

18:05:25 >> I'm going to go ahead and record this meeting so folks that aren't able to join, it will be summed up for them afterwards.

18:05:35 >> Perfect. (Recording in progress).

18:05:56 Hey, Matt. Thanks for joining.

18:06:01

18:06:02 >> Hey.

18:06:07

18:06:07 >> Okay. We are about 6 after. I want to make sure we get through all of this and give everyone here plenty of time to ask questions and answer those. So we are going to go ahead and get started. Thanks everybody for joining our last stakeholder meeting for the 18th development plan. You can see here this is our agenda for tonight.

18:06:31 We are going to start by going through the feedback we got from the community as part of public meeting number three. Then we'll walk through how the over view of meeting four will go next week and open up to the group for questions of any of those components and anything else you have questions about or want to talk about tonight.

18:06:59 Okay. So, what I am going to do is walk through the feedback we collected based on the questions we asked everyone. At the top here if you remember, if you were able to join us virtually or at youngen school last month for the public meeting, you'll remember the questions we asked on the sheets of paper or virtually online as part of the polling software. We wanted to break it out by the numbers. This was the feedback we got for what folks liked overall for each 1 Presented Had 1. that al

18:08:14 People also liked the overall lay out. And some of the mobility options. Dislikes again, overall, low density in the trail head

18:08:31 Not as much high-rise or mid rise buildings

18:08:36 Linearly, the new street -- some folks weren't too excited about.

18:08:41 They felt like it might have been a little too dense

18:08:44 Same way with the pocket park scenario essentially as well

18:08:49 Suggestions, just comments folks had around this question, enriching community culture through the linear system

18:08:57 And then more homes, more pedestrian connectivity for the pocket parks

18:09:04 Overall based on the feedback, the pocket park plan, the third one sort of had the most feedback from the community

18:09:13 So that's where that one shook out

18:09:15 Then getting into residential

18:09:48 Liked the mixture to some extent. This one really didn't have town homes but there is a break up think folks were gravitating towards

18:09:48 Some additional components, ensuring there is ADA access in terms of design style

18:09:57 Folks wanted variety in here as well and in the average unit size

18:10:04 Same questions for linear

18:10:05 Folks gravitated more towards the flats in this one and some of the town homes

18:10:11 People liked the mixture of housing here v. the previous one with the different six types of flats. Then the high-rise building on 16th street

18:10:26 Some folks also disliked town homes. I think that is where if you were able to attend in person, that is where the discrepancy was in the community in person where folks wanted town homes and others didn't and wanted higher density uses

18:10:40 So that comparison there

18:10:42 Then as a part of that, the need to balance density

18:10:46 Then the desire for denser uses along 18th and 16th that fronts the major fairs

18:10:53 Then insuring the design fits the context of the Pilsen neighborhood and surrounding streets

18:11:05 That should say pocket. Same sort of situation. Town homes sort of lowest

18:11:08 Then it increased when we got to flat and mid rise

18:11:24 People generally liked the lay out and configuration of the buildings they were more flats, or I guess more flats in comparison on 16th street

18:11:29 Then some suggestions people had were the mid rise on 16th and 18th, you know, increasing that potentially or recon figuring it a little bit to have it fit again with the scale across the street

18:11:44 Next set of questions, this is where we wanted to get information from the community on bedroom mixture.

We know folks really wanted to emphasize overall the desire for more family sized units as part of the development
18:12:02 We asked the community to break out the percentage of the total units what they wanted to see in terms of bedroom break out

18:12:09 What we have here is sort of the average response across the board for the three different scenarios. So you'll see just in general, two bedrooms and three bedrooms for the majority. They are the priority across all different scenarios. Folks emphasizing that larger family size units, that is easier to accommodate from an affordability standard

18:12:37 Four bedrooms, there is a need or desire but not as much. I think some things that come with that, is the added cost for a four bedroom unit v. the three. And what that discrepancy looks like

18:12:50 Just to emphasize, two bedrooms and three bedrooms were the primary break outs for the community

18:12:59 Then looking at open space and green space. What you like about that in a scenario. What types of these open spaces or green spaces would you like to see?

18:13:12 First is the trail head. Liked potentially having a dog park. We were discussing having it east of the rail spur or west on the southern end by 18th street

18:13:26 Playgrounds, green roofs were incorporated across the board

18:13:31 Some cook out and communal space for barbecues and get togethers SXSHGS things like that

18:13:41 Dislikes from the same end, folks said there was too much open space within the scenario

18:13:42 Too large, wasn't evenly distributed throughout the site. Those were received as well

18:13:54 Then a question folks had about the open space was who is responsible for maintaining and developing it

18:14:02 That is something FWETing worked out through the city

18:14:04 Does the park district get involved, how much is it the developer's responsibility

18:14:08 That is something that will come out through the QA process once this plan is adopted.

18:14:14 Same question again looking at linear system. Folks liked the dog park idea. That is pretty consistent across all three scenarios.

18:14:25 Some additional open space for families. Some of the dislikes were that there wasn't as much green space in this one. Suggestions around it were removing the street, the additional 17th s would be a benefit. Then again, that maintenance question popped up across all three again.

18:14:56

18:14:58 Some folks mentioned crime in the area related to the open space. That was a question folks had as well

18:15:04 Then looking at pocket parks, dog park, green roof, playground.

18:15:13 Pretty consistent theme across the board figuring out how to incorporate that through programming and the final plan

18:15:20 Again, with this one, we introduced 17th street. Similar comments. Folks weren't potentially excited about 17th street or potentially, Segamond.

18:15:36 Just wanting to provide more space, walkability, parked cars

18:15:46 Some suggestions, maybe more smaller parks instead of three larger half block sized ones from the community

18:15:57 I remember 1 person asking specifically about the rooftop green space and who will have access to that

18:16:02 That depends on the developer and how that looks but they would largely serve the residents within those buildings

18:16:14 . So then looking at roadways, mobility, circulation, what did folks like about that in this scenario. That sort of comes back to in the linear and pocket park scenarios

18:16:22 The introduction of 17th street across both and Segamond and the pocket park scenario

18:16:35 A lot of folks were concerned about traffic and potentially parking as well

18:16:37 So some people, you know, thought the introduction of the street wasn't necessary. They didn't specify which one typically.

18:16:45 In some cases folks did like 17th street. In the pocket park system they thought it would help with park SXG traffic flow around 16th and 18th and that level of connectivity

18:16:59 Some suggestions across the board that you can see here, safety and security around lighting, parking options and opportunities

18:17:08 Then ensuring connections, north and south, to university village, south throughout the rest of Pilsen

18:17:14 Then again, that safety insecurity around lighting.

18:17:20 So then sort of wrapping up a bit, moving into nonresidential development. Maintaining that commercial corridor coming from the west. Maintaining that opportunity for pedestrian access

18:17:42 Overall, some art space, potentially, that public space within the plazas and the green space, some folks made

comments on. In terms of wants for specific commercial uses, people suggested a grocery store or markets. Parking popped up in this question as of local businesses for Pilsen businesses to smaller tenants to provide that opportunity for a new sort of Pilsen commercial space

18:18:22 So before I move on into public meeting number four over view, did anyone have questions about input collected from public meeting number 3?

18:18:36 Nope? Okay.

18:18:46 So then public meeting number four over view, really quickly, one thing we are going to emphasize through public meeting number four and just so you all know, we are going to present what we just presented to you all, the input from public meeting number three will also fold in some of the feedback from public meeting number two around the data and the market analysis

18:19:12 That is going to include basically a diagram that shows how the feedback collected up to this point has gotten us to this final scenario. Essentially if you remember from public meeting number three, we said we would collect your feedback and consolidate down to one scenario

18:19:32 And that is exactly what we have done. We have incorporated these components into the final scenario to present for next week. It has been adjusted to maximize the industrial space and green space opportunities.

18:19:50 We took that feedback, made adjustments and you'll be able to see the components people asked for, requested, and some of the pieces that people wanted to take out.

18:20:02 To be fair, we had to balance the desire for town homes v. not, the desire for higher density in certain air I can't say v. lower density in others. That is one of the big pieces we'll be presenting next week in public meeting number four

18:20:20 As a reminder, everybody knows our final meeting is next week, January 26th. This time, start time is at 6. It will go from 6 to 8. Still 2 hours. The format will be similar to meeting three. A presentation and a shorter open house. The content we'll be discussing will be similar to what we discussed in public meeting number three

18:20:48 Except this time we have narrowed it down to one scenario

18:20:52 It will still have the site plan, models, you'll see locations of buildings v. open space, mobility connections, the number of units will be presented as well. So it will be sort of our final total count. That will also include square footage for nonresidential uses. Land use will be there as well

18:21:19 And a couple of components we are going to be emphasizing this time that we haven't for the previous three scenarios are the open space and green space programming. Where folks said we really want a dog park in this space, playground for children and youth in the community to be able to come play, green roof, access to the trails and things like that.

18:21:44 In this presentation next week, we'll emphasize that. And have a couple scenarios where we think the dog park and public art spaces would fit best, where the playground would fit best. These configurations have a home now within the site. Now you are going to see where we think it could fit as a part of the development program

18:22:08 Similarly, we are going to provide a preliminary financial analysis over view. So we had to wait to get down to this one scenario to start that financial analysis component. So now we have done that. Next week we'll provide a rough outline of what that looks like, what process we go through for conducting that financial analysis

18:22:30 Then emphasizing based on community feedback and interest, we are running basically two scenarios from a financial side. Both are promoting or are emphasizing and ensuring that the site will be developed as majority affordable housing. One scenario will actually be looking at it as if it was 100% affordable

18:22:53 The second one will still be majority affordable but it will have mixed income opportunities in there. Additionally we'll be providing an over view of owner v. renter opportunity within in the scenarios to provide a general sense of what that break down could look like for that site

18:23:16 That gets decided once the city chooses a set of developers to conduct the project. But what we are doing is the baseline analysis so the city has it when they go to choose a group of developers for this process. So they will have that to decide with applications

18:23:42 So reiterate, the open space program, more clearly delineated land use program and preliminary over view as well

18:23:59 . So then the format, again, it is very similar to public meeting number three. The big difference here is that instead of splitting up the 2-hour time that we have into half presentation and half open house

18:24:09 The majority of the time is going to be presentation

18:24:11 We wanted to do this to provide the community to ask questions in more detail during the presentation. So we'll stop and take opportunities for questions as we move through the different components of the presentation. Once we go through the site plan and the model we'll stop and see if folks have questions about the revised play out

18:24:31 Where the green space should go, where the buildings are, that part of the configuration piece. Then we'll move to green space, open space, if the dog park is in the right place. Giving people a chance to ask those questions about the process.

18:24:47 Then same thing around financial components. Any sort of additional QA at the end. We are not setting a time for that because we do again want to emphasize the opportunity for everyone in the community to ask questions and hear them all at once

18:25:03 Whatever remaining time we have, will go to the open house component. If we don't get to that, that's okay. The questions that we would ask when we get to the open house piece will be very similar to the previous ones to do that final round of iteration. The point is for folks to ask questions, one final round of revisions with the city before adoption this year.

18:25:36 We want you to all ask questions as you have them throughout that process. When we wrap that up, if we have time we'll move into open house for folks to see things maybe a little bit in more detail on the board and stuff like that. If we aren't able to get to that, that's okay too. We want to make sure we are able to get all the questions from everyone

18:25:59 Last, the virtual component will run exactly the same. What we are presenting in person will be seen from folks joining in zoom. They will be able to hear and we'll be in there answering questions in the chat as they come up.

18:26:12 Then having the people in person asking and answering there as well. Then same process. When we get to the open house piece, then folks in the room will go down to that. People in the zoom will have polls and questions ready to go when we move to that piece

18:26:32 Are there any questions about the over view for public meeting number four, the process we are going to go to? What additional components we are going to be adding?

18:26:42 >> There is two questions in the chat. Three, actually. I want to address one from Felipe for the rental properties. We don't know who the managers will be. That will depend on the QA we receive after this is put out. Once we start receiving application and proposals for QP funding we'll have more information on who will develop these properties.

18:27:10 That will include all those details. Shaun, I'll toss the other two questions to you because they are more in your sphere

18:27:20 >> For sure. To go back up, can you send us that information prior to January 26th? Moises, do you have more information?

18:27:32 >> Yeah. You mentioned there is going to be one proposal presented. Is there a way this group can obtain that prior? What's the plan?

18:27:42 >> Um, yeah. The diagram and stuff is going to be presented first to the public. We want everyone to sort of see it at the same time. In terms of proposal pieces, QAP process isn't going to start until after really plan adoption. So that will be public once the city goes through that process. That is really nothing we have any involvement in.

18:28:07 Then it looks like Felipe had a couple other pieces. Any analysis on the impact towards property taxes this development will have on surrounding properties? Then is the property going to be owned by the city after it is developed?

18:28:25 Property tax analysis is not a part of this task. In general for affordable housing or mixed income housing they will have a slight impact on property taxes.

18:28:38 Traditionally, it is a very low sort of rate that impact will be. But we just won't know until we are able to get into it. I know the Pilsen community is working with the tax assessor or having discussions with the county assessor around the property tax increase

18:28:58 That is really all I can say that we know about that process. We are not with the assessor so we are not involved in that.

18:29:06 Unfortunately, I can't really answer any other questions about that because we are not involved in that and we don't know.

18:29:16 Is the property going to be owned by the city after it is developed? That will come out of the the development process through QAP as well. Currently the city owned the land. It will be provided for the construction of the site but the city has to work with the developer or developers to figure out how ownership gets worked out once construction is completed.

18:29:42 Any other questions from anybody?

18:29:51 Feel free to shout them out if you have them

18:29:54 >> Again, I just -- so even for contracting, how do local contractors -- is that even part of -- so once it goes out to the QAP or the proposal process of looking for developers, it is up to the developer to hire within the

community or they could hire somebody from a contractor -- a general contractor or whatever -- you know, from California or other states and just outside of Chicago if they wanted to?

18:30:26 >> I think -- correct me here. You are asking what the hiring requirements are for construction for the project once a developer has been selected?

18:30:37 >> Right.

18:30:38 >> And -- yeah. That's part of the process with this city. I honestly don't know what the city's requirements are around that. I'm sure they have some kind of local component requirement but I don't know if that is a majority or percentage that is.

18:30:58 >> I could talk a little bit about that, Shaun. That is going to depend. I'm going to sound a little bit like a broken record but a lot of these are going to go back to the QAP process and how we select award DEEs. When we receive a developer, that will include who the developer is, architect firm--those are all normally together or as a group.

18:31:25 As part of the QAP and the criteria we set out, proposals will be weighed differently based on a number of things including how the amenities they include, the compensation of the development group, where they are based. All these things will affect how proposals are weighed and selected

18:31:47 Until we start getting proposals in, we won't have answers to these questions. That is why it is important for folks to stay connected with this. Hopefully in the spring you'll be able to review those before the city makes selections

18:32:07 We'll have opportunities to see what the proposals look like, who the developers are and engage with the city in helping us make a selection for who the best developer for different sizes and phases of this will be

18:32:24 >> Thanks. How will Akon and the city ensure --

18:32:31 After the final public meeting we are going to collect that feedback, work back with the city to revise the draft scenario into a final scenario. That will be put back and different components like that. Economic strategy and what that looks like

18:32:54 Once that's -- the plan is drafted, that will go for adoption and there is a public comment period where the entire community has the opportunity to review the plan, ask questions, provide comments back to the city on that

18:33:08 Then once it is adopted, then it is a part of the public engagement process through the QAP. And there is a 30-day comment period around that. And a couple different spots, Edwin, you can speak to the rest of post plan adoption

18:33:25 >> For sure. Like Shaun mentioned, there are going to be more opportunities for engagement. This particular process for the planning is done after the end of the month basically.

18:33:39 The city will be adopting all of this. I was going to talk about this a little bit later but this is a perfect moment or it. I have talked to a couple of you about this

18:33:52 Once we wrap up with this process next week, just be on the look out. Because we will be bringing all this community engagement work in house at the DOH. Everyone on this group will be getting an e-mail from me over the next two or 3 weeks to connect one on one

18:34:10 And set up how we want to continue this engagement process. This doesn't end here. This is very much like, not even phase one. This is phase zero. So we will be continuing to have these conversations. The QAP will be published sometime in the next couple weeks. So you will be able to review the draft of the QAP. I'll submit public comments. We'll have a meeting sometime in February. Any part of the QAP or all of it. We'll share information on that. Moving forward

18:34:46 We'll be continuing to be in contact with everyone in this group and other members of the community as we start receiving proposals. We want to include the community in all of these conversations. So I will be reaching out to everyone in this group individually to set up that time to talk and see how this process plays out over the next 6 months and next year

18:35:15 >> Thanks, Edwin. Felipe.

18:35:17 >> So part of the QAP we could say one of the requirements is that they hire 20% of their labor or whatever from the community or within the city of Chicago so that they -- local, even Chicago contractors could get in. And also that, if it is city-owned, that there is probably a residence -- I am just throwing this out there that they have to be a Chicago resident to work at the site?

18:35:49 >> Yes. Those will all be comments that you can submit as part of the QAP process and bring out at the public meeting. In fact, those are exactly the things we want to be hearing about, how do we best select the proposals and developers for not just this project but all the developers across the city getting funded through the QAP.

18:36:19 >> Thank you.

18:36:22 >> Yeah. Any other questions?

18:36:24 >> Yeah. I didn't -- so was there like, a community space where it is open to the community? I didn't hear

that. But I thought that that was mentioned in some of the -- like a public space that's allowed.

18:36:38 >> In the project?

18:36:40 >> Right, in the project.

18:36:41 >> Correct. There was I think a couple comments around that. Currently, in any of the original scenarios, any community space was outdoor space, public open space, public reading space. There isn't any structural community space currently.

18:37:04

18:37:04 >> Currently. So that could change? Is that going to come out before the 26th?

18:37:10 >> The 26th scenario has again, has that community space from a public open space and green space perspective. It doesn't currently emphasize any community space as of now but if those are in the comments we get from folks next week we'll definitely bring that back and discuss it with the city.

18:37:36 >> Okay. Then you said this is like ground zero until further questions come to the public as well.

18:37:42 >> Yeah. Yeah, exactly like Edwin said. This plan is the very beginning of this entire process. There is still years before the site actually gets developed. So there is plenty more opportunity for the community to ask questions or emphasize some of the different land use components they want to see in the site.

18:38:04 >> All right. Thank you very much. Appreciate your guys work.

18:38:11 >> Yeah, thanks, Felipe. Questions from SNIBLS.

18:38:17 >> I just wanted to remind everyone, we mentioned it is a frame work plan. That is really what it is. A frame work for the quantity of green space, the kind of buildings and the land use. We have come very, very far but yes, it is right at the beginning.

18:38:35 >> So it seems like y'all are developing the frame work for the past few months. And the past few months we have been raising the same points about density and affordability, etc. etc., would QAP be the space to push for those demands?

18:39:02 >> It is another avenue for those questions to be brought up. So one thing to consider is that the comments that we receive during QAP, will inform what developments we -- how we frame the criteria for what developers should bring to the table when they submit the proposals.

18:39:26 So questions around density, affordability, there will be other opportunities for that but question requests around density, design, all those different things are part of the QAP conversation. And are also part of the proposal selection conversation. So keep in mind, two pads point that this is a frame work. So the end result might not look exactly like the scenario we have here.

18:39:53 But all those comments are part of our decision-making process. And the way in which we weigh and score the different proposals and select the different developers to develop site itself.

18:40:13

18:40:14 >> Okay. So like, in a sense, right, you all make like, the frame itself to the potential developer to paint the picture, and like to put in layman's terms?

18:40:27 >> Yes. We have this frame work. Developers will take whatever it is produced here, incorporate it into the QAP and submit proposals. So I want to create this part of the site based on the criteria and the requirements that the QAP says need to be included in order to develop this site.

18:40:56 >> Moises, I think you had your hand up at some point. Did you have a question?

18:41:03 >> I think it was to Javi's QAP process, other fame work recommendations could be debated and whatnot. I think there are other pieces that are important and whatnot. So it was just a clarifying question.

18:41:22 >> For sure.

18:41:23 >> One last thing I'll add is that even the final product of this process will not be the final product of the QAP. That is why we have a separate public comment and community engagement process for the QAP itself. So whatever the result of this process is and what we bring to the draft QAP will still be able to be adjusted based on community feedback.

18:41:48 So it is really important for folks to submit those comment and be a part of the public meeting and all their engagement opportunities around the QAP so we can continue to fine tune those details. And whatever the published in the final QAP is responsive to what the community wants and needs. Ruben, you have your hand up

18:42:16 >> Yeah. Maybe Shaun can speak to this, where we are landing on a phase roll out and maybe explain how, you know, how long it will take and how the bidding process -- because it will be tied into state funding. And how, you know --

18:42:33 >> Yeah, yeah.

18:42:34 >> How that's going to play out.

18:42:36 >> Yeah, that's a great point, Ruben. As part of the plan, there will be a phasing plan as part of the development. All the buildings and open space will identify different phases for when they should get developed as part of the entire development process. That will be based on really, configuration of the site. Which buildings are connected to which open space XAENTs PFR who is going to take ownership of those components of what makes sense together.

18:43:11 As well as the financial implications, financial options for that as well. Ruben mentioned, how that is applied to the different structures. A different component is if we are looking at rental housing, affordable rental housing, you are using a lot of low income tax credits that has a roll out

18:43:36 Grant time, the city has a limit of funding around that. So different developments are going to get different funds. It won't all go towards here all at once. They are rolling that out in phases

18:43:52 Then when looking at owner occupied. Its own different structure and B even its own different level of grantees. Not all of it will go to the city. Some will be direct to developers. Some could potentially be direct to property owners. So there is different phases in there. That is what we are getting into as part of the process as well.

18:44:20 Those will include financial implications as THO how the phasing would be best suited to start and end

18:44:28 Then I know Nicole had another question in the chat about who exactly is drafting the QAP and I'll let Edwin speak to that

18:44:38 >> The short answer is the entire department of housing. Every single bureau has a hand in some part of the QAP. Keep in mind, while in the sense of this group and this project, this is a part of the QAP but it is not the entire QAP. The QAP is a city-wide plan that covers 2 years of development plans and financing for projects across the city, multifamily, single family, everything in terms of housing development.

18:45:14 So this is just one component of a much larger in-depth plan. It is also a very technical document that is not very community friendly. It is very much geared towards developers and contractors. So it is very jargon and technical. It is -- personally SXSHGS I am not super well versed on the funding things that go in it and how everything a laid out. It is a very involved process we have

18:46:08 been working on for months now

18:46:20 This is the culmination. And will be published in the next cum of weeks

18:46:27 >> Then just to add to that, this 18th, it is not just this project. There are others throughout this project for this 2-year round of the QAP.

18:46:27 Nicole had another question, could there be a community rentive who is involved in that process?

18:46:34 >> We already have community input. That is why the public comment period and public meeting period will be so important.

18:46:41 That is really the time that we have to include all the details and fine tune what the end product will be

18:46:47 Once the QAP is finalized in the spring of this year hopefully, we are shooting for sometime in mid March, that is our funding criteria and financing plan for the next 2 years

18:48:00 We won't have another revision to the QAP until 2025.

18:48:02 So in the summer/early fall of 2024 we'll start working on the revisions for 2025 when the new plan comes out

18:48:05 Chicago updates QAP every 2 years

18:48:06 And Ruben, I saw you had your hand up

18:48:08 >> Yeah. Edwin, could you remind me again, does the QAP go through planned commission, committee on housing? How does that go?

18:48:08 >> I will have to double-check on that because I haven't been very involved in the QAP drafting process so I don't have an answer.

18:48:10 But it does have to be approved by the commissioner and other folks before it is finalized and published for developers to review

18:48:12 >> Thank you.

18:48:13 >> Thanks, Ruben. We have another 10 minutes that I am happy to give back to everyone but I want to make sure that we have time to ask any other questions you have about this process, through final adoption or like we have been in the QAP and what steps look like after that. Anything else from anybody?

18:48:22

18:48:23 >> Do you have a question?

18:48:25 >> Sorry, I pressed it by accident. You guys pretty much answered what I needed to hear.

18:48:39 >> Great. Okay. We can give you that 10 minutes back. Thank you, everyone, for joining this final meeting. We appreciate you taking this time to give your final input. Edwin will be reaching out for the QAP and

components around that.

18:49:04 Continue to work with him in this process. Join us next Thursday night. If you could make it, that's awesome. We would love to see you. If you can't and you'll have access to zoom, please join us that way. Please share it out. Everyone here should have that on their calendar

18:49:21 If you don't, please let us know and forward it onto everyone else in your communities and organizations. We want to have as many people as possible there next week

18:49:33

18:49:34 >> Yes. We look forward to seeing everyone. Encourage your friends to come too. The more, the merrier.

18:49:40 >> Sorry. If folks on the stakeholders side, if you like, we have a few more fliers, please stop by and pick up a few. We have the fliers with the QR code and the info. We have a few left in the office so come on down. Thank you.

18:50:06 >> Javie, I think it is on social media but I'll be sure to have the DOH person post it as well. And I can send out an e-mail tomorrow to everyone so you have it.

18:50:20 I want to say thank you to all of you for being part of the process, being so engaged and coming to the meetings and helping with outreach and all of the work that you have done. Look out for an e-mail from me so we can keep working on this and move forward

18:50:36 .