

CITY OF CHICAGO'S
**REPORT ON
CHICAGO POLICE
DEPARTMENT
2019 LITIGATION**



I. INTRODUCTION

In 2019, the State of Illinois and the City of Chicago (City) entered into an agreement, known as a “Consent Decree.” Approved by a federal court, the Consent Decree requires the Chicago Police Department (CPD) and other City agencies to institute a series of reforms designed to increase public trust and reduce crime through safe and effective constitutional policing practices.

As part of these reforms, and pursuant to the Consent Decree, the City is releasing its first Chicago Police Department Litigation Report (Report) to inform the public about lawsuits against the City involving allegations of civil rights violations or injuries due to a vehicle pursuit involving a CPD officer.

Paragraph 548 of the Consent Decree identifies the types of cases and specific data elements required for inclusion in this Report. They include lawsuits resolved in the prior calendar year either through a financial settlement between the parties (“Settled Cases”) or concluded by a final order of the court (“Litigated Cases”).¹ The cases required for inclusion in this Report do not include cases filed, settled, dismissed, or awarded damages in 2019 unless all remedies on appeal were exhausted or the case was dismissed and no longer subject to refiling. All cases meeting these standards must be reported regardless of whether or not they have merit.

In addition to the case listings, the Consent Decree also requires the City to disclose: (1) the aggregate amount of attorneys’ fees paid in 2019 to outside counsel engaged in defending the City in civil rights and vehicle-pursuit related litigation; (2) the number of pending civil suits against the City for these types of cases; (3) the status of any related administrative investigations; and (4) the disposition of any felony prosecutions of current or former CPD members.

According to the City’s Department of Law (“DOL”), in 2019 the City paid outside counsel \$25.5 million for legal services to defend active, pending, and concluded federal civil rights cases.² During the same time period, the City did not engage outside counsel to defend any wrongful death or injury cases related to vehicle pursuits. As of September 8, 2020, there were 492 pending lawsuits that involve allegations of civil rights violations or injuries related to a traffic collision involving a vehicle pursuit. These lawsuits involved events occurring over many years, indeed, decades.

The list of Settled Cases with the required details is attached as **Appendix A** to this Report and the list of Litigated Cases is attached as **Appendix B**. The statuses of administrative investigations related to those cases are included in the matrices in both **Appendices A** and **B**. The disposition of felony prosecutions involving CPD officers are set forth in **Appendix C**. As required by the Consent Decree, this Report also includes a summary of the data, a risk analysis, and recommendations for reducing future lawsuits and liability.

II. CIVIL RIGHTS AND VEHICLE PURSUIT LAWSUITS

Lawsuits alleging civil rights violations by CPD officers are handled by the Federal Civil Rights Division (FCRL Division) of DOL. These lawsuits typically involve federal claims brought under Title 42, Section 1983 of the United States Code alleging excessive uses of force, illegal searches or seizures, failures to provide medical care, or constitutional violations resulting in alleged wrongful convictions. The FCRL Division also handles claims filed in state court making similar allegations, although most allegations involving a civil rights violation are filed in federal court.

¹ It is important to note that similar types of cases pending but not concluded in 2019 are not included in this Report.

Paragraph 548 of the Consent Decree requires, in part, that the City disclose a list of all civil lawsuits in which: a plaintiff sought to hold the City responsible for the conduct of one or more current or former CPD officers; the case was handled either by the Department of Law’s (the DOL) Federal Civil Rights Division (FCRL) or by the DOL’s Torts Division if the complaint sought relief associated with a vehicle pursuit; and, any of the following occurred in the prior year:

- (1) the case was concluded by final order and all opportunities for appellate review were exhausted;
- (2) a judgment for the case was satisfied; or
- (3) the case was settled, and the settlement approved when required by the City Council.

See Consent Decree Paragraphs 548(a) and (c). Therefore, active or pending cases are excluded from this Report and not considered in the analysis.

² It is important to note that Consent Decree Paragraph 548 requires the City to report the aggregate amount of attorneys’ fees paid to outside counsel, regardless of the status of the case. Therefore, the amounts cited in this Report include fees invoiced for active and pending cases in addition to the concluded cases listed in the appendices of this Report.

The DOL's Torts Division handles a variety of civil lawsuits which allege that the City caused physical injury, wrongful death, or financial harm to the plaintiffs.

Relevant to this report, Torts Division attorneys handle cases seeking damages for traffic collisions related to vehicle pursuits by the CPD. These lawsuits are typically filed in state court alleging state law claims for personal injury or wrongful death. The data in this Report was compiled by reviewing case filings, court dockets, court orders, City Council records, and administrative proceeding files.

III. LITIGATION DATA

The data for the Settled and Litigated Cases was compiled into the spreadsheets attached as the appendices to this Report. **Appendix A** contains a listing of all Settled Cases which were concluded in 2019 and **Appendix B** contains a listing of all Litigated Cases concluded by a final order in 2019. Below are summary descriptions of the requested data for all lawsuits and specific data for Settled and Litigated Cases.

A. All Lawsuits

In calendar year 2019, the City settled or litigated to a final order 184 reportable lawsuits. The City settled 110, or 60%, of these cases. It paid damages to a plaintiff after a jury verdict in six (or 3%) of the 184 lawsuits reported herein.³ In total, the City made payments to plaintiffs in 116 (or 63%) of the 184 Settled and Litigated Cases.

The City litigated and won the remaining 68 lawsuits. It did so by obtaining court-ordered dismissals in 55 (74% of all Litigated Cases), summary judgments in seven (10% of all Litigated Cases), and defense verdicts after trial in six cases (8% of all Litigated Cases). The 68 lawsuits constituted 92% of all Litigated Cases, including the six jury verdicts in favor of the plaintiffs, and 37% of all cases, both settled and litigated, that were concluded in 2019.

Figures 1 & 2 depict the number of lawsuits and percentage breakdowns by disposition. The dispositions in green represent cases in which the City paid plaintiffs in settlements or juries awards. The dispositions in blue represent cases that the City won.

B. Financial Payouts for Settled and Litigated Cases

In 2019, the City paid plaintiffs a total of \$51.7 million in the 110 Settled Cases and the six Litigated Cases (where a jury had awarded the plaintiff monetary damages). The Settled Cases




Figure 1 - All 2019 Reportable Cases by Disposition




Figure 2 - All Reportable Case Dispositions for 2019 by %

represented 95% of all lawsuits with a payout, while the six jury awards represented 5% of all cases involving a payout.

The \$51.7 million paid in 2019 was almost evenly split between Settled Cases (\$25.7 million or 49.7%) and Litigated Cases where a jury awarded the plaintiff monetary damages (\$26 million, or 50.3%). It should be noted that these numbers reflect a large jury verdict of \$21.3 million, later settled for \$19.25 million, in a vehicle pursuit case, which is significantly higher than any other vehicle pursuit jury verdict against the City in more than 15 years. If that case is assumed to be an anomaly and removed from this analysis, these numbers would reflect that settlements constituted 79%, and trial verdicts 21%, of money paid to plaintiffs.

³ This category includes settlements after a jury verdict for plaintiff(s). For purposes of shorthand, our graphs herein will refer "plaintiffs' verdicts" or "jury awards" and those categories include post-verdict settlements.

Table 1 below sets forth the amounts paid for settlements and jury awards, the number of cases for each, and the percentage breakdowns.

Table 2 below sets forth the amounts paid for settlements and jury awards, the number of cases for each, and the percentage breakdowns when the anomalous vehicle pursuit post-verdict settlement is removed.

Money Paid by the City for Settlements and Plaintiffs' Verdicts by Type in 2019				
Type	Payouts	% of Total Paid	Cases	% of Total Cases
Settlements	\$25,706,500	49.7%	110	95%
Court Awards (Plaintiffs' Verdicts)	\$26,034,100	50.3%	6	5%
Total:	\$51,740,600	100%	116	100%

Table 1 - Money Paid for Settlements and Jury Awards

Money Paid by the City for Settlements and Plaintiffs' Verdicts by Type in 2019*				
Type	Payouts	% of Total Paid	Cases	% of Total Cases
Settlements	\$25,706,500	79%	110	96%
Court Awards (Plaintiffs' Verdicts)	\$6,784,100	21%	5	4%
Total:	\$51,740,600	100%	115	100%

Table 2 - Money Paid for Settlements and Jury Awards

*Reflects data when anomalous post-verdict settlement is removed.

C. Settled Cases by Type

The allegations in the Settled Cases fall into the following general categories:⁴

- Excessive Force
- Extended Detention
- False Arrest
- Failure to Provide Medical Care
- Illegal Search/Seizure
- Malicious Prosecution
- Reversed Conviction
- Wrongful Death - Vehicle Pursuit

⁴ Many of these cases included multiple claims that cover more than one of these categories. For example, a false arrest case may also include a claim for malicious prosecution or an allegation of an illegal search and seizure. Therefore, these descriptions are not definitive, but serve as an aid for general identification and discussion.

D. Settled Cases by Type

The City paid \$6.6 million in 24 Settled Cases involving an alleged illegal search and seizure. The average settlement in such cases was \$276,000. These 24 lawsuits represent 22% of all Settled Cases and 26% of all money paid for settlements in 2019.

The City settled two cases alleging wrongful death as a result of a vehicle pursuit for a total of \$6.2 million. While these two cases represented only 2% of all Settled Cases, they constituted 24% of all money paid in Settled Cases for the year.

The City settled three cases involving reversed convictions in 2019. One case was settled for \$4.5 million, while the remaining two were settled for a total of approximately \$200,000. In total, the City paid \$4.7 million for three reversed conviction cases with an average settlement of \$1.6 million.

The City settled 38 alleged excessive force cases for a total of \$4.4 million, with an average settlement of \$115,000 per case. The 38 cases represented more than a third of the 110 Settled Cases (35%) and constituted 17% of all settlement payouts for the year.

The City settled 32 cases alleging false arrest for a total of \$2.4 million, with an average settlement amount of \$76,000. These 32 cases represented 29% of all Settled Cases while representing 9% of all settlement payouts for the year.

The case with highest settlement amount in 2019 was for \$5.25 million in a case alleging an illegal search and seizure. The case was litigated for over five years and settled shortly before trial. The case with the second highest settlement amount was for \$4.5 million in a case involving a reversed conviction.

The settlement amounts and the number of lawsuits for allegations of malicious prosecution (\$850,000), extended detention (\$767,000), failure to provide medical care (\$9,500), as well as the other case types mentioned above, are set forth in more detail in **Table 3** on the following page.

Settlements by Case Type, Total Settlement Amount, and Total Cases in Percentage Order					
Case Type	Total Settlement Amount	% of All Settlements	No. of Cases	% of All Cases	Average Per Case
Illegal Search/Seizure	\$6,624,250	26%	24	22%	\$279,315
Wrongful Death - Vehicle Pursuit	\$6,200,000	24%	2	2%	\$3,100,000
Reversed Conviction	\$4,645,000	18%	3	3%	\$1,548,333
Excessive Force	\$4,390,250	17%	38	34%	\$115,533
False Arrest	\$2,420,500	9%	32	29%	\$75,641
Malicious Prosecution	\$850,000	3%	1	<1%	\$850,000
Extended Detention	\$767,000	3%	9	8%	\$85,222
Failure to Provide Medical Care	\$9,500	<1%	2	2%	\$4,750
Grand Total	\$25,706,500	100%	110	100%	\$233,695

Table 3 - Settled Cases by Category and Amount

E. Litigated Cases

The City defended 74 lawsuits in which a federal or state court entered a final order concluding the case in 2019. The 74 Litigated Cases constituted 40% of all cases concluded in 2019 either by settlement or litigation.

Of these 74 Litigated Cases, the City won 68, or 92%, by obtaining a court-ordered dismissal in 55 cases, summary judgment in seven cases, and a jury verdict in six cases. These 68 cases in favor of the City also represented 37% of all concluded cases, both settled and litigated.

1. Jury Awards and Payouts

In 2019, 12 out of the 74 Litigated Cases (16%) involved a jury verdict after a trial.⁵ In six cases the jury returned a verdict in favor of the plaintiff(s) and awarded compensatory damages totaling \$24.9 million (with \$19.25 million of that coming from settling after a verdict in a vehicle pursuit case). In three of these cases, the jury also awarded the plaintiffs punitive damages, totaling \$550,000.⁶ Courts ordered the City to pay a total of \$576,000 for the plaintiffs' attorneys' fees in four of the six cases.⁷ In total, the City paid over \$25.4 million in both compensatory damage

awards and attorneys' fees in the six cases involving jury verdicts for the plaintiffs. If one analyzes this data assuming that the vehicle pursuit case is an anomaly, this number is reduced to \$6.23 million.

The six cases involving jury awards represented 8% of all Litigated Cases and 3% of all Settled and Litigated Cases, while representing over 50% of all money paid by the City in 2019.

The largest payment was for \$19.25 million after a jury award for plaintiffs in a lawsuit alleging wrongful death from a traffic collision involving a vehicle pursuit.⁸ The payout for this one case represented 74% of all jury awards, and 37% of all money paid by the City, in 2019.

The second highest award was for \$5.1 million in a case alleging an excessive use of force. This case represented 20% of all jury awards paid in 2019. The remaining four cases totaled under \$2 million and constituted only 6% of the money paid for cases involving jury awards for the plaintiff.

Five of the six cases involving a jury verdict for plaintiff(s) had compensatory damage awards, which totaled \$24.9 million. Punitive damages were awarded in three cases for a total of \$550,000 and a court ordered the City to pay for the plaintiffs' attorneys' fees in four of the six cases for a total of \$576,000. In one case the jury did not award the plaintiff any compensatory damages but did award \$1 in punitive damages. (The City is not liable for any punitive damages, see note 6 above.)

In **Table 4** on the following page, the damage awards and attorneys' fees for the six cases involving a plaintiff's jury verdict are listed.

⁵ The 12 jury trials represented only 6% of all cases, both settled and litigated, concluded in 2019.

⁶ "Punitive damages" are monetary damages awarded to a plaintiff in order to penalize or deter a defendant from similar conduct in the future. Punitive damages do not include compensatory damages which are awarded to compensate the plaintiff for losses allegedly caused by a defendant's acts or omissions. All of these punitive damages were awarded against individual officers. The City is not liable for paying, and does not pay, punitive damages judgments against individuals.

⁷ 42 U.S.C. § 1983 allows a federal court to order a City to pay the reasonable attorneys' fees for a plaintiff if the plaintiff prevailed in a lawsuit that alleged civil rights violations.

⁸ The jury in this wrongful death action originally awarded the plaintiffs \$21.3 million but the parties agreed to settle the case after trial for \$19.25 million.

Jury Verdicts for Plaintiffs – Damages and Fee Awards					
Case Type	Compensatory Damages	Punitive Damages	Attys Fees & Costs	Total Award	% of Total
Vehicle Pursuit Injury	\$21.3 million	\$0	\$0	Settled for \$19.25 million	74%
Excessive Force	\$425,000	\$125,000	\$305,800	\$855,800	3%
Excessive Force	\$5,097,200	\$0	\$7,800	\$5,105,000	20%
Excessive Force	\$10,000	\$0	\$201,500	\$211,500	1%
Illegal Search & Seizure	\$125,800	\$425,000	\$61,000	\$611,800	2%
False Arrest	0	\$1.00	\$0	\$1.00	<1%
Total	\$24.9 million	\$550,001	\$576,100	\$26,034,101	100%

Table 4 - Jury Verdicts with Damage and Attorneys' Fees Awards

2. Jury Verdicts in Favor of the City

A jury returned a verdict in favor of the City and other named defendants in six cases which concluded in 2019.

Three of the six cases involved allegations of excessive force while the remaining three cases involved allegations of wrongful death during a vehicle pursuit, extended detention, and malicious prosecution.

F. Dismissals

Fifty-five of the 68 cases resolved in favor of the City (81%) were dismissals. Thirty-four of these cases were dismissed by the Court with prejudice, 19 without prejudice, and two were voluntarily dismissed by the plaintiff.

G. Summary Judgments

The City obtained summary judgment in its favor in a total of seven cases, with six granted in federal court and one in state court. Summary judgment means that the court reviewed the facts of the case and found that there were no disputed issues of fact (i.e., no jury issues) that prevented the court from entering judgment in favor of the defense.

Five of the seven cases involved allegations of excessive force, one involved an allegation of an illegal search and seizure, and one involved injuries related to a vehicle pursuit. Dismissal of cases based on a defense of qualified immunity is rare; only two cases were dismissed in 2019 for this reason.

Table 5 provides a breakdown of the dispositions of the 74 Litigated Cases concluded in 2019.

Litigated Cases by Disposition		
Case Disposition	Number of Cases	% of Total
Dismissal with prejudice	34	46%
Dismissal without prejudice	19	26%
Summary Judgment	7	9%
Verdict for City	6	8%
Verdict for Plaintiff	6	8%
Dismissal by Plaintiff	2	3%
Total	74	100%

Table 5 – Litigated Cases by Disposition

H. Litigated Cases by Case Type

Table 6 below identifies the case type and disposition for all 74 Litigated Cases. As indicated below, 31 of the 74 (42%) cases involved an allegation of excessive force and 28 of those cases were resolved in favor of the City. The second most frequent type of Litigated Case involved an allegation of false arrest. Twenty-one of the 74 cases (28%) involved a false arrest claim with 20 of the cases (95%) resolved in the City's favor.

Litigated Cases by Case Type							
Type of Case	Dismissal by Plaintiff without prejudice	Dismissal with prejudice	Dismissal without prejudice	Summary Judgment	Verdict for City	Verdict for Plaintiff	Total
Excessive Force		7	13	5	3	3	31
Extended Detention Malicious Prosecution		3			1		4
False Arrest		14	5		1	1	21
Illegal Search/Seizure		9	1	1		1	12
Vehicle Pursuit - Personal Injury	2			1			3
Vehicle Pursuit - Wrongful Death		1			1	1	3
Grand Total	2	34	19	7	6	6	74
% of Total	3%	46%	26%	9%	8%	8%	100%

Table 6 - Litigated Cases by Type

I. Status of Administrative Investigations

Paragraph 548(f) of the Consent Decree requires the City to report the status of administrative investigations conducted by the Civilian Office of Police Accountability (COPA), CPD's Bureau of Internal Affairs (BIA), or the City's Office of the Inspector General (OIG), of any officers named as defendants in any of the lawsuits.⁹ The status includes whether any charges were sustained or not sustained against an officer, whether the allegations were unfounded, or whether the officer was exonerated.

The status of related administrative investigations is included in the matrices in **Appendix A** for all Settled Cases and **Appendix B** for all Litigated Cases. The following sections provide information regarding certain requirements for administrative investigations and the terms used by the City to report the status of any related investigations.

COPA is an independent agency established by ordinance in 2016 responsible for investigating all discharges of a firearm; uses of a stun gun or taser which results in death or serious bodily injury; incidents where a person dies or sustains a serious bodily injury while in CPD's custody or during an attempt to apprehend a suspect; and any officer-involved death. COPA is also authorized to investigate allegations of misconduct when a complaint is made against a CPD member alleging domestic violence, excessive force, coercion, verbal abuse, improper search or seizure, or the unlawful denial of access to counsel.

BIA investigates allegations of misconduct against CPD officers when COPA does not have jurisdiction, when COPA refers the case to CPD for investigation, or when the investigation involves criminal allegations. Investigations by BIA include cases involving alleged criminal misconduct, operational violations, illegal searches, theft of money or property, planting of drugs, substance abuse, residency violations, and medical roll abuse.

The City's OIG is authorized to conduct both criminal and administrative investigations of allegations of corruption, misconduct, waste, or substandard performance by governmental officers, including members of CPD.

1. Investigative Process

Administrative investigations of CPD members are initiated either through a complaint submitted by a member of the public or by CPD notifying COPA of a critical incident within its jurisdiction. In cases where the complaint was submitted by a member of the public, certain procedures apply. For example, in 2019 under the Uniform Peace Officers' Disciplinary

Act (50 ILCS 725/3.8(b)), applicable collective bargaining agreements, and CPD Directives, in order for COPA or BIA to proceed with an investigation of a CPD member, the complainant generally must provide a sworn affidavit which certifies that the allegations made are true and correct.

Both COPA and BIA are required to make a good faith effort to obtain a sworn affidavit from a civilian complainant including in cases where a complainant has filed a lawsuit against the City. In certain circumstances COPA or BIA may investigate cases lacking an affidavit by obtaining an "affidavit override" or under an exception to the affidavit requirement.

When COPA or BIA completes an administrative investigation of an incident, such as an officer-involved shooting or an allegation of misconduct, the agency is permitted to make certain "findings." In cases involving a use of force, the agency will conclude that the incident was "in policy" where there is clear and convincing evidence showing that the officer's conduct was objectively reasonable based on the totality of the circumstances, and therefore, within Department policy. In cases where there are allegations of misconduct, the investigating agency may find that the allegations are sustained, not sustained, exonerated, or unfounded.

Allegations are sustained against an officer when they are supported by sufficient evidence to justify disciplinary action. Allegations are not sustained when they are not supported by sufficient evidence that could be used to prove or disprove the allegation. The subject of an investigation is exonerated when the actions taken by the officer were deemed reasonable based on a totality of the circumstances or were otherwise lawful. Finally, allegations are unfounded when they are either not based on the facts as revealed through the investigation or the reported incident did not occur.

When cases are closed without any findings, the agencies use the following designations:

"Administratively Closed" – Administratively Closed cases involve a truncated investigation that did not reach a finding of sustained, not sustained, exonerated, or unfounded, where: (1) no complaint was made and preliminary investigation by the investigating agency did not reveal misconduct; (2) the preliminary investigation did not yield sufficient information to determine an appropriate entity for referral and COPA or BIA lacked jurisdiction to investigate or a conflict of interest existed; or (3) a complaint involved an allegation of misconduct occurring over five years before and, after preliminary investigative efforts, the investigating agency did not have "objective verifiable evidence" to continue the investigation.

⁹ This, of course, is a small subset of matters considered by these entities.

“Closed - Administrative Termination” denotes a closed case in which, after a truncated investigation, there was insufficient evidence to reach an exonerated or sustained finding or a finding of either not sustained or unfounded. This designation is not permitted in cases which involve the discharge of a firearm; physical violence or threats of physical violence or involve parties that historically had been alleged to have committed physical violence or who have threatened physical violence; a use of force resulting in serious bodily harm or injury; verbal abuse rising to the level of racial bias; or any incident in which video or audio evidence existed that depicted and corroborated the allegations.

“Closed – No Affidavit” and **“Closed – No Conversion”** refer to a closed case where, after making good faith efforts to do so, the investigating agency was unable to acquire a sworn affidavit from a complainant or other party certifying that the allegations made were true and correct, or the investigating agency’s preliminary investigation did not result in sufficient objective verifiable evidence to support an affidavit override request.

“Closed – Hold Status” are investigations on hold due to an ongoing criminal investigation, the separation of the CPD member from the Department before the conclusion of the investigation, or the unavailability of the accused officer.

Table 7 identifies the number of related administrative investigations which are open, closed, or resulted in findings against an officer named as a defendant in any of the lawsuits identified in this Report.¹⁰

Findings and Status of Administrative Investigations of Officers Involved in Litigated and Settled Cases, 2019				
Status	Litigated	Settled	Total	%
Administratively Closed	9	4	13	7%
Closed - Administrative Termination	4	6	10	5%
Closed - Hold Status	0	1	1	1%
Closed - No Affidavit Provided	27	49	76	39%
Closed - No Conversion	5	9	14	7%
Exonerated	2	3	5	3%
No Investigation	9	2	11	6%
Not Sustained	2	10	12	6%
Open	7	20	27	14%
Sustained	0	6	6	3%
Unfounded	7	5	12	6%
Within Policy	3	4	7	4%
Total	75	119	194	100%
Note: This table includes cases with multiple dispositions and officers.				

Table 7 - Findings and Status of Related Administrative Investigations

¹⁰ The data in this table includes findings against individual officers in multi-defendant cases. Therefore, the total numbers of resolutions in this table exceed the number of lawsuits identified as administrative cases in Appendices A and B of this Report.

IV. ANALYSIS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Paragraph 549 of the Consent Decree requires the City to “analyze the data and trends collected, and include a risk analysis and resulting recommendations.” This section responds to that paragraph. The Consent Decree requires the City to examine trends from the data included in this Report. Because this is the first such report, the analysis in this Report is necessarily limited in scope. A few important limitations are identified below.

First, the cases required for inclusion in this Report do not include cases filed, settled, dismissed, or awarded damages in 2019 unless all remedies on appeal were exhausted or the case was dismissed and no longer subject to refiling. For example, five cases with defense judgments in 2019 were affirmed on appeal for the City in 2020. Because the appellate decisions were not rendered in 2019, the five positive outcomes for the City are not subject to the analysis in this Report. Thus, the snapshot of cases included in this Report does not provide a comprehensive understanding of the actual results of lawsuits that may have been close to resolution in 2019.

Second, any risk analysis based on a snapshot of cases finally resolved at a point of time is inherently difficult, limited, and even speculative because of the lapse in time between the incident giving rise to potential liability (the date that an alleged risk materialized) and when a filed case is finally resolved. A case resolved in 2019 could have been filed in 2010 or 2019 or any number of years before or between those dates. And the underlying incidents giving rise to that filing could have occurred a year or more before that filing (such incidents typically do not correspond to the year a case is filed). Thus, there is often a significant lapse in time between the incident giving rise to the liability (the alleged risk) and when the case is concluded. In the meantime, the CPD has been engaged in significant and ongoing reforms and other changes (such as in policies, personnel, and operations), which may be relevant to the categories in this report.

This time lag, and the changes made during the time lag, are significant factors confounding any analysis of whether the root causes (assuming they are within the CPD’s power to address) of allegations shown to be accurate continue to exist to this day, and if so, to what degree. Even where the facts associated with meritorious claims suggest taking certain risk management steps, such steps may be wholly or partly mooted by intervening reforms and in policies, personnel, and operations. In any single case, the analysis would have to start with a granular review of the facts and a determination (where possible) of whether the incident would have occurred in the changed universe of the present.

To illustrate this point, Figure 3 shows how only 21 of the 110 cases settled last year (19%) were actually filed in 2019, with the majority of Settled Cases filed between 2010 through 2018. The date of the underlying incidents giving rise to the lawsuit, of course, are even earlier than when the lawsuit was filed so the lapse in time between the event and resolution of litigation over the event is often much more significant. In fact, in a Settled Case involving an allegation of an illegal search and seizure, the lawsuit was not resolved until five years after it was filed. In reversed conviction cases, the underlying facts will often date back decades and involve deceased parties and witnesses. (As just one example, in a reversed conviction case included in this Report, the underlying incident occurred in 1983.)




Figure 3 - Settled Cases by Year of Filing in Court

Third, there are countless, case-specific factors that may influence the outcome of a lawsuit beyond the merits of a particular case. These factors include the type of allegations, the forum of the dispute, differences between juries (or judges, in connection with bench trials), differences in the parties (including the officers involved), unresolved legal issues, the specific discovery record, the sympathetic circumstances of the plaintiffs, the strategies of plaintiffs’ counsel, the inclinations and rulings of the court, the risk of a runaway jury, and the availability or admissibility of evidence, among others. Therefore, a case may expose the City to sufficient risk meriting settlement, even if the facts do not suggest needed reforms.

Despite these limitations, it is possible to derive some conclusions from the data contained herein. For example, the cases discussed in this Report tend to fall into two general categories:

(1) Cases related to the **deprivation of constitutional procedural rights** such as allegations of officers conducting illegal searches and seizures, false arrests, malicious prosecutions, extended detentions, and misconduct leading to a reversal of conviction; and

(2) Cases involving direct **physical injuries** based on allegations of excessive force, traffic collisions due to a vehicle pursuit, and the failure to provide medical care.

In examining these two categories of cases for 2019, the most significant source of financial liability appeared to be in cases where officers were alleged to have deprived the plaintiffs of their constitutional procedural rights when investigating cases or pursuing suspects. Cases involving allegations of illegal searches and seizures, extended detentions, false arrest, malicious prosecution and investigative errors or misconduct which resulted in a reversed conviction, constituted 59% of settlement payouts and 62% of all Settled Cases. While the Litigated Cases involving this group of allegations did not result in the highest jury awards, cases alleging a deprivation of constitutional procedural rights did constitute the most financial costs when settling cases.¹¹

The second most significant source of financial liability involved cases where the plaintiff was injured during a traffic collision related to a vehicle pursuit. These cases represented 25% of all settlement payouts. Vehicle pursuits are particularly important to the risk analysis because of the significant and disproportionate financial liability that may be incurred where a death is involved. While vehicle pursuit cases in 2019 constituted only 2% of the total number of settled cases, they represented 24% of all settlement payouts. When factoring in jury awards, vehicle pursuits represented \$24.5 million, or 49%, of all financial payouts for cases concluded in 2019. This was due, in large part, to a single jury award of \$20.3 million, later settled for \$19.25 million, in a wrongful death action involving a vehicle pursuit.

Excessive force claims, the most frequent allegation in this category in 2019, represented a lower number of case settlements overall and an overall lower percentage of financial liability. There were 38 excessive force cases settled with an average settlement of \$115,500 per case. While representing 35% of all cases settled, they were only 17% of

all settlement payouts. When factoring in verdicts for plaintiffs making excessive force claims, these cases represented only 20% of all financial liabilities for cases concluded in 2019.

As to the Consent Decree's directive to provide recommendations, the City understands that term to apply to potential initiatives that are not already in progress or in place. The City is aware of the risks that may be implicated in the categories of conduct alleged in the cases discussed in this Report. It has already engaged in numerous reform efforts that should address such risk of the type of conduct alleged in litigation (assuming, arguendo, the related allegations are both substantiated and resulted from conduct attributable to CPD). Most notably, the City voluntarily entered into the Consent Decree, which comprehensively covers nearly all of the categories of conduct set forth in these cases. Much progress has been made in implementing the Consent Decree already, and as the remaining improvements are implemented, they should lead to better training, policies, accountability measures, and supervision.

The Consent Decree requires the City and CPD to support more comprehensive training programs on constitutional policing principles, crisis intervention, and force mitigation and de-escalation tactics, and these efforts are underway. It also specifically addresses the use of excessive force and the provision of medical care. CPD already updated its use of force policies and is currently engaged in a collaborative process to make further improvements subject to the review of the Independent Monitoring Team. The Consent Decree also requires improvements in disciplinary investigations and adjudications, attention to officer wellness, as well as a reduction in the ratio of supervisors-to-officers deployed in the field to enable better supervision.

The Consent Decree does not specifically address vehicle pursuits, but, CPD has already focused on improving policies and procedures in this area and enhancing the use of CPD's Traffic Review Board to examine officer-involved traffic collisions. CPD recently implemented a new vehicle pursuit policy and began training to mitigate the risk of physical injury to the public and pursuing officers.

¹¹ Of the six cases with a jury verdict and award of damages for the plaintiffs, one case alleged a false arrest, and another alleged an illegal search and seizure for a total of \$612,000 in jury awards. The relatively few cases resulting in jury awards makes it difficult to draw any reasonable inferences or identify any meaningful patterns regarding the types of cases involved.

The City also recently instituted new training for officers and detectives involved in the execution of search warrants. The training included guidance on better tactical planning and legal guidance based on the most recent case law. CPD also increased the number of Detective Areas to improve both the responsiveness and quality of criminal investigations and clearance rates for serious crimes. The goal is to continue to improve the investigative capabilities of CPD and reduce investigative errors.

CPD has created a Force Review Division and Incident Response Division, as well as a new Force Review Board. These investigative capabilities and processes for review improve CPD's approach to identify high-risk circumstances and improved accountability. CPD also established an Auditing Unit to improve independent and objective assessments of its operations, processes, and internal controls, enhance compliance, and identify systemic weaknesses.

The City and CPD have also made several recent changes to address risks identified herein. The Mayor appointed a City Risk Officer in 2019 to coordinate city-wide risk management programs, including police-related litigation. CPD also appointed a Department Risk Manager who works closely with the Mayor's Office and the DOL to reduce liability in high-risk areas such as officer-involved traffic collisions and vehicle pursuits.

APPENDIX A

• SETTLED CASES LISTING AND DETAILS

For each reportable case in which the parties reached a court-approved financial settlement in 2019, this Report must list the case name, case number, names of the parties at the time of settlement, the settlement amount, and the amount allocated to attorneys' fees and costs. The list of Settled Cases with the required information is set forth in **Appendix A below**.

For officers named as defendants in any of the Settled Cases, this Report must also list the status of any related administrative investigations conducted by CPD's Bureau of Internal Affairs (BIA), the Civilian Office of Police Accountability (COPA), or the City's Office of the Inspector General (OIG) including whether any charges were sustained or not sustained against an officer, whether the allegations were unfounded, or whether the officer was exonerated. In some lawsuits, there were more than one administrative investigation opened or administrative investigations were opened against multiple officers. In those circumstances, the data in the matrix identifies the disposition for each investigation and each officer as provided by BIA.

APPENDIX B

• LITIGATED CASES LISTING AND DETAILS

For all cases litigated until a final order was entered by a state or federal court, the City is required to disclose the case name, case number, the date the trial court entered a final order, the names of the parties at the time of final order, the nature of the order, the amount of compensatory damages, punitive damages, attorneys' fees, and costs awarded to the plaintiffs. The list of Litigated Cases with the necessary information is set forth in **Appendix B below**.

For officers named as defendants in any of the Litigated Cases, this Report must list the status of any related administrative investigations conducted by CPD's Bureau of Internal Affairs (BIA), the Civilian Office of Police Accountability (COPA), or the City's Office of the Inspector General (OIG) including whether any charges were sustained or not sustained against an officer, whether the allegations were unfounded, or whether the officer was exonerated. In some lawsuits, there were more than one administrative investigation opened or administrative investigations were opened against multiple officers. In those circumstances, the data in the matrix identifies the disposition for each investigation and each officer as provided by BIA.

APPENDIX C

• FELONY DISPOSITIONS

The City is required to disclose the disposition of any felony prosecutions of current or former CPD members from the previous year. There were two such prosecutions, in one case, in 2019.

(1) On June 26, 2020, former CPD Sergeant Xavier Elizondo, was sentenced to 87 months in federal prison after a jury found him guilty of five federal charges including conspiracy to steal property from the Chicago Police Department, conspiracy to violate civil rights, theft of public money, corruptly influencing another person to destroy evidence, and destroying records to impede an FBI investigation (United States v. Elizondo, 18CR00286(1) (N.D. Ill.)).

(2) On July 15, 2020, former CPD Officer David Salgado was sentenced to 71 months in federal prison after a jury found him guilty of five federal charges including conspiracy to violate constitutional rights, theft of public money, making a materially false statement to a federal agent, and destroying records to impede an FBI investigation (United States v. Salgado, 18CR00286(2) (N.D. Ill.)).

Settled Cases With Stipulation of Dismissal Entered in 2019 (Appendix A)

Case Name	Case No.	Type of Case	Date of Stipulation of Dismissal	Settlement Amount	Settlement Allocated for Attorney's Fees and Costs	Named Defendants at Time of Final Order	Findings and Status of Any Administrative Investigations
Acosta v. City of Chicago, et al.	15CV08333	Excessive Force	2019-01-24	\$25,000	Included in Settlement Amount	City of Chicago, David Widmann	Closed - No Conversion
Adams v. City of Chicago, et al.	17CV01143	Excessive Force	2019-05-06	\$5,000	Included in Settlement Amount	Ricky Page, Samuel Jones, Jr., James Jefferies	Closed - No Conversion
Adams, as independent administrator of the estate of Chequita Adams, deceased v. City of Chicago, et al.	17L008570	Vehicle Pursuit - Wrongful Death	2019-10-17	\$4,900,000	Included in Settlement Amount	City of Chicago, Jamie Jawor	Sustained
Akpan v. City of Chicago, et al.	17CV08327	False Arrest	2019-02-21	\$18,000	Included in Settlement Amount	City of Chicago, Brian Polson, Robert Dolezil, Mark Duignan	Closed - No Affidavit Provided
Armstrong v. Mock, et al.	18CV04053	Excessive Force	2019-05-09	\$20,000	Included in Settlement Amount	Lloyd Mock	Closed - No Affidavit Provided
Bagley v. City of Chicago, et al.	17CV06943	Excessive Force	2019-12-20	\$25,000	Included in Settlement Amount	City of Chicago, David Blackman	Not Sustained
Ball v. Judith Cortes, et al.	11CV08741	Excessive Force	2019-04-23	\$300,000	Included in Settlement Amount	Judith Cortes, Marcus Duncan, John Thill, Hugo Salgado, City of Chicago	Sustained
Baskins v. Gilmore, et al.	17CV07566	False Arrest	2019-07-30	\$450,000	Included in Settlement Amount	City of Chicago, Patrick Gilmore, Marc Jarocki, Michael Kelly	Open

Case Name	Case No.	Type of Case	Date of Stipulation of Dismissal	Settlement Amount	Settlement Allocated for Attorney's Fees and Costs	Named Defendants at Time of Final Order	Findings and Status of Any Administrative Investigations
Benka v. Leighton, et al.	18L003448	False Arrest	2019-07-02	\$30,000	Included in Settlement Amount	Edward Leighton, James Nelson, Eric Miranda, and Brandon Baylian	Closed - No Affidavit Provided
Black v. Johnson	17CV09186	False Arrest	2019-03-22	\$500	Included in Settlement Amount	City of Chicago	Closed - Administrative Termination
Bolden v. Salgado, et al.	18CV04233	False Arrest	2019-01-16	\$69,500	Included in Settlement Amount	David Salgado, Rocco Pruger, Asahi Hayden, Richard Mostowski, Xavier Elizondo, Nicholas D'Angelo, Jeffrey Caribou	Closed - Hold Status
Bradley v. City of Chicago et al.	18CV00486	Illegal Search/Seizure	2019-01-25	\$50,000	Included in Settlement Amount	City of Chicago, Braylan Jauregui, Nicolas Morales, Steven Sabatino	Closed - No Affidavit Provided
Brown v. City of Chicago, et al.	19CV00511	Excessive Force	2019-11-12	\$100,000	Included in Settlement Amount	Joseph Cunningham, Diana Klaus, Joel Lopez, Matthew Patruno	Open
Burke, et al. v. City of Chicago, et al.	19CV02316	Excessive Force	2019-12-11	\$52,500	Included in Settlement Amount	James Brinkley, Gerald Kush, William Morales, Angelina Alvear, Matthew Kreptowski, Vincente Diaz, Raymond Arce, Andrew Pang, Leopoldo Morales, Matthew Ramirez, Patrick Moriarty, Jhonathan Perez, Daniel Ojeda, Lixys Gonzalez	Open
Campbell et al. v. City of Chicago, et al.	17CV04467	Excessive Force	2019-04-22	\$265,000	Included in Settlement Amount	City of Chicago, Miguel Villanueva, Josue A. Ortiz, Jesus Roman, John Coriell, Chad Boylan, Thoma	Closed - No Affidavit Provided

Case Name	Case No.	Type of Case	Date of Stipulation of Dismissal	Settlement Amount	Settlement Allocated for Attorney's Fees and Costs	Named Defendants at Time of Final Order	Findings and Status of Any Administrative Investigations
Carmona v. City of Chicago	15CV00462	Extended Detention	2019-11-01	\$100,000	Included in Settlement Amount	Daniel Jacobs, Cesar Guzman, Jose Garcia, Fred Schall, Tracy Fanning, Neil Francis, COC	Closed - No Affidavit Provided
Chew v. City of Chicago, et al.	17CV07201	Excessive Force	2019-08-06	\$50,000	Included in Settlement Amount	B. Kirby, S. Williams, M. Howard, J. Torres, W. Hernandez, and City of Chicago	Closed - No Conversion
Clare v. Anderson, et al.	17CV05071	False Arrest	2019-10-03	\$40,000	Included in Settlement Amount	City of Chicago	Closed - No Affidavit Provided
Climons v. City of Chicago, et al.	17CV08826	Extended Detention	2019-06-19	\$17,000	Included in Settlement Amount	Corona; Martinez, Watson	Closed - No Conversion
Collins v. City of Chicago, et al.	17CV08739	False Arrest	2019-02-12	\$70,000	Included in Settlement Amount	Dennis O'Brien, Bryant McDermott; Robert Brown Jr.	Closed - No Affidavit Provided
Crockett v. City of Chicago, et al	17CV06563	Excessive Force	2019-05-24	\$20,000	Included in Settlement Amount	City of Chicago, Joel Soto, Brian Collins, Ryan Stec and Nicholas Mukite	Open
Curtis v. Domer, et al.	16CV11615	Excessive Force	2019-02-06	\$5,000	Included in Settlement Amount	Emile Domer, Daniel O'Toole, Anthony Cutrone	Exonerated
Darrius Wilson v. Anthony Davis, et al.	10CV00141	Excessive Force	2019-07-31	\$100,000	Included in Settlement Amount	City of Chicago, Anthony Davis, Otis Hosley, Tony Brown, Bernard Kelly, Steven Ward, Anthony Brown, and Timothy Martin	Within Policy
Davis v. City of Chicago, et al.	17CV09242	False Arrest	2019-03-25	\$18,000	Included in Settlement Amount	City of Chicago, Marc Ranallo, Frank Astudillo	Closed - No Conversion

Case Name	Case No.	Type of Case	Date of Stipulation of Dismissal	Settlement Amount	Settlement Allocated for Attorney's Fees and Costs	Named Defendants at Time of Final Order	Findings and Status of Any Administrative Investigations
Davis v. City of Chicago, et al.	17CV06399	False Arrest	2019-05-29	\$50,000	Included in Settlement Amount	Victor Razo, Brandon Ternand	Closed - No Affidavit Provided
De Los Santos v. City of Chicago, et al.	18CV00427	False Arrest	2019-02-05	\$30,000	Included in Settlement Amount	City of Chicago, Elkin Jimenez and Miguel Renteria	Closed - Administrative Termination
Dixon v. Joseph Reyes, et al.	19CV02228	Illegal Search/Seizure	2019-07-24	\$5,000	Included in Settlement Amount	Joseph Reyes, Julio Perez	Closed - No Affidavit Provided
Durham v. Cotton, et al.	19CV03045	Excessive Force	2020-01-22	\$9,000	Included in Settlement Amount	Alexis Cotton, Kevin Knabjian	Open
Eberheart v. Guajardo, et al.	18CV07826	Excessive Force	2019-03-07	\$50,000	Included in Settlement Amount	L. Guajardo, S. Bosy, Michael Martin	Closed - No Affidavit Provided
Ebony Allen v. City of Chicago, et al.	17L0413	False Arrest	2019-03-20	\$20,000	Included in Settlement Amount	City of Chicago, William Choy, Alexander Chorak, Neftali Droz, Kyle Gruba, Matthew Krzeptowski, Slawomir Nowak, Eduardo Prado, and Christopher Regalado	Closed - No Affidavit Provided
Estes v. City of Chicago	14CV01374	Excessive Force	2019-01-04	\$3,000	Included in Settlement Amount	Herman Otero, Sean McDermott, and Martin McDonnell	Closed - No Affidavit Provided

Case Name	Case No.	Type of Case	Date of Stipulation of Dismissal	Settlement Amount	Settlement Allocated for Attorney's Fees and Costs	Named Defendants at Time of Final Order	Findings and Status of Any Administrative Investigations
Ferguson v. City of Chicago	18CV06456	Excessive Force	2019-01-02	\$99,000	Included in Settlement Amount	Fernando Banda, Jeffrey Caribou, Jeremy Carter, Vincent Ciocci, Carlos Delatorre, Xavier Elizondo, Michael Karczewski, Kevin Killen, Mark Mendez, Richard Mostowski, Roberto Ramirez, George Romero, David Salgado, Jose Sanchez, Michael Stutz, Joseph Treacy	Open
Fleck v. City of Chicago, et al.	18CV07745	False Arrest	2019-08-22	\$36,000	Included in Settlement Amount	City of Chicago, Keith Reynolds, Jerry Pentimone, Benjamin Huh, Jesse Cavazos	Closed - No Affidavit Provided
Foster Rayford v. Johnson	17CV02957	Illegal Search/Seizure	2019-03-25	\$5,000	Included in Settlement Amount	Charles Garcia, Stanley Figs	Closed - Administrative Termination
Gianni v. Brandt	18CV07430	False Arrest	2019-03-04	\$50,000	Included in Settlement Amount	David Brandt and City of Chicago	Closed - No Affidavit Provided
Gilbert v. City of Chicago, et al.	18CV05662	False Arrest	2019-01-17	\$50,000	Included in Settlement Amount	City of Chicago, Cornelius Greenwood, Andre Woods, John Craig, Salvador Serrano, Syed Quadri, Randall Darlin, James C. Labbe	Closed - No Affidavit Provided
Gillespie v. City of Chicago, et al.	17L2447	Malicious Prosecution	2019-09-20	\$850,000	Included in Settlement Amount	Bryan Boeddeker, Jamil Brown, Angelo Mandile, Peter Theodore, Gerardo Vega)	Closed - No Affidavit Provided

Case Name	Case No.	Type of Case	Date of Stipulation of Dismissal	Settlement Amount	Settlement Allocated for Attorney's Fees and Costs	Named Defendants at Time of Final Order	Findings and Status of Any Administrative Investigations
Godinez v. City of Chicago, et al.	16CV7344	Excessive Force	2019-12-16	\$1,200,000	Included in Settlement Amount	City of Chicago, Keith Linskog, James McAndrew, Rodrigo Corona, Manuel Arroyo, Gerald Nowakowski, Yasir Ramos, Todd Johnson, Brian Madsen, Samson Dadi, Mark Zdeb, Geraardo Calderon, Jr., Steve Schmid, Timothy McCollom, Robert Przybylowski, Kari Pfeifer, Salome Exclusa, Leanthony Brown, Silvia Remigio, Michael Murphy, Donald Oksanen, Michael Corlett, Don Jerome,	Sustained Not Sustained Against Four Officers Unfounded Against One Officer
Golbert, Cook County Public Guardian, as Guardian of the Estate of Carl Chatman v. City of Chicago, et al.	14CV02945	Reversed Conviction	2019-03-19	\$4,500,000	Included in Settlement Amount	City of Chicago, Jack Boock, Richard Griffin, Bryan Holy, Michael Karczewski, Kriston Kato, Thomas McGreal, Barbara Midona, Rita Mischka, Maria Pena, John Roberts, Denis Walsh, and Karen Wojtczak [and other non-City defendants]	Closed - No Affidavit Provided
Green v. City of Chicago	17CV05325	Excessive Force	2019-01-22	\$50,000	Included in Settlement Amount	City of Chicago, R.L. Brown, J.F. Spellman, K. Moranz, J. Duckins, O. Carrasco, A.A. Urban, M.R. Brittain	Open
Harbin v. City of Chicago, et al.	17CV08992	Extended Detention	2019-04-03	\$70,000	Included in Settlement Amount	Terrance Norris, Bret Westcott	Closed - No Conversion

Case Name	Case No.	Type of Case	Date of Stipulation of Dismissal	Settlement Amount	Settlement Allocated for Attorney's Fees and Costs	Named Defendants at Time of Final Order	Findings and Status of Any Administrative Investigations
Higgs v. City of Chicago, et al.	18CV00041	False Arrest	2019-11-07	\$90,000	Included in Settlement Amount	Joseph Perez, Jamie Chesna, Larry Rattler, Bjornn Millan, Leif Goff, Sherman Jefferson, Ruben Leon, and Robert Mitchum	Closed - No Affidavit Provided
Horton v. City of Chicago, et al.	13CV06865	Excessive Force	2019-10-30	\$700,000	Included in Settlement Amount	City of Chicago and Kenneth Walker	Within Policy
Hudson v. City of Chicago, et al.	18CV03173	Reversed Conviction	2109-01-28	\$45,000	Included in Settlement Amount	Francis DePeder, Homero Ramirez	Closed - Administrative Termination
Jones v. City of Chicago, et al.	15CV01231	Extended Detention	2019-03-06	\$15,000	Included in Settlement Amount	City of Chicago, James Corcoran and Dwayne Davis	Closed - No Affidavit Provided
Jones v. City of Chicago, et al.	19L006406	Excessive Force	2019-12-06	\$70,000	Included in Settlement Amount	City of Chicago, Officers Richard Rodriguez, Oscar Rodriguez, Rosa Elizondo, Anthony Ceja, Ranita Mitchell, Vincent Stinar, Anthony Varchetto, and Sergeant Joshua Wallace	Closed - No Affidavit Provided
Jones v. City of Chicago, et al.	18L002665	Extended Detention	2019-04-25	\$25,000	Included in Settlement Amount	Scott M. McKenna, M.W. Gutkowski, and Kyle Mingari, and City of Chicago	Closed - No Affidavit Provided
Jones, as independent administrator of the estate of Tevin Jones-Rogers, deceased v City of Chicago	17L005331	Vehicle Pursuit - Wrongful Death	2019-10-17	\$1,300,000	Included in Settlement Amount	City of Chicago, Thomas Fennel	Administratively Closed
Knox v. City of Chicago, et al.	19CV00475	Illegal Search/ Seizure	2019-03-11	\$60,000	Included in Settlement Amount	David Salgado, Xavier Elizondo, City of Chicago	Administratively Closed

Case Name	Case No.	Type of Case	Date of Stipulation of Dismissal	Settlement Amount	Settlement Allocated for Attorney's Fees and Costs	Named Defendants at Time of Final Order	Findings and Status of Any Administrative Investigations
Koger v. City of Chicago	19CV01645	False Arrest	2019-08-09	\$75,000	Included in Settlement Amount	Angelina Palermoli; City of Chicago	Closed - No Affidavit Provided
Lee v. James Hunt, et al.	19CV01379	False Arrest	2019-06-04	\$100,000	Included in Settlement Amount	James Hunt, Benjamin DeYoung, City of Chicago	Open
Lenoir v. City of Chicago, et al.	18CV00974	Excessive Force	2019-01-11	\$40,000	Included in Settlement Amount	City of Chicago, Noel Esquivel, Carlos Rojas	Not Sustained
Lewis v. City of Chicago, et al.	16CV07592 18L01739	Extended Detention	2019-12-19	\$100,000	Included in Settlement Amount	City of Chicago, Larron Alexander, Josh Alvarado, Scott Dedore, Scott Korhonen, Abraham Mora, Albert WYROBA	Closed - No Affidavit Provided
Little v. City of Chicago	18CV08115	Excessive Force	2019-05-29	\$30,000	Included in Settlement Amount	City of Chicago, Omar Franco	Sustained
Lloyd v. Bridger, et al.	16CV07475	Extended Detention	2019-01-23	\$260,000	Included in Settlement Amount	Rocco Pruger, Peter Theodore, Bryan Cox, Kenneth Pisano, Stephen Grzenia, City of Chicago	Closed - No Affidavit Provided
Lloyd v. City of Chicago, et al.	16CV09890	Excessive Force	2019-01-09	\$500	Included in Settlement Amount	Jose Pelayo and Robert Fischer	Closed - No Affidavit Provided
Locke v. City of Chicago	17CV05170	Excessive Force	2019-10-03	\$100,000	Included in Settlement Amount	City of Chicago, Dan Cojocnean	Within Policy
Lujano v. Matthews, et al.	17CV03790	Excessive Force	2019-08-21	\$2,500	Included in Settlement Amount	Haven Matthews, Kevin Kilroy, Mark Zawila, and Jose Lopez	Unfounded

Case Name	Case No.	Type of Case	Date of Stipulation of Dismissal	Settlement Amount	Settlement Allocated for Attorney's Fees and Costs	Named Defendants at Time of Final Order	Findings and Status of Any Administrative Investigations
Lumpkins v. Sheetz, et al.	17CV08592	Failure to Provide Medical Care	2019-06-04	\$4,500	Included in Settlement Amount	Kevin Sheetz, Alex Coan, Simplisio Perez	Closed - No Affidavit Provided
Martinez v. Prueger, et al.	18CV08108	Illegal Search/Seizure	2019-02-19	\$10,000	Included in Settlement Amount	City of Chicago, Rocco Prueger and David Salgado	Closed - No Affidavit Provided
Matar v. City of Chicago	16CV08033	Excessive Force	2019-01-03	\$160,000	Included in Settlement Amount	City of Chicago, Officer Lucid	Sustained
McKenzie v. Michael Fazy, et al.	17CV05262	Extended Detention	2019-05-17	\$90,000	Included in Settlement Amount	Michael Fazy, City of Chicago	No Investigation
McNeal v. City of Chicago, et al.	18L011407	False Arrest	2019-03-18	\$35,000	Included in Settlement Amount	James Davis, Durand Lee, Adrienne Carter-Ganakes	Closed - No Affidavit Provided
Miranda, Sr., et. al., v. City of Chicago, et al.	19CV00461	Illegal Search/Seizure	2019-06-24	\$20,000	Included in Settlement Amount	City of Chicago	No Investigation
Moore v. Chicago Police Department, et. al.	14CV09313	Excessive Force	2019-04-01	\$500	Included in Settlement Amount	Andrew Cuomo, Manzo, Gregory Insley	Unfounded Closed - No Affidavit Provided
Moore v. Eric White	15CV11623	False Arrest	2019-04-18	\$4,500	Included in Settlement Amount	Eric White and City of Chicago	Closed - No Affidavit Provided
Moore v. Piper, et al.	17CV08778	Reversed Conviction	2019-04-30	\$100,000	Included in Settlement Amount	Lionel Piper, Dennis Huberts, Jeffrey West, Gregory Sloyan, Dache Blanton	Unfounded

Case Name	Case No.	Type of Case	Date of Stipulation of Dismissal	Settlement Amount	Settlement Allocated for Attorney's Fees and Costs	Named Defendants at Time of Final Order	Findings and Status of Any Administrative Investigations
Murphy v. City of Chicago, et al.	18CV04499	False Arrest	2019-03-22	\$5,000.00	Included in Settlement Amount	Gerold Lee, Donald Kroski, Wojciech Lacz, Theresa Berry	Closed - No Affidavit Provided
Nelson v. Lutzou, et al.	16CV06962	False Arrest	2019-03-29	\$35,000	Included in Settlement Amount	City of Chicago, Bryan Lutzou	Closed - No Affidavit Provided
O'Connor v. Wright, et al.	15CV08066	Failure to Provide Medical Care	2019-01-16	\$5,000	Included in Settlement Amount	Christine Ellman, Derrick Shinn, Anne Lewis, Charles Long, Chantell Moore, J. Rumbaugh, John Owens, Vincent Barner	Closed - No Affidavit Provided
O'Neil v. City of Chicago, et al.	18CV03674	Excessive Force	2019-04-02	\$75,000	Included in Settlement Amount	Terrance Pratscher and Anthony Rosen	Open
Parker v. Burg, et al	17CV05177	False Arrest	2019-10-25	\$15,000	Included in Settlement Amount	Brian Burg, Bryan Zydek	Closed - No Affidavit Provided
Partee v. Hasan, et al.	19CV03689	False Arrest	2019-09-12	\$30,000	Included in Settlement Amount	Khaled Hasan, Nicu Tohatan, Ricardo Torres	Open
Perry v. City of Chicago, et al.	19CV05829	Illegal Search/Seizure	2019-12-18	\$100,000	Included in Settlement Amount	James Sajdak and City of Chicago	Closed - No Affidavit Provided

Case Name	Case No.	Type of Case	Date of Stipulation of Dismissal	Settlement Amount	Settlement Allocated for Attorney's Fees and Costs	Named Defendants at Time of Final Order	Findings and Status of Any Administrative Investigations
Polk v. City of Chicago, et al.	19CV03756	Illegal Search/Seizure	2019-11-07	\$100,000	Included in Settlement Amount	Andrew Braun, Arturo Fonseca, Scott Digrazia, Craig Laidlaw, Robert Gallas, Sean Braandon, John Dolan, Robert McCallum, Eduardo Almanza, Vernon Mitchell, Daniel DeLopez, David Magana, Edmund Zablocki, Walter Chudzik, Armando Ugarte, Jeffrey Jones, Gary Anderson, Patrick McDonough, Adrian Vivanco, Warren Johnson, Humberto Guiterrez, and Angelo Moncaco	Open
Pryor v. Reed, et al.	18CV07958	False Arrest	2019-09-09	\$95,000	Included in Settlement Amount	Tabatha Pringle, Mnason Jose, Jack Reed	Closed - No Affidavit Provided
Puentes de la Torre on behalf of her minor son R.A., v. City of Chicago, et al.	18CV08334	False Arrest	2019-09-23	\$100,000	Included in Settlement Amount	City of Chicago, Jose Troche-Vargas, Maria Kuc, Daniel Rosenthal, Chris Solenic	Open
Rahman-Muhammad v. City of Chicago, et al.	17CV06203	Excessive Force	2019-09-09	\$100,000	Included in Settlement Amount	City of Chicago, Nichelle Harris	Closed - No Affidavit Provided
Ramadan, et al. v. Xavier Elizondo, et al.	18CV04020	False Arrest	2019-05-10	\$75,000	Included in Settlement Amount	Xavier Elizondo, David Salgado	Open Administratively Closed
Ramsey v. City of Chicago, et al.	18CV04698	Excessive Force	2019-04-10	\$18,000	Included in Settlement Amount	City of Chicago, Roberto Garduno, Anthony Spicuzza	Open

Case Name	Case No.	Type of Case	Date of Stipulation of Dismissal	Settlement Amount	Settlement Allocated for Attorney's Fees and Costs	Named Defendants at Time of Final Order	Findings and Status of Any Administrative Investigations
Redmond v. City of Chicago, et al.	19CV03942	Illegal Search/Seizure	2019-10-30	\$100,000	Included in Settlement Amount	Juan Gali, Ryan Graal, Paris Thompson, Anthony Carvajal, Michael Collins, Ricky Garcia, Wey-ni Langdon, Taylor Van Amerongen, Daniel Vasquez, Bradley Yamaji	Open
Robles v. City of Chicago, et al.	18CV04379	Illegal Search/Seizure	2019-07-15	\$59,000	Included in Settlement Amount	Bryan Cox, Xavier Elizondo, Joseph Foley, Jack Lin, Benjamin Martinez, George Nichols, Rocco Pruger, Roberto Ramirez, David Salgado, Louis Szubert, Peter Theodore	Unfounded
Rosas v. Elizondo, et al.	18CV03933	False Arrest	2019-02-19	\$77,500	Included in Settlement Amount	Xavier Elizondo, David Salgado, Other Unknown Chicago Police Officers, City of Chicago	Open Administratively Closed
Russell v. City of Chicago, et al.	19L007031	Extended Detention	2019-12-23	\$90,000	Included in Settlement Amount	City of Chicago, Cornelius Brown, Jeffrey Shafer, David Alvarez, Jr.	Open
Sadowski v. City of Chicago, et al.	18CV05832	Illegal Search/Seizure	2019-12-19	\$40,000	Included in Settlement Amount	John Hendry, Herman Saint-Louis, Noel Liboy, Rick Wilder, Larry Del Dotto, Mateusz Jasinski, Freida Hugueth, Ryan Leavitt	Open
Sanders v. Kennedy, et al.	17CV05755	Illegal Search/Seizure	2019-05-01	\$65,000	Included in Settlement Amount	John Kennedy, Maribel Rosario, Thomas Lieber, Jeremy Barnes, Wayne Ozmina, James Paoletti	Closed - No Affidavit Provided
Scheidler v. Metropolitan Pier and Exposition Authority, et al.	16CV04288	Excessive Force	2019-09-24	\$30,000	Included in Settlement Amount	Edward Montgomery	Not Sustained

Case Name	Case No.	Type of Case	Date of Stipulation of Dismissal	Settlement Amount	Settlement Allocated for Attorney's Fees and Costs	Named Defendants at Time of Final Order	Findings and Status of Any Administrative Investigations
Scott v. City of Chicago, et al.	18CV02340	False Arrest	2019-04-23	\$650,000	Included in Settlement Amount	City of Chicago, Haven Matthews, Ryan Goldie, Agustin Torres, Michael Wells, Daren Borum, Steven Martin, Geoff Pienta	Not Sustained
Sepulveda v. City of Chicago	15CV10820	Excessive Force	2019-04-04	\$265,000	Included in Settlement Amount	City of Chicago	Within Policy
Smith v. City of Chicago	14CV04359	Illegal Search/Seizure	2019-10-22	\$5,250,000	Included in Settlement Amount	City of Chicago, Michael Alaniz, Rodrigo Corona	Not Sustained
Smith v. City of Chicago, et al.	18CV00519	False Arrest	2019-04-01	\$4,000	Included in Settlement Amount	City of Chicago, K.A. Burg, J.F. Cochran	Closed - Administrative Termination
Sparr, et al., v. City of Chicago, et al.	18CV01811	Illegal Search/Seizure	2019-10-15	\$91,250	Included in Settlement Amount	City of Chicago, Michelle Murphy, Lissette Estrella, Baneond Chinchilla, Federico Andaverde, Gerardo Perez, Peter Fleming, Lemornet Miller, Masud Haidari, Kyle Mingari, Marcus Broadway	Closed - No Affidavit Provided
Strickland v. City of Chicago, et al.	18CV07045	False Arrest	2019-03-05	\$40,000	Included in Settlement Amount	Michael Jetel, R. Rodriguez, J. Motesdeoca, Syed Quadri	Closed - No Affidavit Provided
Sykes v. Rowe, et al.	18CV05066	Illegal Search/Seizure	2019-11-05	\$6,500	Included in Settlement Amount	Andrew Rowe, Kevin Garcia, Marvin Bonnstetter, Michael Napoli, Salvatore Reina, Nicholas Vergis, Patrick Scalzitti	Closed - No Conversion

Case Name	Case No.	Type of Case	Date of Stipulation of Dismissal	Settlement Amount	Settlement Allocated for Attorney's Fees and Costs	Named Defendants at Time of Final Order	Findings and Status of Any Administrative Investigations
Taylor v. Rodriguez, et al.	16CV08159	Illegal Search/Seizure	2019-02-15	\$50,000	Included in Settlement Amount	Mark Rodriguez	Closed - No Conversion
Thurman v. Blanco, et al.	19CV00338	Excessive Force	2019-10-15	\$10,000	Included in Settlement Amount	Anthony Blanco; Cornia Gallegos	Exonerated
Thurman v. Domingo Enriquez, Jr., et al.	18CV01709	Illegal Search/Seizure	2019-10-25	\$40,000	Included in Settlement Amount	City of Chicago, Domingo Enriquez, Jr., Michael Wrobel, Karen Rittorno, Michael Walsh	Closed - No Conversion
Tucker v. Torres, et al.	16CV02480	False Arrest	2019-02-04	\$7,500	Included in Settlement Amount	Augustin Torres, City of Chicago	Closed - No Affidavit Provided
Vinson v. City of Chicago, et al.	18CV08224	Illegal Search/Seizure	2019-06-07	\$15,000	Included in Settlement Amount	Joanna Uchwat, Steve Jarosz, and Eboni London	Closed - No Affidavit Provided
Walker v. City of Chicago, et al.	19CV00182	Illegal Search/Seizure	2019-09-09	\$25,000	Included in Settlement Amount	City of Chicago, Albert Torres	Closed - No Affidavit Provided
Washington v. City of Chicago, et al.	16CV09880	Illegal Search/Seizure	2019-07-17	\$30,000	Included in Settlement Amount	City of Chicago, Kory O. Pierce, Patrick P. Soraghan, Raul Casales, Jr., Brian A. Baader, Thomas M. O'Brien, Michael N. Baker, Timothy J. Fary, Joseph M. Byrne, Wilfredo Ortiz, Charita M. Edwards, Brian Gunnell, Wayne A. Wiberg, Jason M. Toliver	Closed - No Affidavit Provided

Case Name	Case No.	Type of Case	Date of Stipulation of Dismissal	Settlement Amount	Settlement Allocated for Attorney's Fees and Costs	Named Defendants at Time of Final Order	Findings and Status of Any Administrative Investigations
Webb v. Luke Opoka, et al.	19CV03853	Illegal Search/Seizure	2019-12-19	\$75,000	Included in Settlement Amount	Luke Opoka, Jose Haro, Derek Duszak, Nicholas Rumsa, Edward Kos, Walter Puchalski, Dennis Huberts, Jr., and Brandon Smith	Closed - No Affidavit Provided
White v. City of Chicago, et al.	18CV04138	Excessive Force	2019-12-19	\$300,000	Included in Settlement Amount	City of Chicago, Carlos Barona	Open
Wiley v. City of Chicago, et al.	17CV07210	Excessive Force	2020-01-15	\$40,000	Included in Settlement Amount	City of Chicago, Daniel Golden, Medardo Castillo, Angelina Palermo, Joel Nava, John Nemec, Juan Quezada, Ricardo Torres, Patrick Forbes, Joseph Duplechin, and Paris Thompson	Closed - No Affidavit Provided
Williams et al., v. City of Chicago, et al.	19CV02993	Illegal Search/Seizure	2019-10-28	\$200,000	Included in Settlement Amount	City of Chicago, Jorge Lopez, Enrique Pacheco, Joseph Zulkey, and Anita Whicher	Open
Williams v. City of Chicago, et al.	15CV08941	Excessive Force	2019-02-07	\$20,000	Included in Settlement Amount	Raquel Castandeda, City of Chicago, Patrick Darling, Thomas Dorn, Dennis Lanning, Michael Poppish, Jeffery Rodenberg, Jimmie Smith	Sustained Against One Officer Not Sustained Against Second Officer
Williams v. City of Chicago, et al.	18CV08355	Illegal Search/Seizure	2019-05-06	\$27,500	Included in Settlement Amount	Nick Zattair, Collin Warren	Exonerated
Williams v. Fennell, et al.	19CV03186	Excessive Force	2019-10-01	\$50,000	Included in Settlement Amount	City of Chicago, T. Fennell	Closed - No Affidavit Provided
Williams v. Officer Kast, et al.	18CV00503	Excessive Force	2019-07-22	\$250	Included in Settlement Amount	Tonia Morin; Patricia Kast	Closed - Administrative Termination

Case Name	Case No.	Type of Case	Date of Stipulation of Dismissal	Settlement Amount	Settlement Allocated for Attorney's Fees and Costs	Named Defendants at Time of Final Order	Findings and Status of Any Administrative Investigations
Yarus v. City of Chicago, et. al	19CV03091	False Arrest	2019-08-31	\$50,000	Included in Settlement Amount	Michael Flores, John Connelly, Michael Durkin, Gina Mata	Closed - No Affidavit Provided

LITIGATED CASES - Verdicts, Dismissals, and Summary Judgments in 2019 (APPENDIX B)

Case Name	Case No.	Type of Case	Final Order	Named Defendants at Time of Final Order	Nature of Order	Compensatory Damages Awarded	Punitive Damages Awarded	Attorney's Fees and Costs Awarded	Total Damages, Fees and Costs Awarded	Findings and Status of Any Administrative Investigations
Anthony-Nwaeke, Individually and as Special Representative & Special Administrator of the Estate of Margaret O. Nwaeke-Anthony, deceased v. City of Chicago	16L004254	Vehicle Pursuit - Wrongful Death	2019-08-15	City of Chicago	Verdict for City	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	Closed - No Affidavit Provided
Bany's v. Chicago Police Department, et al.	19CV04787	False Arrest	2019-11-15	MJ LaChance and Chum	Dismissal with prejudice	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	Administratively Closed
Bond v. Anderson	14CV08584	Excessive Force	2019-10-29	Gary Anderson	Dismissal with prejudice	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	Exonerated
Boyce v. Jackson, et al.	19CV03117	False Arrest	2019-11-04	Jamesa Jackson, Nigel Valentine, Derrick Shinn	Dismissal with prejudice	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	Closed - No Affidavit Provided
Brewer v. City of Chicago, et al.	17CV04503	Illegal Search/Seizure	2019-01-09	Scott Carter, Jason Toliver, Anthony Munizzi	Dismissal with prejudice	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	Open

Case Name	Case No.	Type of Case	Final Order	Named Defendants at Time of Final Order	Nature of Order	Compensatory Damages Awarded	Punitive Damages Awarded	Attorney's Fees and Costs Awarded	Total Damages, Fees and Costs Awarded	Findings and Status of Any Administrative Investigations
Buchanan v. City of Chicago, et al.	17CV05885	Excessive Force	2019-06-10	City of Chicago and Jeremy Barnes	Summary Judgment	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	Exonerated
Carreon; Viridiana Castillo & Daniel Castillo, individually and as Guardians and Next friends of Daniel Castillo Jr., Jaslin Castillo, and Evelin Castillo, minors; Dianet Carreon; Ericka Carreon; Ricardo Carreon Sr., as Guardian and Next friend of Leslie Carreon; and Elvis Adame v. City of Chicago, et al.	16L003067	Vehicle Pursuit - Wrongful Death	2019-04-23	City of Chicago, Terrence Huels, Vanderlei Inacio, James Cascone, Travante Reed	Verdict for Plaintiff	\$19,250,000	\$0	\$0	\$19,250,000	Closed - No Affidavit Provided
Coleman v. City of Chicago, et al.	18CV05653	False Arrest	2019-12-12	Luis Guajardo, Raul Casales, Nataly Janik, Jocelyn Ochonicki, Laura Kuhlmann	Dismissal without prejudice	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	Closed - No Affidavit Provided
Collins vs. Chicago Police Department 11th District, et al.	17CV05905	False Arrest	2019-04-15	David Delpilar, Richard Caro, Aldo Mekshi	Dismissal with prejudice	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	Closed - No Affidavit Provided

Case Name	Case No.	Type of Case	Final Order	Named Defendants at Time of Final Order	Nature of Order	Compensatory Damages Awarded	Punitive Damages Awarded	Attorney's Fees and Costs Awarded	Total Damages, Fees and Costs Awarded	Findings and Status of Any Administrative Investigations
Conner v. Christopher Vacek and James Mason	17CV07299	Illegal Search/Seizure	2019-01-17	Christopher Vacek; James Mason	Summary Judgment	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	Closed - No Conversion
Cooper v. City of Chicago, et. al.	16CV03519	Excessive Force	2019-04-23	Kathleen Clyne, Kathy Schneider, Bill Papadopoulos, Robert Schmidt, Ken Ja, City of Chicago	Verdict for Plaintiff	\$425,000	\$125,000	\$305,814	\$855,814	Administratively Closed
Dasha Davis	15L004799	Excessive Force	2019-08-26	City of Chicago	Verdict for Plaintiff	\$5,097,194	\$0	\$7,791	\$5,104,984	Within Policy
DeJesus v. City of Chicago, et al.	18CV01814	False Arrest	2019-01-29	Miguel Cordero, Michael Cordero, and the City of Chicago	Dismissal with prejudice	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	Closed - No Affidavit Provided
Dewar v. Felmon, et. al.	16CV02287	False Arrest	2019-12-03	Timothy Felmon, Michael Devine, Charles Long	Verdict for Plaintiff	\$0	\$1	\$0	\$1	Administratively Closed
Dix v. Stephens	18CV08271	Illegal Search/Seizure	2019-11-12	Kevin Stephens	Dismissal without prejudice	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	Open
Dolis v. City of Chicago, et al.	19CV03890	Excessive Force	2019-11-06	Anthony Wronkowski, Kazmierski, and Karyn Murphy	Dismissal with prejudice	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	Unfounded

Case Name	Case No.	Type of Case	Final Order	Named Defendants at Time of Final Order	Nature of Order	Compensatory Damages Awarded	Punitive Damages Awarded	Attorney's Fees and Costs Awarded	Total Damages, Fees and Costs Awarded	Findings and Status of Any Administrative Investigations
Donaldson v. City of Chicago, et al.	18M1302143	Excessive Force	2019-12-19	City of Chicago, Chicago Police Department	Dismissal without prejudice	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	Closed - No Affidavit Provided
England v. PO R. Allen, et al.	17CV08911	Excessive Force	2019-07-10	Regan Allen and COC	Verdict for City	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	Closed - No Conversion
Farnik v. City of Chicago	14CV03899	Excessive Force	2019-04-05	Mariann Horan	Verdict for City	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	Closed - No Affidavit Provided
Flex as Special Administrator for the Estate of Wally Flex, Deceased v. City of Chicago, et al.	15L008341	Vehicle Pursuit - Wrongful Death	2019-02-28	City of Chicago, Gabriel Johnson, Dontrell Reese	Dismissal with prejudice	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	No Investigation
Gardner v. P.O. Donovan, et al.	15CV09547	False Arrest	2019-03-27	Patrick O'Donovan, Joseph Nega	Dismissal without prejudice	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	Unfounded
Garmon v. City of Chicago, et al.	18CV05921	Excessive Force	2019-10-15	Ivan Romo, James McNichols, Nicole McManus, Edwardo Gonzalez, Terry Howard	Dismissal without prejudice	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	No Investigation
Gary Cooper v. City of Chicago, et al.	16CV3519	Illegal Search/Seizure	2019-04-23	City of Chicago, Kathleen Clyne, Kathy Schneider, Ken Ja, Vasilios Papadopoulos, and Robert Schmidt	Verdict for Plaintiff	\$125,800	\$425,000	\$61,000	\$611,800	Administratively Closed

Case Name	Case No.	Type of Case	Final Order	Named Defendants at Time of Final Order	Nature of Order	Compensatory Damages Awarded	Punitive Damages Awarded	Attorney's Fees and Costs Awarded	Total Damages, Fees and Costs Awarded	Findings and Status of Any Administrative Investigations
Gonzalez v. City of Chicago, et al.	18CV08416	Illegal Search/Seizure	2019-07-23	J.C. Hernandez, F.M. Jacinto, Johnathan Match, Farah Baqual	Dismissal with prejudice	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	Closed - No Affidavit Provided
Griffin v. J. Antico, et al.	18CV01742	False Arrest	2019-06-19	Joseph Antico; Michael Rooks	Dismissal with prejudice	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	Closed - Administrative Termination
Hamilton v. City of Chicago, et al.	17CV03486	Extended Detention / Malicious Prosecution	2019-03-06	Kevin Mulligan, Anthony Blanco, Todd Reykjalin	Verdict for City	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	Closed - No Affidavit Provided
Hancock v. City of Chicago	18CV04580	Extended Detention / Malicious Prosecution	2019-03-27	Timothy E. Cerven, John Williams, Harry Josefowicz, Timothy Flisk, Kathie Park	Dismissal with prejudice	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	Closed - No Affidavit Provided
Harris v. Hypta, et al.	18CV02016	False Arrest	2019-05-01	T. Alagno, Daniel Brown, James Butler, Paul Corso, J. Diaz, Najana Fowler, Richard Gallegos, Szymon Hypta, R. Jackson, C. Johnson, Khin Fong Kung, Amor Lopez, Joyce McGhee, Robert Murphy, Michael Panico, J. Pudowski, Regina Mia Reyes, Daniel Rodriguez, J. Tapia, Lauren Unzueta, Macina Mosquera	Dismissal with prejudice	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	Unfounded

Case Name	Case No.	Type of Case	Final Order	Named Defendants at Time of Final Order	Nature of Order	Compensatory Damages Awarded	Punitive Damages Awarded	Attorney's Fees and Costs Awarded	Total Damages, Fees and Costs Awarded	Findings and Status of Any Administrative Investigations
Haywood v. Rodriguez, et al.	18CV06359	Excessive Force	2019-11-18	R. Rodriguez, J. Munoz, M. Skalski	Dismissal without prejudice	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	Unfounded
Hill v. Sherman, et al.	16CV11375	Excessive Force	2019-07-22	Todd Sherman	Dismissal without prejudice	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	Closed - No Affidavit Provided
Hoskin v. City of Chicago, et al.	17L008597	Vehicle Pursuit - Personal Injury	2019-06-12	City of Chicago, Preson O'Neal, Clynn O'Neal	Summary Judgment	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	No Investigation
Hoynes v. Enriquez et al.	17CV01988	Illegal Search/ Seizure	2019-01-17	Dominguez Enriquez Jr. and Angel Colon	Dismissal with prejudice	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	Closed - Administrative Termination
Hurston v. City of Chicago, et al.	17CV07114	Excessive Force	2019-06-03	Darren Easterday	Dismissal without prejudice	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	Closed - No Conversion
Jackson v. City of Chicago, et al.	17CV08764	Excessive Force	2019-10-17	Michael Bunyon, John Pilolli, David Hardt and City of Chicago	Dismissal with prejudice	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	Administratively Closed
Johnson v. City of Chicago, et al.	18CV05947	False Arrest	2019-01-27	City of Chicago, Carlos Sanchez, Timothy Martin	Dismissal without prejudice	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	Not Sustained
Jones v. City of Chicago, et al.	18CV05736	Excessive Force	2019-03-28	City of Chicago	Dismissal with prejudice	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	No Investigation
Kitchen v. City of Chicago	15CV06781	Excessive Force	2019-08-13	City of Chicago, Matthew Tegtmeyer	Verdict for Plaintiff	\$10,000	\$0	\$201,500	\$211,500	Unfounded

Case Name	Case No.	Type of Case	Final Order	Named Defendants at Time of Final Order	Nature of Order	Compensatory Damages Awarded	Punitive Damages Awarded	Attorney's Fees and Costs Awarded	Total Damages, Fees and Costs Awarded	Findings and Status of Any Administrative Investigations
Lofton, et al. v. City of Chicago, et al.	17L004552	Vehicle Pursuit - Personal Injury	2019-03-25	City of Chicago, Richard Bell, Tywaun Donald, Timothy Madison	Dismissal by Plaintiff without prejudice	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	Closed - No Affidavit Provided
Love v. City of Chicago, et al.	18CV02142	False Arrest	2019-06-19	City of Chicago and Niyell Powell	Dismissal with prejudice	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	Administratively Closed
Lumpkin v. City of Chicago	18L012881	Excessive Force	2019-04-24	City of Chicago	Dismissal without prejudice	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	Within Policy
Lyons v. City of Chicago, et al.	18L012890	Excessive Force	2019-06-21	Jeffrey Kriv	Dismissal without prejudice	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	Closed - No Affidavit Provided
Malone v. City of Chicago	19CV00063	Excessive Force	2019-02-28	Chicago Police Department	Dismissal without prejudice	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	No Investigation
Malone v. Martino, et al.	16CV03640	False Arrest	2019-01-02	Jason Martino, Brian Warchol	Dismissal with prejudice	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	Closed - No Affidavit Provided
Martin v. City of Chicago	15CV10131	False Arrest	2019-04-19	City of Chicago	Dismissal with prejudice	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	Closed - No Affidavit Provided
Martin v. City of Chicago, et al.	19CV00155	Excessive Force	2019-05-23	City of Chicago and Detective Garcia	Dismissal without prejudice	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	Closed - No Affidavit Provided
Mays v. City of Chicago, et al.	18CV01984	Illegal Search/Seizure	2019-04-02	Jonathan Newsome, Tara Murphy, James Fitzpatrick, Mark Pokata	Dismissal with prejudice	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	Unfounded

Case Name	Case No.	Type of Case	Final Order	Named Defendants at Time of Final Order	Nature of Order	Compensatory Damages Awarded	Punitive Damages Awarded	Attorney's Fees and Costs Awarded	Total Damages, Fees and Costs Awarded	Findings and Status of Any Administrative Investigations
McAtee v. City of Chicago	17CV05677	False Arrest	2019-05-13	Lewis Courts, Brian McEnerney, Jairo Valeriano, Jerry Ivory, Fernando Soto	Dismissal with prejudice	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	Closed - No Affidavit Provided
McKinnie v. Heerd, et al.	17CV07963	False Arrest	2019-05-23	Edward Heerd, Michael Jacob, Ruben Soberon	Dismissal without prejudice	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	Administratively Closed
Michon v. City of Chicago, et al.	16CV06104	False Arrest	2019-10-31	Officer Emily Campbell, Officer Keith Fuelling, Officer Oliver, The City of Chicago	Verdict for City	\$0	NA	\$0	\$0	Closed - No Affidavit Provided
Mostafa v. City of Chicago	18L009488	False Arrest	2019-05-07	City of Chicago	Dismissal with prejudice	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	Open
Motts v. City of Chicago, et al.	18CV01331	Extended Detention / Malicious Prosecution	2019-08-05	City of Chicago, R.R. Norway and B.J. McHale	Dismissal with prejudice	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	Closed - No Affidavit Provided
Muhammad-El v. City of Chicago, et al.	19CV05635	False Arrest	2019-10-17	Danielle Dunn, Russell Willingham, and Curtis Weathersby	Dismissal with prejudice	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	Closed - No Affidavit Provided
Nevarez v. Sweeney et al.,	16CV03319	Excessive Force	2019-09-26	Alexander Franco, Robert Perales, Adam Sweeney, Migdaliz Vazquez	Summary Judgment	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	Open
Patel v. Dist 24, Rogers Park Police Station	19L007388	Excessive Force	2019-10-17	District 24, Rogers Park Police Station	Dismissal without prejudice	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	No Investigation

Case Name	Case No.	Type of Case	Final Order	Named Defendants at Time of Final Order	Nature of Order	Compensatory Damages Awarded	Punitive Damages Awarded	Attorney's Fees and Costs Awarded	Total Damages, Fees and Costs Awarded	Findings and Status of Any Administrative Investigations
Perez v. Valdovinos, et al.	18CV01202	Excessive Force	2019-06-06	Augustine Lozano, Gabino Cortez, Dan Cojocnean, Jose Valdovinos	Dismissal without prejudice	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	Open
Petty v. Hankins	18L009196	False Arrest	2019-10-07	Carolynn Hankins	Dismissal without prejudice	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	Administratively Closed - No Affidavit Provided
Ploski v. City of Chicago, et al.	17CV02306	Excessive Force	2019-08-26	City of Chicago and Bogdan Medenica	Summary Judgment	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	Unfounded
Pruitt v. Dolan, et al.	16CV11442	Excessive Force	2019-02-05	Robert Dolan	Verdict for City	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	Open
Psarologos v. City of Chicago, et al.	18CV03799	Excessive Force	2019-01-14	John Nader, Steven Jugo, Patrick Gallagher, Stephen Krause, James Gonzales, Angel Ward	Dismissal without prejudice	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	Closed - No Affidavit Provided
Rosas v. City of Chicago, et al.	18CV05340	Excessive Force	2019-03-07	Chicago Police Department	Dismissal with prejudice	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	No Investigation
Sadder-Bey v. City of Chicago	18CV01051	Excessive Force	2019-03-19	City of Chicago	Dismissal with Prejudice	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	Closed - Administrative Termination

Case Name	Case No.	Type of Case	Final Order	Named Defendants at Time of Final Order	Nature of Order	Compensatory Damages Awarded	Punitive Damages Awarded	Attorney's Fees and Costs Awarded	Total Damages, Fees and Costs Awarded	Findings and Status of Any Administrative Investigations
Sanford v. City of Chicago, et al	17CV00456	Extended Detention / Malicious Prosecution	2019-05-08	Allen Lee, Jeremiah Johnson, and John Clafford	Dismissal with prejudice	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	Closed - No Conversion
Simpson v. City of Chicago, et al.	18CV04627	Illegal Search/ Seizure	2019-08-12	City of Chicago, Susan Doody	Dismissal with prejudice	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	Closed - No Affidavit Provided
Smith v. City of Chicago, et al.	17L000366	Vehicle Pursuit - Personal Injury	2019-01-03	City of Chicago, Muhammad Abdullah, Muhammad Kamal	Dismissal by Plaintiff without prejudice	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	No Investigation
Smith v. City of Chicago, et al.	18CV04918	False Arrest	2019-09-06	City of Chicago, Ranita Mitchell, Herman Otero	Dismissal with prejudice	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	Closed - No Affidavit Provided
Sroga v. City of Chicago, et al.	18CV04431	Illegal Search/ Seizure	2019-08-05	Peter Del Nodal, City of Chicago	Dismissal with prejudice	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	Closed - No Affidavit Provided
Sroga v. Williams, et. al.	17CV01333	Illegal Search/ Seizure	2019-11-04	Charles Williams, Steve Sorfleet, Robert Dyckman, Patricia Jackowiak, Karen Brazil Breashers	Dismissal with prejudice	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	No Investigation
Torres v. Lindskog	17CV00872	Excessive Force	2019-12-09	Keith Lindskog	Dismissal with prejudice	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	Administratively Closed

Case Name	Case No.	Type of Case	Final Order	Named Defendants at Time of Final Order	Nature of Order	Compensatory Damages Awarded	Punitive Damages Awarded	Attorney's Fees and Costs Awarded	Total Damages, Fees and Costs Awarded	Findings and Status of Any Administrative Investigations
Turner v. City of Chicago, et al.	14CV09880	Excessive Force	2019-01-08	City of Chicago	Dismissal without prejudice	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	Closed - No Affidavit Provided
Watkins v. City of Chicago, et al.	17CV06734	Excessive Force	2019-12-20	Louis Del Coiro	Summary Judgment	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	Not Sustained
Willis v. City of Chicago, et al.	18CV02247	False Arrest	2019-09-27	M Duignan, W Gianiotis, John Hillmann, J. Kenar, K. Lynn, P. Munyon, R. Schultz	Dismissal with prejudice	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	Closed - Administrative Termination
Woodall v. City of Chicago, et al.	15CV11630	Excessive Force	2019-08-28	City of Chicago and Casey Kasper	Summary Judgment	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	Within Policy
Woods v. City of Chicago, et al.	18CV07898	Illegal Search/Seizure	2019-08-07	City of Chicago, Francisco Mendoza, Timothy Gilliland, J. Hernandez, Keith Crot, Samuel Flores, Milan Djordjevic, Aquarius Leonard, Armando Salgado, Nathan Poole, L. King, Andrea Heard, Nicholas Vasselli	Dismissal with prejudice	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	Closed - No Conversion
Zafiro v. Terri Chavez and Hector Morales	17CV08765	Illegal Search/Seizure	2019-03-08	Terri Chavez, Hector Morales	Dismissal with prejudice	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	Open

