

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24

CHICAGO POLICE BOARD

PUBLIC MEETING

MAY 21st, 2015, 7:30 P.M.

CHICAGO PUBLIC SAFETY HEADQUARTERS

3510 SOUTH MICHIGAN AVENUE

1 BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT:  
2 MR. DEMETRIUS E. CARNEY, President  
3 MR. GHIAN FOREMAN, Vice President  
4 MS. MELISSA M. BALLATE  
5 MR. WILLIAM F. CONLON  
6 MR. MICHAEL EADDY  
7 MS. RITA A. FRY  
8 MS. ELISA RODRIGUEZ  
9 MS. RHODA D. SWEENEY

10  
11 OTHERS PRESENT:  
12 MR. GARRY F. McCARTHY, Superintendent of Police  
13 MR. WILLIAM E. BAZAREK, 1<sup>st</sup> Asst General Counsel to the Superintendent  
14 MR. SCOTT M. ANDO, Chief Administrator,  
15 Independent Police Review Authority

16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24

1                   MR. CARNEY: Good evening. I would  
2 call the meeting -- the Police Board meeting of  
3 May 21st, 2015, to order. As we begin this  
4 meeting, I'm saddened to report that one of the  
5 Board members, Susan McKeever, resigned from the  
6 Board on May 4th for health reasons. We thank her  
7 for her years of dedicated service on the Board  
8 and we wish her well and Godspeed as she  
9 addresses her serious health issues.

10                   The first item of business is  
11 approval of the minutes of the Board's public  
12 meeting of April 16th. Is there a motion to  
13 approve?

14                   MR. FOREMAN: So moved.

15                   MR. CARNEY: All in favor?

16                   MR. FOREMAN: Aye.

17                   MS. BALLATE: Aye.

18                   MR. CONLON: Aye.

19                   MR. EADDY: Aye.

20                   MS. FRY: Aye.

21                   MS. RODRIGUEZ: Aye.

22                   MS. SWEENEY: Aye.

23                   MR. CARNEY: Aye. Our next public  
24 meeting will be on Thursday, June 18th here at

1 35th and Michigan, the Office of Public Safety, at  
2 our usual time, which is 7:30. At this time, I ask  
3 for a motion to close a series of executive  
4 sessions for purposes of considering litigation  
5 and personnel matters as authorized by the Open  
6 Meetings Act. Is there a motion?

7 MS. BALLATE: So moved.

8 MR. CARNEY: All in favor?

9 MR. FOREMAN: Aye.

10 MS. BALLATE: Aye.

11 MR. CONLON: Aye.

12 MR. EADDY: Aye.

13 MS. FRY: Aye.

14 MS. RODRIGUEZ: Aye.

15 MS. SWEENEY: Aye.

16 MR. CARNEY: Aye. A report of  
17 disciplinary actions taken by the Board during the  
18 previous month have been made available at this  
19 evening's meeting. This report and the Board's  
20 written findings and the decisions are posted on  
21 the Board's website. At this time we've decided  
22 before we take any final action on disciplinary  
23 cases, I think it's important at this time to take  
24 a few minutes to discuss the role of the Police

1 Board in the disciplinary process.

2 One thing that people often ask  
3 me is how did the Police Board get started? Well,  
4 the Police Board really got started in 1969 and it  
5 was with -- it was through Richard J. Daley who  
6 was the mayor at the time and there were a lot of  
7 police scandals and so what we wanted to do was  
8 bring a superintendent in from the outside and the  
9 superintendent that he wanted to bring was O.W.  
10 Wilson who I think had been a superintendent out  
11 on the West Coast and was a professor at the  
12 University of UCLA, but there was a problem and  
13 the problem was that there was a rule on the books  
14 that before you could be Superintendent of Police  
15 you had to be a resident of the City of Chicago  
16 for a year.

17 So what Mayor Daley decided,  
18 this is Richard J. Daley, what he decided to do  
19 was he created this Board and this Board would run  
20 the police department and sometimes would check  
21 the ordinance or the state statute and say that  
22 the Police Board, you know, you have to review the  
23 police department's budget and give us -- it gave  
24 the Board a lot of administrative

1 responsibilities.

2 Well, that was because that  
3 Board was created strictly to run the Police  
4 Board -- run the police department. So what  
5 happened is that the mayor went down to  
6 Springfield and he created this ordinance or this  
7 statute that created the Police Board and so after  
8 the year was up he became superintendent because  
9 he had fulfilled his year residency and after that  
10 what happened is he decided to keep the Police  
11 Board in -- intact in order to start the process  
12 of where we are today of hearing misconduct --  
13 misconduct cases.

14 Recent news articles and  
15 editorials have presented an incomplete and  
16 misleading account of the role of the Board, but I  
17 want to clear up a few misconceptions that may be  
18 out there about the Board's handling of  
19 disciplinary cases. We have made available at  
20 this evening's meeting and are posted on our  
21 website a one-page overview of the Board's  
22 responsibilities for deciding the most serious  
23 police disciplinary cases.

24 These are cases in which the

1 superintendent recommends that a police officer be  
2 discharged from the police department. So we  
3 have -- these are available and we try to make it  
4 a visual so that everyone can understand the  
5 process and how it works. So we have copies here.  
6 I don't know if Max has handed out copies. They  
7 may be on the back table, but also you can check  
8 them out on our website.

9 As you can see from the handout,  
10 the Board makes decisions in these cases based on  
11 evidence presented at a disciplinary hearing. The  
12 role of the Board is to be an impartial  
13 decision maker that provides due process to all  
14 parties and to carry out these duties in an open  
15 and transparent manner.

16 It is often reported that when  
17 making the decisions and they say -- the article  
18 says the Board agrees with the superintendent or  
19 overrules the superintendent. Presenting the  
20 Board's decision in this way implies that the  
21 superintendent and the Board are looking at the  
22 same information yet reaching different  
23 conclusions. This is simply not true.

24 The superintendent makes a

1 recommendation to discipline a police officer and  
2 forms an investigation whereas the Board makes a  
3 decision based on evidence at a hearing -- at a  
4 hearing and so the case starts off with an  
5 investigation. So the superintendent -- the case  
6 is investigated and as you can see from the blue  
7 sheet here then the case is -- after the  
8 investigation is completed, the superintendent's  
9 office reviews the investigation files and -- the  
10 investigation file and decides whether to bring  
11 charges to discharge a police officer.

12           When the superintendent makes  
13 the decision to discharge a police officer, that's  
14 when the Police Board takes over. He gives a  
15 notice of discharge and once he gives us the  
16 notice of discharge we start the hearing process.  
17 At the hearing, both the superintendent and the  
18 officer have a right of due process. They may  
19 call their own witnesses and present their  
20 evidence and cross-examine witnesses. Comparing  
21 the investigation to a hearing is like comparing  
22 apples and oranges.

23           So many times, you know, for  
24 example, we have some times our cases -- it could



1 be a residency case and it's a requirement that  
2 all police officers reside in the City of Chicago.  
3 Well, in a case where, for example, there is an  
4 investigation and while it comes to the attention  
5 of the superintendent or the Internal Affairs that  
6 a police officer may not be residing in the City  
7 of Chicago so, you know, there is an investigation  
8 conducted to see, you know, if -- someone is  
9 assigned to trail this police officer and many  
10 times they will follow the police officer and he  
11 may leave the city limits on a couple of times and  
12 this is reported back and then there is, for  
13 example, a notice to discharge the police officer  
14 we receive from the superintendent's office.

15 Well, when we have the hearing,  
16 that comes out that this police officer, for  
17 example, has been leaving the city limits, but  
18 what he has been doing is that in one particular  
19 case his ex-wife who is now suffering from  
20 terminal cancer and he has been going out in the  
21 evening a couple nights a week to help with her  
22 son and get him up and get ready for school for  
23 other members. Now, this would not come out in  
24 the investigation, but at the hearing this is the

1 kind of information that witnesses bring up. So  
2 this causes sometimes the discrepancy.

3           And, finally, I'd like to  
4 provide some additional information on the Board's  
5 decisions that were reported in the recent news  
6 articles. Of the 25 discharge cases the Board has  
7 presided over the past year, two cases involve  
8 alleged allegations of excessive use of force  
9 while on duty. In one case, the Board dismissed  
10 the excessive force charge because of the  
11 five-year statute of limitations, which really  
12 means that when there is an excessive force case  
13 the case has to be brought before the Board within  
14 five years of the incident.

15           In other cases, there was  
16 insufficient evidence to sustain the most serious  
17 charge. The Board suspended the officer for 30  
18 days for the misconduct. Seven other cases  
19 resulted from other on-duty incidents involving  
20 the public. The Board discharged four officers,  
21 remanded one officer, found one officer not guilty  
22 and dismissed one case because the officer had  
23 already been disciplined -- disciplined by a  
24 former superintendent for the misconduct.

1                   Four other cases involved --  
2     resulted from off-duty incidents involving the  
3     public. The Board discharged three officers  
4     including one for which recommended -- which  
5     recommended the penalty was suspension and found  
6     one not guilty. Twelve of the cases involved  
7     internal personnel violations and when you hear --  
8     when you often say that a case is before the  
9     Police Board, people think it is always excessive  
10    force, it is always the police against the public,  
11    and that's really not true.

12                   A lot of it are garden variety  
13    cases, many times concern violation of the medical  
14    rules, they concern residency cases. For example,  
15    they can be a drug case. So, many times they're  
16    not -- they are very serious, but they are  
17    violations of the rules. So when you hear all our  
18    cases were not -- all of our cases are not  
19    excessive force or confrontation between the  
20    police and the public.

21                   And 12 of these cases which I  
22    mentioned before involve internal personnel  
23    violations such as not living in the City of  
24    Chicago or testing positive for prescription

1 drugs. In these internal personnel cases, the  
2 Board discharged one officer, remanded two  
3 officers and found other officers not guilty.

4 For example, there is -- there  
5 is random drug testing. So a police officer  
6 unannounced can be called downtown or down here at  
7 35th to take a random drug test. That can happen  
8 to a police officer and if the police officer is  
9 found to have an illegal drug, let's say steroids,  
10 and no prescription for steroids, then that police  
11 officer will then receive -- from the  
12 investigation, receive a notice to be discharged.

13 When we conduct a hearing, for  
14 example, in one particular case as I remember the  
15 officer was suffering from a very serious medical  
16 illness, he called the nurse and the nurse got in  
17 contact with the doctor and the nurse brought a  
18 prescription to the police officer. So he did not  
19 have a written prescription, but he had an oral  
20 prescription. So, therefore, when the  
21 superintendent is investigating this case it --  
22 it's a no tolerance policy on drugs. If you test  
23 positive for drugs, then you're going to receive a  
24 notice for discharge, but when we conduct the

1 hearing we come up with other evidence that may  
2 justify not discharging this particular police  
3 officer.

4           So what I'm trying to bring out  
5 is the superintendent is acting from an  
6 investigation and then we have a hearing on the  
7 case. So the hearing can just bring up evidence  
8 that sometimes is not available in the  
9 investigation.

10           All the Board's written  
11 decisions are posted on our website and I  
12 encourage you to read our decisions for a complete  
13 and accurate picture of the Board's work.

14           In conclusion, impartiality, due  
15 process and transparency are central values of any  
16 police disciplinary system, especially in times  
17 like these when promoting trust in the police and  
18 the public they protect is of the utmost  
19 importance. Guided by these values, the Police  
20 Board promotes accountability and increases the  
21 public and police officer's confidence in the  
22 process for handling allegations of police  
23 misconduct.

24           Now, we're going to take final

1 action on the cases that we -- from our last  
2 executive session. The Police Board, as  
3 authorized by the Open Meetings Act, has  
4 considered in closed meetings several disciplinary  
5 cases. The Board will now take final action on  
6 these cases.

7                   Regarding case number 14 Police  
8 Board 2878, there is a motion to find Police  
9 Officer Rhea Robinson guilty of falsely issuing  
10 parking tickets and to discharge her from the  
11 Chicago Police Department.

12                   MS. BALLATE: So moved.

13                   MR. CARNEY: Second?

14                   MR. CONLON: Second.

15                   MR. CARNEY: All in favor?

16                   MR. FOREMAN: Aye.

17                   MS. BALLATE: Aye.

18                   MR. CONLON: Aye.

19                   MS. RODRIGUEZ: Aye.

20                   MS. SWEENEY: Aye.

21                   MR. CARNEY: Aye. Show of hands.

22 Any opposed? Voting in favor are Board members  
23 Foreman, Ballate, Conlon, Rodriguez, Sweeney and  
24 myself. The motion passes. Is there a motion to

1       adopt the written findings and decisions that have  
2       been reviewed by all the Board members who  
3       participated in the case?

4               MS. BALLATE:   So moved.

5               MR. CARNEY:   Second?

6               MR. FOREMAN:   Second.

7               MR. CARNEY:   All in favor?

8               MR. FOREMAN:   Aye.

9               MS. BALLATE:   Aye.

10              MR. CONLON:   Aye.

11              MR. EADDY:   Aye.

12              MS. FRY:   Aye.

13              MS. RODRIGUEZ:   Aye.

14              MS. SWEENEY:   Aye.

15              MR. CARNEY:   Aye.   Any opposed?

16       This motion passes by unanimous vote.   Regarding  
17       case number 14 Police Board 2874 in which the  
18       superintendent recommended a suspension of 60  
19       days, is there a motion to find Police Officer  
20       Eugene Posey not guilty of the charge related to  
21       an off-duty altercation?

22              MS. BALLATE:   So moved.

23              MR. FOREMAN:   Second.

24              MR. CARNEY:   All in favor -- this

1 one I cannot vote on this.

2 MR. FOREMAN: Aye.

3 MS. BALLATE: Aye.

4 MR. CONLON: Aye.

5 MS. SWEENEY: Aye.

6 MR. CARNEY: Any opposed?

7 MS. RODRIGUEZ: Aye.

8 MR. CARNEY: Voting in favor are

9 Board members Foreman, Ballate, Conlon and

10 Sweeney. Board member Rodriguez is opposed. The

11 motion passes. Is there a motion to adopt the

12 written findings and decisions and dissent that

13 have been reviewed by all Board members who

14 participated in the case?

15 MS. BALLATE: So moved.

16 MR. FOREMAN: Second.

17 MR. CARNEY: All in favor?

18 MR. FOREMAN: Aye.

19 MS. BALLATE: Aye.

20 MR. CONLON: Aye.

21 MR. EADDY: Aye.

22 MS. FRY: Aye.

23 MS. RODRIGUEZ: Aye.

24 MS. SWEENEY: Aye.



1                   MR. CARNEY: Aye. The motion passes  
2 by unanimous consent. The Board's decision in all  
3 these cases in which the Board took final action  
4 this evening will be entered as of today's date  
5 and will be issued to the parties. A copy of the  
6 written decisions will be posted on the Board's  
7 written website within ten business days as  
8 pursuant to the Chicago Municipal Code.

9                   At this time, copies of orders  
10 issued by the superintendent have been made --  
11 during the previous month are listed in the blue  
12 books on the table in the back and also are  
13 available on the Police Board's website.

14                   The next item is the  
15 superintendent's report to the Board.  
16 Superintendent McCarthy?

17                   MR. MCCARTHY: Thank you,  
18 Mr. Carney. Good evening. Some months ago we  
19 began the process of creating a community  
20 relations strategy for the department and this is  
21 again to our gang violence reduction strategy, our  
22 narcotics strategy and now we're creating a  
23 community relations strategy.

24                   To accomplish this, what we

1 began doing just recently now is to do a community  
2 outreach tour, if you will, in small groups around  
3 the city. You may have heard that's what I've  
4 been doing every night basically for the last two  
5 weeks with the executive staff, police officers,  
6 sergeants, lieutenants, captains, right up and  
7 down the ranks and have conversations, frank  
8 conversations, with the community and solicit  
9 their ideas regarding what it is they want.

10           It's my contention that you  
11 can't create community policing without asking the  
12 community what it is that they want and those  
13 meetings have been going very, very well for the  
14 most part. They have been forthright. There has  
15 been great conversation. There has only been one  
16 or two instances where it kind of went off track  
17 where the people just kind of wanted to holler at  
18 us and didn't really offer any solutions.

19           A couple of things have come out  
20 of that already and while you've probably heard me  
21 talk about we've structured the department to  
22 deliver community policing where it wasn't  
23 structured in that fashion before because we had  
24 these task forces and to go over all of our

1 enforcement and those officers came out of beats  
2 and the officers that were left on beats were  
3 relegated to just handling calls for service.

4           So we broke up those task  
5 forces, put the officers back on beats and hold  
6 them accountable for what is happening here, but  
7 what we learned is it's not enough to just put  
8 them on the beats, we want to get them out of the  
9 cars in scenarios where they're not taking  
10 enforcement action, where they're not writing a  
11 ticket, where they're not going to a call for  
12 service and that's one of the first things that  
13 we're adopting that the community has requested  
14 that we're going to do.

15           The second thing is we're  
16 developing now a training program. Both of these  
17 suggestions came from the community. This  
18 training program we've actually already had a  
19 component of it, but it's a training program for  
20 civilian youth to inform them what it is that  
21 police officers are looking for when they stop  
22 somebody whether it's a car stop or a street  
23 encounter and recommendations as to how to make  
24 that encounter a positive one.

1                   Now, we're training our officers  
2     in something called procedural justice and police  
3     legitimacy, which teaches the officers the same  
4     thing, about how to interact with the individual  
5     and now we're taking and creating a program for  
6     civilians to deliver it through churches,  
7     educational facilities and maybe business leaders.  
8     Those are the two big things that are coming out  
9     of it so far. It is not even the beginning of how  
10    much we intend to do with this.

11                   The second thing that I just  
12    wanted to report to the Board is yesterday I did a  
13    speech at the City Club and you may or may not  
14    have heard the results of it, but there were a  
15    couple of statistics that I gave out that are not  
16    generally paid attention to and we always talk  
17    about crime, we always talk about crime  
18    statistics, but what are the numbers behind the  
19    numbers and in this environment where we have to  
20    do better and we have to work harder on police  
21    community relations, it's important to realize  
22    over the last few years police related shootings  
23    have dropped dramatically in this city.

24                   As a matter of fact, at this

1 point in 2011 we had 21 shootings with hits, with  
2 nine fatalities and right now as we sit here we  
3 have five with hits with one fatality. Just an  
4 example of how that's moving in the right  
5 direction and that's happening because of  
6 training, we put an extra training component in  
7 here when I got here three years -- four years  
8 ago, more supervision and policy changes as to the  
9 use of force.

10 The second thing is based upon  
11 putting those officers on the beats and holding  
12 them accountable we've made 17,000 less arrests in  
13 the last two years because we know massive  
14 incarceration is a huge issue in the -- in the  
15 community. We know that it is not about arresting  
16 everybody to get crime reduction. It is about  
17 arresting the right people at the right place at  
18 the right time and as a result we have implemented  
19 strategies and programs that are directed at that  
20 reduction in incarceration rates and then the last  
21 thing that goes along with it is the fact that  
22 over the last two years we have about a 20 percent  
23 reduction in complaints against our officers and,  
24 you know, these are numbers that are not generally

1 recorded.

2                   These are very significant  
3 numbers as far as how we're moving towards a  
4 better style of policing and I'm the first to say  
5 we still have a lot of work to do and I had to say  
6 that probably six or seven times yesterday during  
7 a 25-minute speech that we have a lot of work to  
8 do, but we are moving in the right direction and,  
9 you know, those indicators are supporting that.  
10 Thank you.

11                   MR. CARNEY: Thank you,  
12 Mr. Superintendent. I will now call among those  
13 members of the public who signed up to speak in  
14 advance. When your name is called, please step up  
15 to the microphone. We ask -- we have a number of  
16 speakers so we're going to ask you limit your  
17 comments --

18                   MR. MCCARTHY: Mr. Carney, I'm  
19 sorry. I forgot to say one thing that I wanted to  
20 say specifically at this meeting. I apologize.

21                   MR. CARNEY: No problem.

22                   MR. MCCARTHY: Based upon what I'm  
23 learning from the community, I would ask that  
24 tonight -- I know people are going to raise a lot

1 of issues regarding the Chicago Police Department.  
2 If you have solutions, please offer them because  
3 we'll be taking them in and looking at them and if  
4 they're fundamentally sound and practical and we  
5 can do it, we're going to very -- we're going to  
6 consider them very seriously. Okay. I'm sorry.

7 MR. CARNEY: Yeah. Thank you.

8 Crista Noel?

9 MS. NOEL: Good evening --

10 MR. CARNEY: Good evening.

11 MS. NOEL: -- everyone. How have  
12 you been? I'm going to speak to you once a month  
13 until you fire Dante Servin. As you know, he was  
14 acquitted of involuntary manslaughter, but the  
15 judge made a determination that he should have  
16 been charged with first degree murder. So as far  
17 as the community is concerned, you have at least  
18 one murderer on your police force and the  
19 community does not want him in the community. So,  
20 with that being said, I'll be talking to you once  
21 a month because it is your responsibility to  
22 terminate and I'm going to turn it over to a voice  
23 that you guys very seldomly hear from, Monica  
24 James.

1                   MS. JAMES: Good evening everybody  
2           in the room. Thank you, all, for this opportunity  
3           to address the Board and the panel and I want to  
4           say that I hear you all stating all the great  
5           improvements that you're making within the Chicago  
6           Police Department as well as the Board here, but I  
7           want to say that people of color have been  
8           watching the Chicago police not the previous year,  
9           but this year here alone take people's lives  
10          senselessly. You said you're targeting gang  
11          bangers. You said you're targeting people who are  
12          committing crimes.

13                   Well, Rekia Boyd didn't commit a  
14          crime the night that she was killed. My cousin  
15          Michael Lamar Wilson he was shot 18 times. He was  
16          a 21 year-old wrestling coach teaching youth,  
17          little young men of color, whose fathers have been  
18          taken out of the home and forced into -- chattled  
19          into the criminal industrial system and so what we  
20          asking today is like we know that you guys are  
21          making these improvements, but when will these  
22          improvements show any type of tangible results and  
23          what we're finding is that it's a great  
24          conversation that we're hearing, but the lives of



1 color people are still being torn down.

2 We still have no dignity because  
3 we're still living in fear. Just this morning at  
4 1:00 p.m. -- 1:00 a.m. this morning someone stole  
5 my vehicle from outside my home and when I was  
6 forced to call the police because of the insurance  
7 policies the police had no recourse for me, they  
8 had no solutions and I have a GPS on my car and my  
9 car can be easily tracked, but I still don't have  
10 my car and this is the plight of people of color  
11 that all these people here are supporting and  
12 we're asking you people when are you going to wash  
13 the blood off your hands and take accountability?

14 That, yes, there are some  
15 problems within the system and it is going to take  
16 more than just a training. You said you were  
17 going to change the laws that gives police the  
18 authority to target and profile transgender women  
19 of color. I am a trans woman of color and I have  
20 been targeted by Chicago police since 1986. I've  
21 had my wrist broke, I have been raped by Chicago  
22 police, I have been falsely accused and falsely  
23 prosecuted by Chicago police, but that is not the  
24 issue today myself, it's the people who are not

1 able to stand and tell their story. I was one of  
2 the fortunate ones. I'm still able to tell the  
3 story and advocate behind it and, yes, we come in  
4 peace, but peace isn't getting us the justice that  
5 we deserve as people and to see people of color  
6 sitting on the Board and people of color sitting  
7 on the Board saying that there is a system that  
8 the claim comes before it hits us and this is what  
9 we're left with, but you know that there is a  
10 problem with the structure of the Chicago Police  
11 Department and we're asking for accountability for  
12 the lives that you all have watched being taken  
13 daily and these lives are as young as 13, 14, 15,  
14 16. So we want to know how many people are you  
15 going to kill before you start doing something for  
16 our community?

17 MR. CARNEY: Thank you. Greg  
18 Newburger?

19 MR. NEWBURGER: McCarthy --  
20 Superintendent McCarthy commented on the  
21 indictment of Dante Servin, that it was a public  
22 safety hazard that Dante Servin was indicted. He  
23 said it makes the cops think twice. I don't think  
24 he said cops, but it makes the cops think twice

1 before they pull their weapons. I can just  
2 imagine Dante Servin who said that he fully  
3 intended to kill the person he was shooting at,  
4 not Rekia Boyd, which makes him an attempted  
5 murderer saying when asked, oh, I didn't think  
6 twice about pulling my gun and shooting. This is  
7 a situation in which Dante Servin was -- as an  
8 earlier speaker said the judge said he was a first  
9 degree murderer not -- not manslaughter.

10 MR. CARNEY: Involuntary  
11 manslaughter.

12 MR. NEWBURGER: Thank you. Didn't  
13 think twice. Look, this is the thinking of a  
14 criminal system and I'm going to say this. Put it  
15 on the record. The only solution is revolution.  
16 In a revolutionary society, the people charged  
17 with public safety would do anything they could  
18 for the safety of the people even if it risked  
19 their own lives. And we say all these murdering  
20 cops should be indicted, convicted and sent to  
21 jail. The whole damn system is guilty as hell,  
22 but today is a special day. We're naming the  
23 names of women murdered by police across the  
24 country.

1                   So for the remaining two minutes  
2    I'm going to read down this list. You can cut me  
3    off at two minutes. It's alphabetical. We may  
4    not even get to Rekia Boyd: Adaisha Miller,  
5    Aiyana Stanley-Jones, Alesia Thomas, Anna Brown,  
6    Alberta Spruill, Aura Rosser, Brenda Gaines,  
7    Brenda Williams, Carolyn Sissy Adams, Druniece  
8    Patterson, Darnisha Harris, McCalla Ross, Delores  
9    Epps, Tashema Gabe, Denise Gabe, Eleanor Bumpurs,  
10   Erica Collins, Eula Love, Heather Pargo,  
11   Jacqueline Culp, Karen Day, Kathryn Johnston,  
12   Kendra James, Laporsha Watson, LaTanya Haggerty,  
13   Malissa Williams, Margaret Mitchell, Martina  
14   Brown, Michelle Cusseaux, Miriam Carey, Natasha  
15   McKenna, Patrick Patricia Thompson, Pearly Golden,  
16   Rekia Boyd, Robin T. Williams, Shantel Davis,  
17   Sharmel Edwards, Shelly Frey, Shaniqua Proctor,  
18   Shereese Francis, Shulana S. Welden, Tanisha  
19   Anderson, Tarika Wilson, Tyisha Miller, Vernicia  
20   Woodward, Vianica Vonard --

21                   MR. CARNEY: Mr. Newburger, you need  
22    to wrap it up. Thank you.

23                   MR. NEWBURGER: Two more names.  
24    Virginia Veredema, Yvette Smith.

1                   MR. CARNEY: Thank you. Rahsaan  
2 Gordon? LaCreshia Birts?

3                   MS. BIRTS: Of the 11 officers fired  
4 from the Chicago Police Department in 2013, none  
5 of the officers were discharged for using  
6 excessive force. Yet, during the same year 43  
7 people were shot by officers and 13 people were  
8 killed. How could this be? Why does this  
9 department and this Board see it fit to fire  
10 officers for perjury, robbery and corruption and  
11 drug and alcohol abuse, but not for murdering an  
12 innocent civilian? These lesser offenses are  
13 incomparable to the damage a family and community  
14 experience when police tear our loved ones from  
15 this world. As many of us in this room will  
16 state, nothing causes more distrust and discredit  
17 to the police department than the act of murdering  
18 those who have done nothing wrong.

19                   Even those who were heavily  
20 armed and actually committed mass murder such as  
21 The Dark Night movie theater shooter in Colorado  
22 and the biker gangs in Waco, Texas they were able  
23 to be arrested with their lives intact. Why  
24 aren't citizens such as Rekia Boyd given that same

1 liberty? Regardless if Dante Servin was acting  
2 out of fear or the intent -- or intent the facts  
3 remain the same, that Rekia and her friends did  
4 nothing wrong March 21st, 2012.

5                   However, Dante Servin did. He  
6 approached them. He shot recklessly towards the  
7 entire crowd. He took someone's life, Rekia's  
8 life, and yet he is still employed by this  
9 department. If the department wants to live up to  
10 its mission to protect the lives, property and  
11 rights of all people and provide quality police  
12 service and partnership with other members of the  
13 community, then it needs to hear the community's  
14 demand to fire Servin.

15                   As a concerned citizen taxpayer  
16 and person concerned for my loved ones and my  
17 fellow community members, my solution is clear.  
18 Fire Servin.

19                   MR. CARNEY: Thank you. Jason --  
20 Jason Tompkins?

21                   MR. TOMPKINS: Good evening. I am  
22 going to try to briefly and respectfully remind  
23 all of you of what your own rules of conduct have  
24 to say about what are enforceable and prohibitable

1 acts that you have listed in your documents in  
2 regards to the termination of Dante Servin.

3 Here, we have Rule 11,  
4 incompetency or inefficiency in the performance of  
5 duty. Given what I hope all of you have read or  
6 received or investigated around the situation with  
7 Servin and his behavior on the evening or early  
8 morning of March 21st, 2012, I'm going to leave it  
9 up to you to whether you believe his behavior was  
10 competent and efficient that morning.

11 We have Rule 6 that defines  
12 disobedience of an order or directive, written or  
13 oral, as a prohibitive act. Many people -- I'm  
14 not a lawyer. I'm not in law school. I'm not  
15 going to be in law school. But I know enough to  
16 know that when as was documented in the trial in  
17 April, when you move a vehicle that -- and you are  
18 not directed to do so as Dante Servin did as  
19 second responders and enforcement responders were  
20 arriving to the scene, if this were a case that  
21 was being investigated as a murder that would be  
22 seen as obstruction of justice.

23 So I'm going to again let you do  
24 your job and think about what that means to

1 this -- to our safety. We also have here Rule 13,  
2 failure to adequately or to secure and care for  
3 department property. Now, it's been said again in  
4 the trial that Dante's Servin Glock that he was  
5 firing that morning was unregistered, an  
6 unregistered service weapon.

7           Of course we don't have access  
8 to the specifics of all of that as the case files  
9 are still in possession and still not open to the  
10 public. I can't tell you what that says or what  
11 that doesn't say or what the specifics are, but,  
12 again, I would hope that all of you are going to  
13 do your job and look into that.

14           I'm going to wrap up by, again,  
15 respectfully reminding all of you that none of you  
16 are sitting where you are today because the  
17 general public of Cook County elected you into the  
18 position that you're in.

19           You're in there because a higher  
20 up appointed you and trusted you, not because any  
21 of us voted you in. I want you to think about  
22 that and I want you to think about your  
23 responsibility and your own consciousness about  
24 whether you believe it is safe, it is consistent



1 with your own rules to keep Dante Servin on the  
2 force. Thank you very much. Have a good evening.

3 MR. CARNEY: Janae Bonsu?

4 JOHN DOE: I'm sorry. What was the  
5 name?

6 MR. CARNEY: Janae Bonsu. Good  
7 evening.

8 MS. BONSU: Good evening. As you  
9 said, my name is Janae Bonsu and I'm a Chicago  
10 resident, but above that I'm a black woman and I  
11 stand here in front of you not to represent all  
12 black women in this city, but rather to be a  
13 reflection of what I believe is this Board's  
14 complicity in the Chicago Police Department's  
15 violence against black people in the city  
16 including black women and particularly Rekia Boyd.

17 On the night of March 21st, 2015  
18 (sic), Dante Servin violated two particular rules  
19 of conduct of the Chicago Police Department. Rule  
20 Number 8, which prohibits the disrespect of or  
21 maltreatment of any citizen while on or off duty  
22 and Rule Number 9, which prohibits engaging in  
23 unjustified, physical or verbal altercation with  
24 any citizens while on or off duty.

1                   So both of these rules prohibit  
2 excessive force, all brutality and all physical  
3 and verbal altercations with any citizen while on  
4 or off duty. So because of the fact that Servin  
5 confronted a group of young black people for  
6 something that was not criminal and he was not  
7 responding to a complaint or a 911 call, the  
8 verbal altercation that he engaged in was  
9 unjustified, but furthermore and most importantly  
10 him intentionally firing his gun into that group  
11 was undoubtedly excessive force because he knew  
12 very well that him firing his gun would most  
13 likely cause bodily harm or death and, indeed, it  
14 did both.

15                   So the City of Chicago has  
16 acknowledged and affirmed this reasoning in the  
17 civil wrong death settlement decision and I think  
18 that it's time for you to do the same as the  
19 Chicago Police Board. So if Rekia Boyd was alive  
20 today, we would be the same age, but Dante Servin  
21 took her life away and as a young, black woman in  
22 this city I do not feel safe knowing that he is  
23 still out here with his gun and his badge free to  
24 exercise his vigilanteism.

1                   So I'm calling on you today to  
2 fire Dante Servin with no pension in the interest  
3 of public safety. Thank you.

4                   MR. CARNEY: Thank you. Travis  
5 McDermott?

6                   MR. MCDERMOTT: Good evening.

7                   MR. CARNEY: Good evening.

8                   MR. MCDERMOTT: I'm here on behalf  
9 of the Homan Square Opposition Coalition and I  
10 have some questions for Superintendent McCarthy.  
11 For clarity, whenever I speak of Homan Square, or  
12 the facility, it's in reference to the police  
13 department's facility located at 3379 West  
14 Fillmore Street.

15                   The first question is are  
16 individuals in CPD custody always offered the  
17 opportunity to call a lawyer before being  
18 questioned?

19                   MR. BAZAREK: Individuals if they  
20 are being interrogated will certainly be offered  
21 the opportunity for a lawyer and, secondly, there  
22 is also signage in police department facilities  
23 that lets arrestees know their rights. In  
24 addition, there is a department directive.

1 Department directives are available online to the  
2 public and I would ask you, sir, to take a look at  
3 arrestees and in persons custody. It's a  
4 directive and it's all available online and it  
5 let's you know the Chicago Police Department  
6 policy.

7 MR. MCDERMOTT: Thank you.

8 MR. BAZAREK: As well as the rules  
9 and regulations.

10 MR. MCDERMOTT: Why are only select  
11 individuals taken to Homan Square when most  
12 arrests are processed as the district nearest to  
13 the arrest?

14 MR. BAZAREK: Every situation is  
15 different, but I'm sure there is an operational  
16 reason why police officers do certain things in  
17 terms of their investigation, in terms of their  
18 questioning/interrogations. So -- but, with that  
19 said, individuals are certainly afforded their  
20 rights and they are informed they have a right to  
21 counsel as well.

22 MR. MCDERMOTT: Is the treatment of  
23 individuals at Homan Square governed by any set of  
24 guidelines other than those in General Order

1 96-01-04?

2 MR. BAZAREK: Sir, all Chicago  
3 police officers are bound to follow the law in  
4 Illinois as well as the City of Chicago Municipal  
5 Code as well as department directives and rules  
6 and regulations.

7 MR. MCDERMOTT: Is there a policy --

8 MR. CARNEY: This is your last  
9 question. We have a lot of speakers to get  
10 through. Thank you.

11 JOHN DOE: Take your time.

12 MR. MCDERMOTT: Is there a policy on  
13 what kind or how much information to include on an  
14 arrestee's complaint for preliminary examination  
15 when they're taken to Homan Square?

16 MR. BAZAREK: Sir, I'm not sure I  
17 understand your question.

18 MR. MCDERMOTT: When an individual  
19 is taken into Homan Square and booked, on their  
20 papers is there any guidelines about what  
21 information should be included?

22 MR. BAZAREK: Sir, first off, I  
23 would say I'm not sure exactly what you mean by  
24 papers, but certainly if you are referring to an

1       arrest report what an arrest is used for it lays  
2       out the charges, it lays out the probable cause  
3       for an arrest, you could also have a case report  
4       or a supplementary report documenting certain  
5       information. So there is -- there is a myriad of  
6       reports that are used to reflect information  
7       that -- information that the officers receive  
8       during their investigation.

9                   MR. MCDERMOTT: Thank you.

10                   JOHN DOE: Can I say something real  
11       fast? I understand the gentleman just said you  
12       have a limited amount of time, but we're talking  
13       about people's lives here. So let's give  
14       everybody the appropriate amount of time.

15                   MR. CARNEY: That's what we're  
16       trying to do. We're trying to keep it moving.

17                   JOHN DOE: Let's not cut them off.

18                   MR. CARNEY: David Rodriguez? David  
19       Rodriguez?

20                   MR. RODRIGUEZ: Good evening. Good  
21       evening, counsel. I'm here with the Homan Square  
22       Opposition Coalition for clarity. The  
23       (inaudible).

24                   THE COURT REPORTER: I can't hear

1       you.

2                   MR. CARNEY:   Pull the mic out.

3                   MR. RODRIGUEZ:  Again, I'm here with  
4       the Homan Square Opposition Coalition.  We're  
5       asking questions to Superintendent McCarthy.  What  
6       kinds of records are maintained about kept  
7       arrestees on Homan Square and for how long are  
8       they kept?

9                   MR. BAZAREK:  Sir, you said -- are  
10       you asking if someone is arrested what type of  
11       documentation there may be?

12                   MR. RODRIGUEZ:  Yes, sir.

13                   MR. BAZAREK:  Oh, well, as I told  
14       the other gentleman, you could have arrest  
15       reports, you can have case reports, you can have  
16       supplementary reports.  There is a myriad of  
17       Chicago police reports that document contact,  
18       investigations, arrestees, all that information.  
19       So there is a myriad of reports and, in fact, I  
20       would say, sir, if you go online to the automated  
21       directives as I told the other gentleman there is  
22       information on reports on the department retention  
23       schedule that you can see online.  So it's all --  
24       what is nice about it it let's the public know

1 exactly the operations and the rules and  
2 regulations of the police department as well as  
3 information concerning documentation that's  
4 maintained.

5 MR. RODRIGUEZ: Okay. I have one  
6 more question for you guys. Is the equipment  
7 procured by CPD, the Chicago Police Department,  
8 through the 1033 provisions of the 1997 National  
9 Defense Authorization Act being stored at the  
10 Homan Square facility?

11 MR. BAZAREK: Sir, I have to get  
12 back to you. I don't have the information on what  
13 you're asking.

14 MR. MCDERMOTT: Who can we approach  
15 to get this information?

16 MR. BAZAREK: Sir, you can contact  
17 me at the Office of Legal Affairs and I will give  
18 you my phone number or I'm here available after to  
19 take any other questions you have.

20 MR. MCDERMOTT: Thank you.

21 MR. BAZAREK: Sure.

22 MR. CARNEY: Billy Joe Mills? Billy  
23 Joe Mills? Jonathan Terrasi?

24 MR. TERRASI: Thank you. I'm here



1 on behalf of the Homan Square Opposition Coalition  
2 to ask a few further questions regarding the Homan  
3 Square facility which whenever I refer to Homan  
4 Square, or the facility, it's the facility on 3379  
5 West Fillmore in Chicago, Illinois.

6 Was there any equipment or  
7 individuals transported out of the Homan Square  
8 facility before Richard Boykin's tour of the  
9 facility?

10 MR. BAZAREK: Sir, who is  
11 Mr. Boykin?

12 MR. TERRASI: Mr. Boykin is an  
13 employee of the City of Chicago and he was allowed  
14 to tour the facility for the purposes of reporting  
15 what was found inside the facility and conditions  
16 therein.

17 MR. BAZAREK: Okay. All right.  
18 Sir, repeat the question, please.

19 MR. TERRASI: Was there any  
20 equipment or individuals transported out of the  
21 facility prior to his visit?

22 MR. BAZAREK: Sir, I don't have any  
23 information on that.

24 MR. TERRASI: Who would be the

1 proper authority to go to for information  
2 regarding that?

3 MR. BAZAREK: Sir, I can talk with  
4 you after as well to help you with that  
5 information.

6 MR. TERRASI: Thank you. And my  
7 final question is why hasn't the Chicago Police  
8 Department responded to requests by the Homan  
9 Square Opposition Coalition for a town hall  
10 meeting?

11 MR. BAZAREK: I don't have any  
12 information on that, sir.

13 MR. TERRASI: Who is responsible for  
14 the public relations department and taking calls,  
15 requests for -- by the public for such meetings?

16 MR. BAZAREK: I mean, we have a news  
17 affairs division, but I'd be glad to speak with  
18 you after we conclude here to see if I can help  
19 you out with that as well.

20 MR. TERRASI: All right. Thank you  
21 very much.

22 MR. BAZAREK: Sure.

23 MR. CARNEY: Rachel Williams? Good  
24 evening.

1 MS. WILLIAMS: Good evening. Again,  
2 I'm here for Rekia Boyd. One of the things is if  
3 the Chicago Police Department is really talking  
4 community building they would fire Dante Servin.  
5 I live in one of the most over-policed areas which  
6 consistently feels unsafe by the same people we  
7 pay to serve and protect us, who would rather  
8 trust the gangs to protect us than Chicago PD.

9 Now, from time -- if Dante  
10 Servin is reinstated within the police department,  
11 that allows him to have the idea that he has brass  
12 behind him. So he will consistently do the same  
13 acts in which he did on March 21st, 2012, because  
14 if we do -- how do I put this? When you have the  
15 brass who is willing to say that he never should  
16 have been served -- should never have been charged  
17 gives him the gateway to dare say he can put other  
18 peoples lives in danger as civilians on and off  
19 duty and really and honestly if you really want to  
20 be about community building you will hear the  
21 voices of your community and the black community  
22 who feel unsafe by reinstating him, but not only  
23 him, but other officers who have put other peoples  
24 lives in danger and not only would -- firing him,

1 but also taking his pension away because there's  
2 no way if somebody was to commit a murder if  
3 they're being in any other state of public service  
4 they would be able -- allowed to receive their  
5 pension as well be reinstated.

6 I grew up in a house with a  
7 nurse in the Chicago Nurses Union and Chicago  
8 Teachers Union and any act of misconduct they  
9 would have been fired and would have never  
10 received their pension regardless of an  
11 independent review board. And if you allow this  
12 to constantly happen, if you're willing to disband  
13 somebody for parking tickets, you are willing to  
14 disband somebody for literally committing murder.

15 So -- so if want to (inaudible)  
16 you can't disband somebody for literally messing  
17 with parking tickets and sit there with a straight  
18 face reinstate somebody who legitimately had no  
19 remorse for killing an unarmed woman and that's  
20 it.

21 MR. CARNEY: Thank you. Chelsea  
22 Springer?

23 JOHN DOE: Come on, Chelsea.

24 MS. SPRINGER: Hey, everyone. I'm

1 Chelsea Springer and I'm a resident of Humboldt  
2 Park and I'm going to give you specifics and see  
3 if that tact line is helpful. So I'm speaking on  
4 the misconduct of Officer Dante Servin. It was  
5 said in his trial a matter of weeks ago that CPD  
6 Officer Dante Servin on the night he murdered  
7 Rekia Boyd was first at home and off duty when he  
8 called 911 with more than just a noise complaint  
9 about people in Douglas Park. He was quoted on  
10 record saying that there is going to be a problem,  
11 which meant that he actually believed that there  
12 was going to be a problem that night and it turns  
13 out that he fully created and sought out that  
14 problem in the shooting of Rekia Boyd.

15                   After his 911 call, he decided  
16 to go outside to confront the noise himself rather  
17 than waiting on on-duty officers to arrive and  
18 take care of the noise. Servin was clearly  
19 searching for confrontation as he headed out in  
20 his car with an unregistered gun. As he pulled  
21 into the alley near his home, that firearm is not  
22 only inappropriate, but also illegal.

23                   Then Servin drove his car from  
24 the alley and turned into the street with that gun

1 moving at an idling speed matching the space of  
2 the unknown pedestrians walking down the sidewalk  
3 in front of him which he chose to target that  
4 night. He was clearly the aggressor when he  
5 shouted first antagonistically at the same group  
6 of four unarmed civilians just walking to a corner  
7 store.

8                   From there, they only had a  
9 brief argument before Servin shot his unregistered  
10 gun at them several times. Dante Servin  
11 completely intended to be out there that night and  
12 was looking for people, four victims, in this case  
13 innocent, black civilians, to mess with.

14                   This series of events is -- the  
15 series of events is in clear violation of common  
16 law 13.03 because this was not a negligent act,  
17 but a reckless act with deadly intention on  
18 Servin's part. This warrants immediate firing of  
19 Dante Servin from the CPD, which I'm demanding the  
20 Board take care of and take that pension away.

21                   MR. CARNEY: Otis Buckley?

22                   MR. BUCKLEY: Otis Buckley. I want  
23 to apologize in advance because I may not meet  
24 your time schedule, but at the same time I want

1 you to understand as well as, Superintendent  
2 McCarthy, I want you to understand that innocent  
3 lives of people that are murdered by the police is  
4 more important or a little bit more important than  
5 your time schedule. So please allow me to finish  
6 what it is I have to say. I wrote it down. I  
7 need to talk to you all because I feel that you  
8 need to understand what is going on so you can  
9 rectify the situation, Superintendent, and not  
10 allow innocent people to continue to get murdered.

11 All right. We need to eliminate  
12 CompStat, Superintendent. CompStat is something  
13 that police officers do not even like. They hate  
14 it to be exact. CompStat is something that -- for  
15 everybody who doesn't know what CompStat is, let  
16 me explain what CompStat is. CompStat is a  
17 program that forces officers to continue to write  
18 the same amount of tickets and to continue to put  
19 the same amount of people in prison so that the  
20 prison industrialization system can continue to  
21 make money from people as they throw them in jail  
22 for little to minority reasons.

23 It forces people to continue to  
24 write tickets that shouldn't be wrote, you know,

1 and I think Superintendent McCarthy already knows  
2 this, but CompStat needs to be abolished like  
3 slavery. Let's get rid of it. The police  
4 officers don't even like it. We don't like it.  
5 The police officers don't like it. Why do we  
6 still have it? Get rid of it. It is  
7 unconstitutional -- unconstitutional to think that  
8 we could still continue to have this CompStat  
9 going on. The police officers are supposed to end  
10 all of this crime that's going on, correct? So if  
11 they're working and they're doing their job and  
12 they are ending the crime, why in the world do  
13 they have to still arrest the same amount of  
14 people? Why in the world do they have to still  
15 write the same amount of tickets? It doesn't make  
16 sense. Eliminate it. Get rid of it. Your police  
17 officers don't like it. We don't like it. The  
18 only reason you still have it is because it brings  
19 money to this state.

20 I think that we need to view the  
21 humans, the citizens, the Americans, as more  
22 important than we view a few dollars to the state.  
23 You know, I think our lives are a little bit more  
24 important along with your police officers. Their



1 lives are a little bit more important as well. So  
2 when you force these police officers to go out  
3 there and write tickets for people and arrest  
4 people that don't need to be arrested and don't  
5 need to have tickets wrote for, you're putting  
6 them in a bad situation that they don't even need  
7 to be in. So not only are you hurting us and  
8 putting our lives in danger, you're putting their  
9 well-being in danger as well because they are  
10 approaching individuals. They are approaching  
11 individuals that they never should have approached  
12 in the first place.

13                   So now you have police officers  
14 approaching people that shouldn't have never been  
15 approached, they are kind of offended and kind of  
16 upset that they have been stopped by the police  
17 and now they have an edge on their shoulders and  
18 we all know that the police already have an edge  
19 on yours. You already have -- so let's end that.  
20 CompStat needs to be abolished. CompStat is  
21 useless. It's garbage. How in the world can you  
22 tell a police officer clean up the community and  
23 they do their job and they get the criminals off  
24 the street, but you still tell them arrest the

1 same amount of criminals? They have already  
2 gotten rid of the criminals. How can you tell  
3 them to get rid of the same amount of criminals?  
4 It makes no sense. It's counterproductive. What  
5 you're doing is forcing police officers to  
6 approach individuals that do not need to be  
7 approached.

8                   You're forcing police officers  
9 to do something that they don't even want to do.  
10 Police officers hate CompStat. Not just us.  
11 Police officers hate CompStat. Do away --

12                   MR. CARNEY: Mr. Buckley, we get the  
13 point on CompStat.

14                   MR. BUCKLEY: All right. I will  
15 not -- I will not talk about CompStat anymore, but  
16 please do not cut me off because I have a lot of  
17 stuff that I need to say.

18                   MR. CARNEY: We have other speakers.  
19 Keep going. Keep going.

20                   MR. BUCKLEY: Give me three minutes.

21                   MR. CARNEY: Keep going.

22                   MR. BUCKLEY: Give me three minutes.  
23 I understand, Brother. Secondly, that fear, I'm  
24 in fear for my life needs to be gone, abolished.

1 We need to get rid of that for the simple fact  
2 that I don't care if I'm in fear for my life.  
3 Just because you're scary or you're in fear  
4 doesn't give you the right to murder somebody. If  
5 I'm walking down an alley and I see a big 6'6" man  
6 that's about 280, 290 pounds I'm going to be  
7 scared. I'm going to be afraid. I might be in  
8 fear for my life, this man could kill me, but if  
9 he does not do anything wrong to me, I have  
10 absolutely no right to take that man's life.  
11 If that -- it should be based upon the  
12 circumstances and the situation alone, not because  
13 you're in fear for your life. If you are a  
14 coward, you do not belong on the police force.  
15 You need to become a librarian. You do not belong  
16 as a police officer.

17 I was in fear for my life.  
18 Absolutely. Obsolete rather. We need to get rid  
19 of that plain and simple. I don't care what you  
20 feel inside of your heart. If the circumstances  
21 do not warrant it, do not pull out your gun and do  
22 not murder an innocent, unarmed civilian. Plain  
23 and simple. Don't do it. If you feel the need to  
24 murder someone, let it be known that they have a

1 gun, they have a knife, they are charging you and  
2 they are about to kill you. If they're not about  
3 to kill you, do not kill them.

4 MR. CARNEY: That's your final  
5 point. Let's move onto your final point.

6 MR. BUCKLEY: Let's move onto the  
7 final point. Shooting unarmed people. Whenever a  
8 cop shoots an unarmed civilian he or she must be  
9 suspended without pay. I'm tired of seeing police  
10 officers getting a paid vacation for murdering  
11 people.

12 JOHN DOE: You can say that.

13 MR. BUCKLEY: I am sick and tired of  
14 that. You get a paid vacation. The job I work at  
15 if I do wrong they do not pay me, you know, to  
16 continue on with my life. They fire me or they  
17 suspend me, but I do not continue to get paid and  
18 police officers should not continue to get paid  
19 for murdering people. I am sick and tired of this  
20 suspended with pay. That is a paid vacation and  
21 we are sick and tired of seeing it as a community  
22 and as long as the police officers continue to get  
23 a paid vacation for murdering us we will never be  
24 satisfied.

1                   So you need to stop that now.  
2       Fire them or either or -- I'm sorry. Suspend them  
3       without pay pending an investigation,  
4       Mr. McCarthy.

5                   MR. CARNEY: Thank you.

6                   MR. BUCKLEY: If you can't do that,  
7       we will never -- don't clap because I'm not done.

8                   Lastly, aiding and abetting. A  
9       police officer's job is to arrest criminals. Am I  
10      right or wrong? I think everybody on the Board  
11      would agree with that. So if a police officer is  
12      doing wrong and he is violating the law and  
13      stepping outside the Constitution of America, he  
14      needs to be arrested. Who else can he be arrested  
15      by but another cop?

16                  So if a cop does not arrest  
17      another cop for violating the Constitution and  
18      violating some -- all of our Constitutional  
19      rights, he belongs in prison. That's the way I  
20      see it. He is guilty of aiding and abetting and  
21      if a police officer does not throw another cop in  
22      jail for violating the Constitution, brutalizing  
23      us, beating us and murdering us, then he belongs  
24      in prison and if you fail to do that as a police

1 officer, you also belong in prison because you are  
2 guilty of aiding and abetting.

3 We need to come to a point where  
4 first year police officers can get together with  
5 other first year police officers and if they see  
6 their captain violating the rights of citizens and  
7 violating the rights of the Constitution, those  
8 individual police officers can put cuffs on their  
9 captain and put him in jail.

10 Your job is to arrest criminals.  
11 I don't care if that criminal has on a blue  
12 uniform or not. Your job is to arrest criminals  
13 and until you do that you will never be welcomed  
14 in the community with open arms. You will always  
15 be viewed as an oppressor.

16 MR. CARNEY: Thank you.

17 MR. BUCKLEY: The only way to change  
18 that is to do your job and arrest these criminals.  
19 I don't care if they have on a blue uniform or  
20 not.

21 MR. CARNEY: Thank you.

22 MR. BUCKLEY: Arrest them if they're  
23 doing wrong.

24 MR. CARNEY: Martinez Sutton?

1 Mr. Sutton? Mr. Sutton? Queen Sister? Robert  
2 More?

3 MR. MORE: Robert J. More, Institute  
4 of St. Michael the Archangel, administrative  
5 assistant for Branch No. 4. This is the Police  
6 Board meeting of May of 2015. The superintendent  
7 is here and first of all for all these people  
8 complaining there is templates on  
9 thirstforjustice.tripod.com --

10 THE COURT REPORTER: I can't hear  
11 you. I can't hear you.

12 MR. MORE: -- of examples of  
13 petitions for the federal grand jury.

14 MR. CARNEY: Mr. More? Mr. More?  
15 Mr. More?

16 MR. MORE: Post true bills -- what's  
17 that?

18 THE COURT REPORTER: I can't hear  
19 you. I can't hear a word you're saying.

20 MR. MORE: This thing isn't working  
21 anyways, is it?

22 THE COURT REPORTER: Yeah, it works.

23 MR. MORE: I thought it wasn't  
24 working.

1 MR. CARNEY: Mr. More --

2 MR. MORE: Anyway, there's templates  
3 to get indictments of government officials on the  
4 thirstforjustice.tripod.com and also for post true  
5 bills. So it's just a matter of plugging your  
6 facts into those templates. If you contact the  
7 Institute of St. Michael the Archangel, we'll take  
8 that. I go to the federal grand jury on a regular  
9 basis. That's a separate issue, the independence  
10 of the federal grand jury which is a gigantic  
11 issue. You're all invited to get involved in  
12 restoring the independence of the federal grand  
13 jury because that's one of the core problems here.

14 Mr. Superintendent, I have to  
15 put off for a second the (inaudible). Operation  
16 Jade Helm 15, what is the position of the  
17 Superintendent for the City of Chicago Police  
18 Department in regard to Operation Jade Helm 15?  
19 You don't know what Operation Jade Helm 15 is?  
20 Joint Action for Dissident Eradication Homeland  
21 Elimination of Local Militants 15. The City of  
22 Chicago that's the police department you're saying  
23 to the world that you're not familiar with  
24 Operation Jade Helm 15, is that correct,



1 Mr. Superintendent?

2 MR. MCCARTHY: I have no idea what  
3 you're talking about.

4 MR. MORE: You have no idea what I'm  
5 talking about? Okay. For the record -- here is  
6 another question. All these gun control laws  
7 you're talking about, the need for these gun  
8 control laws, can you understand why tens of  
9 millions of people in this country will see any  
10 attempt at firearm confiscation as leaving them,  
11 us, without any worldly, legitimate alternative  
12 other than going into open armed mutiny against  
13 anyone and everyone endeavoring to confiscate  
14 firearms of American citizens not accused of any  
15 crime similar to what happened on the 18th of  
16 April 1775? Can you understand the position of  
17 those of us who take that position? Do you  
18 understand what our position is, what we're here  
19 for?

20 MR. CARNEY: Mr. More? Mr. More?  
21 Your time is up.

22 MR MORE: This is what we're trying  
23 to prevent.

24 MR. CARNEY: Mr. More, thank you.

1 MR. MORE: Wait a second. You

2 gave --

3 MR. CARNEY: Mr. More, thank you.

4 At this time we've heard from all the speakers who

5 have signed up to speak. At this time now I will

6 ask for a motion to adjourn. Can I have a motion?

7 MR. FOREMAN: So moved.

8 MR. CARNEY: Okay. The meeting is

9 adjourned. Thank you very much.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1 STATE OF ILLINOIS )  
2 ) SS.  
3 COUNTY OF COOK )  
4

5 I, Steven Brickey, Certified Shorthand  
6 Reporter, do hereby certify that I reported in  
7 shorthand the proceedings had at the trial  
8 aforesaid, and that the foregoing is a true,  
9 complete and correct transcript of the proceedings  
10 of said trial as appears from my stenographic  
11 notes so taken and transcribed under my personal  
12 direction.

13 Witness my official signature in and for  
14 Cook County, Illinois, on this \_\_\_\_\_ day of  
15 \_\_\_\_\_, A.D., 2015.

16  
17  
18  
19  
20 \_\_\_\_\_  
21 STEVEN BRICKEY, CSR  
22 8 West Monroe Street  
23 Suite 2007  
24 Chicago, Illinois 60603  
Phone: (312) 419-9292  
CSR No. 084-00467