either retired or moved into comparable positions.

c. All positions not listed in response to d, below, are retained in the 2014 budget proposal.

d. Please see attached.

e. Departments may submit answers to this question on an individual basis as appropriate.

Question (#3): Please provide a list of Shakman positions in your Department’s budget for 2013 and projected budget for 2014. Identify which positions are currently vacant.

Response: Please see attached.


Response: The requested information is too voluminous to attach. All overtime and supplemental earnings data for 2012 and 2013 is available through the City of Chicago Data Portal (data.cityofchicago.org). The dataset includes employee name, title, department and month in which overtime was earned. A summary of overtime appropriations under the 2014 Budget Recommendation is attached.

Question (#19): For all city departments including the Mayor’s Office, City Council, Finance General, and across all funds, Breakdown of accounts 0010, 0039, 0050, 0070, 0123, 0125, 0135, 0137, 0138, 0140, 0141, 0142, 0143, 0144, 0145, 0147, 0148, 0149, 0150, 0151, 0152, 0153, 0154, 0155, 0157, 0158, 0159, 0160, 0161, 0162, 0164, 0165, 0166, 0168, 0169, 0172, 00176, 0177, 0178, 0181, 0183, 0185, 0186, 0229, 0240, 0245, 0270, 0313, 0314, 0315, 0320, 0325, 0338, 0340, 0342, 0345, 0346, 0348, 0350, 0360, 0365, 0366, 0402, 0410, 0422, 0424, 0440, 0445, 0446, 0450, 0904, 0937, 0945, 0989, 0991, 0931, 0936, 9002, 9011, 9018, 9025,
9037, 9053, 9065 9067, 9103, 9106, 9163 and 9651 in 2013 YTD, projected 2013 year-end, and projected 2014. Include contractor, amount and description of purchase. Identify WBE and MBE contractors.

Response: Please see attached, which provides a breakdown of all budgeted accounts for the 2013 budget and 2014 proposed budget for all departments as well as the Mayor’s Office, City Council, and Finance General. 2013 year-end projections are not available on an account-by-account basis, but projections by category of expense, and an explanation of the assumptions underlying these projections, can be found in the forecast section of the 2013 Annual Financial Analysis, at pages 47-57.

A list of all contractors and their MBE/WBE status is provided on the City’s website at: https://chicago.mwdb.com/FrontEnd/VendorSearchPublic.asp?TN=chicago. Because of the volume of purchases made in these accounts each year, providing the amount and description of each of these purchases would require the printing and delivery of boxes and boxes of records. If there is a particular vendor or item on which you would like additional information, please let us know and we will provide that specific information.

The accounts requested in Alderman Cochran’s letters varied by department. Individual departments may respond to this question in more detail, depending on the size of the department and the accounts requested. For larger departments or departments that have numerous contracts, the foregoing applies. Additional information on OBM’s contracts is attached.

Question (#20 for DHR, #21 for FFM, #17 for CDOT, #12 for DWM; not posed to OBM): A list of all positions for which union membership or union sponsorship is required for employment with the City of Chicago.

Response: Union membership or sponsorship is not a requirement for employment with the City of Chicago. However, job titles on the attached list are covered by a collective bargaining agreement. Applicants who accept these positions become members of the applicable bargaining unit after they accept the job. Current City employees who are members of the specific bargaining unit associated with a position are always given first preference over non bargaining unit members. If no eligible bidders exist, positions are offered to those who are non-bargaining unit members. Union members who are not City employees are never given any preference over non-union members.

Responses to Questions Posed Only to OBM

Question (#7): Equipment note with breakdown of equipment, vendor and cost for 2013 and 2014 projected. Include purpose, contractor and amount for each expenditure.

Response: Please see attached regarding 2013. The City’s general obligation bonds are the source for the capital project program and the equipment note program. The value of the bond program for 2014 has not been determined. Market conditions and Chicago’s bond rating will impact the amount of borrowing the City will undertake in the future years.
Question (#8): List of positions being eliminated in 2014 budget by department and code including previously allocated salary for each. Identify any of these that were vacant positions. New positions created in 2014 budget recommendation by department and code for all funds. Include allocated salary for each. What is the total number of budgeted position in budget 2014 (all funds)?

Response: Please see attached, which outlines any variances in positions and salaries between 2013 and 2014. In addition, a summary of total budgeted positions by fund under the 2014 proposed budget can be found in the 2014 Overview book at pages 21 and 167-170. Vacancy information is provided in response to Alderman Cochran’s first question above.

Question (#10): What is being reimbursed to Corporate Fund out of account 9611 in General Finance from each enterprise fund? (i.e. salaries, benefits, contracts, supplies, etc.) Please be specific for each fund including the actual salaried positions, contractors and amounts.

Response: Account 9611 only appears in Finance General for Fund 200 and is for City services chargeable to the water fund that are not budgeted directly in the water fund. The projected amount is based on the most recent Full Cost Allocation Plan prepared by Maximus (an outside firm). The Full Cost Allocation Plan summarizes the costs allocated to each fund by cost center and provides detailed information related to salaries, benefits, building costs, supplies etc. The full report is over 1,000 pages.

Question (#11): Total # of vehicles towed and disposed of and the revenue generated from that since October 1, 2012.

Response: This question was also posed to the Department of Streets and Sanitation (as items #7 and 8 in their letter from Alderman Cochran), and a response will be forthcoming.


Response: Please see attached for 2012 and 2013. As discussed above, the City’s general obligation bonds are the source for the capital project program and the equipment note program. The value of the bond program for 2014 has not been determined. Market conditions and Chicago’s bond rating will impact the amount of borrowing the City will undertake in the future years.

Question (#14): List of all land sales (address and revenue) in 2013 and 2013 YTD. What is the projection of land sales for 2014 and what is it based on? Please identify what fund these proceeds go into.

Response: Please see attached.
Question (#17): What departments use temporary employment services? What positions do they hire using what employment services companies and out of what line item?

Response: All requests to use temporary employment services must be approved in advance by the Department of Human Resources and the Office of Budget and Management. DHR publishes requests approved by DHR in a quarterly report posted on its website at:


Question (#20): Which, if any, organizations have been impacted by our charitable exemption cap? If they are impacted are they removed from being eligible for a waiver or is the amount that they can receive capped? How much has each of the affected organizations paid the city for services they were originally exempted from paying? And how does that compare to the assumption that this cap would generate approximately $900,000 in its first year of operation?

Response: Applications for the not-for-profit water exemption will be mailed out in late November. The anticipated impact of the exemption on individual organizations or on aggregate City water revenues will not be known until applications are received and processed by the Department of Finance in early 2014.

Question (#21): Run of 0140 account for all funds and all departments.

Response: This information is included in the response to #19 above.

Question (#23): What are the City’s revenue projections resulting from the private tow contract? Where is this income reflected in the budget? Please provide me with the information for 2012, 2013 and 2014 as projected.

Response: Please see attached, outlining actual revenues and projected revenues, as appropriate, for 2012, 2013, and 2014. These revenues are budgeted in both the corporate fund and the vehicle tax fund (Fund 300). In the corporate fund, these amounts are included in Fines, Forfeitures, and Penalties revenue line. In the vehicle tax fund, these amounts are included in the Towing revenue line.
MEMORANDUM

TO: The Honorable Carrie Austin
   Chairman, Committee on Budget and Government Operations

FROM: Alexandra Holt
       Budget Director
       Office of Budget and Management

DATE: November 5, 2013

RE: Request for Information

CC: Maria Guerra, Mayor’s Office of Legislative Counsel and Government Affairs

Ref ID: 5-1-Vacancies

During our recent hearing to discuss the 2014 proposed budget, Aldermen Pope and Mitts requested additional information regarding which departments eliminated vacancies. Below is the list of departments from which vacancies were eliminated as part of the 2014 budget:

Aviation      Business Affairs and Consumer Protection
Innovation and Technology Family and Support Services
Finance      Fire
Human Resources Innovation and Technology
Inspector General Mayor’s Office for People with Disabilities
Office of Emergency Management Planning and Development
 and Communications Police
Public Health Transportation
Water Management

As indicated to City Council, approximately 78 vacancies were eliminated focusing on positions that have been vacant for some time and are not frontline positions necessary for the delivery of services.
MEMORANDUM

TO: The Honorable Carrie Austin
   Chairman, Committee on Budget and Government Operations

FROM: Alexandra Holt
       Budget Director
       Office of Budget and Management

DATE: October 29, 2013

RE: Request for Information

CC: Maria Guerra, Mayor’s Office of Legislative Counsel and Government Affairs

Ref ID: 5-2-Additional DSS Funding

During the recent hearing to discuss the 2014 proposed budget, various Aldermen requested a specific breakdown of the $3.9 million in additional funding for DSS and how much of the money will be for additional hires, the number of trees that will be trimmed, and the number of hours of additional graffiti work.

A breakdown of the additional $3.9 million added to the DSS budget under the Mayor’s 2014 budget recommendation is detailed below:

- $1.8 million in additional funding for tree planting and the Emerald Ash Borer program, of which, $1 million is budgeted in the Corporate Fund-Finance General and $800,000 is in the DSS CDBG budget.
- $500,000 in additional tree removal resources in the CDBG budget that will be used for hiring one (1) additional tree removal crew and provide for stump removal services. The additional resources will allow DSS to remove more than 10,400 trees in 2014.
- $900,000 in additional tree trimming resources in the DSS Corporate Fund budget for hiring five (5) additional tree trimming crews. The additional resources will allow DSS to trim more than 55,000 trees in 2014.
- $600,000 in additional graffiti resources in the CDBG budget that will allow DSS to hire two (2) additional graffiti removal crews and one (1) additional graffiti painting crew. The additional crews will allow DSS to complete more than 147,000 graffiti service requests in 2014.
- $160,000 in additional rodent control resources in the CDBG budget that will allow DSS to hire one (1) additional baiting crew and address more than 25,000 Preventative Baiting service requests in 2014.
During our recent hearing to discuss the 2014 proposed budget, Alderman Dowell requested additional information on the average number of vehicles towed per year and the average length of time vehicles are impounded at City auto pounds.

During the past four full calendar years, 2009 through 2012, an average of 96,433 vehicles were towed to City auto pounds. Through September 2013, a total of 68,941 vehicles have been towed to City auto pounds.

Concerning the average length of time vehicles are impounded, for the Central and O’Hare Auto Pounds, approximately 97 percent and 82 percent of vehicles are redeemed within the first two (2) days of being impounded, respectively. For Auto Pounds #2 and #6, the average lengths of vehicle impoundment are seven (7) and six (6) days, respectively.

The remaining auto ponds do not store cars towed for towing violations. Auto Pound #3 stores abandoned vehicles. Auto Pound #4 stores vehicles for crime scene investigations, which are moved to Auto Pound #1 once investigations are complete. Auto Pound #5 has been closed.
MEMORANDUM

TO:   The Honorable Carrie Austin  
      Chairman, Committee on Budget and Government Operations

FROM: Alexandra Holt  
      Budget Director  
      Office of Budget and Management

DATE: November 5, 2013

RE:   Request for Information

CC:   Maria Guerra, Mayor’s Office of Legislative Counsel and Government Affairs

Ref ID: 5-4 Sign Shop

During our recent hearing to discuss the 2014 proposed budget, Alderman Reilly requested additional information regarding the number of employees in the sign shop.

The 2014 budget recommendation includes 2 additional positions in the CDOT sign shop bringing the sign shop’s 2014 total FTE count to 35. We are working with CDOT to determine the appropriate level of staffing for the sign shop and the 2014 budget includes an open line that will allow for additional hiring as necessary.
During our budget hearing to discuss the 2014 proposed budget, Alderman Patrick O’Connor requested additional information regarding the use of part-time or seasonal employees for sign hangers.

CDOT does not use seasonal or part-time sign hangers as the nature of the work is year-round. In the 2014 proposed budget, two new full-time positions for sign hangers were added to ensure that the division had sufficient sign-hangers to accommodate the current workload. OBM will work with CDOT to monitor the needs of this division, including the need for additional personnel.
MEMORANDUM

TO: The Honorable Carrie Austin
Chairman, Committee on Budget and Government Operations

FROM: Alexandra Holt
Budget Director
Office of Budget and Management

DATE: November 5, 2013

RE: Request for Information

CC: Maria Guerra, Mayor’s Office of Legislative Counsel and Government Affairs

Ref ID: 5 - 7 – TIF Projections

During the recent hearing to discuss the 2014 proposed budget, Alderman Solis requested the TIF projection report that shows revenue projections for the next 5 years.

Because the 2013 – 2017 Projection Report is 171 pages long, it is not attached here, but it is available on the City’s website at:

During our recent hearing to discuss the 2014 proposed budget, various Aldermen requested additional information regarding the TIF surplus.

The 2014 budget proposal includes a TIF surplus of $49,985,310. This surplus comes from a combination of expirations, terminations, and the annual surplus declaration. The City will receive approximately 20% of the surplus and CPS will receive approximately 50%.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Surplus Type</th>
<th>Number of TIFs</th>
<th>Total Surplus Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Annual</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>$39,135,310</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expiration</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>$10,300,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Termination</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$550,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>37</strong></td>
<td><strong>$49,985,310</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Two TIFs – Near West and Stockyards Industrial Commercial - are set to expire on December 31, 2013. In addition, the City proposes to terminate the 89th/State TIF which was created for a residential development project called Chatham Club. The project is complete and all obligations associated with it have been paid off.
Below is the breakdown by TIF of the annual declared surplus.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TIF Name</th>
<th>Surplus Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>49th St Lawrence</td>
<td>$140,920</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>73rd University</td>
<td>$105,200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>79th Southwest Hwy</td>
<td>$738,600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>79th Street Corridor</td>
<td>$376,410</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>79th Vincennes</td>
<td>$113,080</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95th Stony Island</td>
<td>$55,090</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Addison Corridor North</td>
<td>$8,200,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Addison South</td>
<td>$850,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Archer Central</td>
<td>$54,200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Archer Courts</td>
<td>$33,880</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Belmont Cicero</td>
<td>$45,210</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bryn Mawr Broadway</td>
<td>$56,950</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chicago Kingsbury</td>
<td>$3,624,480</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cicero Archer</td>
<td>$386,730</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clark Montrose</td>
<td>$143,740</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clark Ridge</td>
<td>$92,980</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commercial Avenue</td>
<td>$1,692,900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Division Homan</td>
<td>$275,080</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goose Island</td>
<td>$54,390</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greater SW Ind. Corr. West</td>
<td>$692,640</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jefferson Park</td>
<td>$132,840</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jefferson Roosevelt</td>
<td>$5,791,700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lawrence Broadway</td>
<td>$658,520</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Branch South</td>
<td>$3,166,160</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pilsen Ind. Corr.</td>
<td>$73,170</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Portage Park</td>
<td>$2,075,280</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ravenswood Corridor</td>
<td>$250,860</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Read Dunning</td>
<td>$102,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>River West</td>
<td>$5,495,900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roosevelt Cicero</td>
<td>$1,702,020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roosevelt Homan</td>
<td>$231,300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roosevelt Racine</td>
<td>$62,780</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Chicago</td>
<td>$188,200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stockyards SEQ</td>
<td>$1,472,100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>$39,135,310</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
During our recent hearing to discuss the 2014 proposed budget, Alderman Hairston requested additional information regarding revenue from the proposed fine increase for parking within 15” of a fire hydrant.

The current fine for this violation is $100 and the proposed fine in the 2014 budget recommendation is $110. The increase in this fine is projected to generate an additional $400,000 in revenue for total annual revenue of $4.2 million. Increasing the fine to $150 would generate approximately $1.9 million in additional revenues per year for total annual revenue of $5.8 million.
MEMORANDUM

TO: The Honorable Carrie Austin  
Chairman, Committee on Budget and Government Operations

FROM: Alexandra Holt  
Budget Director  
Office of Budget and Management

DATE: November 5, 2013

RE: Request for Information

CC: Maria Guerra, Mayor’s Office of Legislative Counsel and Government Affairs

Ref ID: 5-18-Family Net Centers

During the recent hearing to discuss the 2014 proposed budget, Alderman Cullerton and Alderman Thompson asked where Family Net Centers are currently located.

Family Net Centers currently operate in the Auburn Gresham, Chicago Lawn, Englewood, Humboldt Park, and Pilsen neighborhoods and serve as digital skills training hubs for 5,000 residents each year. These centers help residents develop technology skills including using email, online banking, common business and productivity applications, and online research tools, as well as accessing government services. The existing centers have connected over 32,000 residents to the internet, helped nearly 600 individuals find jobs, and trained 1,000 youth in technology skills.

In 2014 the City will more than double the number of residents served by adding seven new Family Net Centers, through a partnership with AmeriCorps and LISC and by investing $150,000 in City funds. The new centers will be located in the Little Village, Logan Square, Back of the Yards, Ravenswood, North Lawndale, Woodlawn, and Washington Park neighborhoods. Each new center is capable of serving roughly 1,000 residents each year.
LISC Center for Working Families Sites with Family Net Centers

1. Chicago Commons
   Humboldt Park
   773-828-0739 • chicagocommons.org
   3441 W. Chicago Ave.

2. Central States SER
   Little Village
   773-542-9030
   centraalstatesser.org
   3948 W. 28th St., Suite 213

   Southwest REACH Center
   West Lawn
   773-795-6727
   greatersouthwest.org
   6155 S. Pulaski Ave., 2nd Fl

4. Humboldt Park Social Services
   Center for Changing Lives
   • Logan Square
     773-342-6210 • hpschangilives.org
     3051 W. Armitage Ave.

5. Instituto del Progreso Latino
   Back of the Yards
   773-927-7712 • idp.org
   4600 S. Wood St.

6. Instituto del Progreso Latino
   Pilsen
   773-890-0055 • idp.org
   2570 S. Blue Island

7. Metropolitan Family Services
   63rd Street Corridor CWF
   • East Englewood/Woodlawn and Washington Park
     773-487-3723 • metrofamily.org
     63rd St. & Halsted, entrance on Halsted

8. Jane Addams Resource Corporation
   Citywide
   773-728-9769 • jane-addams.org
   4432 N. Ravenswood Ave.

9. North Lawndale Employment Network
   North Lawndale
   773-638-1813 • nlen.org
   3726 W. Flournoy

10. Quad Communities CWF (powered by the Cara Program)
    Quad Communities
    773-924-2205 • quadcommunityscwf.org
    4655 S. King Dr., 2nd Floor

11. St. Sabina Employment Resource Center
    Auburn Gresham
    773-783-3760 • saintsabina.org
    7909 S. Racine

12. Metropolitan Family Services
    Woodlawn Resource Center
    Woodlawn
    773-891-4781 • metrofamily.org
    830 E. 63rd St.
MEMORANDUM

TO: The Honorable Carrie Austin
   Chairman, Committee on Budget and Government Operations

FROM: Alexandra Holt
       Budget Director
       Office of Budget and Management

DATE: November 8, 2013

RE: Request for Information

CC: Maria Guerra, Mayor’s Office of Legislative Counsel and Government Affairs

Ref ID: 05 - 22 Federal Assistance

During our recent hearing to discuss the 2014 proposed budget, Alderman Harris requested additional information regarding the anticipated reduction in federal funding.

COMPARISON TABLE FOR FEDERAL GRANTS 2013-2014

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DEPARTMENT</th>
<th>2013 Federal</th>
<th>2014 Federal</th>
<th>Difference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mayor's Office</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$246,000</td>
<td>$246,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Office of Budget and Management</td>
<td>$1,903,345</td>
<td>$2,177,217</td>
<td>$273,872</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Department of Information and Technology</td>
<td>$1,184,549</td>
<td>$757,867</td>
<td>($426,682)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Department of Revenue &amp; Finance</td>
<td>$304,489</td>
<td>$306,898</td>
<td>$2,409</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Department of Law</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fleet and Facility Management</td>
<td>$7,800,000</td>
<td>$2,599,000</td>
<td>($5,201,000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Department of Cultural Affairs/SE</td>
<td>$580,000</td>
<td>$483,000</td>
<td>($97,000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Department of Planning and Development</td>
<td>$109,768,000</td>
<td>$62,726,000</td>
<td>($47,042,000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Department of Public Health</td>
<td>$106,863,451</td>
<td>$96,131,133</td>
<td>($10,732,318)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commission on Human Relations</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mayor's Office for People with Disabilities</td>
<td>$670,000</td>
<td>$446,000</td>
<td>($224,000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Department of Family and Support Services</td>
<td>$210,775,511</td>
<td>$195,843,102</td>
<td>($14,932,409)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Department</td>
<td>2012</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>Change</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>---------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chicago Public Library</td>
<td>$851,000</td>
<td>$854,000</td>
<td>$3,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Department of Police</td>
<td>$67,486,000</td>
<td>$52,417,000</td>
<td>($15,069,000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Office of Emergency Management and Communication</td>
<td>$144,677,655</td>
<td>$116,281,783</td>
<td>($28,395,872)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chicago Fire Department</td>
<td>$3,894,000</td>
<td>$7,607,000</td>
<td>$3,713,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Department of Building</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business Affairs and Consumer Protection</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Department of Animal Control</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chicago Department of Streets and Sanitation</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chicago Department of Transportation</td>
<td>$211,890,000</td>
<td>$452,724,000</td>
<td>$240,834,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Department of Water Management</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Department of Aviation</td>
<td>$417,663,000</td>
<td>$395,863,000</td>
<td>($21,800,000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CDBG Grant Funds</td>
<td>$73,835,000</td>
<td>$73,835,000</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$1,360,146,000 $1,461,298,000 $100,906,000
During our recent hearing to discuss the 2014 proposed budget, Alderman Cullerton requested a breakdown of mobile vs. landline telecommunication tax revenue.

Annually, approximately 65% or $65 million is collected from wireless lines and 35% or $35 million is collected from landlines. This proportion has changed over time as people migrated to mobile phones and increasingly disconnect their landlines.
MEMORANDUM

TO: The Honorable Carrie Austin
Chairman, Committee on Budget and Government Operations

FROM: Alexandra Holt
Budget Director
Office of Budget and Management

DATE: November 5, 2013

RE: Request for Information

CC: Maria Guerra, Mayor’s Office of Legislative Counsel and Government Affairs

Ref ID: 5-29-Early Childhood Education

During the recent hearing to discuss the 2014 proposed budget, Alderman Reboyras requested additional information about the various programs funded as part of the early childhood education investment.

This targeted investment totals $36 million across three years. In 2014, the budget includes an $11 million investment in access to early learning programs, specifically including funding for:

- **New Early Learning Center:** New center will offer high-quality pre-K for 3-5-year-olds; early education and care for 0-3-year-olds; and wraparound support to children and families in Back of the Yards, Englewood, and surrounding communities.

- **New Full-Day Pre-K in Schools:** Additional capacity at school-based pre-K programs for 3-5-year-olds.

- **New Services for Babies and Toddlers:** Additional capacity at center-based and home-visit programs for 0-3-year-olds.

- **Additional Wraparound Supports and Quality Improvement Efforts:** Such efforts may include the Walking Preschool Bus Program to involve parents in walking groups of children to and from pre-K programs and/or targeted outreach to encourage hard-to-reach families to enroll in programs and attend pre-K programs on time.
During our recent hearing to discuss the 2014 proposed budget, Alderman Dowell requested information regarding the number of cable subscribers by area of the city.

There are approximately 450,000 cable customers in Chicago divided among five cable franchise areas. We do not have information regarding the distribution of these customers by ward; however, the approximate number of customers by cable franchise area is as follows:

a. Area 1 is served by RCN and Comcast and there are approximately 136,000 subscribers.

b. Area 2 is served by RCN and Comcast and there are approximately 99,000 subscribers.

c. Area 3 is served by Comcast and there are approximately 68,000 subscribers.

d. Area 4 is served by Comcast and there are approximately 61,000 subscribers.

e. Area 5 is served by WOW and Comcast and there are approximately 78,000 subscribers.

Appendix A to Chapter 4-280 of Municipal Code of Chicago provides the geographical boundaries of each of these five cable franchise areas. In addition to the above there are approximately 60,000 subscribers served by AT&T UVerse.
During the recent hearing to discuss the 2014 proposed budget, Alderman Tunney requested information on the amount paid out year-to-date in 2013 for settlements and judgments against the City and the amount budgeted for these costs in 2014, as well as reference to where this information can be found in the 2014 Budget Recommendation.

Through September 30, 2013, $127.6M has been paid out for settlements and judgments against the City. As explained on page 24 of the 2013 Annual Financial Analysis, each year, the City uses both local fund resources and bond proceeds to pay for expenses incurred in connection with claims and judgments against the City.

Across all funds, a total of $32,948,888 is allocated for legal expenses including attorney’s fees in 2014. These expenses are budgeted in line 0931.
During the recent hearing to discuss the 2014 proposed budget, Alderman Tunney requested information on the amounts remaining in the City’s Skyway and parking meter reserve funds and the interest earned on these funds.

Attached please find an excerpt from the 2013 Annual Financial Analysis, outlining the historic uses of these funds and the 2013 balance in each fund.

The Office of the City Treasurer manages investments related to Skyway and parking meter reserve funds. The current blended rate for these reserve investments is 2.07 percent. As set forth in ordinance, interest on these reserve funds is transferred to the corporate fund each year. It is anticipated that $12 million in interest on Skyway reserve funds and $5.3 million in interest on parking meter reserve funds will be transferred into the corporate fund in 2014.
Annual Financial Analysis
2013

Long-Term Asset
Lease And Reserve Funds
INTRODUCTION

Reserves, commonly referred to as ‘rainy day funds’, are funds that the City sets aside as an economic safety net to mitigate current and future risks such as unexpected contingencies, emergencies, or revenue shortfalls. These funds are not included in the City’s annual operating budget.

The City maintains a number of separate reserve funds – a water rate stabilization fund, a sewer rate stabilization fund, and a series of reserve funds established in connection with the long-term lease of City assets. The asset lease reserve funds function as the City’s general, or corporate fund, reserves. This section discusses the City’s various reserve funds, as well as the use of proceeds from the City’s long-term asset leases. The use of these asset lease funds to subsidize the City’s operating budget is discussed in greater detail in the Financial History Review section of this document.

WATER AND SEWER RATE STABILIZATION FUNDS

The City’s water fund and sewer fund both maintain rate stabilization funds. These funds are reserved to ensure that the City’s water and sewer systems would remain financially solvent in the case of a catastrophic event, in which case the funds would be used to finance operations and make necessary repairs for a short period. A decision is made each year regarding the amount that will be deposited into the rate stabilization funds based on the resources available and the appropriate level of reserves for the water and sewer funds.

The balance of the water rate stabilization fund was relatively constant, at just over $50 million, from 2003 through 2009. In 2010, approximately $10 million was deposited into the fund to bring its balance to just over $60 million, and the fund remained at this level through 2011. In 2012, $13.5 million was deposited into the fund to bring its balance to approximately $75 million.

The balance of the sewer rate stabilization fund steadily increased over time. In 2003, the balance of the fund was approximately $8 million. By 2010, the balance had increased to over $25 million, and the fund remained at that level through 2011. Following the 2012 deposit, this fund’s balance was approximately $31 million.

ASSET LEASE RESERVES

Midway Airport Security Funds

In 2008, the City entered into an agreement with a private company for the long-term lease of Midway Airport. The private company failed to consummate the transaction and surrendered its $126.1 million security deposit to the City in 2009. $13.1 million of this amount was used to pay various fees associated with the proposed lease transaction, $33 million was used to pay off existing debt, and $40 million was transferred to the corporate fund for use in 2009. The remaining $40 million was transferred to the corporate fund in two $20 million transfers, one in 2010 and the second in 2011.

Skyway and Parking Meter Lease Funds

In 2005, the City entered into a 99-year lease of the Chicago Skyway, under which a private company was granted the right to operate and collect tolls from the Skyway. In return, the City received an upfront payment of $1.83 billion. Approximately $850 million of this amount was used to pay off existing debt, including $446.3 million to refund the Skyway bonds outstanding at the time of the transaction. In 2009, the City entered into a 75-year lease of its metered parking system, under which a private company was granted the right to operate and collect revenue from the parking meter system and the City received an upfront payment of $1.15 billion. Both of these transactions resulted in the establishment of a long-term reserve fund, a mid-term reserve fund, and a human infrastructure fund. An additional “budget stabilization” fund was established in connection with the parking meter lease transaction.

Long-Term Reserves

The City established a $500 million long-term reserve with a portion of the proceeds of the Chicago Skyway lease. The principal of this reserve fund was intended to supplement corporate fund reserves, with interest earnings to be used for City operating expenses. These funds have been utilized as planned - the principal balance remains $500 million.

21 In legal terms, the City’s parking meter agreement is a concession and not a lease; however, for ease of reference the term lease is used in this document for both the Skyway and parking meter agreements.
and the earned interest has been transferred to the corporate fund each year, with the dollar amount of the transfer reflecting variations in interest rates.

The City established a $400 million long-term reserve with the proceeds of the parking meter lease. This fund was created to replace revenues that would have been generated from parking meters by transferring interest earnings on the fund to the corporate fund, with the principal remaining intact at $400 million. The fund was initially intended to generate $20 million each year based on a 5 percent interest rate earnings assumption. However, starting in 2009, the City began utilizing these long-term reserve funds to subsidize the City’s operating budget. In 2009, $20 million was transferred to the corporate fund, and $160 million was used for City operating expenses in 2010. The 2011 budget included a $140 million transfer from this fund for operating purposes. Utilizing these funds reduced the principal balance substantially below the initial deposit and accordingly reduced the interest earnings generated by the fund. The original ordinance establishing the fund directed that an annual transfer of $20 million be made from the fund into the corporate fund to replace lost meter revenue. However, in order to maintain these important reserves, the City amended the ordinance in 2012 to state that only interest generated from the fund, and not principal, may be used for this purpose. In addition, the City began to rebuild these reserves with a $20 million deposit into the fund in 2012 and a $15 million deposit budgeted in 2013.

**Mid-Term Reserves and Budget Stabilization Fund**

The City also established mid-term reserve funds of $375 million and $325 million, respectively, with proceeds from the Skyway and parking meter leases. Both of these funds were created to supplement corporate fund revenues. The Skyway mid-term reserve fund has been drawn upon as scheduled, with the principal depleted in 2010 and the approximately $50 million in accumulated interest transferred from this

---

**ASSET LEASE FUND BALANCES**

|$\text{ Millions}$

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Midway Security</td>
<td>$126</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Skyway Mid-Term</td>
<td>$375</td>
<td>$275</td>
<td>$225</td>
<td>$150</td>
<td>$100</td>
<td>$50</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Skyway Long-Term</td>
<td>$500</td>
<td>$500</td>
<td>$500</td>
<td>$500</td>
<td>$500</td>
<td>$500</td>
<td>$500</td>
<td>$500</td>
<td>$500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Skyway Human Infrastructure</td>
<td>$100</td>
<td>$66</td>
<td>$41</td>
<td>$22</td>
<td>$7</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM Mid-Term</td>
<td>$325</td>
<td></td>
<td>$66</td>
<td>$41</td>
<td>$22</td>
<td>$7</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM Long-Term</td>
<td>$400</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$380</td>
<td>$220</td>
<td>$80</td>
<td>$100</td>
<td>$115</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM Human Infrastructure</td>
<td>$100</td>
<td></td>
<td>$100</td>
<td>$76</td>
<td>$35</td>
<td>$23</td>
<td>$13</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM Budget Stabilization</td>
<td>$326</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$101</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>$2,252</td>
<td>$841</td>
<td>$766</td>
<td>$672</td>
<td>$607</td>
<td>$1,346</td>
<td>$891</td>
<td>$615</td>
<td>$628</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

22 The amounts in these charts represent the principal of the respective funds; interest is not included in either the fund balance or the transferred amounts.
TRANSFERS TO CORPORATE FUND\textsuperscript{22, 23}

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline
\hline
Midway Security & $0 & $0 & $0 & $0 & $86 & $20 & $20 & $0 & $0 \\
Skyway Mid-Term & $100 & $50 & $75 & $50 & $50 & $50 & $0 & $0 & $0 \\
Skyway Long-Term & $0 & $0 & $0 & $0 & $0 & $0 & $0 & $0 & $0 \\
Skyway Human Infrastructure & $34 & $25 & $19 & $15 & $7 & $0 & $0 & $0 & $0 \\
PM Mid-Term & $0 & $0 & $0 & $0 & $150 & $100 & $75 & $0 & $0 \\
PM Long-Term & $0 & $0 & $0 & $0 & $20 & $160 & $140 & $0 & $0 \\
PM Human Infrastructure & $0 & $0 & $0 & $0 & $0 & $24 & $41 & $12 & $10 \\
PM Budget Stabilization & $0 & $0 & $0 & $0 & $225 & $101 & $0 & $0 & $0 \\
Total & $134 & $75 & $94 & $65 & $538 & $455 & $276 & $12 & $10 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

The transfers presented in this chart include amounts utilized to cover transaction costs for the respective lease. The amount transferred from the Skyway mid-term reserve fund in 2005 includes $50 million transferred upon the closing of the transaction in 2004. In 2009, $50 million was transferred from parking meter mid-term reserve fund directly into the corporate fund; an additional $100 million was used to redeem commercial paper that the City issued in December of 2008 to advance the proceeds of the parking meter lease transaction. Amounts transferred from the human infrastructure funds include amounts transferred directly to delegate agencies providing services.

fund to the corporate fund in 2011. The parking meter mid-term reserve fund has been drawn on an accelerated schedule and was also fully spent at the end of 2011. The ordinance establishing the parking meter mid-term reserve fund set forth the intention to utilize $150 million of these funds in 2009, $50 million in 2010, $50 million in 2011, and $100 million in 2012. However, $150 million was used in 2009, $100 million was used in 2010, and the remaining principal balance of $75 million, together with any interest generated on the fund, was transferred to the corporate fund in 2011.

The parking meter budget stabilization fund was established to assist the City in weathering the national economic downturn occurring at the time of the closing of the parking meter lease transaction. $326.4 million was initially deposited into the fund and the principal was fully utilized by the end of 2010. A small amount (approximately $600,000) of interest remained in the fund and was transferred to the parking meter long term reserve fund in 2012.

\textbf{Human Infrastructure Reserve Funds}

The City set aside $100 million of the proceeds from each of the Skyway and the parking meter lease transactions to be used to fund programs to improve the quality of life in Chicago neighborhoods. The principal of the Skyway human infrastructure fund was fully utilized by the end of 2009, and the remaining interest in the fund was utilized in 2011. The principal balance of the parking meter human infrastructure fund as of year-end 2012 was approximately $23 million, $10.2 million of which is budgeted for human infrastructure programs in 2013.

Proceeds from these funds have been used for a variety of programs aimed at providing resources to the City's
businesses, homeowners, and residents most in need, including:

- Training and employing currently unemployed Chicagoans in technology sector jobs;
- Providing home-delivered meals to senior citizens;
- Enabling the continued development of multi-family affordable housing;
- Offering rent and home-heating subsidies to low income families;
- Funding after-school and summer educational, recreational, and job-training programs for youth;
- Increasing access to capital and other resources for small businesses;
- Maintaining shelter, food, and supportive services for the City's homeless, seniors, and at-risk populations.

**Asset Lease Funds Going Forward**

At the end of 2012, the aggregate principal balance in the City's asset lease reserve funds was approximately $628 million. The majority of this amount is the $500 million in the Skyway long-term reserve fund, with an additional $13 million in the parking meter human infrastructure fund and $115 million in the parking meter long-term reserve fund.

The 2012 budget phased out the practice of transferring principal from these reserves to subsidize the City's operating budget. Only the interest earned on the long-term reserve funds will be transferred to the corporate fund on a going-forward basis. In addition, as discussed above, the City has begun to rebuild these funds by depositing $20 million into the City's long-term reserves in 2012, with an additional $15 million deposit planned for 2013.
MEMORANDUM

TO:       The Honorable Carrie Austin  
           Chairman, Committee on Budget and Government Operations

FROM:    Alexandra Holt  
           Budget Director  
           Office of Budget and Management

DATE:    November 5, 2013

RE:   Request for Information

CC:    Maria Guerra, Mayor’s Office of Legislative Counsel and Government Affairs

Ref ID:  5-39-Employee Wellness Program

During the recent hearing to discuss the 2014 proposed budget, Aldermen Laurino requested information on the City’s employee wellness program, Chicago Lives Healthy, including enrollment numbers and payments made to the vendor to-date.

General Information: In order to contain healthcare costs and improve the overall health and well-being of its workforce, the City implemented a Citywide wellness program in 2012 - Chicago Lives Healthy. The program provides a wide range of services and utilizes individualized assessments and screenings to ensure that participants are engaged in programs most appropriate for their needs. The program’s personal well-being plans including regular check-ins and health coaching and programs to motivate healthy practices. By encouraging employees and their families to proactively address areas of immediate concern, such as hypertension, high cholesterol, smoking, and diabetes, the City aims to reduce the healthcare costs that such conditions often necessitate if left untreated.

The program is the country’s largest government wellness program, and is the result of collaboration between the City and major labor unions. The Chicago Park District, City Colleges of Chicago, Chicago Housing Authority, and Chicago Public Schools also participate in the program.
Participation: Participation is optional; however, if an eligible employee or their spouse does not participate, the cost of their healthcare coverage is increased by $50 per month per non-participant.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Current Participation (City only; does not include Sister Agencies)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td># of Eligible Employees Participating</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td># of Eligible Employees Not Participating</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% of Eligible Employees Participating</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Police officers below the rank of sergeant represented by the Fraternal Order of Police have opted not to be a part of the program.

Payments To-Date: The wellness program is administered by American Healthways Services, which was selected through a competitive RFP process in 2012. The following payments have been made to this vendor:

- 2012 - $125,000
- 2013 - $3,490,945 through September
During our recent hearing to discuss the 2014 proposed budget, Aldermen Moreno and Cappelman requested additional information on the amount of debt collection revenue derived from the City’s practice of placing a hold on a license or permit in situations of outstanding debt.

As of September 20, 2013, the City has collected approximately $28.5 million following placing a hold on a license or permit. This represents an increase of $5.5 million over the same period in 2012.
During our recent hearing to discuss the 2014 proposed budget, Alderman Cochran requested additional information regarding the average cost of a police officer.

As of October 1, the average police officer salary, including similar titles such as Field Training Officer, is $76,164. In addition, to salary, police officers receive a number of other monetary benefits which are listed in the chart below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Element</th>
<th>Average Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Salary</td>
<td>$76,164</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benefits (health insurance, pension, etc.)</td>
<td>$33,203</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duty Availability Pay</td>
<td>$3,220</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uniform Allowance</td>
<td>$1,800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tuition Reimbursement (average)</td>
<td>$537</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL</strong></td>
<td><strong>$114,924</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In addition, a police officer receives a number of additional benefits that vary in their cost, including:

- Baby Furlough (4 days)
- Furlough (24 straight days)
- Holidays (including personal day and holiday pay, 14 days)
- Disability Income (365 days every 2 years)
- Sixth and Seventh Day Work
- Back-to-back Shifts
- Court Time
- Extension of Tour
- Fitness Incentive
MEMORANDUM

TO: The Honorable Carrie Austin
    Chairman, Committee on Budget and Government Operations

FROM: Alexandra Holt
    Budget Director
    Office of Budget and Management

DATE: November 20, 2013

RE: Request for Information

CC: Maria Guerra, Mayor’s Office of Legislative Counsel and Government Affairs

Ref ID: 5-46 Recycling

During our recent hearing to discuss the 2014 proposed budget, Alderman Thomas requested additional information on the savings associated with recycling.

In 2011, before implementing the managed competition, City crews picked up recycling for 240,000 households with 45 crews. With the competition, the City was divided into six zones – 4 for the private contractors and 2 for City crew. The initial analysis indicated that 26 City crews would be needed for its 2 zones, but with the help of the union, the Department of Streets and Sanitation was able to reduce the number of crews to 16. This change reduced the cost per cart for City Crews from $4.77 to $3.24 as of January 2012. The average price per cart for the private contractors was $2.70.

With rollout recycling to 600,000 households, 27 City crews are collecting recycling from over 165,000 households at a cost of $3.82 per cart as of September 2013. The cost increase ties directly to the significant reduction in the price of recycling commodities thus City revenue. This compares to the average price for the private contractors of $2.77 per cart – the increase in cost is tied to contractual increases.

As a result of managed competition, the City was able to provide citywide recycling at cost of $19.2 million instead of the $30 million it would have cost without this initiative. OBM, with DSS, will continue to monitor the costs and track savings to ensure that program is cost effective.

Please let me know if you need additional information.
During our recent hearing to discuss the 2014 proposed budget, Alderman Reilly requested additional information regarding amusement tax exemptions and asked whether certain festivals were exempt from the amusement tax.

Pitchfork, North Coast, and Riot Fest are not exempt from paying amusement taxes. The City has received 2013 payments from Pitchfork and North Coast. The Department of Finance is in the process of auditing Riot Fest with regards to their 2013 amusement tax payments. The amusement tax is currently imposed on: (a) live theatrical, musical, or other cultural performances in a venue with a capacity of greater than 750 persons at a rate of 5%; and (b) all other amusement types at a rate of 9%.

The municipal code provides several exemptions from the tax, including, but not limited to:
  a) live theatrical, musical, or other cultural performances in a venue with a capacity of less than 750 persons;
  b) charity races;
  c) museum and zoo admission charges;
  d) certain amateur athletic events;
  e) amusements sponsored by non-profits;
  f) certain symphonic, operatic, and artistic performances; and
  g) a 5% exemption for cable companies.

A detailed list of exemptions to the amusement tax is outlined in Chapter 4-156-020 of Municipal Code of Chicago.
During our recent hearing to discuss the 2014 proposed budget, Alderman Thomas requested information on where towing refunds are located in the 2014 budget proposal.

The 2014 Budget Recommendation contains three separate accounts for refunds of towing, storage, and other related fees. Two of the accounts are budgeted in the Department of Streets and Sanitation’s budget and the third account is budgeted in Finance General. All of the accounts are budgeted in Fund 300, the Vehicle Tax Fund.

The accounts can be found in the 2014 Budget Recommendation as follows:

- Page 329: Account 0989, For Refunds for Cancelled Voucher Warrants and Payroll Checks and for Refunding Duplicate Payments and Payments Made in Error, budgeted at $700,000
- Page 329: Account 0992, Tow Storage Refunds, budgeted at $97,000
- Page 351: Account 0989, For Refunds for Cancelled Voucher Warrants and Payroll Checks and for Refunding Duplicate Payments and Payments Made in Error, budgeted at $765,000
During the recent hearing to discuss the 2014 proposed budget, Alderman Thomas requested a breakdown of the expenses in Finance General for accounts 0138, 0139, and 0140 under the 2014 Budget Recommendation.

A line item description of the expenses in these accounts is attached.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fund</th>
<th>Account</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>2014 Recommendation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>100 CORPORATE FUND</td>
<td>138</td>
<td>PROF SERV-IT MAINT</td>
<td>$9,786,699</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100 CORPORATE FUND</td>
<td>138</td>
<td>PROF SERV-IT MAINT</td>
<td>$3,370,538</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100 CORPORATE FUND</td>
<td>138</td>
<td>PROF SERV-IT MAINT</td>
<td>$4,994,760</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100 CORPORATE FUND</td>
<td>138</td>
<td>PROF SERV-IT MAINT</td>
<td>$700,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100 CORPORATE FUND</td>
<td>139</td>
<td>PROF SERV-IT DEVELOPMENT</td>
<td>$1,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100 CORPORATE FUND</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>PROF &amp; TECHNICAL SERVICES</td>
<td>$90,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100 CORPORATE FUND</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>PROF &amp; TECHNICAL SERVICES</td>
<td>$5,482,840</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100 CORPORATE FUND</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>PROF &amp; TECHNICAL SERVICES</td>
<td>$6,500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100 CORPORATE FUND</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>PROF &amp; TECHNICAL SERVICES</td>
<td>$1,400,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100 CORPORATE FUND</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>PROF &amp; TECHNICAL SERVICES</td>
<td>$4,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100 CORPORATE FUND</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>PROF &amp; TECHNICAL SERVICES</td>
<td>$250,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100 CORPORATE FUND</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>PROF &amp; TECHNICAL SERVICES</td>
<td>$900,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100 CORPORATE FUND</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>PROF &amp; TECHNICAL SERVICES</td>
<td>$25,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100 CORPORATE FUND</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>PROF &amp; TECHNICAL SERVICES</td>
<td>$75,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100 CORPORATE FUND</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>PROF &amp; TECHNICAL SERVICES</td>
<td>$15,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100 CORPORATE FUND</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>PROF &amp; TECHNICAL SERVICES</td>
<td>$50,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100 CORPORATE FUND</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>PROF &amp; TECHNICAL SERVICES</td>
<td>$572,580</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100 CORPORATE FUND</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>PROF &amp; TECHNICAL SERVICES</td>
<td>$300,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100 CORPORATE FUND</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>PROF &amp; TECHNICAL SERVICES</td>
<td>$2,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100 CORPORATE FUND</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>PROF &amp; TECHNICAL SERVICES</td>
<td>$95,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100 CORPORATE FUND</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>PROF &amp; TECHNICAL SERVICES</td>
<td>$1,600,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100 CORPORATE FUND</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>PROF &amp; TECHNICAL SERVICES</td>
<td>$2,512,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100 CORPORATE FUND</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>PROF &amp; TECHNICAL SERVICES</td>
<td>$4,500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100 CORPORATE FUND</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>PROF &amp; TECHNICAL SERVICES</td>
<td>$4,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100 CORPORATE FUND</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>PROF &amp; TECHNICAL SERVICES</td>
<td>$800,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100 CORPORATE FUND</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>PROF &amp; TECHNICAL SERVICES</td>
<td>$1,500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100 CORPORATE FUND</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>PROF &amp; TECHNICAL SERVICES</td>
<td>$2,500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100 CORPORATE FUND</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>PROF &amp; TECHNICAL SERVICES</td>
<td>$2,371,040</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100 CORPORATE FUND</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>PROF &amp; TECHNICAL SERVICES</td>
<td>$1,478,828</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100 CORPORATE FUND</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>PROF &amp; TECHNICAL SERVICES</td>
<td>$4,402,079</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100 CORPORATE FUND</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>PROF &amp; TECHNICAL SERVICES</td>
<td>$900,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100 CORPORATE FUND</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>PROF &amp; TECHNICAL SERVICES</td>
<td>$900,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100 CORPORATE FUND</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>PROF &amp; TECHNICAL SERVICES</td>
<td>$550,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100 CORPORATE FUND</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>PROF &amp; TECHNICAL SERVICES</td>
<td>$1,200,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100 CORPORATE FUND</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>PROF &amp; TECHNICAL SERVICES</td>
<td>$2,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>200 WATER FUND</td>
<td>138</td>
<td>PROF SERV-IT MAINT</td>
<td>$532,999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>200 WATER FUND</td>
<td>138</td>
<td>PROF SERV-IT MAINT</td>
<td>$372,533</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fund Type</td>
<td>Service Type</td>
<td>Description</td>
<td>Amount</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>200 WATER FUND</td>
<td>PROF SERV-IT MAINT</td>
<td>CITYWIDE IT SYSTEM EXPENSES</td>
<td>$1,200,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>200 WATER FUND</td>
<td>PROF SERV-IT DEVELOPMENT</td>
<td>CSR REPLACEMENT</td>
<td>$2,700,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>200 WATER FUND</td>
<td>PROF &amp; TECHNICAL SERVICES</td>
<td>CREDIT CARD AND BANKING FEES</td>
<td>$944,810</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>200 WATER FUND</td>
<td>PROF &amp; TECHNICAL SERVICES</td>
<td>FMLA</td>
<td>$38,627</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>200 WATER FUND</td>
<td>PROF &amp; TECHNICAL SERVICES</td>
<td>TRAINING</td>
<td>$170,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>200 WATER FUND</td>
<td>PROF &amp; TECHNICAL SERVICES</td>
<td>BENEFITS CALL CENTER</td>
<td>$130,939</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>200 WATER FUND</td>
<td>PROF &amp; TECHNICAL SERVICES</td>
<td>BENEFITS CONSULTING SERVICE</td>
<td>$85,595</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>200 WATER FUND</td>
<td>PROF &amp; TECHNICAL SERVICES</td>
<td>WELLNESS PROGRAM VENDORS</td>
<td>$261,878</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>300 VEHICLE FUND</td>
<td>PROF SERV-IT MAINT</td>
<td>NETWORK AND DESKTOP POSITIONS</td>
<td>$532,999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>300 VEHICLE FUND</td>
<td>PROF SERV-IT MAINT</td>
<td>ORACLE MAINTENANCE</td>
<td>$328,184</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>300 VEHICLE FUND</td>
<td>PROF &amp; TECHNICAL SERVICES</td>
<td>CREDIT CARD AND BANKING FEES</td>
<td>$1,554,210</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>300 VEHICLE FUND</td>
<td>PROF &amp; TECHNICAL SERVICES</td>
<td>CASHIERING SERVICES</td>
<td>$726,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>300 VEHICLE FUND</td>
<td>PROF &amp; TECHNICAL SERVICES</td>
<td>FMLA</td>
<td>$28,473</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>300 VEHICLE FUND</td>
<td>PROF &amp; TECHNICAL SERVICES</td>
<td>TRAINING</td>
<td>$7,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>300 VEHICLE FUND</td>
<td>PROF &amp; TECHNICAL SERVICES</td>
<td>BENEFITS CALL CENTER</td>
<td>$101,960</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>300 VEHICLE FUND</td>
<td>PROF &amp; TECHNICAL SERVICES</td>
<td>BENEFITS CONSULTING SERVICE</td>
<td>$66,651</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>300 VEHICLE FUND</td>
<td>PROF &amp; TECHNICAL SERVICES</td>
<td>WELLNESS PROGRAM VENDORS</td>
<td>$203,919</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>300 VEHICLE FUND</td>
<td>PROF &amp; TECHNICAL SERVICES</td>
<td>UNANTICIPATED CONTRACTUAL</td>
<td>$450,857</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>314 SEWER FUND</td>
<td>PROF SERV-IT MAINT</td>
<td>IT EQUIPMENT SUPPORT</td>
<td>$159,900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>314 SEWER FUND</td>
<td>PROF SERV-IT MAINT</td>
<td>IT SYSTEMS SUPPORT</td>
<td>$96,568</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>314 SEWER FUND</td>
<td>PROF SERV-IT DEVELOPMENT</td>
<td>CITYWIDE IT SYSTEM EXPENSES</td>
<td>$480,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>314 SEWER FUND</td>
<td>PROF &amp; TECHNICAL SERVICES</td>
<td>FMLA</td>
<td>$12,595</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>314 SEWER FUND</td>
<td>PROF &amp; TECHNICAL SERVICES</td>
<td>TRAINING</td>
<td>$115,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>314 SEWER FUND</td>
<td>PROF &amp; TECHNICAL SERVICES</td>
<td>BENEFITS CALL CENTER</td>
<td>$42,505</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>314 SEWER FUND</td>
<td>PROF &amp; TECHNICAL SERVICES</td>
<td>BENEFITS CONSULTING SERVICE</td>
<td>$27,786</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>314 SEWER FUND</td>
<td>PROF &amp; TECHNICAL SERVICES</td>
<td>WELLNESS PROGRAM VENDORS</td>
<td>$85,010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>346 LIBRARY FUND</td>
<td>PROF &amp; TECHNICAL SERVICES</td>
<td>FMLA</td>
<td>$18,855</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>346 LIBRARY FUND</td>
<td>PROF &amp; TECHNICAL SERVICES</td>
<td>EQUIPMENT</td>
<td>$900,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>346 LIBRARY FUND</td>
<td>PROF &amp; TECHNICAL SERVICES</td>
<td>BENEFITS CALL CENTER</td>
<td>$56,810</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>346 LIBRARY FUND</td>
<td>PROF &amp; TECHNICAL SERVICES</td>
<td>BENEFITS CONSULTING SERVICE</td>
<td>$37,137</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>346 LIBRARY FUND</td>
<td>PROF &amp; TECHNICAL SERVICES</td>
<td>WELLNESS PROGRAM VENDORS</td>
<td>$113,621</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>355 SPECIAL EVENTS/MUNICIPAL HOTEL T/F</td>
<td>PROF &amp; TECHNICAL SERVICES</td>
<td>GALLERY 37 HONEY PROJECT</td>
<td>$9,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>355 SPECIAL EVENTS/MUNICIPAL HOTEL T/F</td>
<td>PROF &amp; TECHNICAL SERVICES</td>
<td>CITY ARTS</td>
<td>$500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>355 SPECIAL EVENTS/MUNICIPAL HOTEL T/F</td>
<td>PROF &amp; TECHNICAL SERVICES</td>
<td>FMLA</td>
<td>$1,146</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>355 SPECIAL EVENTS/MUNICIPAL HOTEL T/F</td>
<td>PROF &amp; TECHNICAL SERVICES</td>
<td>CHOOSE CHICAGO</td>
<td>$2,800,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>355 SPECIAL EVENTS/MUNICIPAL HOTEL T/F</td>
<td>PROF &amp; TECHNICAL SERVICES</td>
<td>PROJECT ONWARD</td>
<td>$300,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>355 SPECIAL EVENTS/MUNICIPAL HOTEL T/F</td>
<td>PROF &amp; TECHNICAL SERVICES</td>
<td>UNANTICIPATED CONTRACTUAL</td>
<td>$719,666</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>355 SPECIAL EVENTS/MUNICIPAL HOTEL T/F</td>
<td>PROF &amp; TECHNICAL SERVICES</td>
<td>MAKE WAY FOR PEOPLE</td>
<td>$50,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>355 SPECIAL EVENTS/MUNICIPAL HOTEL T/F</td>
<td>PROF &amp; TECHNICAL SERVICES</td>
<td>BENEFITS CALL CENTER</td>
<td>$6,286</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>355 SPECIAL EVENTS/MUNICIPAL HOTEL T/F</td>
<td>PROF &amp; TECHNICAL SERVICES</td>
<td>BENEFITS CONSULTING SERVICE</td>
<td>$4,109</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Account</td>
<td>Code</td>
<td>Service Description</td>
<td>Budget</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>355 SPECIAL EVENTS / MUNICIPAL HOTEL T/F</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>PROF &amp; TECHNICAL SERVICES</td>
<td>WELLNESS PROGRAM VENDORS $12,572</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>610 MIDWAY AIRPORT FUND</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>PROF &amp; TECHNICAL SERVICES</td>
<td>CREDIT CARD AND BANKING FEES $923,766</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>610 MIDWAY AIRPORT FUND</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>PROF &amp; TECHNICAL SERVICES</td>
<td>FMLA $8,168</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>610 MIDWAY AIRPORT FUND</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>PROF &amp; TECHNICAL SERVICES</td>
<td>BENEFITS CALL CENTER $26,465</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>610 MIDWAY AIRPORT FUND</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>PROF &amp; TECHNICAL SERVICES</td>
<td>BENEFITS CONSULTING SERVICE $17,300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>610 MIDWAY AIRPORT FUND</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>PROF &amp; TECHNICAL SERVICES</td>
<td>WELLNESS PROGRAM VENDORS $52,931</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>740 O'HARE REVENUE FUND</td>
<td>138</td>
<td>PROF SERV-IT MAINT</td>
<td>NETWORK AND DESKTOP SUPPORT $426,399</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>740 O'HARE REVENUE FUND</td>
<td>138</td>
<td>PROF SERV-IT MAINT</td>
<td>ORACLE MAINTENANCE $266,095</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>740 O'HARE REVENUE FUND</td>
<td>138</td>
<td>PROF SERV-IT MAINT</td>
<td>CITYWIDE IT SYSTEM EXPENSES $1,500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>740 O'HARE REVENUE FUND</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>PROF &amp; TECHNICAL SERVICES</td>
<td>CREDIT CARD FEES $2,997,866</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>740 O'HARE REVENUE FUND</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>PROF &amp; TECHNICAL SERVICES</td>
<td>VENDOR COSTS $700,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>740 O'HARE REVENUE FUND</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>PROF &amp; TECHNICAL SERVICES</td>
<td>FMLA $42,367</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>740 O'HARE REVENUE FUND</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>PROF &amp; TECHNICAL SERVICES</td>
<td>ORACLE MAINTENANCE $600,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>740 O'HARE REVENUE FUND</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>PROF &amp; TECHNICAL SERVICES</td>
<td>TRAINING $5,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>740 O'HARE REVENUE FUND</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>PROF &amp; TECHNICAL SERVICES</td>
<td>BENEFITS CALL CENTER $133,995</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>740 O'HARE REVENUE FUND</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>PROF &amp; TECHNICAL SERVICES</td>
<td>BENEFITS CONSULTING SERVICE $87,593</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>740 O'HARE REVENUE FUND</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>PROF &amp; TECHNICAL SERVICES</td>
<td>WELLNESS PROGRAM VENDORS $267,991</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0821 TIF ADMINISTRATION</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>PROF &amp; TECHNICAL SERVICES</td>
<td>TIF PLATFORM $50,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
During our recent hearing to discuss the 2014 proposed budget, Alderman Munoz and Alderman Harris requested additional information regarding how the Children’s Fund and speed camera revenue will be spent.

Revenue from speed cameras will be used to support the Children’s Fund, which will be used for children’s programs provided by city departments, and covers the cost of installing and maintaining the speed camera program. Below is a description of how the speed camera revenue will be spent.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Department</th>
<th>Program</th>
<th>2014 Funding Level</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DFSS</td>
<td>Summer Programs (Youth Employment)</td>
<td>$14,451,803</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DFSS</td>
<td>After School Programs and School Breaks</td>
<td>$13,322,801</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DFSS</td>
<td>Children's Advocacy Center</td>
<td>$900,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DFSS</td>
<td>Early Childhood Education Programs</td>
<td>$11,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DFSS</td>
<td>Violence Reduction and Prevention Programs</td>
<td>$2,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DFSS</td>
<td>Homeless Services for Youth</td>
<td>$1,540,979</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CPL</td>
<td>Library YouMedia and Children's Programs</td>
<td>$6,019,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CPD</td>
<td>Crossing Guards</td>
<td>$11,600,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CPD</td>
<td>School Policing</td>
<td>$12,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
<td>$72,834,583</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
TO: The Honorable Carrie Austin  
Chairman, Committee on Budget and Government Operations  
FROM: Alexandra Holt  
Budget Director  
Office of Budget and Management  
DATE: November 12, 2013  
RE: Request for Information  
CC: Maria Guerra, Mayor’s Office of Legislative Counsel and Government Affairs  
Ref ID: 5 – 57, 5-47, 5-37 Cigarette Tax Information  

During our recent hearing to discuss the 2014 proposed budget, various Aldermen requested additional information regarding the revenue projections associated with the proposed increase to the City’s cigarette tax.

Cigarette Tax Anticipated Additional Revenue and 2014 Investments:

The City is anticipating $10 million in additional revenue from the $0.75 per pack tax increase. This is a conservative estimate that takes into account 10 years of historical data on cigarette tax revenues and trends in cigarette sales. Our model adjusts for the fact that demand for cigarettes tends to decrease as the cost of a pack of cigarettes increases. Due to the projections in the OBM model, we incorporated a 35 percent decrease in demand due to the increase in price.

The additional revenue will be used in part on programs for Chicago’s children, including $2 million to provide eye exams and glasses as needed for 45,000 school children and $500,000 to enroll 15,000 school children in Medicaid.

Impact on City’s Sales Tax:

Some aldermen raised concerns that the increased cigarette tax would cause a decrease in sales of other goods and thus the City’s sales tax revenues. OBM has evaluated the impact of the State’s and County’s $1.00 increase of the cigarette tax in 2012 and 2013, respectively, on the City’s sales tax revenues and found that these increases did not negatively impact the City’s sales
As demonstrated by the chart below, the City’s sales revenue has continued to grow year over year at almost 6 percent, consistent with national and state of Illinois trends.

### Effective Cigarette Tax

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Taxing Jurisdiction</th>
<th>2009</th>
<th>2010</th>
<th>2011</th>
<th>2012</th>
<th>2013</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>City of Chicago</td>
<td>$0.68</td>
<td>$0.68</td>
<td>$0.68</td>
<td>$0.68</td>
<td>$0.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cook County</td>
<td>$2.00</td>
<td>$2.00</td>
<td>$2.00</td>
<td>$2.00</td>
<td>$3.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State of Illinois</td>
<td>$0.98</td>
<td>$0.98</td>
<td>$0.98</td>
<td>$1.98</td>
<td>$1.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Federal</td>
<td>$1.01</td>
<td>$1.01</td>
<td>$1.01</td>
<td>$1.01</td>
<td>$1.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Effective tax</strong></td>
<td><strong>$4.67</strong></td>
<td><strong>$4.67</strong></td>
<td><strong>$4.67</strong></td>
<td><strong>$5.67</strong></td>
<td><strong>$6.67</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Denotes tax after increase*

### City’s Sales Tax Revenues

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$ in Million</th>
<th>2009</th>
<th>2010</th>
<th>2011</th>
<th>2012</th>
<th>2013 YE Estimate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HROT-State</td>
<td>$212</td>
<td>$225</td>
<td>$239</td>
<td>$254</td>
<td>$265</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROT-State</td>
<td>$252</td>
<td>$267</td>
<td>$284</td>
<td>$300</td>
<td>$313</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Cigarette Tax Collections:

The table below sets out the cigarette tax revenue collections since 2008. The decline in revenues is driven by the decrease in the number of people in Chicago who smoke, consistent with nationwide trends. The increasing cost of a pack of cigarettes helps drive this downward trend in smoking. In fact, according to CDPH, Chicago’s adult smoking rate was 18% in 2012, the lowest it has been in over two decades.

### Budget Fiscal Year vs Revenue Collected

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Budget Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Revenue Collected</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>$24.3 million</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>$21.0 million</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>$19.3 million</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>$18.7 million</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>$18.0 million</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>2013 Year-End Estimate</strong></td>
<td><strong>$16.5 million</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
MEMORANDUM

TO: The Honorable Carrie Austin
Chairman, Committee on Budget and Government Operations

FROM: Alexandra Holt
Budget Director
Office of Budget and Management

DATE: November 14, 2013

RE: Request for Information

CC: Maria Guerra, Mayor’s Office of Legislative Counsel and Government Affairs

Ref ID: 5-62 Police Hiring

During our recent hearing to discuss the 2014 proposed budget, Alderman Moreno requested additional information regarding the costs of police hiring versus the cost of overtime.

The current average salary of a police officer is $76,164. Along with the cost of supervision this equates to an average overtime cost of $63 per hour. At this rate, the recommended overtime increase of $39 million equates to approximately 620,000 patrol hours.

Alternatively, the average police officer’s straight time includes not only salary but benefits and time off. At a minimum, each officer receives $5,557 in additional pay and approximately $32,000 in benefits. In addition, the police department’s manning factor means for every two officers on patrol, one officer is off. All of these affect the hourly cost of an officer on straight time, and along with supervision brings the straight time cost to $89 per hour. At this rate, $39 million would buy only 440,000 patrol hours. Conversely, to get 620,000 patrol-hours, it would cost $55M.

Both OBM and the department closely monitor both the monetary and personnel costs of police overtime, and will reassess as necessary to ensure we maintain a proper balance between hiring and overtime.
MEMORANDUM

TO: The Honorable Carrie Austin
Chairman, Committee on Budget and Government Operations

FROM: Alexandra Holt
Budget Director
Office of Budget and Management

DATE: November 6, 2013

RE: Request for Information

CC: Maria Guerra, Mayor’s Office of Legislative Counsel and Government Affairs

Ref ID: 5 - 64 NFP Water Meter Information

Alderman Burke has requested additional information regarding the costs to install a water meter in situations where “vault” work is required.

The costs for vault water meter installations vary and can range from $5,000 to $50,000 based on the size of the property and water service, the location and size of vault, the presence of other underground utilities, the excavation and restoration costs (i.e., concrete, sod, etc.) and the presence of asbestos or other hazardous materials, however an average cost is approximately $10,000 per installation.
During our recent hearing to discuss the 2014 proposed budget, Alderman Thomas and Alderman Ervin asked for additional information regarding the criteria used for deciding which TIF districts were considered for surplus and which TIFs were excluded.

TIF districts were not considered for an annual surplus for one or more of the following reasons:

- per ordinance, the TIF pays debt service on bonds issued as part of the Modern Schools Across Chicago Program;
- the TIF has debt service obligations projected to increase faster than projected revenues;
- the TIF is a single project TIF and the revenue is dedicated to a specific project pursuant to an executed redevelopment agreement;
- the TIF is less than three years old;
- the TIF did not receive revenue in the current year or is not expected to receive revenue next year; or
- the TIF is expiring or being terminated and any remaining funds would already be considered surplus.

For the remaining TIF districts, OBM determined how much of the 2013 starting cash balance, net of encumbrances and 2013 programming, is needed to pay for obligations in the future. The amount needed for future obligations was then subtracted from the projected FY’13 end balance. If the result of this calculation was less than $1.0 million the TIF was excluded from further consideration. Maintaining a minimum balance of $1 million helps address contingencies such as
tax appeals which may require returning funds to the County as well as lower than expected revenues caused by delinquent payments and collection loss. For the 34 TIF’s that met the criteria, progressively larger amounts were designated for surplus in the following manner:

- 10% of the balance between $1M and $2M;
- 20% of the balance between $2M and $3M;
- 30% of the balance between $3M and $4M;
- 40% of the balance between $4M and $5M;
- 50% of the balance between $5M and $6M;
- 60% of the balance between $6M and $7M;
- 70% of the balance between $7M and $8M;
- 80% of the balance between $8M and $9M;
- 90% of the balance between $9M and $10M and;
- 100% of the balance over $10M.

Please let us know if you have questions or require additional information.
During our recent hearing to discuss the 2014 proposed budget, Alderman Tunney requested additional information regarding revenues from commercial refuse container fees.

In 2012, the City budgeted $7.2 million for commercial refuse container fee revenue and collected $7.1 million. In 2013, the City budgeted $7.2 million and expects to collect the budgeted amount.

Beginning in 2012 commercial refuse container fee revenues were budgeted in the corporate fund instead of the vehicle tax fund (Fund 300). In the 2013 budget and 2014 budget proposal, revenues from commercial refuse container fees are included in Charges for Services/Other Charges, as shown on page 159 of the 2014 Budget Overview book.
During the Department of Aviation’s recent budget hearing, Alderman Cochran requested additional information regarding the value of motor fuel tax (MFT)/airplane gasoline tax, and city garage tax revenue generated at the airports for 2012, 2013 year-to-date, 2013 projected and 2014 projected.

In response to your question regarding the revenue generated from motor fuel tax and city garage taxes, please see the charts below.

### Motor Fuel Tax Revenue at Chicago Airports

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2012</th>
<th>2013 YTD (Oct)</th>
<th>2013 (Proj.)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Midway &amp; O’Hare</td>
<td>$27.2M</td>
<td>$21.7M</td>
<td>$26.2M</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### City Garage Tax Revenue at Chicago Airports

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2012</th>
<th>2013 YTD (Aug)</th>
<th>2013 (Proj.)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Midway &amp; O’Hare</td>
<td>$25.8M</td>
<td>$17.5M</td>
<td>$26.3M</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
MEMORANDUM

TO: The Honorable Carrie Austin  
   Chairman, Committee on Budget and Government Operations

FROM: Alexandra Holt  
       Budget Director  
       Office of Budget and Management

DATE: November 15, 2013

RE: Request for Information

CC: Maria Guerra, Mayor’s Office of Legislative Counsel and Government Affairs

Ref ID: 5-71-TIF Surplus by Ward

During our recent hearing to discuss the 2014 proposed budget, various Aldermen requested additional information on TIFs in their ward that are not subject to surplus.

Attached please find updated charts for each ward.
Surplus

TIFs with over $1 million in 2013 year-end balance would be surplused on a graduated basis, with every million after the first surplused at increasing factors of 10% ($1-$2 million at 10%, $2-$3 million at 20%, $3-$4 million at 30%, etc.)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TIF Name</th>
<th>Current TIF Share</th>
<th>2015 TIF Share</th>
<th>2013 End Balance</th>
<th>Surplus Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Humboldt Park</td>
<td>42%</td>
<td>48%</td>
<td>$176,400</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Addison South</td>
<td>94%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>$4,625,000</td>
<td>$850,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TOTAL:** $850,000

TIFs in the Ward that are not included in the surplus

TIFs that have Modern Schools Across Chicago (MSAC) obligations, TIFs that were created as single project TIFs, TIFs that are less than three years old, and TIFs with no new projected revenues are considered ineligible for surplus. Additionally, any funds remaining in terminating or expiring TIFs are returned to the County for distribution to the taxing districts, and are not subject to the surplus calculation above.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TIF Name</th>
<th>Current TIF Share</th>
<th>2015 TIF Share</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Kinzie Ind Corr</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fullerton Milwaukee</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>36%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Ward 2  Alderman Fioretti

**Surplus**

TIFs with over $1 million in 2013 year-end balance would be surplused on a graduated basis, with every million after the first surplused at increasing factors of 10% ($1-$2 million at 10%, $2-$3 million at 20%, $3-$4 million at 30%, etc.)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TIF Name</th>
<th>Current TIF Share</th>
<th>2015 TIF Share</th>
<th>2013 End Balance</th>
<th>Surplus Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Michigan Cermak</td>
<td>81%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>($107,000)</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Near North</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>$17,173,500</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Branch North</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>27%</td>
<td>$3,142,200</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Branch South</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>$8,457,700</td>
<td>$3,166,160</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>River South</td>
<td>69%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>($7,234,800)</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roosevelt Canal</td>
<td>94%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>$603,700</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Western Ogden</td>
<td>41%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>$3,105,000</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bronzeville</td>
<td>24%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>($756,100)</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roosevelt Racine</td>
<td>90%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>$2,342,800</td>
<td>$62,780</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canal Congress</td>
<td>96%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>$23,897,200</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jefferson Roosevelt</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>$11,291,700</td>
<td>$5,791,700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LaSalle Central</td>
<td>39%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>$2,642,000</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TOTAL:** $9,020,640

**TIFs in the Ward that are not included in the surplus**

TIFs that have Modern Schools Across Chicago (MSAC) obligations, TIFs that were created as single project TIFs, TIFs that are less than three years old, and TIFs with no new projected revenues are considered ineligible for surplus. Additionally, any funds remaining in terminating or expiring TIFs are returned to the County for distribution to the taxing districts, and are not subject to the surplus calculation above.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TIF Name</th>
<th>Current TIF Share</th>
<th>2015 TIF Share</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Near South</td>
<td>87%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Near West</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kinzie Ind Corr</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24th Michigan</td>
<td>43%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central West</td>
<td>78%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Midwest</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26th King</td>
<td>98%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weed Freemont</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>95%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Ward 3  Alderman Dowell

**Surplus**

TIFs with over $1 million in 2013 year-end balance would be surplused on a graduated basis, with every million after the first surplused at increasing factors of 10% ($1-$2 million at 10%, $2-$3 million at 20%, $3-$4 million at 30%, etc.)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TIF Name</th>
<th>Current TIF Share</th>
<th>2015 TIF Share</th>
<th>2013 End Balance</th>
<th>Surplus Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>41st King</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>$692,400</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Michigan Cermak</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>85%</td>
<td>($107,000)</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>River South</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>($7,234,800)</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stockyards Annex</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>($274,900)</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43rd Cottage Grove</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>$4,710,700</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calumet Cermak</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>97%</td>
<td>$6,717,100</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bronzeville</td>
<td>53%</td>
<td>60%</td>
<td>($756,100)</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35th Wallace</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>$2,703,000</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>47th King</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>$6,365,000</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35th State</td>
<td>80%</td>
<td>80%</td>
<td>$1,395,000</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>47th State</td>
<td>99%</td>
<td>99%</td>
<td>$4,008,700</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TIFs in the Ward that are not included in the surplus**

TIFs that have Modern Schools Across Chicago (MSAC) obligations, TIFs that were created as single project TIFs, TIFs that are less than three years old, and TIFs with no new projected revenues are considered ineligible for surplus. Additionally, any funds remaining in terminating or expiring TIFs are returned to the County for distribution to the taxing districts, and are not subject to the surplus calculation above.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TIF Name</th>
<th>Current TIF Share</th>
<th>2015 TIF Share</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Near South</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>71%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24th Michigan</td>
<td>49%</td>
<td>77%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>47th Ashland</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>47th Halsted</td>
<td>76%</td>
<td>56%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26th King</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pershing King</td>
<td>97%</td>
<td>97%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Surplus

TIFs with over $1 million in 2013 year-end balance would be surplused on a graduated basis, with every million after the first surplused at increasing factors of 10% ($1-$2 million at 10%, $2-$3 million at 20%, $3-$4 million at 30%, etc.)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TIF Name</th>
<th>Current TIF Share</th>
<th>2015 TIF Share</th>
<th>2013 End Balance</th>
<th>Surplus Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>49th St Lawrence</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>$2,204,600</td>
<td>$140,920</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Michigan Cermak</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>($107,000)</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>River South</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>($7,234,800)</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43rd Cottage Grove</td>
<td>87%</td>
<td>87%</td>
<td>$4,710,700</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bronzeville</td>
<td>23%</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>($756,100)</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lakefront</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>$237,400</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drexel Boulevard</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>($250,600)</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Madden Wells</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>$912,100</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>$140,920</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TIFs in the Ward that are not included in the surplus

TIFs that have Modern Schools Across Chicago (MSAC) obligations, TIFs that were created as single project TIFs, TIFs that are less than three years old, and TIFs with no new projected revenues are considered ineligible for surplus. Additionally, any funds remaining in terminating or expiring TIFs are returned to the County for distribution to the taxing districts, and are not subject to the surplus calculation above.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TIF Name</th>
<th>Current TIF Share</th>
<th>2015 TIF Share</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Near South</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>25%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24th Michigan</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>13%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>53rd Street</td>
<td>95%</td>
<td>69%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26th King</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>85%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pershing King</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Ward 5  Alderman Hairston

**Surplus**

TIFs with over $1 million in 2013 year-end balance would be surplused on a graduated basis, with every million after the first surplused at increasing factors of 10% ($1-$2 million at 10%, $2-$3 million at 20%, $3-$4 million at 30%, etc.)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TIF Name</th>
<th>Current TIF Share</th>
<th>2015 TIF Share</th>
<th>2013 End Balance</th>
<th>Surplus Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>73rd University</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>$2,026,000</td>
<td>$105,200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>$105,200</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TIFs in the Ward that are not included in the surplus**

TIFs that have Modern Schools Across Chicago (MSAC) obligations, TIFs that were created as single project TIFs, TIFs that are less than three years old, and TIFs with no new projected revenues are considered ineligible for surplus. Additionally, any funds remaining in terminating or expiring TIFs are returned to the County for distribution to the taxing districts, and are not subject to the surplus calculation above.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TIF Name</th>
<th>Current TIF Share</th>
<th>2015 TIF Share</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>71st Stony Island</td>
<td>73%</td>
<td>65%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Woodlawn</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>12%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>53rd Street</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>31%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>87th Cottage Grove</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Ward 6  Alderman Sawyer

**Surplus**

TIFs with over $1 million in 2013 year-end balance would be surplused on a graduated basis, with every million after the first surplused at increasing factors of 10% ($1-$2 million at 10%, $2-$3 million at 20%, $3-$4 million at 30%, etc.)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TIF Name</th>
<th>Current TIF Share</th>
<th>2015 TIF Share</th>
<th>2013 End Balance</th>
<th>Surplus Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Englewood Neighborhood</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>($1,122,900)</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>79th Vincennes</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>$2,065,400</td>
<td>$113,080</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>$113,080</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TIFs in the Ward that are not included in the surplus**

TIFs that have Modern Schools Across Chicago (MSAC) obligations, TIFs that were created as single project TIFs, TIFs that are less than three years old, and TIFs with no new projected revenues are considered ineligible for surplus. Additionally, any funds remaining in terminating or expiring TIFs are returned to the County for distribution to the taxing districts, and are not subject to the surplus calculation above.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TIF Name</th>
<th>Current TIF Share</th>
<th>2015 TIF Share</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>89th State</td>
<td>97%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>87th Cottage Grove</td>
<td>51%</td>
<td>33%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>67th Wentworth</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>91%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Surplus**

TIFs with over $1 million in 2013 year-end balance would be surplused on a graduated basis, with every million after the first surplused at increasing factors of 10% ($1-$2 million at 10%, $2-$3 million at 20%, $3-$4 million at 30%, etc.)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TIF Name</th>
<th>Current TIF Share</th>
<th>2015 TIF Share</th>
<th>2013 End Balance</th>
<th>Surplus Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>95th Stony Island</td>
<td>80%</td>
<td>80%</td>
<td>$1,550,900</td>
<td>$55,090</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Chicago</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>$3,848,700</td>
<td>$188,200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commercial Avenue</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>37%</td>
<td>$6,321,500</td>
<td>$1,692,900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>$1,936,190</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TIFs in the Ward that are not included in the surplus**

TIFs that have Modern Schools Across Chicago (MSAC) obligations, TIFs that were created as single project TIFs, TIFs that are less than three years old, and TIFs with no new projected revenues are considered ineligible for surplus. Additionally, any funds remaining in terminating or expiring TIFs are returned to the County for distribution to the taxing districts, and are not subject to the surplus calculation above.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TIF Name</th>
<th>Current TIF Share</th>
<th>2015 TIF Share</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>71st Stony Island</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Avalon Park South Shore</td>
<td>66%</td>
<td>66%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chicago Lakeside Ph 1</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Ward 8  Alderman Harris**

**Surplus**

TIFs with over $1 million in 2013 year-end balance would be surplused on a graduated basis, with every million after the first surplused at increasing factors of 10% ($1-$2 million at 10%, $2-$3 million at 20%, $3-$4 million at 30%, etc.)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TIF Name</th>
<th>Current TIF Share</th>
<th>2015 TIF Share</th>
<th>2013 End Balance</th>
<th>Surplus Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>95th Stony Island</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>$1,550,900</td>
<td>$55,090</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TOTAL:** $55,090

**TIFs in the Ward that are not included in the surplus**

TIFs that have Modern Schools Across Chicago (MSAC) obligations, TIFs that were created as single project TIFs, TIFs that are less than three years old, and TIFs with no new projected revenues are considered ineligible for surplus. Additionally, any funds remaining in terminating or expiring TIFs are returned to the County for distribution to the taxing districts, and are not subject to the surplus calculation above.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TIF Name</th>
<th>Current TIF Share</th>
<th>2015 TIF Share</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Stony Island Burnside</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>71st Stony Island</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td>29%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Avalon Park South Shore</td>
<td>34%</td>
<td>34%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>87th Cottage Grove</td>
<td>39%</td>
<td>58%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Pullman</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>13%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Surplus**

TIFs with over $1 million in 2013 year-end balance would be surplused on a graduated basis, with every million after the first surplused at increasing factors of 10% ($1-$2 million at 10%, $2-$3 million at 20%, $3-$4 million at 30%, etc.)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TIF Name</th>
<th>Current TIF Share</th>
<th>2015 TIF Share</th>
<th>2013 End Balance</th>
<th>Surplus Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lake Calumet</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>$3,188,400</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roseland Michigan</td>
<td>96%</td>
<td>96%</td>
<td>$340,200</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>$0</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TIFs in the Ward that are not included in the surplus**

TIFs that have Modern Schools Across Chicago (MSAC) obligations, TIFs that were created as single project TIFs, TIFs that are less than three years old, and TIFs with no new projected revenues are considered ineligible for surplus. Additionally, any funds remaining in terminating or expiring TIFs are returned to the County for distribution to the taxing districts, and are not subject to the surplus calculation above.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TIF Name</th>
<th>Current TIF Share</th>
<th>2015 TIF Share</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>89th State</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>97%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>87th Cottage Grove</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Pullman</td>
<td>73%</td>
<td>73%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Surplus**

TIFs with over $1 million in 2013 year-end balance would be surplused on a graduated basis, with every million after the first surplused at increasing factors of 10% ($1-$2 million at 10%, $2-$3 million at 20%, $3-$4 million at 30%, etc.)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TIF Name</th>
<th>Current TIF Share</th>
<th>2015 TIF Share</th>
<th>2013 End Balance</th>
<th>Surplus Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>South Works Industrial</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>$961,500</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Chicago</td>
<td>79%</td>
<td>93%</td>
<td>$3,848,700</td>
<td>$188,200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lake Calumet</td>
<td>89%</td>
<td>88%</td>
<td>$3,188,400</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commercial Avenue</td>
<td>58%</td>
<td>61%</td>
<td>$6,321,500</td>
<td>$1,692,900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ewing Avenue</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>($85,400)</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TOTAL:** $1,881,100

**TIFs in the Ward that are not included in the surplus**

TIFs that have Modern Schools Across Chicago (MSAC) obligations, TIFs that were created as single project TIFs, TIFs that are less than three years old, and TIFs with no new projected revenues are considered ineligible for surplus. Additionally, any funds remaining in terminating or expiring TIFs are returned to the County for distribution to the taxing districts, and are not subject to the surplus calculation above.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TIF Name</th>
<th>Current TIF Share</th>
<th>2015 TIF Share</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>126th Torrence</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>134th Avenue K</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Pullman</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>14%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calumet River</td>
<td>99%</td>
<td>99%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Surplus

TIFs with over $1 million in 2013 year-end balance would be surplused on a graduated basis, with every million after the first surplused at increasing factors of 10% ($1-$2 million at 10%, $2-$3 million at 20%, $3-$4 million at 30%, etc.)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TIF Name</th>
<th>Current TIF Share</th>
<th>2015 TIF Share</th>
<th>2013 End Balance</th>
<th>Surplus Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>35th Halsted</td>
<td>93%</td>
<td>81%</td>
<td>$2,997,400</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roosevelt Canal</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>94%</td>
<td>$603,700</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stockyards Annex</td>
<td>82%</td>
<td>82%</td>
<td>($274,900)</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stockyards SEQ</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>$5,944,200</td>
<td>$1,472,100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pilsen Ind Corr</td>
<td>28%</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>$1,731,700</td>
<td>$73,170</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35th Wallace</td>
<td>82%</td>
<td>82%</td>
<td>$2,703,000</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jefferson Roosevelt</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>27%</td>
<td>$11,291,700</td>
<td>$5,791,700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35th State</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>$1,395,000</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TOTAL:** $7,336,970

TIFs in the Ward that are not included in the surplus

TIFs that have Modern Schools Across Chicago (MSAC) obligations, TIFs that were created as single project TIFs, TIFs that are less than three years old, and TIFs with no new projected revenues are considered ineligible for surplus. Additionally, any funds remaining in terminating or expiring TIFs are returned to the County for distribution to the taxing districts, and are not subject to the surplus calculation above.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TIF Name</th>
<th>Current TIF Share</th>
<th>2015 TIF Share</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Stockyards Ind. Comm.</td>
<td>47%</td>
<td>47%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roosevelt Union</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>47th Ashland</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>16%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>47th Halsted</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>14%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Archer Western</td>
<td>65%</td>
<td>16%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Ward 12  Alderman Cardenas

**Surplus**

TIFs with over $1 million in 2013 year-end balance would be surplused on a graduated basis, with every million after the first surplused at increasing factors of 10% ($1-$2 million at 10%, $2-$3 million at 20%, $3-$4 million at 30%, etc.)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TIF Name</th>
<th>Current TIF Share</th>
<th>2015 TIF Share</th>
<th>2013 End Balance</th>
<th>Surplus Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>35th Halsted</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>$2,997,400</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pilsen Ind Corr</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>$1,731,700</td>
<td>$73,170</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Little Village East</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>81%</td>
<td>$361,000</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>$73,170</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TIFs in the Ward that are not included in the surplus**

TIFs that have Modern Schools Across Chicago (MSAC) obligations, TIFs that were created as single project TIFs, TIFs that are less than three years old, and TIFs with no new projected revenues are considered ineligible for surplus. Additionally, any funds remaining in terminating or expiring TIFs are returned to the County for distribution to the taxing districts, and are not subject to the surplus calculation above.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TIF Name</th>
<th>Current TIF Share</th>
<th>2015 TIF Share</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sanitary &amp; Ship Canal</td>
<td>75%</td>
<td>75%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stockyards Ind. Comm.</td>
<td>52%</td>
<td>51%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Midwest</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>47th Ashland</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>13%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45th Western</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>98%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stevenson Brighton</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>16%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Archer Western</td>
<td>35%</td>
<td>73%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Ward 13  Alderman Quinn

Surplus
TIFs with over $1 million in 2013 year-end balance would be surplused on a graduated basis, with every million after the first surplused at increasing factors of 10% ($1-$2 million at 10%, $2-$3 million at 20%, $3-$4 million at 30%, etc.)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TIF Name</th>
<th>Current TIF Share</th>
<th>2015 TIF Share</th>
<th>2013 End Balance</th>
<th>Surplus Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Greater SW Ind Corr West</td>
<td>93%</td>
<td>38%</td>
<td>$4,231,600</td>
<td>$692,640</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Archer Central</td>
<td>43%</td>
<td>42%</td>
<td>$1,714,200</td>
<td>$54,200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>67th Cicero</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>$22,900</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>79th Cicero</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>$99,500</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TOTAL:** $746,840

TIFs in the Ward that are not included in the surplus
TIFs that have Modern Schools Across Chicago (MSAC) obligations, TIFs that were created as single project TIFs, TIFs that are less than three years old, and TIFs with no new projected revenues are considered ineligible for surplus. Additionally, any funds remaining in terminating or expiring TIFs are returned to the County for distribution to the taxing districts, and are not subject to the surplus calculation above.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TIF Name</th>
<th>Current TIF Share</th>
<th>2015 TIF Share</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>63rd Pulaski</td>
<td>66%</td>
<td>42%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harlem Industrial</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Ward 14  Alderman Burke

Surplus

TIFs with over $1 million in 2013 year-end balance would be surplused on a graduated basis, with every million after the first surplused at increasing factors of 10% ($1-$2 million at 10%, $2-$3 million at 20%, $3-$4 million at 30%, etc.)

TIF Name | Current TIF Share | 2015 TIF Share | 2013 End Balance | Surplus Amount
--- | --- | --- | --- | ---
Cicero Archer | 0% | 37% | $4,979,800 | $386,730
Archer Central | 0% | 7% | $1,714,200 | $54,200

**TOTAL:** $440,930

TIFs in the Ward that are not included in the surplus

TIFs that have Modern Schools Across Chicago (MSAC) obligations, TIFs that were created as single project TIFs, TIFs that are less than three years old, and TIFs with no new projected revenues are considered ineligible for surplus. Additionally, any funds remaining in terminating or expiring TIFs are returned to the County for distribution to the taxing districts, and are not subject to the surplus calculation above.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TIF Name</th>
<th>Current TIF Share</th>
<th>2015 TIF Share</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Midway Ind Corr</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>34%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51st Archer</td>
<td>86%</td>
<td>88%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stevenson Brighton</td>
<td>78%</td>
<td>76%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Ward 15  Alderman Foulkes

**Surplus**

TIFs with over $1 million in 2013 year-end balance would be surplused on a graduated basis, with every million after the first surplused at increasing factors of 10% ($1-$2 million at 10%, $2-$3 million at 20%, $3-$4 million at 30%, etc.)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TIF Name</th>
<th>Current TIF Share</th>
<th>2015 TIF Share</th>
<th>2013 End Balance</th>
<th>Surplus Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>60th Western</td>
<td>69%</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>$2,780,500</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>63rd Ashland</td>
<td>80%</td>
<td>53%</td>
<td>$335,400</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TOTAL:**

$0

**TIFs in the Ward that are not included in the surplus**

TIFs that have Modern Schools Across Chicago (MSAC) obligations, TIFs that were created as single project TIFs, TIFs that are less than three years old, and TIFs with no new projected revenues are considered ineligible for surplus. Additionally, any funds remaining in terminating or expiring TIFs are returned to the County for distribution to the taxing districts, and are not subject to the surplus calculation above.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TIF Name</th>
<th>Current TIF Share</th>
<th>2015 TIF Share</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>47th Ashland</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>28%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Archer Western</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>6%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Ward 16  Alderman Thompson

Surplus

TIFs with over $1 million in 2013 year-end balance would be surplused on a graduated basis, with every million after the first surplused at increasing factors of 10% ($1-$2 million at 10%, $2-$3 million at 20%, $3-$4 million at 30%, etc.)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TIF Name</th>
<th>Current TIF Share</th>
<th>2015 TIF Share</th>
<th>2013 End Balance</th>
<th>Surplus Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>60th Western</td>
<td>31%</td>
<td>75%</td>
<td>$2,780,500</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Englewood Mall</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>($98,800)</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Englewood Neighborhood</td>
<td>44%</td>
<td>43%</td>
<td>($1,122,900)</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>69th Ashland</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>$455,500</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>63rd Ashland</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>46%</td>
<td>$335,400</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TOTAL:** $0

TIFs in the Ward that are not included in the surplus

TIFs that have Modern Schools Across Chicago (MSAC) obligations, TIFs that were created as single project TIFs, TIFs that are less than three years old, and TIFs with no new projected revenues are considered ineligible for surplus. Additionally, any funds remaining in terminating or expiring TIFs are returned to the County for distribution to the taxing districts, and are not subject to the surplus calculation above.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TIF Name</th>
<th>Current TIF Share</th>
<th>2015 TIF Share</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>47th Ashland</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td>7%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Surplus**

TIFs with over $1 million in 2013 year-end balance would be surplused on a graduated basis, with every million after the first surplused at increasing factors of 10% ($1-$2 million at 10%, $2-$3 million at 20%, $3-$4 million at 30%, etc.)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TIF Name</th>
<th>Current TIF Share</th>
<th>2015 TIF Share</th>
<th>2013 End Balance</th>
<th>Surplus Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>79th Street Corridor</td>
<td>82%</td>
<td>91%</td>
<td>$3,254,700</td>
<td>$376,410</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greater SW Ind Corr East</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>$810,300</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Englewood Neighborhood</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>($1,122,900)</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>69th Ashland</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>80%</td>
<td>$455,500</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>63rd Ashland</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>$335,400</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>79th Vincennes</td>
<td>94%</td>
<td>72%</td>
<td>$2,065,400</td>
<td>$113,080</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TOTAL:** $489,490

**TIFs in the Ward that are not included in the surplus**

TIFs that have Modern Schools Across Chicago (MSAC) obligations, TIFs that were created as single project TIFs, TIFs that are less than three years old, and TIFs with no new projected revenues are considered ineligible for surplus. Additionally, any funds remaining in terminating or expiring TIFs are returned to the County for distribution to the taxing districts, and are not subject to the surplus calculation above.

*Not applicable.*
Ward 18  Alderman Lane

**Surplus**

TIFs with over $1 million in 2013 year-end balance would be surplused on a graduated basis, with every million after the first surplused at increasing factors of 10% ($1-$2 million at 10%, $2-$3 million at 20%, $3-$4 million at 30%, etc.)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TIF Name</th>
<th>Current TIF Share</th>
<th>2015 TIF Share</th>
<th>2013 End Balance</th>
<th>Surplus Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Greater SW Ind Corr East</td>
<td>87%</td>
<td>87%</td>
<td>$810,300</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greater SW Ind Corr West</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>62%</td>
<td>$4,231,600</td>
<td>$692,640</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>79th Southwest Hwy</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>97%</td>
<td>$4,346,500</td>
<td>$738,600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>79th Cicero</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>$99,500</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>$1,431,240</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TIFs in the Ward that are not included in the surplus**

TIFs that have Modern Schools Across Chicago (MSAC) obligations, TIFs that were created as single project TIFs, TIFs that are less than three years old, and TIFs with no new projected revenues are considered ineligible for surplus. Additionally, any funds remaining in terminating or expiring TIFs are returned to the County for distribution to the taxing districts, and are not subject to the surplus calculation above.

*Not applicable.*
Ward 19  Alderman O'Shea

**Surplus**

TIFs with over $1 million in 2013 year-end balance would be surplused on a graduated basis, with every million after the first surplused at increasing factors of 10% ($1-$2 million at 10%, $2-$3 million at 20%, $3-$4 million at 30%, etc.)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TIF Name</th>
<th>Current TIF Share</th>
<th>2015 TIF Share</th>
<th>2013 End Balance</th>
<th>Surplus Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>95th Western</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>$375,200</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>111th Kedzie</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>$1,670,700</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>119th I57</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>$634,700</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Western Rock Island</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>94%</td>
<td>$1,785,900</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TOTAL:** $0

**TIFs in the Ward that are not included in the surplus**

TIFs that have Modern Schools Across Chicago (MSAC) obligations, TIFs that were created as single project TIFs, TIFs that are less than three years old, and TIFs with no new projected revenues are considered ineligible for surplus. Additionally, any funds remaining in terminating or expiring TIFs are returned to the County for distribution to the taxing districts, and are not subject to the surplus calculation above.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TIF Name</th>
<th>Current TIF Share</th>
<th>2015 TIF Share</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>105th Vincennes</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Ward 20  Alderman Cochran

**Surplus**

TIFs with over $1 million in 2013 year-end balance would be surplied on a graduated basis, with every million after the first surplied at increasing factors of 10% ($1-$2 million at 10%, $2-$3 million at 20%, $3-$4 million at 30%, etc.)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TIF Name</th>
<th>Current TIF Share</th>
<th>2015 TIF Share</th>
<th>2013 End Balance</th>
<th>Surplus Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Englewood Neighborhood</td>
<td>36%</td>
<td>38%</td>
<td>($1,122,900)</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TOTAL:** $0

**TIFs in the Ward that are not included in the surplus**

TIFs that have Modern Schools Across Chicago (MSAC) obligations, TIFs that were created as single project TIFs, TIFs that are less than three years old, and TIFs with no new projected revenues are considered ineligible for surplus. Additionally, any funds remaining in terminating or expiring TIFs are returned to the County for distribution to the taxing districts, and are not subject to the surplus calculation above.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TIF Name</th>
<th>Current TIF Share</th>
<th>2015 TIF Share</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Woodlawn</td>
<td>91%</td>
<td>88%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>47th Ashland</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>36%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>47th Halsted</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>30%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>West Woodlawn</td>
<td>99%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>67th Wentworth</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>7%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Ward 21  Alderman Brookins

**Surplus**

TIFs with over $1 million in 2013 year-end balance would be surplused on a graduated basis, with every million after the first surplused at increasing factors of 10% ($1-$2 million at 10%, $2-$3 million at 20%, $3-$4 million at 30%, etc.)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TIF Name</th>
<th>Current TIF Share</th>
<th>2015 TIF Share</th>
<th>2013 End Balance</th>
<th>Surplus Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>79th Street Corridor</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>$3,254,700</td>
<td>$376,410</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>79th Vincennes</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>$2,065,400</td>
<td>$113,080</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>$489,490</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TIFs in the Ward that are not included in the surplus**

TIFs that have Modern Schools Across Chicago (MSAC) obligations, TIFs that were created as single project TIFs, TIFs that are less than three years old, and TIFs with no new projected revenues are considered ineligible for surplus. Additionally, any funds remaining in terminating or expiring TIFs are returned to the County for distribution to the taxing districts, and are not subject to the surplus calculation above.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TIF Name</th>
<th>Current TIF Share</th>
<th>2015 TIF Share</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>105th Vincennes</td>
<td>95%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>89th State</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>83rd Stewart</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Surplus

TIFs with over $1 million in 2013 year-end balance would be surplused on a graduated basis, with every million after the first surplused at increasing factors of 10% ($1-$2 million at 10%, $2-$3 million at 20%, $3-$4 million at 30%, etc.)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TIF Name</th>
<th>Current TIF Share</th>
<th>2015 TIF Share</th>
<th>2013 End Balance</th>
<th>Surplus Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cicero Archer</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>55%</td>
<td>$4,979,800</td>
<td>$386,730</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TOTAL: $386,730

TIFs in the Ward that are not included in the surplus

TIFs that have Modern Schools Across Chicago (MSAC) obligations, TIFs that were created as single project TIFs, TIFs that are less than three years old, and TIFs with no new projected revenues are considered ineligible for surplus. Additionally, any funds remaining in terminating or expiring TIFs are returned to the County for distribution to the taxing districts, and are not subject to the surplus calculation above.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TIF Name</th>
<th>Current TIF Share</th>
<th>2015 TIF Share</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sanitary &amp; Ship Canal</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>25%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Midway Ind Corr</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>17%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stevenson Brighton</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Little Village</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ogden Pulaski</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>21%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kostner Avenue</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Ward 23  Alderman Zalewski

**Surplus**

TIFs with over $1 million in 2013 year-end balance would be surplused on a graduated basis, with every million after the first surplused at increasing factors of 10% ($1-$2 million at 10%, $2-$3 million at 20%, $3-$4 million at 30%, etc.)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TIF Name</th>
<th>Current TIF Share</th>
<th>2015 TIF Share</th>
<th>2013 End Balance</th>
<th>Surplus Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cicero Archer</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>$4,979,800</td>
<td>$386,730</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Archer Central</td>
<td>57%</td>
<td>51%</td>
<td>$1,714,200</td>
<td>$54,200</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TOTAL:** $440,930

**TIFs in the Ward that are not included in the surplus**

TIFs that have Modern Schools Across Chicago (MSAC) obligations, TIFs that were created as single project TIFs, TIFs that are less than three years old, and TIFs with no new projected revenues are considered ineligible for surplus. Additionally, any funds remaining in terminating or expiring TIFs are returned to the County for distribution to the taxing districts, and are not subject to the surplus calculation above.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TIF Name</th>
<th>Current TIF Share</th>
<th>2015 TIF Share</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Midway Ind Corr</td>
<td>82%</td>
<td>49%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51st Archer</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>12%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>63rd Pulaski</td>
<td>33%</td>
<td>58%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harlem Industrial</td>
<td>99%</td>
<td>96%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Ward 24  Alderman Chandler

**Surplus**

TIFs with over $1 million in 2013 year-end balance would be surplused on a graduated basis, with every million after the first surplused at increasing factors of 10% ($1-$2 million at 10%, $2-$3 million at 20%, $3-$4 million at 30%, etc.)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TIF Name</th>
<th>Current TIF Share</th>
<th>2015 TIF Share</th>
<th>2013 End Balance</th>
<th>Surplus Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Homan Arthington</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>$2,986,800</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roosevelt Cicero</td>
<td>73%</td>
<td>56%</td>
<td>$6,336,700</td>
<td>$1,702,020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roosevelt Homan</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>$2,656,500</td>
<td>$231,300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Western Ogden</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>$3,105,000</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Little Village East</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>$361,000</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>$1,933,320</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TIFs in the Ward that are not included in the surplus**

TIFs that have Modern Schools Across Chicago (MSAC) obligations, TIFs that were created as single project TIFs, TIFs that are less than three years old, and TIFs with no new projected revenues are considered ineligible for surplus. Additionally, any funds remaining in terminating or expiring TIFs are returned to the County for distribution to the taxing districts, and are not subject to the surplus calculation above.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TIF Name</th>
<th>Current TIF Share</th>
<th>2015 TIF Share</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Midwest</td>
<td>47%</td>
<td>48%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ogden Pulaski</td>
<td>78%</td>
<td>77%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Ward 25  Alderman Solis

**Surplus**

TIFs with over $1 million in 2013 year-end balance would be surplused on a graduated basis, with every million after the first surplused at increasing factors of 10% ($1-$2 million at 10%, $2-$3 million at 20%, $3-$4 million at 30%, etc.)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TIF Name</th>
<th>Current TIF Share</th>
<th>2015 TIF Share</th>
<th>2013 End Balance</th>
<th>Surplus Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>River South</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>69%</td>
<td>($7,234,800)</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roosevelt Canal</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>$603,700</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Western Ogden</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>$3,105,000</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pilsen Ind Corr</td>
<td>67%</td>
<td>80%</td>
<td>$1,731,700</td>
<td>$73,170</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roosevelt Racine</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>$2,342,800</td>
<td>$62,780</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canal Congress</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>42%</td>
<td>$23,897,200</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Archer Courts</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>$1,338,800</td>
<td>$33,880</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jefferson Roosevelt</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>73%</td>
<td>$11,291,700</td>
<td>$5,791,700</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TOTAL:** $5,961,530

**TIFs in the Ward that are not included in the surplus**

TIFs that have Modern Schools Across Chicago (MSAC) obligations, TIFs that were created as single project TIFs, TIFs that are less than three years old, and TIFs with no new projected revenues are considered ineligible for surplus. Additionally, any funds remaining in terminating or expiring TIFs are returned to the County for distribution to the taxing districts, and are not subject to the surplus calculation above.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TIF Name</th>
<th>Current TIF Share</th>
<th>2015 TIF Share</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Near West</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>34%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roosevelt Union</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24th Michigan</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central West</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>13%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Archer Western</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Surplus

TIFs with over $1 million in 2013 year-end balance would be surplused on a graduated basis, with every million after the first surplused at increasing factors of 10% ($1-$2 million at 10%, $2-$3 million at 20%, $3-$4 million at 30%, etc.)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TIF Name</th>
<th>Current TIF Share</th>
<th>2015 TIF Share</th>
<th>2013 End Balance</th>
<th>Surplus Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Division Homan</td>
<td>89%</td>
<td>89%</td>
<td>$2,875,400</td>
<td>$275,080</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Humboldt Park</td>
<td>56%</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>$176,400</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Armitage Pulaski</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>24%</td>
<td>$422,700</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>$275,080</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TIFs in the Ward that are not included in the surplus

TIFs that have Modern Schools Across Chicago (MSAC) obligations, TIFs that were created as single project TIFs, TIFs that are less than three years old, and TIFs with no new projected revenues are considered ineligible for surplus. Additionally, any funds remaining in terminating or expiring TIFs are returned to the County for distribution to the taxing districts, and are not subject to the surplus calculation above.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TIF Name</th>
<th>Current TIF Share</th>
<th>2015 TIF Share</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Kinzie Ind Corr</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pulaski Corridor</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>40%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fullerton Milwaukee</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>12%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Surplus

TIFs with over $1 million in 2013 year-end balance would be surplused on a graduated basis, with every million after the first surplused at increasing factors of 10% ($1-$2 million at 10%, $2-$3 million at 20%, $3-$4 million at 30%, etc.)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TIF Name</th>
<th>Current TIF Share</th>
<th>2015 TIF Share</th>
<th>2013 End Balance</th>
<th>Surplus Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Goose Island</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>$1,543,900</td>
<td>$54,390</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Near North</td>
<td>80%</td>
<td>87%</td>
<td>$17,173,500</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Branch South</td>
<td>23%</td>
<td>57%</td>
<td>$8,457,700</td>
<td>$3,166,160</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chicago Kingsbury</td>
<td>38%</td>
<td>38%</td>
<td>$9,027,200</td>
<td>$3,624,480</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>River West</td>
<td>59%</td>
<td>56%</td>
<td>$10,995,900</td>
<td>$5,495,900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Division Homan</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>$2,875,400</td>
<td>$275,080</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>$12,616,010</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TIFs in the Ward that are not included in the surplus

TIFs that have Modern Schools Across Chicago (MSAC) obligations, TIFs that were created as single project TIFs, TIFs that are less than three years old, and TIFs with no new projected revenues are considered ineligible for surplus. Additionally, any funds remaining in terminating or expiring TIFs are returned to the County for distribution to the taxing districts, and are not subject to the surplus calculation above.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TIF Name</th>
<th>Current TIF Share</th>
<th>2015 TIF Share</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Near West</td>
<td>83%</td>
<td>64%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kinzie Ind Corr</td>
<td>86%</td>
<td>93%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northwest Ind Corr</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central West</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>80%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Midwest</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>14%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chicago Central Park</td>
<td>82%</td>
<td>60%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weed Freemont</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Surplus

TIFs with over $1 million in 2013 year-end balance would be surplused on a graduated basis, with every million after the first surplused at increasing factors of 10% ($1-$2 million at 10%, $2-$3 million at 20%, $3-$4 million at 30%, etc.)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TIF Name</th>
<th>Current TIF Share</th>
<th>2015 TIF Share</th>
<th>2013 End Balance</th>
<th>Surplus Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Western Ogden</td>
<td>39%</td>
<td>86%</td>
<td>$3,105,000</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roosevelt Racine</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>47%</td>
<td>$2,342,800</td>
<td>$62,780</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Austin Commercial</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>$20,600</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>$62,780</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TIFs in the Ward that are not included in the surplus

TIFs that have Modern Schools Across Chicago (MSAC) obligations, TIFs that were created as single project TIFs, TIFs that are less than three years old, and TIFs with no new projected revenues are considered ineligible for surplus. Additionally, any funds remaining in terminating or expiring TIFs are returned to the County for distribution to the taxing districts, and are not subject to the surplus calculation above.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TIF Name</th>
<th>Current TIF Share</th>
<th>2015 TIF Share</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Northwest Ind Corr</td>
<td>42%</td>
<td>33%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Madison Austin</td>
<td>69%</td>
<td>55%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central West</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Midwest</td>
<td>34%</td>
<td>36%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chicago Central Park</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>15%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Ward 29  Alderman Graham

**Surplus**

TIFs with over $1 million in 2013 year-end balance would be surplused on a graduated basis, with every million after the first surplused at increasing factors of 10% ($1-$2 million at 10%, $2-$3 million at 20%, $3-$4 million at 30%, etc.)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TIF Name</th>
<th>Current TIF Share</th>
<th>2015 TIF Share</th>
<th>2013 End Balance</th>
<th>Surplus Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Roosevelt Cicero</td>
<td>27%</td>
<td>44%</td>
<td>$6,336,700</td>
<td>$1,702,020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Montclare</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>$432,500</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diversey Narragansett</td>
<td>46%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>$1,108,400</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Austin Commercial</td>
<td>37%</td>
<td>37%</td>
<td>$20,600</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>$1,702,020</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TIFs in the Ward that are not included in the surplus**

TIFs that have Modern Schools Across Chicago (MSAC) obligations, TIFs that were created as single project TIFs, TIFs that are less than three years old, and TIFs with no new projected revenues are considered ineligible for surplus. Additionally, any funds remaining in terminating or expiring TIFs are returned to the County for distribution to the taxing districts, and are not subject to the surplus calculation above.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TIF Name</th>
<th>Current TIF Share</th>
<th>2015 TIF Share</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Galewood Armitage</td>
<td>52%</td>
<td>56%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Madison Austin</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>33%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harrison Central</td>
<td>99%</td>
<td>99%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Ward 30  Alderman Reboyras

**Surplus**

TIFs with over $1 million in 2013 year-end balance would be surplused on a graduated basis, with every million after the first surplused at increasing factors of 10% ($1-$2 million at 10%, $2-$3 million at 20%, $3-$4 million at 30%, etc.)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TIF Name</th>
<th>Current TIF Share</th>
<th>2015 TIF Share</th>
<th>2013 End Balance</th>
<th>Surplus Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Portage Park</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td>$7,325,100</td>
<td>$2,075,280</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Belmont Cicero</td>
<td>35%</td>
<td>24%</td>
<td>$2,391,000</td>
<td>$45,210</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diversey Narragansett</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>45%</td>
<td>$1,108,400</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Armitage Pulaski</td>
<td>88%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>$422,700</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Avondale</td>
<td>95%</td>
<td>92%</td>
<td>$320,100</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TOTAL:** $2,120,490

**TIFs in the Ward that are not included in the surplus**

TIFs that have Modern Schools Across Chicago (MSAC) obligations, TIFs that were created as single project TIFs, TIFs that are less than three years old, and TIFs with no new projected revenues are considered ineligible for surplus. Additionally, any funds remaining in terminating or expiring TIFs are returned to the County for distribution to the taxing districts, and are not subject to the surplus calculation above.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TIF Name</th>
<th>Current TIF Share</th>
<th>2015 TIF Share</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pulaski Corridor</td>
<td>37%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Belmont Central</td>
<td>32%</td>
<td>41%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fullerton Milwaukee</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>15%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Ward 31  Alderman Suarez**

**Surplus**

TIFs with over $1 million in 2013 year-end balance would be surplused on a graduated basis, with every million after the first surplused at increasing factors of 10% ($1-$2 million at 10%, $2-$3 million at 20%, $3-$4 million at 30%, etc.)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TIF Name</th>
<th>Current TIF Share</th>
<th>2015 TIF Share</th>
<th>2013 End Balance</th>
<th>Surplus Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Belmont Cicero</td>
<td>47%</td>
<td>76%</td>
<td>$2,391,000</td>
<td>$45,210</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Armitage Pulaski</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>$422,700</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Avondale</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>$320,100</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TOTAL:** $45,210

**TIFs in the Ward that are not included in the surplus**

TIFs that have Modern Schools Across Chicago (MSAC) obligations, TIFs that were created as single project TIFs, TIFs that are less than three years old, and TIFs with no new projected revenues are considered ineligible for surplus. Additionally, any funds remaining in terminating or expiring TIFs are returned to the County for distribution to the taxing districts, and are not subject to the surplus calculation above.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TIF Name</th>
<th>Current TIF Share</th>
<th>2015 TIF Share</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Northwest Ind Corr</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pulaski Corridor</td>
<td>49%</td>
<td>52%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Belmont Central</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>27%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fullerton Milwaukee</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Ward 32  Alderman Waguespack

**Surplus**

TIFs with over $1 million in 2013 year-end balance would be surplised on a graduated basis, with every million after the first surplused at increasing factors of 10% ($1-$2 million at 10%, $2-$3 million at 20%, $3-$4 million at 30%, etc.)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TIF Name</th>
<th>Current TIF Share</th>
<th>2015 TIF Share</th>
<th>2013 End Balance</th>
<th>Surplus Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Goose Island</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>$1,543,900</td>
<td>$54,390</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Near North</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>$17,173,500</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Branch North</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>73%</td>
<td>$3,142,200</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Branch South</td>
<td>71%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>$8,457,700</td>
<td>$3,166,160</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Addison South</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>$4,625,000</td>
<td>$850,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>$4,070,550</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TIFs in the Ward that are not included in the surplus**

TIFs that have Modern Schools Across Chicago (MSAC) obligations, TIFs that were created as single project TIFs, TIFs that are less than three years old, and TIFs with no new projected revenues are considered ineligible for surplus. Additionally, any funds remaining in terminating or expiring TIFs are returned to the County for distribution to the taxing districts, and are not subject to the surplus calculation above.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TIF Name</th>
<th>Current TIF Share</th>
<th>2015 TIF Share</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fullerton Milwaukee</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>17%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weed Freemont</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Ward 33  Alderman Mell

**Surplus**

TIFs with over $1 million in 2013 year-end balance would be surplused on a graduated basis, with every million after the first surplused at increasing factors of 10% ($1-$2 million at 10%, $2-$3 million at 20%, $3-$4 million at 30%, etc.)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TIF Name</th>
<th>Current TIF Share</th>
<th>2015 TIF Share</th>
<th>2013 End Balance</th>
<th>Surplus Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Addison Corridor North</td>
<td>98%</td>
<td>98%</td>
<td>$8,252,500</td>
<td>$8,200,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Addison South</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>52%</td>
<td>$4,625,000</td>
<td>$850,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>$9,050,000</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TIFs in the Ward that are not included in the surplus**

TIFs that have Modern Schools Across Chicago (MSAC) obligations, TIFs that were created as single project TIFs, TIFs that are less than three years old, and TIFs with no new projected revenues are considered ineligible for surplus. Additionally, any funds remaining in terminating or expiring TIFs are returned to the County for distribution to the taxing districts, and are not subject to the surplus calculation above.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TIF Name</th>
<th>Current TIF Share</th>
<th>2015 TIF Share</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Addison Corridor North</td>
<td>98%</td>
<td>98%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lawrence Kedzie</td>
<td>45%</td>
<td>55%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kennedy Kimball</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Irving Park Elston</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Ward 34  Alderman Austin

Surplus

TIFs with over $1 million in 2013 year-end balance would be surplused on a graduated basis, with every million after the first surplused at increasing factors of 10% ($1-$2 million at 10%, $2-$3 million at 20%, $3-$4 million at 30%, etc.)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TIF Name</th>
<th>Current TIF Share</th>
<th>2015 TIF Share</th>
<th>2013 End Balance</th>
<th>Surplus Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Roseland Michigan</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>$340,200</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>119th Halsted</td>
<td>99%</td>
<td>99%</td>
<td>$494,900</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>119th I57</td>
<td>93%</td>
<td>99%</td>
<td>$634,700</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Western Rock Island</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>$1,785,900</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| **TOTAL:**         |                   |                |                  | **$0**         

TIFs in the Ward that are not included in the surplus

TIFs that have Modern Schools Across Chicago (MSAC) obligations, TIFs that were created as single project TIFs, TIFs that are less than three years old, and TIFs with no new projected revenues are considered ineligible for surplus. Additionally, any funds remaining in terminating or expiring TIFs are returned to the County for distribution to the taxing districts, and are not subject to the surplus calculation above.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TIF Name</th>
<th>Current TIF Share</th>
<th>2015 TIF Share</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>105th Vincennes</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>97%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>West Pullman</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Surplus**

TIFs with over $1 million in 2013 year-end balance would be surplused on a graduated basis, with every million after the first surplused at increasing factors of 10% ($1-$2 million at 10%, $2-$3 million at 20%, $3-$4 million at 30%, etc.)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TIF Name</th>
<th>Current TIF Share</th>
<th>2015 TIF Share</th>
<th>2013 End Balance</th>
<th>Surplus Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Humboldt Park</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>$176,400</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lawrence Pulaski</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>$158,700</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Armitage Pulaski</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>68%</td>
<td>$422,700</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TOTAL:** $0

**TIFs in the Ward that are not included in the surplus**

TIFs that have Modern Schools Across Chicago (MSAC) obligations, TIFs that were created as single project TIFs, TIFs that are less than three years old, and TIFs with no new projected revenues are considered ineligible for surplus. Additionally, any funds remaining in terminating or expiring TIFs are returned to the County for distribution to the taxing districts, and are not subject to the surplus calculation above.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TIF Name</th>
<th>Current TIF Share</th>
<th>2015 TIF Share</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pulaski Corridor</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fullerton Milwaukee</td>
<td>51%</td>
<td>16%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lawrence Kedzie</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>13%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kennedy Kimball</td>
<td>89%</td>
<td>90%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Irving Park Elston</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Surplus

TIFs with over $1 million in 2013 year-end balance would be surplused on a graduated basis, with every million after the first surplused at increasing factors of 10% ($1-$2 million at 10%, $2-$3 million at 20%, $3-$4 million at 30%, etc.)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TIF Name</th>
<th>Current TIF Share</th>
<th>2015 TIF Share</th>
<th>2013 End Balance</th>
<th>Surplus Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Montclare</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>$432,500</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diversey Narragansett</td>
<td>54%</td>
<td>54%</td>
<td>$1,108,400</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Armitage Pulaski</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>$422,700</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TOTAL:** $0

### TIFs in the Ward that are not included in the surplus

TIFs that have Modern Schools Across Chicago (MSAC) obligations, TIFs that were created as single project TIFs, TIFs that are less than three years old, and TIFs with no new projected revenues are considered ineligible for surplus. Additionally, any funds remaining in terminating or expiring TIFs are returned to the County for distribution to the taxing districts, and are not subject to the surplus calculation above.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TIF Name</th>
<th>Current TIF Share</th>
<th>2015 TIF Share</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Northwest Ind Corr</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>19%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Galewood Armitage</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>21%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Belmont Central</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>31%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Surplus

TIFs with over $1 million in 2013 year-end balance would be surplused on a graduated basis, with every million after the first surplused at increasing factors of 10% ($1-$2 million at 10%, $2-$3 million at 20%, $3-$4 million at 30%, etc.)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TIF Name</th>
<th>Current TIF Share</th>
<th>2015 TIF Share</th>
<th>2013 End Balance</th>
<th>Surplus Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>North Cicero</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>$764,100</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Austin Commercial</td>
<td>58%</td>
<td>63%</td>
<td>$20,600</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TOTAL: $0

TIFs in the Ward that are not included in the surplus

TIFs that have Modern Schools Across Chicago (MSAC) obligations, TIFs that were created as single project TIFs, TIFs that are less than three years old, and TIFs with no new projected revenues are considered ineligible for surplus. Additionally, any funds remaining in terminating or expiring TIFs are returned to the County for distribution to the taxing districts, and are not subject to the surplus calculation above.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TIF Name</th>
<th>Current TIF Share</th>
<th>2015 TIF Share</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Northwest Ind Corr</td>
<td>32%</td>
<td>45%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Galewood Armitage</td>
<td>32%</td>
<td>23%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Madison Austin</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>12%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Belmont Central</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chicago Central Park</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>24%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Ward 38  Alderman Cullerton

**Surplus**

TIFs with over $1 million in 2013 year-end balance would be surplused on a graduated basis, with every million after the first surplused at increasing factors of 10% ($1-$2 million at 10%, $2-$3 million at 20%, $3-$4 million at 30%, etc.)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TIF Name</th>
<th>Current TIF Share</th>
<th>2015 TIF Share</th>
<th>2013 End Balance</th>
<th>Surplus Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Read Dunning</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>$2,010,000</td>
<td>$102,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Portage Park</td>
<td>54%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>$7,325,100</td>
<td>$2,075,280</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Belmont Cicero</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>$2,391,000</td>
<td>$45,210</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>West Irving Park</td>
<td>96%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>$475,600</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TOTAL:** $2,222,490

**TIFs in the Ward that are not included in the surplus**

TIFs that have Modern Schools Across Chicago (MSAC) obligations, TIFs that were created as single project TIFs, TIFs that are less than three years old, and TIFs with no new projected revenues are considered ineligible for surplus. Additionally, any funds remaining in terminating or expiring TIFs are returned to the County for distribution to the taxing districts, and are not subject to the surplus calculation above.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TIF Name</th>
<th>Current TIF Share</th>
<th>2015 TIF Share</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Irving Cicero</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Belmont Central</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Surplus**

TIFs with over $1 million in 2013 year-end balance would be surplused on a graduated basis, with every million after the first surplused at increasing factors of 10% ($1-$2 million at 10%, $2-$3 million at 20%, $3-$4 million at 30%, etc.)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TIF Name</th>
<th>Current TIF Share</th>
<th>2015 TIF Share</th>
<th>2013 End Balance</th>
<th>Surplus Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Peterson Cicero</td>
<td>94%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>$705,800</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peterson Pulaski</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>$2,292,500</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lawrence Pulaski</td>
<td>95%</td>
<td>92%</td>
<td>$158,700</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elston Armstrong</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>66%</td>
<td>$6,500</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TOTAL:** $0

**TIFs in the Ward that are not included in the surplus**

TIFs that have Modern Schools Across Chicago (MSAC) obligations, TIFs that were created as single project TIFs, TIFs that are less than three years old, and TIFs with no new projected revenues are considered ineligible for surplus. Additionally, any funds remaining in terminating or expiring TIFs are returned to the County for distribution to the taxing districts, and are not subject to the surplus calculation above.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TIF Name</th>
<th>Current TIF Share</th>
<th>2015 TIF Share</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lawrence Kedzie</td>
<td>37%</td>
<td>18%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Irving Park Elston</td>
<td>83%</td>
<td>35%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Ward 40  Alderman O'Connor

**Surplus**

TIFs with over $1 million in 2013 year-end balance would be surplused on a graduated basis, with every million after the first surplused at increasing factors of 10% ($1-$2 million at 10%, $2-$3 million at 20%, $3-$4 million at 30%, etc.)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TIF Name</th>
<th>Current TIF Share</th>
<th>2015 TIF Share</th>
<th>2013 End Balance</th>
<th>Surplus Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Clark Montrose</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>$2,218,700</td>
<td>$143,740</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clark Ridge</td>
<td>67%</td>
<td>56%</td>
<td>$4,428,600</td>
<td>$92,980</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Devon Sheridan</td>
<td>42%</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>$475,400</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TOTAL:** $236,720

**TIFs in the Ward that are not included in the surplus**

TIFs that have Modern Schools Across Chicago (MSAC) obligations, TIFs that were created as single project TIFs, TIFs that are less than three years old, and TIFs with no new projected revenues are considered ineligible for surplus. Additionally, any funds remaining in terminating or expiring TIFs are returned to the County for distribution to the taxing districts, and are not subject to the surplus calculation above.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TIF Name</th>
<th>Current TIF Share</th>
<th>2015 TIF Share</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Devon Western</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>55%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lincoln Av</td>
<td>55%</td>
<td>55%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Western Av North</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lawrence Kedzie</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>14%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Edgewater Ashland</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Ward 41  Alderman O'Connor

**Surplus**

TIFs with over $1 million in 2013 year-end balance would be surplused on a graduated basis, with every million after the first surplused at increasing factors of 10% ($1-$2 million at 10%, $2-$3 million at 20%, $3-$4 million at 30%, etc.)

*There are no TIFs in the 41st Ward.

**TIFs in the Ward that are not included in the surplus**

TIFs that have Modern Schools Across Chicago (MSAC) obligations, TIFs that were created as single project TIFs, TIFs that are less than three years old, and TIFs with no new projected revenues are considered ineligible for surplus. Additionally, any funds remaining in terminating or expiring TIFs are returned to the County for distribution to the taxing districts, and are not subject to the surplus calculation above.

*Not applicable.*
Surplus
TIFs with over $1 million in 2013 year-end balance would be surplused on a graduated basis, with every million after the first surplused at increasing factors of 10% ($1-$2 million at 10%, $2-$3 million at 20%, $3-$4 million at 30%, etc.)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TIF Name</th>
<th>Current TIF Share</th>
<th>2015 TIF Share</th>
<th>2013 End Balance</th>
<th>Surplus Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Canal Congress</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>58%</td>
<td>$23,897,200</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chicago Kingsbury</td>
<td>62%</td>
<td>62%</td>
<td>$9,027,200</td>
<td>$3,624,480</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>River West</td>
<td>41%</td>
<td>44%</td>
<td>$10,995,900</td>
<td>$5,495,900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LaSalle Central</td>
<td>61%</td>
<td>99%</td>
<td>$2,642,000</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>$9,120,380</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TIFs in the Ward that are not included in the surplus
TIFs that have Modern Schools Across Chicago (MSAC) obligations, TIFs that were created as single project TIFs, TIFs that are less than three years old, and TIFs with no new projected revenues are considered ineligible for surplus. Additionally, any funds remaining in terminating or expiring TIFs are returned to the County for distribution to the taxing districts, and are not subject to the surplus calculation above.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TIF Name</th>
<th>Current TIF Share</th>
<th>2015 TIF Share</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Near South</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ohio Wabash</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Randolph Wells</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Surplus**

TIFs with over $1 million in 2013 year-end balance would be surplused on a graduated basis, with every million after the first surplused at increasing factors of 10% ($1-$2 million at 10%, $2-$3 million at 20%, $3-$4 million at 30%, etc.)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TIF Name</th>
<th>Current TIF Share</th>
<th>2015 TIF Share</th>
<th>2013 End Balance</th>
<th>Surplus Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Near North</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>$17,173,500</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Branch South</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>$8,457,700</td>
<td>$3,166,160</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>$3,166,160</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TIFs in the Ward that are not included in the surplus**

TIFs that have Modern Schools Across Chicago (MSAC) obligations, TIFs that were created as single project TIFs, TIFs that are less than three years old, and TIFs with no new projected revenues are considered ineligible for surplus. Additionally, any funds remaining in terminating or expiring TIFs are returned to the County for distribution to the taxing districts, and are not subject to the surplus calculation above.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TIF Name</th>
<th>Current TIF Share</th>
<th>2015 TIF Share</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
**Surplus**

TIFs with over $1 million in 2013 year-end balance would be surplused on a graduated basis, with every million after the first surplused at increasing factors of 10% ($1-$2 million at 10%, $2-$3 million at 20%, $3-$4 million at 30%, etc.)

*Not applicable.*

**TIFs in the Ward that are not included in the surplus**

TIFs that have Modern Schools Across Chicago (MSAC) obligations, TIFs that were created as single project TIFs, TIFs that are less than three years old, and TIFs with no new projected revenues are considered ineligible for surplus. Additionally, any funds remaining in terminating or expiring TIFs are returned to the County for distribution to the taxing districts, and are not subject to the surplus calculation above.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TIF Name</th>
<th>Current TIF Share</th>
<th>2015 TIF Share</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lincoln Belmont Ashland</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>40%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Ward 45  Alderman Arena

**Surplus**

TIFs with over $1 million in 2013 year-end balance would be surplused on a graduated basis, with every million after the first surplused at increasing factors of 10% ($1-$2 million at 10%, $2-$3 million at 20%, $3-$4 million at 30%, etc.)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TIF Name</th>
<th>Current TIF Share</th>
<th>2015 TIF Share</th>
<th>2013 End Balance</th>
<th>Surplus Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Jefferson Park</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>$2,343,500</td>
<td>$132,840</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Portage Park</td>
<td>28%</td>
<td>75%</td>
<td>$7,325,100</td>
<td>$2,075,280</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Belmont Cicero</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>$2,391,000</td>
<td>$45,210</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>West Irving Park</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>$475,600</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peterson Cicero</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>$705,800</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lawrence Pulaski</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>$158,700</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elston Armstrong</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>34%</td>
<td>$6,500</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Avondale</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>$320,100</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TOTAL:** $2,253,330

**TIFs in the Ward that are not included in the surplus**

TIFs that have Modern Schools Across Chicago (MSAC) obligations, TIFs that were created as single project TIFs, TIFs that are less than three years old, and TIFs with no new projected revenues are considered ineligible for surplus. Additionally, any funds remaining in terminating or expiring TIFs are returned to the County for distribution to the taxing districts, and are not subject to the surplus calculation above.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TIF Name</th>
<th>Current TIF Share</th>
<th>2015 TIF Share</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Irving Cicero</td>
<td>96%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Irving Park Elston</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>60%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Surplus**

TIFs with over $1 million in 2013 year-end balance would be surplused on a graduated basis, with every million after the first surplused at increasing factors of 10% ($1-$2 million at 10%, $2-$3 million at 20%, $3-$4 million at 30%, etc.)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TIF Name</th>
<th>Current TIF Share</th>
<th>2015 TIF Share</th>
<th>2013 End Balance</th>
<th>Surplus Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Clark Montrose</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>27%</td>
<td>$2,218,700</td>
<td>$143,740</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lawrence Broadway</td>
<td>45%</td>
<td>65%</td>
<td>$5,394,400</td>
<td>$658,520</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hollywood Sheridan</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>$226,800</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>$802,260</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TIFs in the Ward that are not included in the surplus**

TIFs that have Modern Schools Across Chicago (MSAC) obligations, TIFs that were created as single project TIFs, TIFs that are less than three years old, and TIFs with no new projected revenues are considered ineligible for surplus. Additionally, any funds remaining in terminating or expiring TIFs are returned to the County for distribution to the taxing districts, and are not subject to the surplus calculation above.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TIF Name</th>
<th>Current TIF Share</th>
<th>2015 TIF Share</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Wilson Yard</td>
<td>99%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Montrose Clarendon</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Ward 47  Alderman Pawar

**Surplus**

TIFs with over $1 million in 2013 year-end balance would be surplused on a graduated basis, with every million after the first surplused at increasing factors of 10% ($1-$2 million at 10%, $2-$3 million at 20%, $3-$4 million at 30%, etc.)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TIF Name</th>
<th>Current TIF Share</th>
<th>2015 TIF Share</th>
<th>2013 End Balance</th>
<th>Surplus Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Clark Montrose</td>
<td>53%</td>
<td>68%</td>
<td>$2,218,700</td>
<td>$143,740</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Western Av South</td>
<td>97%</td>
<td>97%</td>
<td>$2,954,300</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lawrence Broadway</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>$5,394,400</td>
<td>$658,520</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ravenswood Corridor</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>$2,754,300</td>
<td>$250,860</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Addison South</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>$4,625,000</td>
<td>$850,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>$1,903,120</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TIFs in the Ward that are not included in the surplus**

TIFs that have Modern Schools Across Chicago (MSAC) obligations, TIFs that were created as single project TIFs, TIFs that are less than three years old, and TIFs with no new projected revenues are considered ineligible for surplus. Additionally, any funds remaining in terminating or expiring TIFs are returned to the County for distribution to the taxing districts, and are not subject to the surplus calculation above.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TIF Name</th>
<th>Current TIF Share</th>
<th>2015 TIF Share</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lincoln Belmont Ashland</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>60%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Western Av North</td>
<td>90%</td>
<td>50%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Surplus**

TIFs with over $1 million in 2013 year-end balance would be surplused on a graduated basis, with every million after the first surplused at increasing factors of 10% ($1-$2 million at 10%, $2-$3 million at 20%, $3-$4 million at 30%, etc.)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TIF Name</th>
<th>Current TIF Share</th>
<th>2015 TIF Share</th>
<th>2013 End Balance</th>
<th>Surplus Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bryn Mawr Broadway</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>$4,571,200</td>
<td>$56,950</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clark Montrose</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>$2,218,700</td>
<td>$143,740</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clark Ridge</td>
<td>27%</td>
<td>35%</td>
<td>$4,428,600</td>
<td>$92,980</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lawrence Broadway</td>
<td>55%</td>
<td>27%</td>
<td>$5,394,400</td>
<td>$658,520</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Devon Sheridan</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>$475,400</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hollywood Sheridan</td>
<td>93%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>$226,800</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TOTAL:**                    $952,190

**TIFs in the Ward that are not included in the surplus**

TIFs that have Modern Schools Across Chicago (MSAC) obligations, TIFs that were created as single project TIFs, TIFs that are less than three years old, and TIFs with no new projected revenues are considered ineligible for surplus. Additionally, any funds remaining in terminating or expiring TIFs are returned to the County for distribution to the taxing districts, and are not subject to the surplus calculation above.

*Not applicable.*
Ward 49  Alderman Moore

**Surplus**

TIFs with over $1 million in 2013 year-end balance would be surplused on a graduated basis, with every million after the first surplused at increasing factors of 10% ($1-$2 million at 10%, $2-$3 million at 20%, $3-$4 million at 30%, etc.)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TIF Name</th>
<th>Current TIF Share</th>
<th>2015 TIF Share</th>
<th>2013 End Balance</th>
<th>Surplus Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Clark Ridge</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>$4,428,600</td>
<td>$92,980</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Devon Sheridan</td>
<td>57%</td>
<td>75%</td>
<td>$475,400</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TOTAL:** $92,980

**TIFs in the Ward that are not included in the surplus**

TIFs that have Modern Schools Across Chicago (MSAC) obligations, TIFs that were created as single project TIFs, TIFs that are less than three years old, and TIFs with no new projected revenues are considered ineligible for surplus. Additionally, any funds remaining in terminating or expiring TIFs are returned to the County for distribution to the taxing districts, and are not subject to the surplus calculation above.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TIF Name</th>
<th>Current TIF Share</th>
<th>2015 TIF Share</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pratt Ridge</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>15%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Ward 50  Alderman Silverstein

Surplus

TIFs with over $1 million in 2013 year-end balance would be surplused on a graduated basis, with every million after the first surplused at increasing factors of 10% ($1-$2 million at 10%, $2-$3 million at 20%, $3-$4 million at 30%, etc.)

*Not applicable.

TIFs in the Ward that are not included in the surplus

TIFs that have Modern Schools Across Chicago (MSAC) obligations, TIFs that were created as single project TIFs, TIFs that are less than three years old, and TIFs with no new projected revenues are considered ineligible for surplus. Additionally, any funds remaining in terminating or expiring TIFs are returned to the County for distribution to the taxing districts, and are not subject to the surplus calculation above.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TIF Name</th>
<th>Current TIF Share</th>
<th>2015 TIF Share</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Devon Western</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>45%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lincoln Av</td>
<td>44%</td>
<td>44%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pratt Ridge</td>
<td>85%</td>
<td>85%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Touhy Western</td>
<td>99%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>